

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

2001 ELECTIONS

City Offices and Ballot Measures



Institute for Social Research
Center For California Studies
California State University, Sacramento



CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2001 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,
Director, Center for California Studies

Carole Barnes, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	i
2001 County, