

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

1999 ELECTIONS

City Offices and Ballot Measures



Institute for Social Research
Center For California Studies
California State University, Sacramento



CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 1999 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,
Director, Center for California Studies

Carole Barnes, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	i
1999 County, City and School District Election Dates by County	viii
1999 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 1999	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 1999	5
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 1999	7
PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES.....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 1999.....	11
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 1999.....	16
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 1999	24
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 1999	25
PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES	27
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 1999.....	29
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 1999	51

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, both of California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes both candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California.

Election data are collected periodically throughout the year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to subject (e.g., taxes, education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is an interdisciplinary center serving the research needs of federal, state and local government agencies, non-profit organizations and university administration, faculty and students. These services include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous contracts with over 40 state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

1999 ELECTION DATA

The year 1999 was the sesquicentennial year of state elections in California. In 1849, General Bennett Riley, the military commander of American forces in California and, with the cession of California from Mexico to the United States, the acting governor, called a convention to draft a constitution for the state of California. That convention, whose forty-eight delegates included Mariano Vallejo and seven other Californios, produced a document that was approved by the voters in November 1849. The election to ratify the new constitution was also the election to elect a Governor, 36 members of the Assembly and 16 of the Senate as well as other constitutional officers.

No such elections for state officers were held in 1999, but the California Republic again demonstrated its vitality by choosing among 2,293 candidates to elect 1,039 county supervisors, city council members, school board trustees and other local government officials. Although the initiative did not become part of the state constitution until 1910, the spirit was there in the judgment of General Riley and the Monterey Convention that the voters should decide, directly and at the polls, whether the new constitution would be ratified. That spirit of direct democracy was alive and well in 1999 with California voters passing judgement on 283 ballot measures.

BALLOT MEASURES

The 283 measures on California ballots in 1999 included 33 county ballot measures, 119 city measures and 131 school district measures. The total number of ballot measures was less than half the number voted on in 1998, which is not surprisingly given that 1999 was an "off" year with no state or federal elections and the long trend of local jurisdictions consolidating their elections with

state elections in even-numbered years. Moreover, of the 282 measures in 1999, only about ten percent were placed on the ballot by action of the people (i.e., through the initiative, referendum or recall). The bulk of the measures were on the ballot by action of a county board, city council or school board.

More than half of 1999's local ballot measures were bonds (108 or 38.1 percent) or tax measures (54 or 19.0 percent). Bond measures were generally successful with a 59.2 percent approval rate. The 64 bonds which passed equaled over \$2 billion (\$2,180,673,000). Another \$1,842,448,000 in bonds were defeated. Tax measures were only slightly less successful with 31 such measures passing (59.2 percent) and 23 being rejected by the voters (42.6 percent).

Education was the most popular subject of ballot measures with 119 or 42.0 percent of all local ballot measures falling in this category. The 29 land use measures represented 10.2 percent of all measures and one of the less successful records with only 41.3 percent passing and 58.6 percent failing.

County Measures. 1999 saw a comparatively small total of 33 county ballot measures. There were no elections of any type in 13 counties and of the 45 that did have elections at some level, only 12 had county ballot measures. Bay Area counties accounted for nearly half (16 or 48.4 percent) of all county measures (i.e. Marin, Napa and the city and county of San Francisco (which CEDA treats as a county). The success rate of all county measures was significant with two-thirds gaining voter approval (the Bay Area approval rate was 87.5 percent).

Only one county bond was offered in 1999 and that was passed (\$299 million in San Francisco for replacement of Laguna Honda Hospital). Far more common were tax measures (16 or 48.4 percent or which exactly half passed and half failed); ordinances (8 or 24.2 percent with 5 passing); and charter amendments (4 or 12.1 percent; all in San Francisco and all successful). The tax measures typically asked for increases of special taxes in improvement zones (e.g., Measure J-99 in San Luis Obispo County, which raised taxes for road improvements in the Harvey Street Road Improvement Zone) or for taxes supporting paramedic and fire services. Voters in Imperial County reject a county sales tax increase.

Among the most interesting county measures were Proposition F in San Francisco which passed, prohibited ATM fees; Proposition S in Trinity County which passed advising the Board of Supervisors that new sales tax revenues should be allocated to crime and fire prevention; and Measure K in San Francisco, which passed, setting voluntary campaign spending limits on candidates for the Board of Supervisors.

City Measures. Voters in 66 California cities passed judgment on 119 separate ballot measures. Of these, 63 or 52.9 percent were approved and 56 or 47.0 percent failed. Thus municipal measures fared considerably poorer than county measures. City bond measures enjoyed marked success with 3 of 4 being adopted, including Measure C, a \$8 million bond for the construction of a new police station, Arcadia in Los Angeles County. The only failed city bond was a \$36.7 million bond for construction of new police facility in Santa Barbara. In contrast, the 27 municipal measures dealing with taxes, 14 or 51.8 percent passed while 13 or 48.1 percent failed.

Less than ten percent of all city measures were placed on the ballot through the initiative process (10 or 8.4 percent of the total). Moreover, the measures were less successful with only 4 being approved by the voters, for a 40 percent pass rate.

The two most common subjects for city measures were governance issues (45 or 37.8 percent of all city measures) and land use issues (29 or 24.3 percent). Governance issues ranged from cutting the salaries of the mayor and city council members in Inglewood (passed) to a \$400 a month pay raise for the mayor of Modesto (failed) to term limits on the Council members in Apple Valley (failed) to three measures in Redondo Beach seeking to change elective offices into appointed ones (all failed). Governance issues also included eight recall elections, all of which were successful (three Council members in Apple Valley; two in Montague, two in San Jacinto and one in Weed).

Land use issues involved numerous efforts to make rezoning and growth decisions subject to voter approval. Ten measures directly addressing growth issues, of which 6 passed and 4 were defeated. Of particular interest were 5 measures (3 passed, 2 failed) which sought to make various land use decisions subject to voter approval. For example, with the passage of Measure U in Chino Hills, any increase in the number of housing units permitted under the city's general plan and any conversion of non-residential zoning to residential now requires voter approval. Under Measure A in Napa, any change to the city's rural urban limit line now must be approved by the voters or a fourth-fifths vote of the city council. In Agoura Hills, with the passage of Measure B, any change to land currently designated as open space now requires a two-thirds vote of the voters. On the other hand, Measure F in San Ramon, which would have made various land use decisions subject to voter approval, failed as did Measure D in Pleasanton which would have required voter approval for any project involving ten or more residential units.

School Measures. In 1999, as in previous years, school district measures mean bond measures. School district voters faced a total of 131 ballot measures of which 103 or 78.6 percent were bond measures. Of those bond measures, 60 were approved and 43 rejected by the voters for a pass rate of 58.2 percent. Thus voters approved more than \$1.8 billion dollars in school bonds. Successful bonds ranged from \$248 million in the Foothill-De Anza Community College District in the heart of the Silicon Valley to \$700,000 in Merced River Union Elementary School District in Merced County. The largest bond measure that failed was a \$150 million effort by Livermore Valley joint Unified School District in Contra Costa County.

School district measures also included six recall efforts, four of which were unsuccessful (all in Farmersville Unified in Tulare County) and two successful (one in Willows Unified in Glenn County and Chualar Union Elementary in Monterey County). All recall efforts were in comparatively smaller school districts.

Other governance issues, which accounted for 9.1 percent of all school ballot measures, included annexation, consolidation, creation and/or unification measures (6 measures of which four were approved); increasing the size of district boards (2 measures, both passed) and providing that board members be elected from trustee areas (4, both passed)

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

A total of 2,293 Californians presented themselves to the judgment of their fellow citizens by running for one of the 1,039 elective county, city or school district positions. Of the 1,039 elected to office, 511 were non-incumbents and 528 were incumbent office-holders successful in their efforts to be reelected. Thus, of all the people elected to local government positions in 1999, 52.8 percent were incumbents and 49.2 percent were non-incumbents. Thus incumbents seeking reelection

were quite successful with a 76.7 percent reelection rate (528 of 688). The difference between a 76.7 percent reelection rate and the 52.8 percent of successful candidates who were incumbents is a function of both the 160 incumbents who were defeated and the number of incumbents who chose not to or were prohibited from running again.

County races. Elections for county offices are held in even-numbered years, with the exception of the city-county of San Francisco. Thus there was little electoral activity at the county level and of that, the most interesting was the continued emergence of county-based Community Service Districts (CSD) and the election of CSD Directors.

In 1999, 109 candidates vied for 61 CSD director positions. Of the 109, 42 or 38.5 percent were incumbents. Of the incumbents running for reelection, 32 won giving incumbents a 76.2 percent reelection rate. Overall, however, of the 61 winners, the 32 incumbents represent only 52.5 percent.

City races. California voters faced in 1999 the task of electing 244 city council members, 22 mayors, 18 city clerks, 18 city treasurers and 2 city attorneys. A total of 738 candidates vied for these 304 positions. Overall, incumbents did well with a reelection rate of 80.6 percent (186 incumbents ran for reelection, 150 won and 36 lost). However, of the 304 positions filled at the ballot, only 49.3 percent were incumbents while 154 or 50.7 percent were non-incumbents.

Incumbent city treasurers and city attorneys were especially successful with 100 percent reelection rates. Incumbent city clerks fared somewhat less well with 14 of 15 being reelected (93.3 percent). Mayoral incumbents had a reelection rate of 63.2 with 12 of 19 incumbent returned to office by the voters. Incumbent members of city councils enjoyed a reelection rate of 79.6 percent with 109 out of 137 incumbents being successful in their bids for reelection. Non-incumbents were most successful in city councils races with 55.3 percent of council winners being new to office.

School District Races. School district offices equaled 64.2 percent of all local elected positions so it is not surprising that 61.7 percent of all local government candidates in 1999 ran for school board. The 1,417 candidates running for 668 school positions included 455 incumbent school board members seeking reelection. Of these 341 or 74.9 percent were successful. This reelection rate is below both the overall incumbent reelection rate of 76.7 percent and the reelection rate of 80.6 for city incumbents candidates. Once again, however, it is important to note that incumbent reelection rates do not automatically equate to incumbent domination of school boards as of the 688 candidates elected only 341 or 51.0 percent were incumbent trustees.

TRENDS

With five full years of reporting beginning in 1995, the reduced numbers of ballot measures and candidates in odd-numbered years begins to look like a dependable trend. There are roughly half as many measures and candidates in 1995, 97 and 99 as there are in 1996 and 1998 -- between 252 and 343 ballot measures in the former, 572 and 573 in the latter; and between 2293 and 2498 candidates in the odd-numbered years compared with 5530 and 5502 in the even-numbered years. Overall pass rates for the ballot measures remain steady at 59% in 1999, varying between 57 and 61% for the five-year period. The proportion of incumbents in 1999 (30%) was consistent with the figures for 1997 and 1998 (30 and 32% respectively), showing a marked increase over 1995 and 1996 (27 and 26% respectively).

BALLOT MEASURES

The proportion of bonds among ballot measures has remained relatively constant in the three odd-numbered years, varying between 36 and 38%, declining noticeably during the even-numbered years (to 11% and 26% in 1996 and 1998). Pass rates for bonds, however, have remained extremely consistent for the past four years, at 58 to 59%. Tax measures were more common during 1996 through 1998, making up 25 to 29% of the ballot measures during those years. They were only 10% of all measures in 1995 and dropped to 19% of all measures in 1999, with pass rates noticeably higher in the past three years (48 to 57% compared with 35 to 40% in 1995 and 1996). Ordinances and charter amendments are other frequently occurring types of measures, their proportions varying widely from year to year with no apparent pattern. In 1997, there was only 1 ordinance on the ballot, while there were 176 in the preceding year and 68 in 1999. Tax measures made up a fifth of the ballot measures in 1995 and 1996, but only 9 and 7% in 1997 and 1999. Pass rates for both have been pretty constant, with ordinances passing 57 – 61% of the time and charter amendments, with the exception of 1999, passing at least three-fourths of the time.

Education continues to dominate as the topic of ballot measures, particularly in odd-numbered years. Governance issues over-shadowed education in 1996 (210 measures to 94) and came closer to parity in 1998 (125 to 164 for education) than the more typical two to one ratio, or better, in the other three years. Pass ratios for Education measures have stabilized in the 59 – 67% range for the past four years, with governance issues in a similar range for the same time period (63 – 67%).

Though much less frequent, land use issues have ranked third as a topic of ballot measures for each of the five years. They are more common in years with statewide elections and, until 1999, have enjoyed healthy pass rates, varying between 56 and 70%. This year, only 41% of the 29 land use measures passed. Like land use, public safety issues are also more common in years with statewide elections. There were 39 and 37 in 1996 and 1998, but only 12 in 1995 and 1997 and 14 in 1999. Pass rates for public safety measures are generally lower than for education, governance and land use.

School districts and cities account for most of the ballot measures each year. School district measures account for roughly half (46 to 51%) of the ballot measures in the odd-numbered years, while city measures account for half or more during the even-numbered years (50 to 65%). County measures make up 20% or less of all ballot measures, with more in the years with statewide elections (1996 and 1998) and as few as 7% in the odd-numbered years (7% in 1995 and 1997). As odd-numbered years go, 1999's 17% was uncharacteristically high. A total of 33 measures appeared on 1999 county ballots. The increase can be explained by the increase in community service districts (CSDs). CSDs are typically created to provide services to a particular area within a county and thus allow parcel tax, bonds and other such measures to be voted upon only by the people directed affected, as opposed to the entire county. A third of the 1999 county measures were CSD measures (e.g., Measure G in Marin, Measure G in Riverside and all five measures in San Luis Obispo County). Without those CSD measures, the number of county would have been a normal 7.7% of the total number of measures in 1999.

County measures. Consistent with the other odd-numbered years, there were few charter amendments in 1999 (4). The fact that all passed is consistent with generally high pass rates for this type of measure. Tax measures and ordinances are the most common types of county ballot measures. They occur in larger numbers in the years with statewide elections, but there were an unusual number of both in 1999 for an odd-numbered election year (16 and 8 respectively, when there are typically a half dozen or less). Pass rates for the ordinances were typical – 63% vs. 54

and 72 in 1996 and 1998 – while they were a little high for the tax measures (50% vs. 29 and 38% in 1996 and 1998, when there were a sufficient number to percentage). The 50% cannot be seen as unusual since there were only 16 tax measures in 1999.

City measures. Most city measures are ordinances (40%), tax issues (23%) or charter amendments (13%). Cities, like counties, offer more of these types of measures in the even-numbered years. Pass rates for charter amendments were very high in four of the five years (between 75 and 94%), but uncharacteristically low (38%) in 1999. This may be an artifact of the unusually small number of charter amendments (16) in 1999. Pass rates for ordinances and tax measures have been consistently lower (in the 40 – 50% range). Governance issues dominated in all five years with land use or general services a distant second.

School district measures. While cities and counties crowd the ballot with their measures during the even-numbered years when statewide elections are held, school districts account for roughly half of all ballot measures in the odd-numbered ones. Bonds are by far the most common with tax issues a distant second and ordinances and recalls third. Pass rates on bonds have held steady the past three years at 57 – 59% while taxes have enjoyed healthy pass rates for the past four, varying between 63 and 100%. Ordinances and recalls have generally high pass rates, but the numbers are small and therefore unreliable.

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

While county elective offices are largely determined in the even-numbered years – with 93% of all candidates on the ballot in 1996 and 1998 -- not quite two-thirds of all candidates for city offices (64%) run during those years and only half (51%) of those running for school boards. The proportion of incumbents running is consistently highest for school boards (between 28 and 33%), somewhat lower for city offices (18 – 26%) and lowest for county office holders (0 – 27%). The proportion of incumbents running for school boards has been higher the past three years (32 – 33%) and for city offices, the last four (23 – 26%). Very few or no incumbents run for county offices during the odd-numbered years when few candidate elections are held.

1999 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

No ELECTIONS	1/12	2/2	2/23	3/2	3/9	3/16	3/23	4/6	4/13	4/20	4/27	5/4	5/11	5/18	6/8	7/13	7/20	7/27	8/10	8/17	8/24	9/14	9/21	9/28	10/5	10/19	11/2	11/9	12/7	12/14	
Orange				3							3				3												3	3			
Placer				3																											
Plumas	3																														
Riverside	3														3	3					3		3				3				
Sacramento		3		3							3				3										3		3				
San Benito	3																														
San Bernardino				3							3			3								3					3				
San Diego				3		3									3						3	3						3			
San Francisco																											3			3	
San Joaquin										3	3				3							3		3			3				
San Luis Obispo				3																							3				
San Mateo				3							3		3		3												3				
Santa Barbara															3												3				
Santa Clara												3			3												3				
Santa Cruz				3											3						3						3				
Shasta				3						3											3						3				
Sierra	3																														
Siskiyou																															
Solano							3																				3				
Sonoma				3											3						3		3				3				
Stanislaus															3												3			3	
Sutter																											3				
Tehama	3																														
Trinity																											3				
Tulare	3			3							3																3				
Tuolumne																											3				
Ventura	3														3												3				
Yolo																											3				
Yuba	3																														

1999 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		TAXES		RECALL		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	0	1	0			0	1			1	3	2	0			6	4	10
Butte	0	3															0	3	3
Contra Costa	0	1	0	1			1	1			2	0	2	0			5	3	8
El Dorado	1	1															1	1	2
Fresno											1	0	2	0			3	0	3
Glenn	1	0													1	0	2	0	2
Humboldt	3	1									0	1					3	2	5
Imperial	0	1									0	1	0	2			0	4	4
Inyo	1	0															1	0	1
Kern	2	2									1	0					3	2	5
Kings	1	1															1	1	2
Lake	1	0															1	0	1
Lassen	0	1															0	1	1
Los Angeles	6	5	0	3							2	4	0	2			8	14	22
Madera	2	0															2	0	2
Marin	6	1							3	0			4	1			13	2	15
Mendocino	1	1											0	1			1	2	3
Merced	2	0															2	0	2
Monterey	3	1											1	0	1	0	5	1	6
Napa			2	0					1	0	1	1	1	1			5	2	7
Nevada	1	0															1	0	1
Orange	3	1									4	2	0	1			7	4	11
Placer	3	0															3	0	3
Riverside	1	0			0	1	1	0			5	2	5	7	2	0	14	10	24
Sacramento	1	4															1	4	5
San Bernardino	3	3	1	0			0	1			6	4	1	0	3	0	14	8	22
San Diego	0	2					0	1			1	2	1	2			2	7	9

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		TAXES		RECALL		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Francisco	1	0	4	0							5	1					10	1	11
San Joaquin	0	5									2	0					2	5	7
San Luis Obispo	1	2											5	0			6	2	8
San Mateo	3	2									2	2	4	2			9	6	15
Santa Barbara	1	3					0	1									1	4	5
Santa Clara	6	0			1	0					2	1	0	1			9	2	11
Santa Cruz							1	0			0	2					1	2	3
Shasta	0	1							1	0							1	1	2
Siskiyou															3	0	3	0	3
Solano							0	1			1	0	0	1			1	2	3
Sonoma	2	0									1	0	1	0			4	0	4
Stanislaus			2	6	2	0							1	0			5	6	11
Sutter	1	0									0	1					1	1	2
Trinity					1	0							0	1			1	1	2
Tulare	1	2									1	0			0	4	2	6	8
Tuolumne													0	1			0	1	1
Ventura	1	0					1	0			1	2					3	2	5
Yolo	2	0											1	0			3	0	3
All Counties	63	44	10	10	4	1	4	6	5	0	39	29	31	23	10	4	166	117	283

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		HOUSING		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	3	0	0	4			2	0										1	0	6	4	10	
Butte	0	3																		0	3	3	
Contra Costa	2	1	0	1			3	1												5	3	8	
El Dorado	1	1																		1	1	2	
Fresno					2	0												1	0	3	0	3	
Glenn	2	0																		2	0	2	
Humboldt	3	1	0	1																3	2	5	
Imperial	0	1			0	1	0	1						0	1					0	4	4	
Inyo	1	0																		1	0	1	
Kern	2	2					1	0												3	2	5	
Kings	1	1																		1	1	2	
Lake	1	0																		1	0	1	
Lassen	0	1																		0	1	1	
Los Angeles	5	5	1	0	1	1	1	6										0	2	8	14	22	
Madera	2	0																		2	0	2	
Marin	7	1			1	0			0	1				1	0			4	0	13	2	15	
Mendocino	1	1			0	1														1	2	3	
Merced	2	0																		2	0	2	
Monterey	4	1			1	0														5	1	6	
Napa			2	0	0	1	1	1										2	0	5	2	7	
Nevada	1	0																		1	0	1	
Orange	3	2	2	0			2	1										0	1	7	4	11	
Placer	3	0																		3	0	3	
Riverside	1	0			2	1	6	2	1	2				3	3			1	2	14	10	24	
Sacramento	1	4																		1	4	5	
San Bernardino	3	3	2	3			8	1										1	1	14	8	22	
San Diego	1	2	0	1			1	3					0	1						2	7	9	

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		HOUSING		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Francisco							4	0			2	1	1	0					3	0	10	1	11
San Joaquin	0	5					2	0													2	5	7
San Luis Obispo	1	2									5	0									6	2	8
San Mateo	3	3	1	1			1	1	1	0			1	0					2	1	9	6	15
Santa Barbara	1	2	0	1	0	1															1	4	5
Santa Clara	6	0	1				1	1							1	0			0	1	9	2	11
Santa Cruz			0	2													1	0			1	2	3
Shasta	0	1																	1	0	1	1	2
Siskiyou							3	0													3	0	3
Solano			0	1	1	0													0	1	1	2	3
Sonoma	3	0	1	0																	4	0	4
Stanislaus							2	5					1	0	2	0			0	1	5	6	11
Sutter	1	0													0	1					1	1	2
Trinity															0	1			1	0	1	1	2
Tulare	1	6					1	0													2	6	8
Tuolumne									0	1												1	1
Ventura	1	0	2	2																	3	2	5
Yolo	3	0																			3	0	3
All Counties	70	49	12	17	8	6	39	23	2	4	7	1	3	1	7	6	1	0	17	10	166	117	283

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 1999

		County Supervisor		Other County Offices ¹		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	78.7	37	79.6	109	83.7	41	74.9	341	76.7	528
	Lose	0.0	0	21.3	10	20.4	28	16.3	8	25.1	114	23.3	160
	Total	100.0	0	100.0	47	100.0	137	100.0	49	100.0	455	100.0	688
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	20.0	1	33.7	29	29.0	135	22.1	19	34.0	327	31.8	511
	Lose	80.0	4	66.3	57	71.0	331	77.9	67	66.0	635	68.2	1,094
	Total	100.0	5	100.0	86	100.0	466	100.0	86	100.0	962	100.0	1,605
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	56.1	37	44.7	109	68.3	41	51.0	341	50.8	528
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	1	43.9	29	55.3	135	31.7	19	49.0	327	49.2	511
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	66	100.0	244	100.0	60	100.0	668	100.0	1,039
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	14.9	10	7.8	28	10.7	8	15.2	114	12.8	160
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	85.1	57	92.2	331	89.3	67	84.8	635	87.2	1,094
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	67	100.0	359	100.0	75	100.0	749	100.0	1,254
All Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	35.3	47	22.7	137	36.3	49	32.1	455	30.0	688
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	5	64.7	86	77.3	466	63.7	86	67.9	962	70.0	1,605
	Total	100.0	5	100.0	133	100.0	603	100.0	135	100.0	1,417	100.0	2,293

¹ Includes outcomes for San Francisco County/City Attorney and Mayor.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL	
ALAMEDA	3/2/99	Piedmont	Measure P	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	1,527	1,565	97.6%	Pass	
	6/8/99	Pleasanton	Measure P	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,601	11,296	40.7%	Fail	
	11/2/99	Livermore	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	7,842	13,540	57.9%	Pass	
			Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	5,449	14,161	38.5%	Fail	
		Newark	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,089	5,398	38.7%	Fail	
		Pleasanton	Measure D	Initiative	Land Use: Voter Approval	5,487	12,604	43.5%	Fail	
	Union City	Measure E	Miscellaneous Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,151	6,126	67.8%	Pass ^T		
ALPINE	No City Measures									
AMADOR	No City Measures									
BUTTE	No City Measures									
CALAVERAS	No City Measures									
COLUSA	No City Measures									
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	Pleasant Hill	Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	3,983	5,524	72.1%	Pass	
			Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	4,573	5,568	82.1%	Pass	
		Richmond	Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	2,265	9,986	22.7%	Fail	
		San Ramon	Measure F	Initiative	Land Use: Voter Approval	3,635	7,655	47.5%	Fail	
			Measure G	Initiative	Governance	3,806	7,455	51.1%	Pass	
DEL NORTE	No City Measures									
EL DORADO	No City Measures									
FRESNO	11/2/99	Clovis	Measure A	Sales Tax	Safety: Police and Fire	5,219	7,586	68.8%	Pass ^T	
			Fowler	Measure B	Ordinance	Safety: Police and Fire	384	513	74.9%	Pass
				Measure C	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	269	511	52.6%	Pass
GLENN	No City Measures									
HUMBOLDT	8/24/99	Eureka	Measure J	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,683	6,774	39.6%	Fail	
IMPERIAL	11/2/99	Brawley	Measure V	Utility Tax	Safety: Fire	1,087	2,923	37.2%	Fail ^T	
INYO	No City Measures									
KERN	No City Measures									
KINGS	No City Measures									
LAKE	No City Measures									
LASSEN	No City Measures									
LOS ANGELES	3/2/99	Redondo Beach	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,359	5,849	23.2%	Fail	
			Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,085	5,807	18.7%	Fail	
			Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	960	5,823	16.5%	Fail	
	4/6/99	Inglewood	Measure 99-A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	4,613	6,594	70.0%	Pass	
			Measure 99-B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	2,197	6,282	35.0%	Fail	
			Measure 99-C	Charter Amendment	Safety: Fire	2,523	6,742	37.4%	Fail	
			Measure 99-D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Benefits/Compensation	3,242	6,619	49.0%	Fail	

^T Indicates that the measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/2/99	Agoura Hills	Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Open Space	1,710	1,996	85.7%	Pass
		Arcadia	Measure C	GO Bond	Safety: Police	3,795	4,848	78.3%	Pass [†]
		Artesia	Measure G	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	334	1,422	23.5%	Fail
		Hermosa Beach	Measure D	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	1,538	3,861	39.8%	Fail
		Torrance	Measure H	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	3,755	7,989	47.0%	Fail
MADERA	No City Measures								
MARIN	11/2/99	Corte Madera	Measure J	Property Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	1,797	2,896	62.1%	Fail [†]
		Fairfax	Measure K	GO Bond	General Services	1,541	2,264	68.1%	Pass [†]
		San Rafael	Measure P	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	7,516	8,990	83.6%	Pass [†]
MARIPOSA	No City Measures								
MENDOCINO	No City Measures								
MERCED	No City Measures								
MODOC	No City Measures								
MONO	No City Measures								
MONTEREY	3/2/99	Del Ray Oaks	Measure B	Property Tax	Safety: Police and Fire	517	634	81.5%	Pass [†]
NAPA		St. Helena	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	518	1,311	39.5%	Fail
			Measure B	Business Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	868	1,203	72.2%	Pass
		Yountville	Measure 1	Gann Limit	Other: Appropriation Limit Increase	554	654	84.7%	Pass
	11/2/99	American Canyon	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,486	1,791	83.0%	Pass
		Napa	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	7,226	9,358	77.2%	Pass
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	7,691	9,266	83.0%	Pass
NEVADA	No City Measures								
ORANGE	3/2/99	Laguna Verde/Woods ^P	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	5,394	10,446	51.6%	Pass
			Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	3,557	8,361	42.5%	Fail
			Measure C	Ordinance	Other	2,953	8,480	34.8%	Fail
	4/27/99	Laguna Beach	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use	4,628	8,389	55.2%	Pass
			Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use	4,547	8,353	54.4%	Pass
	11/2/99	Rancho Santa Margarita ^P	Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	4,666	5,575	83.7%	Pass
PLACER	No City Measures								
PLUMAS	No City Measures								
RIVERSIDE	1/12/99	Murrieta	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	29	50	58.0%	Pass
	6/8/99	Desert Hot Springs	Measure C	Ordinance	Safety: Fire	1,326	1,779	74.5%	Pass
			Measure D	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,257	1,833	68.6%	Pass
	8/24/99	San Jacinto	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recalls	1,324	2,414	54.8%	Pass
Recall 2			Recall	Governance: Recalls	1,350	2,409	56.0%	Pass	

^P Proposed city.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL		
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/2/99	Cathedral City	Measure J	Property Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,667	3,755	44.4%	Fail ^T		
		Palm Springs	Measure U	Initiative	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	4,939	7,856	62.9%	Pass		
			Measure V	Ordinance	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	2,579	7,779	33.2%	Fail		
			Measure W	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,592	7,723	46.5%	Fail		
			Measure X	Utility Tax	Safety	3,307	7,490	44.2%	Fail		
			Measure Y	Utility Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	4,213	7,693	54.8%	Pass		
		San Jacinto	Measure K	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	915	2,406	38.0%	Fail		
		Temecula	Measure L	Advisory	Governance: Incorporation/Formation/Annexation	1,113	1,742	63.9%	Fail ^T		
			Measure M	Property Tax	General Services: Various Services/Maintenance	702	779	90.1%	Pass ^T		
			Measure N	Property Tax	General Services: Various Services/Maintenance	689	761	90.5%	Pass ^T		
			Measure O	Property Tax	General Services: Various Services/Maintenance	373	939	39.7%	Fail ^T		
			Measure P	Property Tax	General Services: Various Services/Maintenance	381	935	40.7%	Fail ^T		
		SACRAMENTO	No City Measures								
		SAN BENITO	No City Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Measure N	Ordinance	Land Use	6,759	8,067	83.8%	Pass		
			Measure O	Initiative	Land Use	1,395	8,042	17.3%	Fail		
			Measure P	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	2,869	8,005	35.8%	Fail		
		Apple Valley	Recall C	Recall	Governance: Recalls	7,313	8,197	89.2%	Pass		
			Recall D	Recall	Governance: Recalls	7,044	8,018	87.9%	Pass		
			Recall E	Recall	Governance: Recalls	7,018	8,032	87.4%	Pass		
		Barstow	Measure T	Ordinance	Other: Fireworks	1,109	2,896	38.3%	Fail		
		Chino Hills	Measure U	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,141	5,463	75.8%	Pass		
		Redlands	Measure V	Ordinance	Land Use	4,988	10,972	45.5%	Fail		
			Measure W	Ordinance	Land Use	4,662	10,899	42.8%	Fail		
		San Bernardino	Measure X	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	8,016	9,250	86.7%	Pass		
		Twenty-nine Palms	Measure Y	Miscellaneous Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	647	1,138	56.9%	Pass		
		SAN DIEGO	8/17/99	Escondido	Measure A	Initiative	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	4,649	14,332	32.4%	Fail
			11/9/99	Santee	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance	4,265	12,064	35.4%	Fail
Measure B	Ordinance				Governance	4,261	12,068	35.3%	Fail		
			Measure C	Property Tax	Land Use: Open Space	1,637	11,941	13.7%	Fail		
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City Measures in County Report										
SAN JOAQUIN	6/8/99	Manteca	Measure M	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,967	3,779	52.1%	Pass		
			Measure N	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,911	3,738	51.1%	Pass		
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures										
SAN MATEO	5/11/99	San Bruno	Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use	1,845	3,932	46.9%	Fail		
	11/2/99	Atherton	Measure H	Property Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	906	1,783	50.8%	Fail ^T		

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/2/99	Burlingame	Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	2,229	4,683	47.6%	Fail
		Half Moon Bay	Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	1,943	3,069	63.3%	Pass
		San Bruno	Measure I	Business Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,827	6,698	57.1%	Pass
			Measure J	Miscellaneous Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,392	6,722	65.3%	Pass
		San Carlos	Measure G	Property Tax	Environment: Parks/Recreation	4,195	5,502	76.2%	Pass ^f
		San Mateo	Measure F	GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	9,640	13,390	72.0%	Pass ^f
SANTA BARBARA	11/2/99	Santa Barbara	Measure R99	GO Bond	Safety: Police	10,010	22,176	45.1%	Fail ^f
			Measure S99	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	7,860	22,624	34.7%	Fail
SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	Los Altos	Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	4,019	7,012	57.3%	Pass
			Measure H	Advisory	General Services	4,019	7,012	57.3%	Pass
			Measure I	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,255	6,698	48.6%	Fail
		Morgan Hill	Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use	2,686	3,990	67.3%	Pass
SANTA CRUZ	3/2/99	Santa Cruz	Measure N	Initiative	Water Usage/Services: Fluoridation	4,441	8,808	50.4%	Pass
	6/8/99	Scotts Valley	Measure O	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,553	3,968	39.1%	Fail
			Measure P	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,526	3,950	38.6%	Fail
SHASTA	11/2/99	Shasta Lake	Measure A	Gann Limit	Other: Appropriation Limit Increase	5,261	6,917	76.1%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	No City Measures								
SISKIYOU	7/27/99	Montague	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recalls	211	242	87.2%	Pass
			Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recalls	207	240	86.3%	Pass
		Weed	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recalls	427	672	63.5%	Pass
SOLANO	3/23/99	Fairfield	Measure G	Ordinance	Safety: Fire	6,610	13,112	50.4%	Pass
			Measure H	Utility Tax	Other: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,805	13,107	36.7%	Fail
	11/2/99	Fairfield	Measure I	Initiative	Land Use: Open Space	1,376	13,153	10.5%	Fail
SONOMA	9/21/99	Sonoma	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Sale/Leasing	2,670	3,491	76.5%	Pass
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure F	Advisory	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	12,508	22,026	56.8%	Pass
			Measure G	Advisory	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	12,119	21,666	55.9%	Pass
			Measure H	Charter Amendment	Other: Revenue Use/Limits	7,773	22,302	34.9%	Fail
			Measure I	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	10,453	21,652	48.3%	Fail
			Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	13,872	21,862	63.5%	Pass
			Measure K	Charter Amendment	Governance: Benefits/Compensation	7,188	22,399	32.1%	Fail
			Measure L	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	8,793	21,087	41.7%	Fail
			Measure M	Charter Amendment	Governance: Budget Processes	9,274	21,448	43.2%	Fail
			Measure N	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	12,099	21,502	56.3%	Pass
			Measure O	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	10,339	20,969	49.3%	Fail
SUTTER	No City Measures								
TEHAMA	No City Measures								

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
TRINITY	No City Measures								
TULARE	No City Measures								
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures								
VENTURA	1/12/99	Moorpark	Measure S	Ordinance	Land Use: Open Space	3,567	5,261	67.8%	Pass
			Measure T	Ordinance	Land Use: Private Projects	1,812	5,267	34.4%	Fail
	6/8/99	San Buenaventura	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Redevelopment	5,773	13,470	42.9%	Fail
	11/2/99	San Buenaventura	Measure C	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	9,427	17,252	54.6%	Pass
YOLO	No City Measures								
YUBA	No City Measures								

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

ALAMEDA	3/2/99	Piedmont	Measure P	Pass
Shall the date of the city's Regular Municipal Election be changed to the first Tuesday in March of even numbered years in order to consolidate with the statewide election on the same date; and shall Sections 2.01(D) and 8.01 of the Charter of the City of Piedmont be amended and the terms of certain councilmembers and members of the Board of Education be extended for a limited number of days to conform with the change, as set forth in Resolution 66-98 adopted by the Piedmont City Council on November 16, 1998, which is on file with the Piedmont City Clerk?				
ALAMEDA	6/8/99	Pleasanton	Measure P	Fail
Shall Ordinance 1769, which in accordance with the Pleasanton General Plan rezoned the Merritt property to low density residential and created a development plan of 89 single-family homes on 46 acres, be adopted?				
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	Livermore	Measure B	Fail
Shall the people of the City of Livermore enact an ordinance amending the General Plan to create a new urban growth boundary, establish a new residential growth rate between 0 and 1,000 people per year, and require voter approval of certain residential housing developments of 20 units or more, certain conversions of open space land and all increases in residential density and requiring the City to withdraw from the joint planning process for North Livermore?				
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	Livermore	Measure A	Pass
Shall the office of City Treasurer be appointed?				
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	Newark	Measure C	Fail
Shall the Newark General Plan be amended to change the land use designation of "Area 4" from Low Density Residential with a specific plan including provision for a golf course, recreation facilities, and open space to Conversation-Open Space and Agricultural/Resource Production-Open Space? "Area 4" is bounded by Mowry Slough on the west, Mowry Avenue on the north, the railroad tracks on the east, and the Fremont border on the South.				
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	Pleasanton	Measure D	Fail
Shall the CAPP Initiative be adopted to amend the General Plan to require voter approval for development projects involving 10 or more residential units of that have commercial or office buildings that exceed 55 feet in height, and other matters as outlined in the initiative?				
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	Union City	Measure E	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Union City increase tourist tax imposed by Section 3.18.025 of the Union City Municipal Code on travelers occupying any hotel/motel room to 8.5% of the daily room rate to be adjusted annually according to the Consumer Price Index?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	Pleasant Hill	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City Council adopt an ordinance regarding local campaign finance regulations establishing campaign contribution limits, campaign contribution and expenditure disclosure requirements and a Fair Campaign Pledge?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	Pleasant Hill	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City Council consolidate its general municipal election with the statewide general election and extend the terms of the city council, city clerk and city treasurer holding office as of December 15, 1999 by one year to coincide with the even-year election cycle?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	Richmond	Measure J	Fail
Shall the Charter of the City of Richmond be amended to permit the City Council by ordinance to authorize the City Manager, or his or her designee, to enter into contracts and incur obligations on behalf of the City?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	San Ramon	Measure F	Fail
Shall the people of the City of San Ramon adopt an initiative ordinance amending the General Plan and requiring that various land use decisions be confirmed by the voters in an election prior to becoming effective?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	San Ramon	Measure G	Pass
Shall the people of the City of San Ramon adopt an initiative ordinance: 1) Establishing a two year moratorium on amendments to the General Plan or Zoning Ordinance, and 2) Providing for the establishment of a General Plan Review Commission, consisting of at least 21 representatives of San Ramon at large and resident representatives from the business community, homeowners associations and environmental organizations, to draft during the moratorium for submission to the voters: a) a new general plan, taking into consideration the creation of urban growth boundaries to encourage smart growth boundary by promoting infill development and discouraging urban sprawl by providing mixed use of commercial, retail, education, recreation and housing, and b) to develop a plan for the acquisition of ridgeline areas and agricultural lands, contiguous to the City of San Ramon, to be preserved for open space purposes in perpetuity?				
FRESNO	11/2/99	Clovis	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Clovis authorize an increase of not more than three tenths of a percent (0.30%) to the City's sales and use tax to fund police and fire facilities, furnishings and equipment?				
FRESNO	11/2/99	Fowler	Measure B	Pass
This measure is not a tax. It is an advisory measure which states the Fowler City voters preference that any new voter-approved utility users tax be used only to fund the City of Fowler Fire and Police Departments to help ensure that these services will continue to be provided at the current level.				
FRESNO	11/2/99	Fowler	Measure C	Pass

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

Shall the City of Fowler be authorized to implement a utility user's tax of five percent (5%) upon residential users of electricity and gas, three percent (3%) upon commercial, industrial, and agricultural users of electricity and gas, and five percent (5%) of the base service rate upon all users of telephone service in the City of Fowler, with the tax terminating on January 1, 2003, as set forth in Ordinance 99-6?

HUMBOLDT	8/24/99	Eureka	Measure J	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the land use designation in the City's General Plan, Local Coastal Program and Zoning Map for Union Pacific's "Balloon Track" (East of Waterfront Drive, West of Broadway, and North of Washington Street) changing it from "Public" to "Service Commercial" thereby allowing commercial/retail use of the property?				

IMPERIAL	11/2/99	Brawley	Measure V	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Brawley adopt a utility user's tax ordinance imposing 1 1/2 percent tax, upon the following utility services: defined telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, trash collection and cable television for funding fire protection, fire prevention, rescue and medical aid services for all areas of the city when the presence of trains precludes readily available from west to east or east to west?				

LOS ANGELES	3/2/99	Redondo Beach	Measure A	Fail
Should the City Attorney be appointed by the City Council instead of elected by the voters?				

LOS ANGELES	3/2/99	Redondo Beach	Measure B	Fail
Should the City Clerk be appointed by the City Council instead of elected by the voters?				

LOS ANGELES	3/2/99	Redondo Beach	Measure C	Fail
Should the City Treasurer be appointed by the City Council instead of elected by the voters?				

LOS ANGELES	4/6/99	Inglewood	Measure 99-A	Pass
Shall the ordinance reducing the salaries of the Mayor and City council be adopted?				

LOS ANGELES	4/6/99	Inglewood	Measure 99-B	Fail
Shall the duties of general Auditor be transferred to the Office of City Treasurer?				

LOS ANGELES	4/6/99	Inglewood	Measure 99-C	Fail
Shall the Inglewood City Charter be amended to restore to the City the authority to maintain its own fire department and emergency medical and hazardous materials services, and to set forth the minimum documented cost savings and efficiencies which shall be required before any transfer of such services outside the City shall be considered?				

LOS ANGELES	4/6/99	Inglewood	Measure 99-D	Fail
Shall the Inglewood City Charter be amended to provide that the salaries of the members of the City Council shall not exceed the average household income in Los Angeles County as determined by the 1990 US Census, and shall not be less than half the average household income in Los Angeles as determined by said Census?				

LOS ANGELES	11/2/99	Agoura Hills	Measure B	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to protect and preserve the existing areas of Open space in Agoura Hills by requiring two-thirds voter approval before any land designated as Open Space may be changed to some other designation?				

LOS ANGELES	11/2/99	Arcadia	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Arcadia incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of \$8,000,000 for the construction and completion of the following municipal improvement, to wit: police station in the Arcadia Civic Center, including facilities for emergency operations, police dispatch and 911 emergency communications, detective bureau, records, police laboratory, jail facility, evidence storage and other works, property of structures for efficient, effective law enforcement necessary for said City Police Station?				

LOS ANGELES	11/2/99	Artesia	Measure G	Fail
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to impose a general purpose utility user tax at a rate not to exceed 5%?				

LOS ANGELES	11/2/99	Hermosa Beach	Measure D	Fail
Shall an Ordinance be adopted which would repeal the City's utility user tax?				

LOS ANGELES	11/2/99	Torrance	Measure H	Fail
Should section 510 of the Torrance City Charter be amended to change the date of holding the general municipal election to the first Tuesday after the first Monday in May of each even numbered year?				

MARIN	11/2/99	Corte Madera	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the voters of the Town of Corte Madera adopt the Corte Madera Recreation center Renovation and Expansion Act, with a special tax of fifty-nine dollars				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

(\$59.00) per year for each residential unit and fifty-nine dollars (\$59.00) per 1000 square feet of floor area per year for each non residential use located within the corporate limits of the Town of Corte Madera for each of the fiscal years 2000-2001 to 2029-2030, for the sole purpose of funding and/or financing the renovation and expansion of the Corte Madera Recreation Center, including additional parking and landscape improvements?

MARIN 11/2/99 Fairfax Measure K Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the Town of Fairfax in order to maintain safe neighborhoods, streets and community facilities; repair and resurface neighborhood streets and major corridors; replace 60 year old storm drains; repair leaky roofs and make seismic upgrades to community facilities; and make sidewalks more accessible to the disabled, issue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$6.83 million, at interest rates within the legal limit, and create a Citizen's Oversight Committee to conduct annual audits of expenditures?

MARIN 11/2/99 San Rafael Measure P Pass (2/3 required)
Shall San Rafael Municipal Code Chapter 3.28, by which the voters approved a special tax to provide paramedic service in the City, be amended to permit, in phased increases, up to \$13.00 additional tax on residential units, and up to \$.02 per square foot additional tax on non-residential structures, through 2003, to fully fund paramedic service costs, and shall San Rafael's appropriation limit be correspondingly increased?

MONTEREY 3/2/99 Del Ray Oaks Measure B Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 249, providing for adoption of a special Public Safety Tax for no more than three fiscal years to fund police and fire protection services within the City, be approved?

NAPA 3/2/99 St. Helena Measure A Fail
Shall the mayor's term be extended from two to four years, commencing with the next general election?

NAPA 3/2/99 St. Helena Measure B Pass
For an ordinance increasing the business license taxes on businesses engaged in the transportation of passengers for hire.

NAPA 3/2/99 Yountville Measure 1 Pass
For increasing the Town's Appropriation Limit by \$900,000 for the maximum allowable period of 4 years beginning in fiscal year 1999/2000 and ending in fiscal year 2002/2003.

NAPA 11/2/99 American Canyon Measure C Pass
For the creation of the American Canyon Town Center and to amend the general plan and zoning designation of the area.

NAPA 11/2/99 Napa Measure A Pass
For recognizing a rural urban limit line and authorizing limited exceptions by popular vote or four-fifths (4/5) city council vote; defining the provision of city water service outside the incorporated areas of the city.

NAPA 11/2/99 Napa Measure B Pass
For increasing the number of eligible persons on the certified list for appointment to city employment from three to six persons.

ORANGE 3/2/99 Laguna Verde/Laguna Woods Measure A Pass
Shall the order adopted on September 15, 1998 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Orange ordering the incorporation of the territory described in the order and designated in the order as the incorporation of Leisure World subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order, including the establishment of an appropriations limit for the new city of the Leisure World area of \$3,581,586.00 and the continuance as city taxes of the sales and use tax, transient occupancy, the real property transfer tax, and other general taxes presently imposed by the county at the same rate as imposed by the county and using the same manner of collection, be confirmed?

ORANGE 3/2/99 Laguna Verde/Laguna Woods Measure B Fail
Should the order of incorporation be confirmed, shall the members of the city council in future elections be elected by district or at large? (Yes=District; No=At Large)

ORANGE 3/2/99 Laguna Verde/Laguna Woods Measure C Fail
Should the order of incorporation be confirmed, should the city be named: Laguna Verde or Laguna Woods? (Yes=Laguna Verde; No=Laguna Woods)

ORANGE 4/27/99 Laguna Beach Measure A Pass
Shall Resolution No. 98.075 providing for the adoption of Chapters 10 and 14 of the Treasure Island Destination Resort Community Local Coastal Program as components of the Treasure Island Specific Plan and revising the City's Land Use Element to incorporate new land use designations and amend the Land Use Map regarding the Treasure Island Specific Plan be adopted?

ORANGE 4/27/99 Laguna Beach Measure B Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1349 adding Chapter 25.27 (Treasure Island Specific Plan) to the Laguna Beach Municipal Code and approving Chapters 8, 9, 11, 12, and 13 of the Treasure Island Destination Resort Community Local Coastal Program as components of the Treasure Island Specific Plan be adopted?

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

ORANGE	11/2/99	Rancho Santa Margarita	Measure D	Pass
Shall the order adopted on July 27, 1999 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Orange ordering the incorporation of the territory described in the order and designated in the order as the incorporation of the new city of Rancho Santa Margarita subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order, including the establishment of an appropriations limit for the new city of Rancho Santa Margarita of \$10,000,000.00 and the continuance of previously authorized charges, fees, assessments and taxes, be confirmed?				
RIVERSIDE	1/12/99	Murrieta	Measure A	Pass
Shall the order adopted on September 15, 1998, by the City Council of the City of Murrieta ordering the reorganization to annex to the City of Murrieta the territory described in that order and designated as "LAFCO 96-13-3" be confirmed subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order?				
RIVERSIDE	6/8/99	Desert Hot Springs	Measure C	Pass
Shall any new voter-approved utility users tax be used only to fund the City of Desert Hot Springs Police Department and the fire protection, fire prevention, rescue and medical aid agreement between the city and Riverside County Fire Department?				
RIVERSIDE	6/8/99	Desert Hot Springs	Measure D	Pass
Should a utility users tax ordinance imposing a five percent tax on amounts paid by users for utility services and having an expiration date of June 30, 2000 be approved?				
RIVERSIDE	8/24/99	San Jacinto	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Debbie Cornett be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member, City of San Jacinto?				
RIVERSIDE	8/24/99	San Jacinto	Recall 2	Pass
Shall James L. Smedley be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member, City of San Jacinto?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Cathedral City	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
Pursuant to Proposition 218, shall a ten-year Special Community Services Tax Ordinance replacing the Community Services District levy be approved that does not increase the amount currently paid by property owners for law enforcement, anti-gang, landscape, parks, recreation, blow sand control, street lighting, traffic signal, street sweeping services and directly related administrative costs and provides for an annual cost of living adjustment of three percent or the Consumer Price Index, whichever is less?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Palm Springs	Measure U	Pass
Shall the citizen initiated charter amendment to prohibit leasing or selling the wastewater treatment plant to a private entity; to prohibit sewer fees and charges that exceed the costs of services, or are to pay administrative expenses of the city's general fund; and to prohibit borrowing by the city from the wastewater fund except for a declared emergency, be adopted?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Palm Springs	Measure V	Fail
Shall the city council initiated charter amendment to permit privatization of the wastewater treatment plant where the city sets rates; where rates can be decreased; where sewer fees and charges are limited consistent with State Law; and where borrowing from enterprise funds is prohibited except in cases of a declared emergency or with a vote of the people, be adopted?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Palm Springs	Measure W	Fail
Shall the City Utility Tax on users of telephone services, electricity, gas and co-generated electricity be continued at a rate of 5%?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Palm Springs	Measure X	Fail
Shall the City Utility Tax on users of telephone services, electricity, gas and co-generated electricity be continued at a rate of 5% with no less than 50% to be used for Public Safety?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Palm Springs	Measure Y	Pass
Shall \$400,000 of the revenue resulting from the continuance of the utility user tax at 5% be used for the purpose of funding parks, recreation and leisure activities, facilities and improvements in the city?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	San Jacinto	Measure K	Fail
Shall the Office of City Treasurer be appointive?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Temecula	Measure L	Fail (2/3 required)
ADVISORY VOTE ONLY-ANNEXATION: Shall the parcels in the Vail Ranch and Redhawk annexation area (LAFCO 98-14-1) be annexed to the City of Temecula and the Temecula Community Services District, contingent upon 2/3 voter approval of the City's special tax and Temecula Community Services District's rates and charges on this ballot?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Temecula	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)
(CSA 143-Vail Ranch) Shall an Ordinance of the City of Temecula be adopted replacing the existing County Service Area No. 143 tax levied against parcels within the annexation area with a tax consistent with the City's special tax which shall only be used for parks, recreational facilities, recreational and community services programs, median landscaping, arterial street lights and traffic signals?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Temecula	Measure N	Pass (2/3 required)
(CSA 143-Vail Ranch) Shall a Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Temecula Community Services District be adopted replacing existing County Service Area No. 143 assessments and charges levied against parcels within the Vail Ranch annexation area with rates and charges which shall only be used for residential lighting, slop maintenance and refuse collection services?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Temecula	Measure O	Fail (2/3 required)
(CSA 143-Redhawk) Shall an Ordinance of the City of Temecula be adopted replacing the existing County Service Area No. 143 tax levied against parcels within the annexation area with a tax consistent with the City's special tax which shall only be used for parks, recreation facilities, recreational and community service programs, median landscaping, arterial street lights and traffic signals?				
RIVERSIDE	11/2/99	Temecula	Measure P	Fail (2/3 required)
(CSA 143-Redhawk) Shall a Resolution of the Board of Directos of the Temecula Community Services District be adopted replacing existing County Service Area No. 143 assessments and charges levied against parcels within the Redhawk annexation area with rates and charges which shall only be used for residential lighting, slop maintenance, and refuse collection services?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Measure N	Pass
Shall ordinance be adopted Readopting Goals and Policies of Land Use Element of Ton of Apple Valley General Plan "(GP)", as adopted 9/10/91, readopting 9/10/91, adopted land use designations and land use policy map and equestrian neighborhood map of land use element of GP as amended through 1/1/99, and restricting GP amendments regarding single family residential (R-SF) (1 dwelling unit per 0.4 to 0.9 net acres) through 12/31/2020 except voter approved amendments?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Measure O	Fail
Shall an Ordinance titled "Apple Valley General Plan Protection Initiative" Be adopted mandating General Plan be reviewed, and if determined necessary by voter approval or by the Town Council, amended at least every three years to ensure it continues to appropriately serve the community; such amendments shall encompass without limitation by this reference all land use and planning issues, land use designations, land use policy map, and goals and policies?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Measure P	Fail
Shall Ordinance titled "Apple Valley Political Reform Initiative" be adopted limiting the term of office for Town Council Members to 2 consecutive full 4 year terms; limiting Council Member compensation to amount allowed under Gov. Code 36516 (a) & (c) reduced by compensation from other town entities; limiting expense reimbursements to necessary expenses incurred in performance of their official duties approved by the Town Council; and prohibiting payment to them of other health and employment benefits?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Recall C	Pass
Shall BARBARA LOUX BE RECALLED from the Office of Member of Town Council (Term Ending November 2000)?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Recall D	Pass
Shall PATRICK JACOBO BE RECALLED from the Office of Member of Town Council (Term Ending November 2002)?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Apple Valley	Recall E	Pass
Shall DAVID HOLMAN BE RECALLED from the Office of Member of Town Council (Term Ending November 2000)?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Barstow	Measure T	Fail
Should the Barstow City Council adopt an ordinance to allow the sale, possession and use of "safe and sane" fireworks within the City of Barstow?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Chino Hills	Measure U	Pass
Shall the measure that requires voter approval to increase the number of residential units allowed by the City's General Plan and Zoning Map and to convert property currently zoned nonresidential to residential be adopted?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Redlands	Measure V	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 2412, an initiative ordinance of the People of the City of Redlands amending the Growth Management, Design, Land Use and Circulation Elements of the Redlands General Plan relating to building permit and utility connection limitations, density limitations, scenic drives, historic properties, and circulation patterns in southeast Redlands, be adopted?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Redlands	Measure W	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 2414, an initiative ordinance of the People of the City of Redlands amending the Land Use, Circulation and Health and Safety Elements of the Redlands General Plan to establish a new residential land use classifications and change density standards in the San Timoteo Canyon and Live Oak Canyon areas, and establish grading limitations in those areas, be adopted?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	San Bernardino	Measure X	Pass
Approves a Charter Amendment to require the City to consolidate its general election with the county-wide election if such county-wide election occurs within sixty (60) days after the regularly scheduled City general election date.				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	Twenty-nine Palms	Measure Y	Pass

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

Shall an ordinance be adopted which increases the existing Transient Occupancy Tax from 7% to 9%?

SAN DIEGO	8/17/99	Escondido	Measure A	Fail
Shall the people of the City of Escondido approve the following? An initiative measure to restrict the franchise fee charged to solid waste contractors, require that residential solid waste contracts be submitted to bidding procedures and to establish non-exclusive franchises for commercial and industrial solid waste and recycling services.				
SAN DIEGO	11/9/99	Santee	Measure A	Fail
Shall City Council Resolution 68-99 approving the Fanita Ranch General Plan amendment be adopted?				
SAN DIEGO	11/9/99	Santee	Measure B	Fail
Shall City Council Resolution 70-99 approving the Fanita Ranch Specific Plan be adopted?				
SAN DIEGO	11/9/99	Santee	Measure C	Fail
In the event Measures "A" and "B" fail, shall the Santee City Council pursue acquiring the Fanita Ranch Property to be preserved as open space recognizing such action may require an increase in taxes of up to \$150 per residential unit and commercial, industrial, or institutional buildings to increase the City's general operating budget for general municipal purposes?				
SAN JOAQUIN	6/8/99	Manteca	Measure M	Pass
Shall the office of City Treasurer be appointive?				
SAN JOAQUIN	6/8/99	Manteca	Measure N	Pass
Shall the office of City Clerk be appointive?				
SAN MATEO	5/11/99	San Bruno	Measure C	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted authorizing construction at Navy site and surrounding area (El Camino Real, I-380, Sneath Lane and Cherry Avenue) of a mixed-use Planned Development with hotel of 500 rooms minimum and related structures, plus possible additional uses, including senior housing, with structures not exceeding 165 feet and parking structures not exceeding 60 feet, subject to City's development approval process?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	Atherton	Measure H	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance entitled "An Ordinance of the Town of Atherton Authorizing the Levy of a Special Tax for Municipal Services and for the Expenditure of Funds Derived from Such Tax," and numbered 507 be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	Burlingame	Measure E	Fail
Shall the Office of Burlingame City Clerk be appointive?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	Half Moon Bay	Measure D	Pass
Shall the Ordinance amending the Residential Growth Limitation Ordinance be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	San Bruno	Measure I	Pass
Shall an initiative ordinance be adopted amending the San Bruno Municipal Code to increase business license taxes?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	San Bruno	Measure J	Pass
Shall an initiative ordinance be adopted amending the San Bruno Municipal Code to add a provision imposing an airport parking facility tax?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	San Carlos	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance of the City of San Carlos, enacting a Parcel Tax of \$6.00 per year for a period of ten years, with the proceeds dedicated exclusively to the long term capital improvement of City parks and park facilities be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	San Mateo	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City of San Mateo be authorized to issue General Obligation Bonds in the amount of \$35 million dollars principal (\$35,000,000) to finance the construction, acquisition, and improvement of a new Main Library and the improvement of the City's branch libraries, and all costs incident thereto?				
SANTA BARBARA	11/2/99	Santa Barbara	Measure R99	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Santa Barbara incur general obligation bonded indebtedness in the principal amount of not to exceed \$36,700,000 in order to provide funding for any or all of the following purposes: to acquire property and construct thereon a Police Department Central Facility to replace the old police headquarters building, and refurbish and upgrade existing Fire Department building and facilities to meet present standards?				
SANTA BARBARA	11/2/99	Santa Barbara	Measure S99	Fail
Shall amendments to City of Santa Barbara Charter, Zoning Ordinances, General Plan, and Coastal Plan that permit construction of a 225 room hotel at Calle Cesar Chavez and Cabrillo Boulevard and change height, setback, and parking standards without design, environmental, or discretionary review and approval by the Architectural Board of Review, Historic Landmarks Commission, Planning Commission, or City Council, and without growth limitation mitigation measures of Charter section 1508 (Measure E) be adopted? (Parker Initiative)				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	Los Altos	Measure G	Pass
Shall Ordinance 99-370 of the City of Los Altos, providing for term limits for Los Altos City Councilmembers, be approved?				
SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	Los Altos	Measure H	Pass
City of Los Altos voters request that utility tax increases above 3.5% be appropriated by City Council (with citizens' watchdog committee advice) for service improvements in: MAINTENANCE-Critical deferred facility repairs and preventative maintenance; crack-sealing, street maintenance; street landscaping maintenance; RECREATION-Facility acquisition and construction; park land acquisition; after-school youth program; PUBLIC SAFETY-Emergency (9-1-1) communications; continue and expand traffic safety program; traffic engineering assistance				
SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	Los Altos	Measure I	Fail
Shall the City Council of the City of Los Altos be authorized, annually, to set the rate of any utility users tax of the City at a rate not to exceed 6.25%?				
SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	Morgan Hill	Measure D	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1429, New Series, An Ordinance of the City of Morgan Hill, California, Approving and Adopting an Amendment to the Community Development Plan for the Ojo De Agua Community Development Project, be adopted?				
SANTA CRUZ	3/2/99	Santa Cruz	Measure N	Pass
Shall the Santa Cruz Municipal Code be amended by repealing Chapter 6.85 and adding a new Chapter 6.85 prohibiting the use of City's water supply to deliver products or substances intended to affect the physical or mental functions of persons consuming such water?				
SANTA CRUZ	6/8/99	Scotts Valley	Measure O	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 16-ZC-186 of the City of Scotts Valley approving the specific plan, rezoning, and the development agreement for the "Glenwood Project" be adopted?				
SANTA CRUZ	6/8/99	Scotts Valley	Measure P	Fail
Shall Resolution No. 1119.16 of the City of Scotts Valley approving an amendment to the General Plan for the "Glenwood Project" be adopted?				
SHASTA	11/2/99	Shasta Lake	Measure A	Pass
Shall the City of Shasta Lake base-year appropriation limit for fiscal year 1993/94 be established at \$1,764,374?				
SISKIYOU	7/27/99	Montague	Recall 1	Pass
Shall LeeAnn Meigs be recalled (removed) from the office of Member, City Council?				
SISKIYOU	7/27/99	Montague	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Juel West be recalled (removed) from the office of Member, City Council?				
SISKIYOU	7/27/99	Weed	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Jim Gubetta be recalled (removed) from the office of Member, City Council?				
SOLANO	3/23/99	Fairfield	Measure G	Pass
Shall any new revenues received from a voter approved increase to the utility users tax be used to: increase fire/paramedic personnel staffing to meet current fire safety standards; provide citywide access to paramedic services; open sixth fire station in order to meet the citywide five minute emergency response time required by the General Plan; and fund emergency vehicle and equipment needs?				
SOLANO	3/23/99	Fairfield	Measure H	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted modifying the utility users tax from the current rate of 2% to a rate of up to 4% to fund general city services, with the following mandatory restrictions: Low income senior citizen and disabled person tax refund program; annual audit of new tax expenditures; establishment of an independent citizens watchdog committee to review expenditure of new taxes; and reduction of tax rate if property taxes taken away by state are returned?				
SOLANO	11/2/99	Fairfield	Measure I	Fail
Shall an initiative measure amending the Fairfield general plan, zoning ordinance and zoning map to regulate the development of land located outside the city limits but within a revised urban limit line and to require as a condition of residential development in such area the dedication of land for open space or agricultural uses in a defined greenbelt area, be adopted?				
SONOMA	9/21/99	Sonoma	Measure A	Pass
Shall the ordinance prohibiting the lease and sale of certain real property behind Mountain Cemetery owned by the City of Sonoma be adopted?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure F	Pass
Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the area of Modesto Urban Area General Plan, provided the area is first annexed to the City, which is described in the Sample Ballot as set forth in Measure F?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure G	Pass
Shall the City Council Provide sewer service to the area of Modesto Urban Area General Plan, provided the area is first annexed to the City, which is described in the Sample Ballot as set forth in Measure G?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 1999

STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure H	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to eliminate the restriction on spending more than 5% of the City's property tax revenue in any one year for music, publicity and promotion?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure I	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to change the date of any run-off election from the second to the third Tuesday in December and to further provide that, in the case of a run-off election a Councilmember so elected would hold office from the first Tuesday of the following January?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure J	Pass
Shall Modesto City Charter be amended to change the current Charter provisions for the selection of Vice Mayor which typically results in the selection of the most recently elected Councilmember and, instead, provide that the City Council would annually elect one of its members, other than the Mayor, to serve as Vice Mayor during the ensuing calendar year?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure K	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to provide that monthly compensation for the Mayor be \$400.00 in addition to the monthly compensation received by councilmembers and that compensation for Vice Mayor be \$150.00 in addition to the monthly compensation received by Councilmembers; and in addition, provide for medical, dental and vision insurance coverage for each member of the council in amount no greater in value than that provided for nonsafety, unrepresented management employees?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure L	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to allow the City Council to establish by resolution more at-will positions and clarify that all hourly employees are in the unclassified service?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure M	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to specifically authorize the City Manager to propose, and the City Council to adopt, a multi-year budget?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure N	Pass
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to provide that any park or park playground project otherwise subject to public bidding be exempt from the public bidding requirement in the event it involved any donated labor and/or material?				
STANISLAUS	11/2/99	Modesto	Measure O	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to eliminate any reference to the Board of Personnel Appeals?				
VENTURA	1/12/99	Moorpark	Measure S	Pass
Shall an ordinance entitled the Moorpark Save Open-Space and Agricultural Resources be adopted?				
VENTURA	1/12/99	Moorpark	Measure T	Fail
Shall the ordinance entitled an Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Moorpark, California, adopting a Development Agreement between the City of Moorpark and Hidden Creek Ranch Partners (Messenger Investment Company) Related to the Development of Specific Plan No. 8: Hidden Creek Ranch, be adopted?				
VENTURA	6/8/99	San Buenaventura	Measure A	Fail
A REFERENDUM ON CITY ORDINANCE NO. 98-23 APPROVING THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE MIDTOWN CORRIDOR REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT. Shall Ordinance No. 98-23 approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Midtown Corridor Redevelopment Project be adopted?				
VENTURA	11/2/99	San Buenaventura	Measure C	Pass
AN INITIATIVE MEASURE RELATING TO PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF MONTAGOMERY AVE. AND NORTH BANK DR. The people of the City of San Buenaventura do ordain as follows: A) That the City General Plan be amended to change the designated use of the 25.59 acre property owned by the First Assembly of God Church and located at the northwest corner of Montgomery Ave. and North Bank Dr. from an Agricultural Use to a Single Family Residential Use; and B) That such property be rezoned "R-1 Single Family" with a sub-zone designation of "R-1-1AC".				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		TAXES		RECALL		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda			1	0			0	1			1	3	1	0			3	4	7
Contra Costa			0	1			1	1			2	0					3	2	5
Fresno											1	0	2	0			3	0	3
Humboldt											0	1					0	1	1
Imperial													0	1			0	1	1
Los Angeles	1	0	0	3							2	4	0	2			3	9	12
Marin	1	0											1	1			2	1	3
Monterey													1	0			1	0	1
Napa			2	0					1	0	1	1	1	0			5	1	6
Orange											4	2					4	2	6
Riverside					0	1	1	0			2	2	4	5	2	0	9	8	17
San Bernardino			1	0			0	1			2	4	1	0	3	0	7	5	12
San Diego							0	1			0	2	0	1			0	4	4
San Joaquin											2	0					2	0	2
San Mateo	1	0									1	2	3	1			5	3	8
Santa Barbara	0	1					0	1									0	2	2
Santa Clara					1	0					2	0	0	1			3	1	4
Santa Cruz							1	0			0	2					1	2	3
Shasta									1	0							1	0	1
Siskiyou															3	0	3	0	3
Solano							0	1			1	0	0	1			1	2	3
Sonoma											1	0					1	0	1
Stanislaus			2	6	2	0											4	6	10
Ventura							1	0			1	2					2	2	4
All Counties	3	1	6	10	3	1	4	6	2	0	23	25	14	13	8	0	63	56	119

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 1999

	LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		WATER USE/ SERVICE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	0	4			2	0									1	0	3	4	7
Contra Costa	0	1			3	1											3	2	5
Fresno			2	0											1	0	3	0	3
Humboldt	0	1															0	1	1
Imperial			0	1													0	1	1
Los Angeles	1	0	1	1	1	6									0	2	3	9	12
Marin			1	0			0	1			1	0					2	1	3
Monterey			1	0													1	0	1
Napa	2	0			1	1									2	0	5	1	6
Orange	2	0			2	1									0	1	4	2	6
Riverside			1	1	3	2	1	0			3	3			1	2	9	8	17
San Bernardino	2	3			4	1									1	1	7	5	12
San Diego	0	1			0	3											0	4	4
San Joaquin					2	0											2	0	2
San Mateo	1	1			0	1	1	0	1	0					2	1	5	3	8
Santa Barbara	0	1	0	1													0	2	2
Santa Clara	1	0			1	0					1	0			0	1	3	1	4
Santa Cruz	0	2											1	0			1	2	3
Shasta															1	0	1	0	1
Siskiyou					3	0											3		3
Solano	0	1	1	0											0	1	1	2	3
Sonoma	1	0															1	0	1
Stanislaus					2	5					2	0			0	1	4	6	10
Ventura	2	2															2	2	4
All Counties	12	17	7	4	24	21	2	1	1		7	3	1		9	10	63	56	119

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	11/2/99	EMERYVILLE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Davis	Nora	Council Member	Yes	5	735	2,849	25.8%	Yes
						Atkin	Ruth	Licensed Social Worker	No	5	671	2,849	23.6%	Yes
						Bukowski	Ken	Council Member	Yes	5	525	2,849	18.4%	Yes
						Derr	Trigvie	Artist	No	5	486	2,849	17.1%	No
						Hoff	Arthur	Corporate President	No	5	432	2,849	15.2%	No
		LIVERMORE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vargas	Tom	Council Member/Local Businessman	Yes	4	8,437	26,187	32.2%	Yes
						Dietrich	Lorraine	Council Member	Yes	4	6,352	26,187	24.3%	Yes
						Ferrucci	Michael F.	Businessman	No	4	6,323	26,187	24.1%	No
						Cabanne	Donna R.	Special Education Teacher	No	4	5,075	26,187	19.4%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Brown	Cathie	Mayor	Yes	2	8,506	14,049	60.5%	Yes
						Hickman	Barbara	Communication Skills Trainer	No	2	5,543	14,049	39.5%	No
		NEWARK		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Freitas	Luis L.	Council Member/Business Owner	Yes	4	3,592	9,759	36.8%	Yes
						Johnson	Susan	Business Owner	No	4	3,286	9,759	33.7%	Yes
						Higgins	Paul	Air Traffic Controller	No	4	1,518	9,759	15.6%	No
						Miller	Mari	College Librarian	No	4	1,363	9,759	14.0%	No
				MAYOR	Full	Smith	David W.	Mayor	Yes	2	3,956	5,417	73.0%	Yes
						Salinas	Vincent B.	Loan Officer	No	2	1,461	5,417	27.0%	No
		UNION CITY		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Elias	Ben	Council Member	Yes	6	2,446	6,195	39.5%	Yes
						Navarro	Jim	Principal Engineer	No	6	1,414	6,195	22.8%	No
Olsen	Dennis					Business Owner	No	6	1,299	6,195	21.0%	No		
Allen	Lamont W.					Consultant/Paralegal	No	6	557	6,195	9.0%	No		
Iqbal	Shiekh B.					Peace Officer	No	6	257	6,195	4.1%	No		
MAYOR	Full			Wargo	Leonard A.	Aircraft Lead Mechanic	No	6	222	6,195	3.6%	No		
				Green	Mark	Mayor	Yes	3	2,784	6,424	43.3%	Yes		
				Durta-Vernaci	Carol	Businesswoman/Council Member	No	3	2,487	6,424	38.7%	No		
		Arce	Alice	International Service Coordinator	No	3	1,153	6,424	17.9%	No				
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	No City Contests													
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/99	CONTRA COSTA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Albelson	Janet	Retired Systems Analyst	Yes	4	2,882	10,608	27.2%	Yes
						Perka	Kathie	Market Researcher	Yes	4	2,841	10,608	26.8%	Yes
						Moore	Letitia	Environmental Attorney	No	4	2,723	10,608	25.7%	No
						O'Keefe	Bea	Director, Stege Sanitary District	No	4	2,162	10,608	20.4%	No

¹ Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
CONTRA COSTA (continued)	11/2/99	LAFAYETTE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Federighi	Carol	Planning Commissioner/Attorney	Yes	4	4,665	16,742	27.9%	Yes
						Horn	Erling L.	Mayor	Yes	4	4,462	16,742	26.7%	Yes
Strauss	Jay					Vice Mayor	Yes	4	4,317	16,742	25.8%	Yes		
del Beccaro	Thomas G.					Business Attorney	No	4	3,298	16,742	19.7%	No		
		PLEASANT HILL	CITY CLERK		Full	Nilsen	Doris P.	City Clerk	Yes	1	4,481	4,481	100.0%	Yes
						CITY COUNCIL		Full	Escover	Chuck	Deputy Sheriff	Yes	6	4,012
			Durant	David E.	Planning Commissioner/Attorney				Yes	6	3,971	15,337	25.9%	Yes
			Angeli	Suzanne "Sue"	Customer Service Administrator				Yes	6	3,282	15,337	21.4%	Yes
			Mace	Mac	Council Member				No	6	2,576	15,337	16.8%	No
			Fishman	"Sherm"	Small Business Entrepreneur				No	6	806	15,337	5.3%	No
			Ashmore	David W.	Convention Facilities Coordinator				No	6	690	15,337	4.5%	No
				CITY TREASURER		Full	Salter	Suzanne Joy	City Treasurer	Yes	1	4,533	4,533	100.0%
		RICHMOND	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Penn	Mindell	Governmental Relations Executive	Yes	12	6,957	55,310	12.6%	Yes
						Butt	Tom	Council Member	Yes	12	5,809	55,310	10.5%	Yes
						Bell	Gary	Bank Vice President	Yes	12	5,321	55,310	9.6%	Yes
						Bates	Nat	Council Member	Yes	12	5,272	55,310	9.5%	Yes
						Evans	Alex	Businessman/Richmond Council Member	Yes	12	5,198	55,310	9.4%	Yes
						Harvey	Steve	Electrician/Planning Commissioner	No	12	5,014	55,310	9.1%	No
						Rogers	Jim	People's Lawyer/Businessman	No	12	4,977	55,310	9.0%	No
						Ortega	Karen D.	Richmond Business Owner	No	12	4,711	55,310	8.5%	No
						Strauss	Ellie	Business Consultant	No	12	3,905	55,310	7.1%	No
						Paulson	Dale	Board Mbr., W. Contra Costa Health Care	No	12	3,493	55,310	6.3%	No
						Brown	Joe	Bank Vice President	No	12	2,423	55,310	4.4%	No
						Booze	Courtland "Corky"	Business Owner	No	12	2,230	55,310	4.0%	No
						SAN RAMON	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Raab	Ron	Council Member	Yes	8
		Tatarka	Nancy	Community Advocate	Yes					8	3,038	21,285	14.3%	Yes
		Wilson	H. Abram	Vice-President Securities Dealer	Yes					8	3,006	21,285	14.1%	Yes
		McWood	Gladys	Business Risk Manager	No					8	2,898	21,285	13.6%	No
		Ingalls	Robert	Manager-Telecommunications Company	No					8	2,737	21,285	12.9%	No
		Meine	William (Bill)	Retired Executive	No					8	2,402	21,285	11.3%	No
		Patrino	Bob	Project Manager-Telecommunications	No					8	2,375	21,285	11.2%	No
		Roberts	Bill	Commercial Insurance Representative	No					8	1,141	21,285	5.4%	No
DEL NORTE	No City Contests													
EL DORADO	No City Contests													
FRESNO	11/2/99	FOWLER	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Cardenas	David T.	Business Owner	No	2	329	520	63.3%	Yes
						Martinez	Robert	Deputy Sheriff	No	2	189	520	36.3%	No
GLENN	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED					
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests																		
IMPERIAL	11/2/99	BRAWLEY	CITY CLERK		Full	Smith	Janet P.	Certified Municipal Clerk	No	1	2,479	2,479	100.0%	Yes					
						CITY COUNCIL	Shields	M. Jo	Councilwoman/Businesswoman	Yes	5	1,848	6,723	27.5%	Yes				
							Carrillo	Toni	Program Manager	No	5	1,708	6,723	25.4%	Yes				
							Johnson	Wayne C.	Police Sergeant	No	5	1,585	6,723	23.6%	Yes				
							Benavides	Carlos C.	Incumbent	Yes	5	997	6,723	14.8%	No				
			Guillory	Bernadette M.	Adolescent Outreach Employee	No	5	585	6,723	8.7%	No								
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Santillan	Samuel B.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,325	2,325	100.0%	Yes					
			EL CENTRO			CITY CLERK		Full	Noden	Rita M.	City Clerk	Yes	1	3,108	3,108	100.0%	Yes		
									CITY COUNCIL	Terrazas	Jesus "Jack"	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,469	8,980	27.5%	Yes	
						Dunnam	Jack	Incumbent		Yes	5	2,189	8,980	24.4%	Yes				
						Grogan	Larry L.	Businessman		No	5	1,697	8,980	18.9%	Yes				
						Thomas	Marlene	Businesswoman		No	5	1,418	8,980	15.8%	No				
						Condit	Bill	Financial Advisor		No	5	1,207	8,980	13.4%	No				
						CITY TREASURER		Full		Terrazas	Albert B.	Insurance Agent	No	1	3,094	3,094	100.0%	Yes	
INYO	No City Contests																		
KERN	No City Contests																		
KINGS	No City Contests																		
LAKE	No City Contests																		
LASSEN	No City Contests																		
LOS ANGELES	2/23/99	BURBANK	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kramer	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,682	15,254	37.2%	Yes					
						Laurell	David	National Magazine Editor	No	7	3,334	15,254	21.9%	Yes					
						McConkey	Ted	Incumbent	Yes	7	3,146	15,254	20.6%	No					
						Kanigher	Talbert E.	Retired Police Lieutenant	No	7	1,324	15,254	8.7%	No					
						Gonzlaez	Marco A.	Educator	No	7	792	15,254	5.2%	No					
						Silvers	Alan	Businessman	No	7	668	15,254	4.4%	No					
						Gujda	Glenn J.	Telecommunications	No	7	308	15,254	2.0%	No					
						3/2/99	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Chaidez	Leonard	Real Estate Agent	No	4	464	1,684	27.6%	Yes
											Prida	Petra A.	No ballot designation	No	4	432	1,684	25.7%	Yes
											Cesena	Ralph J.	City Councilmember	Yes	4	424	1,684	25.2%	No
											Calcote	Alan	City Councilmember	Yes	4	364	1,684	21.6%	No
						3/2/99	REDONDO BEACH	CITY CLERK		Full	Forrest	Sandy	Certified Municipal Clerk	No	6	1,784	5,847	30.5%	Yes
											Manzano	Eleanor	Business Owner/Accountant	No	6	1,201	5,847	20.5%	No
											Oliver	John L.	City Clerk	Yes	6	1,043	5,847	17.8%	No
	Boyle	Christopher	Accountant/Local Businessperson	No	6						731	5,847	12.5%	No					
	Foy	Bob	Business Executive/Publisher	No	6						546	5,847	9.3%	No					
	Hempe	Lorry	Senior Public Administrator	No	6						542	5,847	9.3%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED									
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/2/99	REDONDO BEACH	CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Gin	Mike	Engineer/City Councilmember	Yes	1	998	998	100.0%	Yes									
				5	Full	Parsons Brennan	John J. Barry	Businessman Businessman	No No	2 2	625 530	1,155 1,155	54.1% 45.9%	Yes No									
			CITY TREASURER		Full	O'Dell Herrera Durrham	Ernie Armando Bill	City Treasurer Department Manager Businessman	Yes No No	3 3 3	3,087 1,822 750	5,659 5,659 5,659	54.6% 32.2% 13.3%	Yes No No									
	3/9/99	PASADENA	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Streator Crabbe	Joyce Arthur Dennis	Councilmember Teacher	Yes No	2 2	1,471 525	1,996 1,996	73.7% 26.3%	Yes No									
2									Full	Little Rodriguez	Paul Walter Mendoza	Pasadena City Councilmember Welder	Yes No	2 2	2,373 295	2,668 2,668	88.9% 11.1%	Yes No					
				4	Full	Haderlein Price Takenouchi Spanovich	Steve Tim June Brownie B.	Banker Broadcast Engineer Real Estate Broker Retired Transportation Administrator					No No No No	4 4 4 4	1,726 1,138 838 275	3,977 3,977 3,977 3,977	43.4% 28.6% 21.1% 6.9%	Yes No No No					
6									Full	Madison Monaci Commons Konjoyan	Steve Paul Geoffrey D. Ed	Attorney/Law Professor Business Practices Specialist Attorney/Economist Businessman/Attorney	No No No No	4 4 4 4	2,773 1,276 683 170	4,902 4,902 4,902 4,902	56.6% 26.0% 13.9% 3.5%	Yes No No No					
													MAYOR	Full	Bogaard Holden Villicana Paparian Meindl Begley Rowe Charles, Sr. Fellows Matosian	Bill Chris Ann-Marie William M. Guido H. Roy Van-Martin Lance A. Eleta D. Jackie	Attorney/Law Professor Mayor City Councilmember/Businesswoman Attorney at Law Food Products Manufacturer Retired Advertising Salesman Businessman City Department Head Entrepreneur Deputy Inspector General	No Yes No No No No No No No No	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8,663 5,064 4,886 736 150 150 138 100 94 78	20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059 20,059	43.2% 25.2% 24.4% 3.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.7% 0.5% 0.5% 0.4%	Yes No No No No No No No No No
																		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gomez Manoukian Yousefian Smith Miller Doom Wallis Passman Robinson	Gus Rafi O. Bob Mike Larry Nick Dave Erica L. Georgi	Prosecutor/Deputy Attorney-General CPA Businessman Small Business Owner Flower Shop Owner High School Teacher Businessman, Broadcaster, Engineer Attorney at Law Homemaker-Retired Businesswoman	No No No No No No No No No
				CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gomez Manoukian Yousefian Smith Miller Doom Wallis Passman Robinson	Gus Rafi O. Bob Mike Larry Nick Dave Erica L. Georgi	Prosecutor/Deputy Attorney-General CPA Businessman Small Business Owner Flower Shop Owner High School Teacher Businessman, Broadcaster, Engineer Attorney at Law Homemaker-Retired Businesswoman															No No No No No No No No No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/6/99	GLENDALE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mandoky	Joe	Realtor	No	13	516	33,903	1.5%	No	
						Beach	John K.	Returning College Student	No	13	472	33,903	1.4%	No	
						Lushbaugh	Jeff	Investment Analyst	No	13	228	33,903	0.7%	No	
						Bayati	Kat	Professional Engineer	No	13	212	33,903	0.6%	No	
	INGLEWOOD			CITY CLERK		Full	Harris	Hermanita V.	City Clerk	Yes	4	3,994	6,695	59.7%	Yes
							Greene	Gloria Anne	Airlines Professional	No	4	1,245	6,695	18.6%	No
							Spencer	Terri L.	Educator/Law Clerk	No	4	731	6,695	10.9%	No
							Stewart	David P.	Procurement Analyst/Entrepreneur	No	4	725	6,695	10.8%	No
		CITY COUNCIL	3			Full	Fernandez	Jose	Councilman	Yes	8	868	1,499	57.9%	Yes
							Harkey	Charles	Businessman/Educator	No	8	171	1,499	11.4%	No
							Grice	Edward	Congressional District Director	No	8	156	1,499	10.4%	No
							Douglas	Roosevelt	Retired	No	8	144	1,499	9.6%	No
							Sudman	Lee	Business Owner	No	8	78	1,499	5.2%	No
							Talley-Moore	Lisa A.	Coordinator	No	8	33	1,499	2.2%	No
							Minniefield	Juan Armando	Businessman	No	8	32	1,499	2.1%	No
							Wolfberg	Jack William	No ballot designation	No	8	17	1,499	1.1%	No
	CITY TREASURER				Full	Brown	Wanda M.	City Treasurer	Yes	3	4,219	6,471	65.2%	Yes	
						Prarnell	Harvey	Mortgage Banker	No	3	1,144	6,471	17.7%	No	
						Vanderberg	William "Bill"	Finance Manager	No	3	1,108	6,471	17.1%	No	
						Laurell	David	National Magazine Editor	No	2	5,109	8,030	63.6%	Yes	
McConkey						Ted	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,921	8,030	36.4%	No		
Hunter						George E.	Business Owner	No	2	550	1,021	53.9%	Yes		
4/13/99	BURBANK	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Soto	Anna M.	County Supervisor's Deputy	No	2	471	1,021	46.1%	No		
					Haderlein	Steve	Banker	No	2	2,185	3,701	59.0%	Yes		
4/20/99	PASADENA	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Price	Tim	Broadcast Engineer	No	2	1,516	3,701	41.0%	No		
					Bogaard	Bill	Attorney/Law Professor	No	2	11,576	19,336	59.9%	Yes		
5/11/99	REDONDO BEACH	CITY CLERK		Full	Holden	Chris	Mayor	Yes	2	7,760	19,336	40.1%	No		
					Forrest	Sandy	Certified Municipal Clerk	No	2	1,887	3,455	54.6%	Yes		
6/8/99	INGLEWOOD	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Manzano	Eleanor	Business Owner/Accountant	No	2	1,568	3,455	45.4%	No		
					Kirkley	Larry	Law Enforcement	No	2	1,142	1,718	66.5%	Yes		
						Hardeman	Garland	Councilman	Yes	2	576	1,718	33.5%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/2/99	AGOURA HILLS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kuperberg	Dan	Mayor/Attorney	No	2	1,402	2,644	53.0%	Yes		
						Rishoff	Lousie	Attorney	No	2	1,242	2,644	47.0%	Yes		
		BALDWIN PARK	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Garcia	Marlen	Project Manager	No	2	1,893	3,605	52.5%	Yes		
						Lowes	Bette	No ballot designation	No	2	1,712	3,605	47.5%	No		
		DIAMOND BAR	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Huff	Robert	Councilman/Business Owner	No	4	2,507	7,318	34.3%	Yes		
						Herrera	Carol	Councilwoman/Businesswoman	No	4	2,489	7,318	34.0%	Yes		
						Ortiz	Tom	Retired Police Officer	No	4	1,493	7,318	20.4%	No		
						McGowan	Robert D.	Minister	No	4	829	7,318	11.3%	No		
		DUARTE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fasana	John	Council Member	No	3	1,312	3,591	36.5%	Yes		
						Chapjian	George	Manager, Neighborhood Services	No	3	1,281	3,591	35.7%	Yes		
						Hasley	John E.	Deputy Assessor	No	3	998	3,591	27.8%	No		
		HAWTHORNE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lambert	Ginny M.	Consultant	No	9	1,359	8,648	15.7%	Yes		
						Schoenfeld	Mark	Council Member	Yes	9	1,265	8,648	14.6%	Yes		
						Catano	Pablo H.	Senate District Representative	No	9	1,251	8,648	14.5%	No		
						Kunitake	Oliver	Business Owner/Engineer	No	9	1,249	8,648	14.4%	No		
						Parsons	Gary	Electrical Engineer	No	9	823	8,648	9.5%	No		
						Brown	Leatrice	School Office Manager	No	9	817	8,648	9.4%	No		
						Hocker	Guy	Realtor	No	9	772	8,648	8.9%	No		
						Bach	Steve	Advertising Consultant	No	9	563	8,648	6.5%	No		
						Hendricks-Stewart	Lorraine	Educator/Labor Representative	No	9	549	8,648	6.3%	No		
						MAYOR	Full	Guidi	Larry	Mayor	No	4	2,408	4,705	51.2%	Yes
								Anderson	Steve	City Councilman/Attorney	No	4	1,692	4,705	36.0%	No
								Sulser	Raymon	Businessman	No	4	343	4,705	7.3%	No
								Longoria	Richard G.	No ballot designation	No	4	262	4,705	5.6%	No
HERMOSA BEACH	CITY CLERK	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Doerfling	Elaine	City Clerk	Yes	1	1,732	1,732	100.0%	Yes				
				Dunbabin	Kathy	Business Owner	No	11	1,629	7,423	21.9%	Yes				
				Edgerton	Sam Y.	Council Member	Yes	11	1,025	7,423	13.8%	Yes				
				Keegan	Michael	Local Bakery Owner	No	11	984	7,423	13.3%	No				
				Huebscher	Fred	Businessman/Educator	No	11	983	7,423	13.2%	No				
				Bergstrom	Katharine	No ballot designation	No	11	927	7,423	12.5%	No				
				Sigler	Bill	Business Owner/Entrepreneur	No	11	618	7,423	8.3%	No				
				Falkenstein	Donley	Business Technical Writer	No	11	373	7,423	5.0%	No				
				Tucker	Peter	General Contractor	No	11	373	7,423	5.0%	No				
				Yost	Dallas	Teacher/Coach/Businessman	No	11	358	7,423	4.8%	No				
				Gezurian	Lauren M.	Themepark Production Manager	No	11	112	7,423	1.5%	No				
				Floyd	Scott D.	Public Relations Executive	No	11	41	7,423	0.6%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/2/99	HERMOSA BEACH	CITY TREASURER		Full	Workman	John M.	City Treasurer	Yes	3	2,772	3,863	71.8%	Yes			
						Benz	Robert	Engineer	No	3	812	3,863	21.0%	No			
						Fogg	Rosamond	Entrepreneur	No	3	279	3,863	7.2%	No			
LYNWOOD			CITY CLERK		Full	Hooper	Andrea L.	City Clerk	Yes	3	1,721	3,669	46.9%	Yes			
						Santillan	Maria Teresa	Benefits Administrator	No	3	1,589	3,669	43.3%	No			
						Duran	Zeneyda	Office Manager	No	3	359	3,669	9.8%	No			
			CITY COUNCIL					Full	Byrd	Louis	Council Member	Yes	7	1,728	6,687	25.8%	Yes
									Reyes	Arturo	Council Member/Accountant	Yes	7	1,431	6,687	21.4%	Yes
									Pedroza	Fernando	Sales Consultant	No	7	1,167	6,687	17.5%	No
									Corrales-Vasquez	Leticia	Urban Analyst/Teacher	No	7	777	6,687	11.6%	No
									Marin	Alexander I.	Financial Consultant/Teacher	No	7	658	6,687	9.8%	No
									Romero	Maria Elena	Community Service Supervisor	No	7	656	6,687	9.8%	No
									Maciel	Allan S.	Teacher	No	7	270	6,687	4.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER					Full	Pygatt	Iris	City Treasurer	Yes	3	1,711	3,644	47.0%	Yes
									Jacinto	Edwin R.	Real Estate Agent	No	3	998	3,644	27.4%	No
									Figueroa	Hilda	Sales Consultant	No	3	935	3,644	25.7%	No
			MONTEBELLO			CITY CLERK		Full	King	Robert "Bob"	City Clerk	Yes	2	3,337	5,544	60.2%	Yes
									Salazar	Lawrence	Businessman	No	2	2,207	5,544	39.8%	No
CITY COUNCIL								Full	Lopez-Reid	Norma A.	Educational Administrator/Consultant	No	6	2,658	11,005	24.2%	Yes
									Salazar	Kathy	Mayor	Yes	6	2,420	11,005	22.0%	Yes
									Pizzorno	Ed	Local Hardware Businessman	No	6	2,314	11,005	21.0%	No
									Monzon	Robert	Management Analyst	No	6	1,893	11,005	17.2%	No
									Gutierrez	Bobby	Business Executive	No	6	1,422	11,005	12.9%	No
									Vargas	Hector M.	Corporate Salesman	No	6	298	11,005	2.7%	No
CITY TREASURER								Full	Guzman	Gerri	Businesswoman/City Commissioner	No	3	2,397	5,885	40.7%	Yes
									Coale	Woody	Chief Financial Officer	No	3	1,878	5,885	31.9%	No
			Khwaja	Sheraly	Businessman				No	3	1,610	5,885	27.4%	No			
PALMDALE			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Dispenza	Mike	Businessman	No	13	3,110	16,991	18.3%	Yes			
						Carney	Kevin Wright	Sheriff's Sergeant	No	13	2,276	16,991	13.4%	Yes			
						Corrales	Sandy	Businesswoman/Community Rep.	No	13	2,179	16,991	12.8%	No			
						Beattie	Albert "Al"	Local Businessman	No	13	1,805	16,991	10.6%	No			
						Charlton	Dawn C.	Small Business Owner	No	13	1,702	16,991	10.0%	No			
						Longjohn	Bernard "Bernie"	Businessperson	No	13	1,346	16,991	7.9%	No			
						Lee, Jr.	Alan L.	Municipal Utility Supervisor	No	13	1,203	16,991	7.1%	No			
						Baumann	Allen	Retired Army Officer	No	13	969	16,991	5.7%	No			
						Craft	John	Businessman/Minister	No	13	743	16,991	4.4%	No			
						Hicks	Vincent E.	Pastor	No	13	703	16,991	4.1%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/2/99	PALMDALE	CITY COUNCIL	Full		Miller	Michael Scott	Environmental Inspector	No	13	453	16,991	2.7%	No		
						Kumaus	Dan	Deputy Assessor	No	13	313	16,991	1.8%	No		
						Holmes	Bill	Webmaster	No	13	189	16,991	1.1%	No		
						Short		Penner	Rodney I. (Rod)	Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	6	2,793	8,843	31.6%	Yes
								Collin	David L.	Sheriff's Lieutenant	No	6	2,247	8,843	25.4%	No
								Bynum	Robert "Bo"	Businessman/Coach	No	6	1,690	8,843	19.1%	No
								Springer	John H.	Retired Aerospace Scheduler	No	6	994	8,843	11.2%	No
								Blanco	Juan	Communications Technician	No	6	707	8,843	8.0%	No
								Cornelius, Sr.	Glade E.	Computer Operations Analyst	No	6	412	8,843	4.7%	No
				MAYOR	Full		Ledford, Jr.	James C.	Mayor	Yes	4	5,105	9,543	53.5%	Yes	
							Norris	Richard H. "Rick"	Businessman	No	4	3,318	9,543	34.8%	No	
							Chavez	Carlos Samson	No ballot designation	No	4	580	9,543	6.1%	No	
							Grant	John W.	Chief Information Officer	No	4	540	9,543	5.7%	No	
				RANCHO PALOS VERDES	CITY COUNCIL	Full		McTaggart	John	Council Member	Yes	6	3,538	14,683	24.1%	Yes
								Ferraro	Barbara	Council Member/Teacher	Yes	6	3,424	14,683	23.3%	Yes
								Stern	Douglas	Business Attorney	No	6	3,148	14,683	21.4%	Yes
								Paris	Eric	Accountant/Tax Advisor	No	6	1,891	14,683	12.9%	No
								Alberio	Gil	Construction Claims Consultant	No	6	1,841	14,683	12.5%	No
								Inamdar	Kamal V.	Engineer	No	6	841	14,683	5.7%	No
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	CITY COUNCIL	Full		Addleman	John C.	Council Member	Yes	4	922	3,446	26.8%	Yes				
				Mitchell	Judith M.	Attorney	No	4	916	3,446	26.6%	Yes				
				Rauch	Barbara	Council Member	Yes	4	901	3,446	26.1%	Yes				
				Davis	Clark	Business Owner	No	4	707	3,446	20.5%	No				
WESTLAKE VILLAGE	CITY COUNCIL	Full		de Santis	Betty	Council Member	Yes	3	1,030	2,522	40.8%	Yes				
				Mann	Chris	Child Behavior Counselor	No	3	756	2,522	30.0%	Yes				
				Henderson	James B.	Council Member	Yes	3	736	2,522	29.2%	No				
MADERA	No City Contests															
MARIN	11/2/99	CORTE MADERA	CITY COUNCIL	Full		Yang	Jin S.	Local Business Owner	No	3	1,616	4,667	34.6%	Yes		
						Gioia	Phil	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,566	4,667	33.6%	Yes		
						Pomeroy	Libby S.	Attorney	No	3	1,485	4,667	31.8%	No		
		FAIRFAX	CITY COUNCIL	Full		Caldararo	Niccolo	Anthropologist	No	5	1,181	4,144	28.5%	Yes		
						Tremaine	Lew	Nonprofit Executive	No	5	942	4,144	22.7%	Yes		
						Anderson	Judith	Operations Manager	No	5	791	4,144	19.1%	No		
						Weitman	Maurice	Business/Computer Consultant	No	5	706	4,144	17.0%	No		
						Lang	Christopher H.	Landscape Contractor/Gardner	No	5	524	4,144	12.6%	No		
		LARKSPUR	CITY COUNCIL	Full		Lubamersky	Joan	Councilmember	Yes	3	1,643	3,785	43.4%	Yes		
						Lanctot	Lawrence	Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	3	1,631	3,785	43.1%	Yes		
						la Fleur	Jenna	Realtor/Legal Assistant	No	3	511	3,785	13.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
MARIN (continued)	11/2/99	MILL VALLEY	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Solem	Anne B.	Business Owner	No	7	1,990	9,072	21.9%	Yes				
						Raker	Christopher	Architect	No	7	1,747	9,072	19.3%	Yes				
						Swanson	Dick	Administrative Finance Officer	No	7	1,701	9,072	18.8%	Yes				
						Stoltz	Nancy	Architect/Planner	No	7	1,280	9,072	14.1%	No				
						Harmon	Robert D.	Writer	No	7	1,011	9,072	11.1%	No				
						Marshall	Ed	Retired City Engineer	No	7	868	9,072	9.6%	No				
						Richmond	Peter A.	Realtor	No	7	475	9,072	5.2%	No				
	NOVATO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mani	John	Retired Physician	No	4	5,898	19,161	30.8%	Yes			
							di Giorgio	Michael	Small Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	4	5,530	19,161	28.9%	Yes			
							Dillon-Knutson	C.	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	4	5,432	19,161	28.3%	Yes			
							Fishwick	Dennis	Customer Service Representative	No	4	2,301	19,161	12.0%	No			
	SAN ANSELMO			CITY CLERK		Full	Stutsman	Debra	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,637	1,637	100.0%	Yes			
							CITY COUNCIL	Kilkus	Peter	Retired Telecommunications Manager	No	3	1,475	3,519	41.9%	Yes		
				CITY COUNCIL			Hodgens	Judith K.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,389	3,519	39.5%	Yes			
Gladysz							David	Produce Merchandiser	No	3	655	3,519	18.6%	No				
SAN RAFAEL			CITY TREASURER		Full	Cohen	Donna	Certified Public Accountant	Yes	1	1,622	1,622	100.0%	Yes				
			CITY ATTORNEY			Ragghianti	Gary T.	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,886	6,886	100.0%	Yes				
						CITY CLERK-ASSESS.	Leoncini	Jeanne M.	San Rafael City Clerk	Yes	2	6,226	8,289	75.1%	Yes			
			Lollini				Sandra	Project Coordinator/Administrator	No	2	2,063	8,289	24.9%	No				
			CITY COUNCIL			Cohen	Paul M.	San Rafael Councilmember	Yes	4	6,413	15,024	42.7%	Yes				
						Phillips	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,059	15,024	40.3%	Yes				
						Cohen	Robert A.	Bilingual Eligibility Worker	No	4	1,299	15,024	8.6%	No				
						Persily	Coleman	Retired Insurance Agent	No	4	1,253	15,024	8.3%	No				
			MAYOR						Full	Boro	Al	San Rafael Mayor	Yes	1	7,396	7,396	100.0%	Yes
			TIBURON							CITY COUNCIL	Thompson	Andrew	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	4	1,573	4,377	35.9%
Hennessy	Therese	Incumbent		Yes	4						1,478	4,377	33.8%	Yes				
Kristensen	Caroline	Attorney/Businessperson		No	4						701	4,377	16.0%	No				
Ginalski	Mark	Attorney		No	4						625	4,377	14.3%	No				
MARIPOSA	No City Contests																	
MENDOCINO	No City Contests																	
MERCED	11/2/99	MERCED	CITY COUNCIL		Full					Sullivan	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,327	10,311	22.6%	Yes
										Thurston	Stanley P.	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,261	10,311	21.9%	Yes
										Hinds	George	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	6	1,864	10,311	18.1%	Yes
						Sanders	James D.	CEO Non-Profit Agency	No	6	1,851	10,311	18.0%	No				
						Crowder	Donna	Nurse	No	6	1,193	10,311	11.6%	No				
						Riggleman	Kenneth	No ballot designation	No	6	815	10,311	7.9%	No				
						MAYOR	Knudsen	Mary Jo	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,253	3,253	100.0%	Yes			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
MODOC	No City Contests														
MONO	No City Contests														
MONTEREY	6/8/99	SEASIDE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bloomer	Steve	Planning Services Manager	No	4	1,351	2,990	45.2%	Yes	
						McClair	Lancelot C.	Insurance/Financial Services	No	4	786	2,990	26.3%	No	
						Hert	Luther M.	Deputy Sheriff	No	4	724	2,990	24.2%	No	
						Mitchell	Lisa	Educator	No	4	129	2,990	4.3%	No	
NAPA	3/2/99	CALISTOGA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Beck	Rose	Dental Receptionist/Coordinator	No	3	1,076	2,534	42.5%	Yes	
						Coates	Paul	Contractor	No	3	801	2,534	31.6%	Yes	
						Avis	Stephen G.	Chairman, Planning Commission	No	3	657	2,534	25.9%	No	
						MAYOR	Callegari	Mario A.	Incumbent	Yes	2	864	1,446	59.8%	Yes
							Dunsford	Michael G.	Local Business Owner	No	2	582	1,446	40.2%	No
							NAPA	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Martin	Harry	Councilmember//Publisher/Businessman	Yes	9	4,971
		Busenbark	Joann	Incumbent/Educator	Yes					9	3,438	17,943	19.2%	Yes	
		Cuney	Dee	Businesswoman, Educator, Consultant	No	9				3,358	17,943	18.7%	No		
		Garcia	Leon	Nursing Manager/Business Owner	No	9				1,746	17,943	9.7%	No		
		Greco	Donna	Social Work Svs. Coord.-Adol.Trt. Facility	No	9				1,395	17,943	7.8%	No		
		Hildreth	Robert	Ex. Dir. for Citizens Against Lawsuit Abuse	No	9				1,167	17,943	6.5%	No		
		O'Brien	Lisa	Attorney at Law	No	9				907	17,943	5.1%	No		
		Frank	Rachael	Criminal Justice Student	No	9				712	17,943	4.0%	No		
		Franck	Randy	Retired President	No	9	249	17,943	1.4%	No					
		ST. HELENA	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Toller	Frank	Attorney/Current Mayor	No	4	993	2,351	42.2%	Yes		
					Bowers	Sampson	No ballot designation	No	4	676	2,351	28.8%	Yes		
					Tilton	George	Shoeshine Business	No	4	472	2,351	20.1%	No		
					Greene	Jay	Retired	No	4	210	2,351	8.9%	No		
		MAYOR	Full	Slavens	Ken	Business Representative	Yes	2	801	1,323	60.5%	Yes			
				Beatty	Joice M.	City Planning Commissioner	No	2	522	1,323	39.5%	No			
YOUNTVILLE	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Thollander	Kristie	No ballot designation	No	3	457	1,240	36.9%	Yes				
			Jefferson	Lou	Incumbent and Writer	Yes	3	449	1,240	36.2%	Yes				
			Wells	David A.	Retired Equipment Operator	No	3	334	1,240	26.9%	No				
			MAYOR	Full	Holt	Mary Lou	Incumbent	Yes	1	627	627	100.0%	Yes		
NEVADA	No City Contests														

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
ORANGE	3/2/99	LAGUNA WOODS/VERDE ^P	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Thorpe	Jim	Mathematics Professor/Writer	No	16	5,048	36,294	13.9%	Yes		
						Hack	Bert	Retired Attorney	No	16	4,679	36,294	12.9%	Yes		
						Snider	Ann M.	Retired Legislative Attaché	No	16	4,044	36,294	11.1%	Yes		
						Ross	Brenda B.	Retired Education Dean	No	16	3,092	36,294	8.5%	Yes		
						Kay	Victor	Accountant/Financial Analyst	No	16	2,718	36,294	7.5%	Yes		
						Balcom	Mary	No ballot designation	No	16	2,501	36,294	6.9%	No		
						Schlenker	Dave	Community Volunteer	No	16	2,252	36,294	6.2%	No		
						Carey	Jackie	Retired	No	16	2,228	36,294	6.1%	No		
						Faulhaber	Richard J.	Retired Federal Auditor	No	16	1,971	36,294	5.4%	No		
						Nahas	Charles E.	Retired	No	16	1,686	36,294	4.6%	No		
						Mills-Lindsay	Helen	Retired Social Worker	No	16	1,282	36,294	3.5%	No		
						Rudolph	Gordon E.	Retired/Land Developer	No	16	1,257	36,294	3.5%	No		
						Woods	Harold	Retired	No	16	1,171	36,294	3.2%	No		
						May	Dorothy M.	Retired	No	16	983	36,294	2.7%	No		
						Lindsay	Harry A.	Retired Teacher	No	16	771	36,294	2.1%	No		
								Hassel-Rogatz	Bea	Retired Director/Producer	No	16	611	36,294	1.7%	No
							11/2/99	RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA ^P	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lewis	Debra H.	Attorney	No	14
Gamble	Carol	Business Owner, Consultant	No	14	3,128							24,225	12.9%	Yes		
Thor	James M.	Business Owner	No	14	2,853							24,225	11.8%	Yes		
Thompson	Gary	Submarine Maintenance Manager	No	14	2,776							24,225	11.5%	Yes		
Blais	Neil C.	Consulting Engineer	No	14	2,657							24,225	11.0%	Yes		
Safranski	Mike	Director, Traguco Canyon Water District	No	14	2,379							24,225	9.8%	No		
Raslowsky	Daivd J.	Business Owner	No	14	1,561							24,225	6.4%	No		
Conway	T. Shane	Peace Officer	No	14	1,380							24,225	5.7%	No		
Koenig	Susan	Code Enforcement Officer	No	14	1,255							24,225	5.2%	No		
Secarea	Val	Computer Systems Engineer	No	14	855							24,225	3.5%	No		
Oakley	Richard B.	Regional Manager	No	14	733							24,225	3.0%	No		
Bassinne	Alex	No ballot designation	No	14	512							24,225	2.1%	No		
Niedzwiecki	Narges	Historian/Scholar	No	14	412							24,225	1.7%	No		
Florence	Della P.	Network Systems Administrator	No	14	400							24,225	1.7%	No		
PLACER	No City Contests															
PLUMAS	No City Contests															
RIVERSIDE	8/24/99	SAN JACINTO ^R	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Ayres	Jim	Economic Development Specialist	No	1	1,371	1,432	95.7%	Yes		
						2	Full	Carlson-Buydos	Chris	JPA Assistant Director	No	1	1,360	1,417	96.0%	Yes

^P Proposed city.

^R To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED						
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/2/99	BLYTHE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Grotke	Charles (Chuck)	Small Business Owner	No	3	574	1,500	38.3%	Yes						
						Grimm	Gary	Working Parent/Council Member	Yes	3	555	1,500	37.0%	Yes						
						Beynon	Larry	Sales Consultant	No	3	371	1,500	24.7%	No						
COACHELLA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ramirez, Jr.	Gilbert	No ballot designation	No	3	460	1,206	38.1%	Yes						
						Duran-Contreras	Rosanna	City Treasurer	No	3	374	1,206	31.0%	Yes						
						Macknicki	Richard	Council Member	Yes	3	372	1,206	30.8%	No						
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Gaines	Yvonne P.	Business Administrator	No	1	646	646	100.0%	Yes						
DESERT HOT SPRINGS			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ruppert	Greg	Developer/Investigator	No	8	674	3,363	20.0%	Yes						
						Stephens	Mary K.	Accounting Manager	No	8	591	3,363	17.6%	Yes						
						Baker	Karl	School Teacher/Businessman	No	8	558	3,363	16.6%	No						
						Lewis	Linda L.	No ballot designation	No	8	505	3,363	15.0%	No						
						Scott-Donnelly	Elizabeth	Certified Public Accountant	Yes	8	414	3,363	12.3%	No						
						Ramos	Richard "Rick"	Risk Manager	No	8	288	3,363	8.6%	No						
						Pieper	William R. "Will"	Electrician/Project Manager	No	8	227	3,363	6.7%	No						
						White	Jill	No ballot designation	No	8	106	3,363	3.2%	No						
							Short	Pye	Jan M.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,001	1,813	55.2%	Yes				
								Avramidis	Angelo D.	Businessman/Restaurateur	No	3	516	1,813	28.5%	No				
								Esser	Rochelle	Businessperson/Editor/Publisher	No	3	296	1,813	16.3%	No				
						MAYOR					Full	Weyuker	Matthew L. (Matt)	Government Relations Director	No	7	767	1,864	41.1%	Yes
												Patane	Patti	Television Journalist/Commentator	No	7	565	1,864	30.3%	No
Shea	Judy	No ballot designation	No	7	127							1,864	6.8%	No						
Sherman	Doug	Vice Mayor	No	7	126							1,864	6.8%	No						
Bosworth	Gary	Council Member/Businessman	No	7	117							1,864	6.3%	No						
Gibson	Bill	Retired Businessman	No	7	97							1,864	5.2%	No						
Margolis	George Henry	Veterans Hospital Administrator	No	7	65							1,864	3.5%	No						
INDIAN WELLS			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Landes	Michael	Council Member	Yes	4	737	2,492	29.6%	Yes						
						Byrd	Percy	Council Member	Yes	4	691	2,492	27.7%	Yes						
						Henderson	Artie G.	Mayor/Retired Judge	Yes	4	677	2,492	27.2%	Yes						
						Gibson	Dennis	Retired	No	4	387	2,492	15.5%	No						
INDIO			CITY CLERK		Full	Ramos	Juanita Reyna	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	1,676	1,676	100.0%	Yes						
			CITY COUNCIL	Full	Wilson	Michael H.	Council Member	Yes	8	1,151	4,833	23.8%	Yes							
					Bethel	Jacque	Paraeducator/Planning Commissioner	No	8	819	4,833	16.9%	Yes							
					Haddox	John P.	Retired Contractor/Fireman	No	8	658	4,833	13.6%	No							
					Diaz	Ricardo "Rick"	Retired	No	8	609	4,833	12.6%	No							
					Silva	Chris B.	Council Member	Yes	8	596	4,833	12.3%	No							
					Villegas	Virginia	Business Manager	No	8	458	4,833	9.5%	No							
					Duke	Jack A.	Bail Bondsman	No	8	308	4,833	6.4%	No							
					Jorgenson	Todd L.	Civil Engineer	No	8	234	4,833	4.8%	No							

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED					
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/2/99	LAKE ELSINORE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kelley	Genie	Mayor/Teacher	No	12	1,310	7,649	17.1%	Yes					
						Brinley	Pamela "Pam"	Council Member/Businesswoman	Yes	12	1,061	7,649	13.9%	Yes					
						Schiffner	Bob	Appointed Council Member	Yes	12	975	7,649	12.7%	Yes					
						Polk	Robert L.	Business Consultant	No	12	848	7,649	11.1%	No					
						Alongi	George G.	Retired Local Businessman	No	12	728	7,649	9.5%	No					
						Hickman	Daryl	Businessman	No	12	586	7,649	7.7%	No					
						Knapp, Sr.	Richard L. "Dick"	Investment Consultant/Accountant	No	12	549	7,649	7.2%	No					
						Gray	James D.	Security Official	No	12	514	7,649	6.7%	No					
						Hyland	Chris (Christine)	Independent Sales Rep	No	12	442	7,649	5.8%	No					
						Neimi	Kenneth "Kenny" Howard	Director, Educational Foundation	No	12	273	7,649	3.6%	No					
						Hite	Dale	Wood Craftsman	No	12	227	7,649	3.0%	No					
						Wentworth	Tamara	Visual Information Consultant	No	12	136	7,649	1.8%	No					
								CITY TREASURER		Full	Ferro	Ralph L.	Retired Businessman	No	2	1,172	2,340	50.1%	Yes
											Hundshamer	Thomas C.	Credit Recovery Manager	No	2	1,168	2,340	49.9%	No
MURRIETA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Enochs	Warnie	Small Business Owner	Yes	6	2,519	10,480	24.0%	Yes					
						Youens	Brian	Public School Teacher	No	6	2,432	10,480	23.2%	Yes					
						Holliday	Ron	Investment Consultant/Stockbroker	No	6	1,890	10,480	18.0%	No					
						Getchell	Ben	Retired Navy Officer	No	6	1,729	10,480	16.5%	No					
						Bryant	Gary J.	Attorney at Law	No	6	1,499	10,480	14.3%	No					
						Muth	Bob	Small Engine Repairman	No	6	411	10,480	3.9%	No					
NORCO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Carmichael	Barbara J.	Council Member/Businesswoman	Yes	8	1,021	6,191	16.5%	Yes					
						Higgins	Herb	Human Resource Manager	No	8	932	6,191	15.1%	Yes					
						Sullivan	Harvey C.	Retired Electrician	No	8	925	6,191	14.9%	Yes					
						Lander	Ronald "Ron"	Security Advisor/Evaluator	No	8	913	6,191	14.7%	No					
						Koziel	Robbin G.	Norco City Councilman	No	8	843	6,191	13.6%	No					
						Ensley	Donald J.	Retired Engineering Instructor	No	8	691	6,191	11.2%	No					
						Gardner	Bill	Commissioner	No	8	668	6,191	10.8%	No					
						MacInnes	Richard G. "Dick"	Census Bureau Supervisor	No	8	198	6,191	3.2%	No					
PALM SPRINGS			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Reller-Spurgin	Jeanne	Council Member	Yes	4	5,427	14,490	37.5%	Yes					
						Jones	Jim	Local Businessman	No	4	4,177	14,490	28.8%	Yes					
						Barnes	Stan	Council Member	Yes	4	3,836	14,490	26.5%	No					
						Tymon	John	Entertainer/Writer/Inventor	No	4	1,050	14,490	7.2%	No					
			MAYOR					Full	Kleindienst	Will	Mayor	Yes	4	3,936	8,176	48.1%	Yes		
									Lock	Sharon	Retired Executive	No	4	3,156	8,176	38.6%	No		
									Harrison	Richard	Actor/Producer	No	4	762	8,176	9.3%	No		
									McMillan	Gladys	Businesswoman/Pawn Broker	No	4	322	8,176	3.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/2/99	PERRIS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Motte	John D.	Businessman/Property Investment	No	7	1,131	4,865	23.2%	Yes			
						Rogers	Rita	Business Woman	No	7	941	4,865	19.3%	Yes			
						Cooper, Jr.	Clarence Allen	Pastor	No	7	734	4,865	15.1%	No			
						Mewmaker	Roger	Internet Marketing Consultant	No	7	618	4,865	12.7%	No			
						Kaus	Kelly	Old Town Redeveloper	No	7	531	4,865	10.9%	No			
						Finney	James	Truck Driver	No	7	511	4,865	10.5%	No			
						Clark	Jackson H.	Driver/Businessman	No	7	399	4,865	8.2%	No			
			MAYOR		Full	Busch	Daryl	Businessman	No	4	1,023	2,809	36.4%	Yes			
						Torres	Ascencion B. "Sam"	Plumber/Council Member	No	4	761	2,809	27.1%	No			
						Landers	Al	Mayor	Yes	4	739	2,809	26.3%	No			
						Green-Jordan	Virniecia P.	Educator	No	4	286	2,809	10.2%	No			
			RIVERSIDE			CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Beaty	Chuck	Councilman/Retired Administrator	No	2	1,624	2,301	70.6%	Yes
									Lyons	Michael J.	Litigation Researcher	No	2	677	2,301	29.4%	No
									3	Full	Defenbaugh	Joy	Council Member	Yes	5	1,699	2,968
Diaz	Robert Ronald	Business Owner									No	5	691	2,968	23.3%	No	
Varnam	David C.	Student/Aquatic Coach									No	5	233	2,968	7.9%	No	
Humphery	Tanya L.	Social Worker									No	5	186	2,968	6.3%	No	
Hetzner	Benilde "Bennee"	No ballot designation									No	5	159	2,968	5.4%	No	
5	Full	Kraft							Greg	Business Owner/Trustee	No	3	1,299	2,638	49.2%	Yes	
		Adkison							Ed	Land Surveyor	No	3	1,214	2,638	46.0%	No	
		Burdick							Norman K.	Title Officer	No	3	125	2,638	4.7%	No	
7	Full	Palomarez							Jack	City Construction Inspector	No	4	848	1,922	44.1%	Yes	
		Pearson							Laura Jo	Council Member	Yes	4	809	1,922	42.1%	No	
		Martin							James	Quality Engineer	No	4	138	1,922	7.2%	No	
		Willis							Gloria	Retired Teacher/Writer	No	4	127	1,922	6.6%	No	
SAN JACINTO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ayres	Jim	Economic Development Specialist	No	8	1,850	7,646	24.2%	Yes			
						Buydos	Chris Carlson	JPA Assistant Director	No	8	1,651	7,646	21.6%	Yes			
						Conner	James M.	Business Owner	Yes	8	1,466	7,646	19.2%	Yes			
						Tavener	Diana	Business Woman	No	8	799	7,646	10.4%	No			
						Cornett	Debbie	Council Member	Yes	8	731	7,646	9.6%	No			
						Webb	Chuck	Business Systems Analyst	No	8	505	7,646	6.6%	No			
						Brewer	Richard E.	Computer Consultant	No	8	436	7,646	5.7%	No			
						Smedley	James L.	Council Member	Yes	8	208	7,646	2.7%	No			
TEMECULA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Naggar	Mike	Temecula Planning Commissioner	No	5	3,468	11,875	29.2%	Yes			
						Pratt	Sam	Registered Civil Engineer	No	5	2,444	11,875	20.6%	Yes			
						Edwards	Maryann	Commissioner	No	5	2,295	11,875	19.3%	No			
						Ross	Carl	Substitute Teacher	No	5	1,971	11,875	16.6%	No			
						Guerrero	Ron	Environmental Safety Consultant	No	5	1,697	11,875	14.3%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN-CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	VOTES FOR CANDIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER-CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED							
SACRAMENTO	3/2/99	SACRAMENTO	CITY COUNCIL	6	Full	Jones	Dave	Attorney	No	4	3,649	4,786	76.2%	Yes							
						Railey-Cisco	Alex-St. James A.	Small Businessman/Entrepreneur	No	4	647	4,786	13.5%	No							
						Arnoldy	Eric M.	Store Clerk	No	4	412	4,786	8.6%	No							
						Rodgers	Stephen T.	Production Control Analyst	No	4	78	4,786	1.6%	No							
	11/2/99	CITRUS HEIGHTS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Daniels	Bret C.	Deputy Sheriff	No	4	2,597	6,866	37.8%	Yes							
						Sides	Michael W.	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	1,927	6,866	28.1%	No							
						Daly	Jane S.	Financial Relations Officer	No	4	1,294	6,866	18.8%	No							
						Kenyon	Alma E.	Retired Business Owner	No	4	1,048	6,866	15.3%	No							
						SAN BENITO No City Contests															
						SAN BERNARDINO	11/2/99	APPLE VALLEY ^R	CITY COUNCIL	C	Full	Jasper	Tim	Local Business Owner	No	2	5,792	7,420	78.1%	Yes	
Roller	Catherine	Educator/Business Owner	No	2	1,628							7,420	21.9%	No							
D	Full	Risley	Michael W.	Deputy District Attorney	No							1	6,767	6,767	100.0%	Yes					
		E	Full	Burgnon	Ted							Law Enforcement Administrator	No	2	5,873	7,057	83.2%	Yes			
Full	Empson			Jeff A.	Millwright							No	2	1,184	7,057	16.8%	No				
	REDLANDS	CITY CLERK	Full	Poyzer	Lorrie							City Clerk	Yes	1	8,675	8,675	100.0%	Yes			
CITY COUNCIL				Full	Peppler							Susan	Legislative Specialist	No	5	7,297	22,410	32.6%	Yes		
					Haws							Karl N. (Kasey)	Businessman	No	5	7,062	22,410	31.5%	Yes		
					Cunningham							William E.	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,896	22,410	17.4%	No		
					Banda							Geni A. S.	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,504	22,410	15.6%	No		
					Valero							Alex	Environmental Manager	No	5	651	22,410	2.9%	No		
					CITY TREASURER							Full	Reynolds	Michael	City Treasurer	Yes	1	8,883	8,883	100.0%	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	CITY ATTORNEY	Full	Penman	Jim	City Attorney							Yes	1	7,560	7,560	100.0%	Yes				
			CITY CLERK	Full	Mendoza-Clark							Rachel	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,825	7,825	100.0%	Yes		
					CITY COUNCIL							3	Full	McGinnis	Gordon C.	Incumbent	Yes	2	536	900	59.6%
			Lara-Gonzalez	Gus										Construction Foreman	No	2	364	900	40.4%	No	
			5	Full									Suarez	Joe	Businessman	No	3	1,011	1,872	54.0%	Yes
													Erwin	James H.	Deputy Sheriff	No	3	678	1,872	36.2%	No
													Vasquez	Mike	Fire Captain	No	3	183	1,872	9.8%	No
			6	Full									Dean-Anderson	Betty	Incumbent	Yes	3	825	1,187	69.5%	Yes
					Crawford, II							Draymond	Investigator	No	3	196	1,187	16.5%	No		
					Cumming							Stewart D.	Teacher	No	3	166	1,187	14.0%	No		
			7	Full	McCammack							Wendy J.	Businesswoman/Financial Officer	No	6	717	2,536	28.3%	Yes		
					Mulvihill	Jim	University Professor	No	6	680	2,536	26.8%	No								
					Atkinson	Ann	Businesswoman	No	6	505	2,536	19.9%	No								
					Moreno	Manuel (Junior)	No ballot designation	No	6	418	2,536	16.5%	No								
					Sanborn	Paul W.	Fire Sprinkler Contractor	No	6	113	2,536	4.5%	No								
					Martinez	Albert R.	Supervising Parole Agent	No	6	103	2,536	4.1%	No								
CITY TREASURER	Full	Kennedy			David C.	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,579	7,579	100.0%	Yes									

^R To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN DIEGO	No City Contests															
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City contests included in County report															
SAN JOAQUIN	6/8/99	MANTECA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Macedo	David A.	Materials Accountability Representative	No	6	4,448	6,907	64.4%	Yes		
						Drake	Cecelia	Executive/Business Owner	No	6	1,075	6,907	15.6%	No		
						Lanschmidt	Larry E.	Businessman	No	6	889	6,907	12.9%	No		
						Flores	Wayne	Peace Officer	No	6	245	6,907	3.5%	No		
						Flora	Gregory	Sales Support Administrator	No	6	175	6,907	2.5%	No		
						Crothers	John	Retired State Employee	No	6	75	6,907	1.1%	No		
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests															
SAN MATEO	11/2/99	BELMONT	CITY CLERK		Full	Kern	Kathy	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,284	3,284	100.0%	Yes		
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Warden	Dave	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	3	2,637	7,076	37.3%	Yes
								Wright	Paul	Business Owner	No	3	2,273	7,076	32.1%	Yes
		Feierbach	Coralin	Mayor/Software Developer	Yes			3	2,166	7,076	30.6%	No				
		CITY TREASURER	Full	Mason	Howard E.	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,249	3,249	100.0%	Yes				
				BRISBANE	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bologoff	Cyril G. "Cy"	Incumbent	Yes	4	530	1,515	35.0%	Yes	
							Johnson	Clara A.	Office Manager	No	4	466	1,515	30.8%	Yes	
		Richardson	A. Sepi				Incumbent	Yes	4	405	1,515	26.7%	No			
		Licon	Daniel Ray				Financial Consultant	No	4	114	1,515	7.5%	No			
		BURLINGAME	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Janney	Mary H.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,622	9,231	28.4%	Yes			
					Coffey	Mike	Local Businessman	No	4	2,295	9,231	24.9%	Yes			
					Deal	Jerry	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	4	2,286	9,231	24.8%	No			
					Baylock	Cathy	Private Investor	No	4	2,028	9,231	22.0%	No			
		FOSTER CITY	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Cox	Ron	Planning Commissioner/Contractor	No	6	2,624	10,794	24.3%	Yes			
					Harter	Russ	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,094	10,794	19.4%	Yes			
					Larson	Eileen	Councilmember/Writer	Yes	6	2,024	10,794	18.8%	Yes			
					Sullivan	Patrick J.	Realtor/Broker Owner	No	6	1,479	10,794	13.7%	No			
					Galeno	Janet Ann	Elder Law Attorney	No	6	1,343	10,794	12.4%	No			
					Liberty	Lou	Attorney/Businessman	No	6	1,230	10,794	11.4%	No			
		HALF MOON BAY	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Coleman	Dennis Ricardo	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,753	5,014	35.0%	Yes			
					Ruddock	Deborah	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,725	5,014	34.4%	Yes			
Colucci	Bart Anthony				Businessman	No	3	1,536	5,014	30.6%	No					
MILLBRAE	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Hershman	Marc	Councilmember/Business Attorney	Yes	5	2,527	11,134	22.7%	Yes					
			Larson	Linda T.	Regional Manager	No	5	2,510	11,134	22.5%	Yes					
			Holober	Nadia V.	Planning Commissioner/Attorney	No	5	2,458	11,134	22.1%	Yes					
			Morse	Doris	Councilwoman	Yes	5	2,160	11,134	19.4%	No					
			Watson	Mark C.	Father/Attorney	No	5	1,479	11,134	13.3%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/2/99	PORTOLA VALLEY	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Comstock	Kirke W.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	617	1,214	50.8%	Yes			
						Davis	Edward M.	Retired Executive	No	2	597	1,214	49.2%	Yes			
REDWOOD CITY			CITY COUNCIL		Short	Brown	Craig M.	Councilmember	Yes	1	610	610	100.0%	Yes			
						Full	Ruskin	Ira	Council Member/Businessman	Yes	6	6,532	31,710	20.6%	Yes		
							Claire	Richard	Professor Accounting/Finance	No	6	6,046	31,710	19.1%	Yes		
							Pierce	Barbara	Education Foundation President	No	6	6,019	31,710	19.0%	Yes		
							Jordan	Colleen	Investment Advisor/Councilwoman	Yes	6	5,503	31,710	17.4%	Yes		
							Leipzig	Matt	Realtor/Councilman	Yes	6	4,150	31,710	13.1%	No		
						Atherly	La Verne	Independent Businessman	No	6	3,460	31,710	10.9%	No			
SAN BRUNO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	O'Connell	Irene	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	4	4,243	12,343	34.4%	Yes			
						Ruane	Jim	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	4	3,878	12,343	31.4%	Yes			
						Truman	Nancy	Secretary/Community Advocate	No	4	2,677	12,343	21.7%	No			
						Smith	R. E. (Bob)	Retired Marketing Director	No	4	1,545	12,343	12.5%	No			
			MAYOR	Full	Franzella	Larry	Businessperson/Realtor	No	3	3,076	6,797	45.3%	Yes				
					Pallas	Chris	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	No	3	2,029	6,797	29.9%	No				
					Welch	Joe	Local Contractor/Realtor	No	3	1,692	6,797	24.9%	No				
SAN CARLOS			CITY CLERK		Full	Antone	Christine Dale	Assistant City Clerk	No	1	4,217	4,217	100.0%	Yes			
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Buckmaster	David Laurence	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,799	9,252	41.1%	Yes	
								Davids	Tom	Property Management	No	3	3,125	9,252	33.8%	Yes	
								Grocott	Matt	Business Owner	No	3	2,328	9,252	25.2%	No	
CITY TREASURER	Full	Galvin	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,349	4,349	100.0%	Yes							
SAN MATEO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mack	Claire L.	Incumbent	Yes	3	8,304	22,463	37.0%	Yes			
						Lee	John	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	3	7,301	22,463	32.5%	Yes			
						Herrel	Karen R.	Corporate Vice President	No	3	6,858	22,463	30.5%	No			
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fernekes	Joe	City Councilmember/Administrator	Yes	3	4,552	10,349	44.0%	Yes			
						Mullin	Eugene R.	City Councilmember	Yes	3	4,367	10,349	42.2%	Yes			
						Post	Collin K.	Mechanic	No	3	1,430	10,349	13.8%	No			
WOODSIDE			CITY COUNCIL		1	Full	Tanner	Dave	General Business Contractor	No	1	490	490	100.0%	Yes		
						3	Full	Boynton	Susan B.	Businesswoman	No	1	520	520	100.0%	Yes	
							5	Full	Empey	Peter H.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	360	360	100.0%	Yes
							7	Full	Sinclair	Pete	Councilmember, Town of Woodside	Yes	1	506	506	100.0%	Yes
SANTA BARBARA	11/2/99	SANTA BARBARA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Blum	Marty	City Councilmember/Teacher	Yes	7	11,977	55,227	21.7%	Yes			
						Hart	Gregg	Council Member	Yes	7	11,037	55,227	20.0%	Yes			
						Fairly	Rusty	Retired Educator/Coach	No	7	8,564	55,227	15.5%	Yes			
						Strawn	John C.	Writer/Community Organizer	No	7	7,533	55,227	13.6%	No			
						Guzzardi	Joseph	Emergency Services Planner	No	7	7,521	55,227	13.6%	No			
						Rittenhouse	Bruce A.	Licensed Private Investigator	No	7	5,809	55,227	10.5%	No			
						Hansen	Bob	Carpenter/Community Organizer	No	7	2,786	55,227	5.0%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CLARA	11/2/99	CUPERTINO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lowenthal	Richard W.	Businessman	No	3	4,975	12,819	38.8%	Yes
						Chang	Michael S.	Council Member	Yes	3	4,562	12,819	35.6%	Yes
						Mahoney	Orrin	Marketing Manager	No	3	3,282	12,819	25.6%	No
		GILROY	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pinheiro	Al	Local Business Owner	No	8	2,873	12,689	22.6%	Yes
						Arellano	Peter D.	Physician	No	8	2,129	12,689	16.8%	Yes
						Velasco	Roland	Policy Analyst	No	8	1,897	12,689	14.9%	Yes
						Gartman	Craig	Project Manager	No	8	1,636	12,689	12.9%	No
						Flautt	Frank	Retired Systems Analyst	No	8	1,354	12,689	10.7%	No
						Valiquette	Russ	Paralegal	No	8	1,195	12,689	9.4%	No
						Champion	Huldah	No ballot designation	No	8	1,124	12,689	8.9%	No
						Krueger	Daniel	Technical Writer	No	8	481	12,689	3.8%	No
		MAYOR	Full	Springer	Tom	Council Member	Yes	4	1,943	4,810	40.4%	Yes		
				Arellano	Guadalupe (Lupe)	Business Woman/Council Member	Yes	4	1,503	4,810	31.2%	No		
				Morales	Charles S.	Council Member/Probation Counselor	Yes	4	1,218	4,810	25.3%	No		
				Willis	Manly Richards	No ballot designation	No	4	146	4,810	3.0%	No		
LOS ALTOS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Becker	Louis	Council Member	Yes	5	4,906	19,177	25.6%	Yes		
				la Poll	Francis Albert	Council Member	Yes	5	4,587	19,177	23.9%	Yes		
				Casto	Kris	Council Member	Yes	5	4,231	19,177	22.1%	Yes		
				Knecht	Ron	Economist and Engineer	No	5	3,162	19,177	16.5%	No		
				Mesel	Noah D.	Corporate Attorney	No	5	2,291	19,177	11.9%	No		
PALO ALTO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kleinberg	Judy	No ballot designation	No	8	8,758	41,679	21.0%	Yes		
				Lytle	Nancy	No ballot designation	No	8	7,874	41,679	18.9%	Yes		
				Mossar	Dena	No ballot designation	Yes	8	7,741	41,679	18.6%	Yes		
				Beecham	Bern	No ballot designation	No	8	6,679	41,679	16.0%	Yes		
				Cobb	Mike	No ballot designation	No	8	6,209	41,679	14.9%	No		
				Heyer	Mark	No ballot designation	No	8	2,687	41,679	6.4%	No		
				Frost	Victor	No ballot designation	No	8	875	41,679	2.1%	No		
				Power	Edmond	No ballot designation	No	8	856	41,679	2.1%	No		
				Short	Burch	Jim	No ballot designation	No	4	3,930	11,022	35.7%	Yes	
					Woods	Craig	No ballot designation	No	4	3,460	11,022	31.4%	No	
					Cassel	Phyllis C.	No ballot designation	No	4	2,037	11,022	18.5%	No	
Moss	Bob	No ballot designation	No		4	1,595	11,022	14.5%	No					
SUNNYVALE	CITY COUNCIL		4	Full	Walker	Jack	Council Member	Yes	2	7,773	11,758	66.1%	Yes	
					Schumann	David K.	Retired Financial Analyst	No	2	3,985	11,758	33.9%	No	
			5	Full	Valerio	Manuel	Council Member	Yes	1	9,740	9,740	100.0%	Yes	
			6	Full	Vorreiter	Pat	Council Member	Yes	1	9,625	9,625	100.0%	Yes	
			7	Full	Risch	Tim	Engineering Manager	No	2	8,998	12,108	74.3%	Yes	
		Rowe	Frances	Retired Office Administrator	No	2	3,110	12,108	25.7%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SANTA CRUZ	8/10/99	WATSONVILLE	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Lopez	Rafael	Community Health Clinic Administrator	No	2	300	426	70.4%	Yes		
						Perry-Bankhead	Alice	Small Business Owner	No	2	126	426	29.6%	No		
SHASTA	11/2/99	SHASTA LAKE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Reno	Mark	Fire Engineer/Paramedic	No	6	1,088	3,998	27.2%	Yes		
						Farr	Larry	Consulting Engineer	No	6	868	3,998	21.7%	Yes		
						Duryee	Debra	Small Business Owner	No	6	867	3,998	21.7%	Yes		
						Siner	Ray	Retired	No	6	462	3,998	11.6%	No		
						Chipley	Charles L.	General Contractor	Yes	6	366	3,998	9.2%	No		
						Coulter	Andy R.	Retired	Yes	6	347	3,998	8.7%	No		
SIERRA	No City Contests															
SISKIYOU	7/27/99	MONTAGUE ^R	CITY COUNCIL	1	Short	Klinefelter	Donald	Retired Businessman	No	1	195	195	100.0%	Yes		
						2	Short	Riddle	William L.	Retired Property Manager	No	2	112	208	53.8%	Yes
								Moser	Ronda	No ballot designation	No	2	96	208	46.2%	No
						WEED ^R	CITY COUNCIL	Short	Borcalli	Mel	Businesswoman	No	1	423	423	100.0%
SOLANO	11/2/99	BENICIA	CITY CLERK		Full	Purdy	Linda S.	City Clerk	Yes	1	5,305	5,305	100.0%	Yes		
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bidou	Pierre T.	Retired Chief of Police/Security Consultant	No	4	4,047	11,632	34.8%	Yes
			Whitney	William (Bill)	Benicia Businessman			No	4	3,263	11,632	28.1%	Yes			
			Gizzi	Stephen	Incumbent/Mediator/Businessperson			Yes	4	2,727	11,632	23.4%	No			
			Campbell	Tom	Orthodontist			No	4	1,595	11,632	13.7%	No			
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Souza	Virginia	City Treasurer	Yes	1	5,324	5,324	100.0%	Yes			
			MAYOR	Full	Messina	Steve	Vice-Mayor/Benicia Businessman	No	3	3,254	6,463	50.3%	Yes			
					Hayes	Jerry	Mayor/Incumbent	Yes	3	2,798	6,463	43.3%	No			
					Moore	Craig	No ballot designation	No	3	411	6,463	6.4%	No			
			FAIRFIELD	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Batson	Jack	History School Teacher	No	6	8,252	24,747	33.3%	Yes		
						MacMillan	Karin	Employment Resource Specialist	Yes	6	6,062	24,747	24.5%	Yes		
						Jensen	Gary	Retired Fireman	No	6	4,789	24,747	19.4%	No		
						Galvin-O'Regan	Noreen	Manager/Vice Mayor	Yes	6	3,453	24,747	14.0%	No		
						McGlone	Margo	School Principal, Solano Co. Office of Ed.	No	6	1,433	24,747	5.8%	No		
Quintero, III	R. W. "Bill"	No ballot designation				No	6	758	24,747	3.1%	No					
CITY TREASURER	Full	Reyes, Jr.				Oscar G.	No ballot designation	No	1	8,960	8,960	100.0%	Yes			
VALLEJO	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Davis	Gerald R.	Retired City Manager/Business Owner	No	13	6,242	48,523	12.9%	Yes					
			Donahue	Dan	Incumbent/Insurance Broker	Yes	13	5,921	48,523	12.2%	Yes					
			Cloutier	Gary R.	Civil Rights and Labor Lawyer	No	13	5,746	48,523	11.8%	Yes					
			Stafford	Kim David	Federal Agency Investigator	No	13	5,423	48,523	11.2%	No					
			Jackson	Jimmie	Businessman	No	13	4,684	48,523	9.7%	No					
			Heckmann	Kurt	Emergency Room Nurse (RN)	No	13	4,446	48,523	9.2%	No					

^R To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SOLANO (continued)	11/2/99	VALLEJO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Martin	Ray	Councilmember/Price Recorder	Yes	13	3,700	48,523	7.6%	No		
						Sultan	Mohsen	Bridge Engineer	No	13	3,296	48,523	6.8%	No		
						Reece	Norman H.	Ret. Navy/Fed. Civil Svc/Teacher/Minister	No	13	2,859	48,523	5.9%	No		
						Estioko	Oscar P.	Licensed Vocational Nurse	No	13	2,184	48,523	4.5%	No		
						Guinto-Peoro	Vilma	Business Management Consultant	No	13	1,722	48,523	3.5%	No		
						Orona	Leonard Tony	No ballot designation	No	13	1,175	48,523	2.4%	No		
						Gentile-Martin	Irma	Activity Director/Caterer	No	13	1,125	48,523	2.3%	No		
			MAYOR		Full	Intintti	A. J. "Tony"	Retired Teacher/Attorney	No	5	6,856	18,685	36.7%	Yes		
						Pitts	Pamela	Business Owner/City Council Member	No	5	5,294	18,685	28.3%	No		
						Hicks	Foster	Educator	No	5	3,603	18,685	19.3%	No		
						Velasco	Geno	Businessman/Realtor/Underwriter	No	5	2,634	18,685	14.1%	No		
						Anderson	Lateacheeah	Business Woman	No	5	298	18,685	1.6%	No		
			SONOMA	No City Contests												
STANISLAUS	6/8/99	WATERFORD	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Bishop, Sr.	Ronald D.	No ballot designation	No	3	213	386	55.2%	Yes		
						Davis	E. L.	Retired	No	3	94	386	24.4%	No		
						Gold-Gray	Shirley	Homemaker	No	3	79	386	20.5%	No		
	11/2/99	CERES		CITY CLERK	Full	Scudder-Herbert	Brenda	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,442	2,442	100.0%	Yes		
						CITY COUNCIL	Ingwerson	Eric E.	Mayor/Realtor	No	5	1,437	4,849	29.6%	Yes	
							Moore	DeLinda	Secretary	No	5	1,381	4,849	28.5%	Yes	
							Gilgert	Irv	Farmer/Retired Sales	No	5	1,163	4,849	24.0%	No	
							Perez	Enrique E.	Juvenile Diversion Coordinator	No	5	492	4,849	10.1%	No	
							Bubeck	Don	Building Inspector	No	5	376	4,849	7.8%	No	
							CITY TREASURER	Armstrong	Margaret F.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,804	2,708	66.6%	Yes
								Herbert	Harry	Computer Consultant	No	2	904	2,708	33.4%	No
		MAYOR	Full	Arrollo	Louie	School Administrator	No	2	1,976	2,875	68.7%	Yes				
				Felix	Richard	Technician	No	2	899	2,875	31.3%	No				
		MODESTO		CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Dobbs	Pat	Businesswoman	Yes	6	8,191	21,775	37.6%	Yes	
							Frohman	Bruce	Administrator	No	6	5,873	21,775	27.0%	No	
							McCloud	Demarcus	Realtor	No	6	2,221	21,775	10.2%	No	
							Lopez	David Michael	Senior Systems Administrator	No	6	2,115	21,775	9.7%	No	
							Nugent	Marcus	General Handyman	No	6	1,792	21,775	8.2%	No	
							Donoso	Miguel B.	Community Services Worker	No	6	1,583	21,775	7.3%	No	
3	Full						Conrad	Bill	Councilmember	Yes	4	11,579	22,745	50.9%	Yes	
							Bavaro	Nicholas	Businessman	No	4	7,093	22,745	31.2%	No	
							Herman	Richard S.	Counselor at Law	No	4	2,731	22,745	12.0%	No	
							Bulboff	Gary	Auto Parts Sales	No	4	1,342	22,745	5.9%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
STANISLAUS (continued)	11/2/99	MODESTO	CITY COUNCIL	6	Full	Fisher	Tim	Councilmember	Yes	3	11,223	21,802	51.5%	Yes
						Burke	Steve	Public Advocacy Consultant	No	3	7,370	21,802	33.8%	No
						Trapp	Steven	Entrepreneur	No	3	3,209	21,802	14.7%	No
			MAYOR	Full	Lang	Richard A.	Mayor/Educator	Yes	4	8,333	23,706	35.2%	Yes	
					Sabatino	Carmen	Businessman	No	4	7,540	23,706	31.8%	No	
					Friedman	Kenni	Councilmember	No	4	5,910	23,706	24.9%	No	
	12/14/99	MODESTO	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Frohman	Bruce	Administrator	No	2	16,231	30,159	53.8%	Yes
						Dobbs	Pat	Businesswoman	Yes	2	13,928	30,159	46.2%	No
			MAYOR	Full	Sabatino	Carmen	Businessman	No	2	16,353	31,163	52.5%	Yes	
					Lang	Richard A.	Mayor/Educator	Yes	2	14,810	31,163	47.5%	No	
SUTTER	No City Contests													
TEHAMA	No City Contests													
TRINITY	No City Contests													
TULARE	3/2/99	PORTERVILLE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gurrola	Virginia R.	Incumbent	Yes	8	1,193	4,861	24.5%	Yes
						Woods	Gordon T.	Business Manager	No	8	967	4,861	19.9%	Yes
						Wilson	William	Health Care Administrator	No	8	844	4,861	17.4%	Yes
						Garcia	Dagoberto	Educator	No	8	578	4,861	11.9%	No
						Tobie	Theoplis	No ballot designation	No	8	388	4,861	8.0%	No
						Martinez	Pedro	Small Business Owner	No	8	366	4,861	7.5%	No
						Mekeel	Gary	Public Education	No	8	318	4,861	6.5%	No
						Clark	Kris	No ballot designation	No	8	177	4,861	3.6%	No
	11/2/99	VISALIA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Link	Bob	Small Business Owner	No	6	8,602	21,927	39.2%	Yes
						Thomason	Wendy F.	Small Business Owner	No	6	5,557	21,927	25.3%	Yes
						Gregory	Wally	Businessman	Yes	6	3,950	21,927	18.0%	No
						Munoz	Rachel	Paralegal	No	6	2,009	21,927	9.2%	No
						Smith	Tom	Retired Broker	No	6	1,027	21,927	4.7%	No
						Ludwig	Roy E.	Business Manager	No	6	751	21,927	3.4%	No
						TUOLUMNE	No City Contests							
VENTURA	11/2/99	SAN BUENAVENTURA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Friedman	Jim	Councilmember/Small Businessman	Yes	12	7,806	49,000	15.9%	Yes
						di Guilio	Ray	Councilmember, San Buenaventura	Yes	12	6,201	49,000	12.7%	Yes
						Morehouse	Carl	Land Use Planner	No	12	5,733	49,000	11.7%	Yes
						Ryan	Bob	Pediatrician	No	12	5,430	49,000	11.1%	No
						Halter	Doug	Small Business Owner	No	12	5,227	49,000	10.7%	No
						Thompson	Paul W.	Peace Officer	No	12	4,767	49,000	9.7%	No
						Underhill	Diane	Business Owner	No	12	4,019	49,000	8.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 1999

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
VENTURA	11/2/99	SAN BUENAVENTURA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Jewett	Roger F.	Retired Professional Engineer	No	12	3,288	49,000	6.7%	No
(continued)						Gartman	Charlie	Businessman-Restaurant Owner	No	12	2,264	49,000	4.6%	No
						Rencher	Brian Lee	Business Scientist/Researcher	No	12	2,246	49,000	4.6%	No
						Doyle-Cogdill	Lynn	Youth Activist	No	12	1,292	49,000	2.6%	No
						Ryan	E. R. (Ed)	Operations Manager	No	12	692	49,000	1.4%	No
YOLO	No City Contests													
YUBA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 1999

		City Council		Mayor		City Clerk		City Treasurer		City Attorney		Total	
		Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>
Incumbent Candidates	Win	79.6	109	63.2	12	93.3	14	100.0	13	100.0	2	80.6	150
	Lose	20.4	28	36.8	7	6.7	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	19.4	36
	Total	100.0	137	100.0	19	100.0	15	100.0	13	100.0	2	100.0	186
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	29.0	135	18.9	10	25.0	4	29.4	5	0.0	0	27.9	154
	Lose	71.0	331	81.1	43	75.0	12	70.6	12	0.0	0	72.1	398
	Total	100.0	466	100.0	53	100.0	16	100.0	17	100.0	0	100.0	552
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	44.7	109	54.5	12	77.8	14	72.2	13	100.0	2	49.3	150
	Non-Incumbent	55.3	135	45.5	10	22.2	4	27.8	5	0.0	0	50.7	154
	Total	100.0	244	100.0	22	100.0	18	100.0	18	100.0	2	100.0	304
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	7.8	28	14.0	7	7.7	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.3	36
	Non-Incumbent	92.2	331	86.0	43	92.3	12	100.0	12	0.0	0	91.7	398
	Total	100.0	359	100.0	50	100.0	13	100.0	12	100.0	0	100.0	434
All Candidates	Incumbent	22.7	137	26.4	19	48.4	15	43.3	13	100.0	2	25.2	186
	Non-Incumbent	77.3	466	73.6	53	51.6	16	56.7	17	0.0	0	74.8	552
	Total	100.0	603	100.0	72	100.0	31	100.0	30	100.0	2	100.0	738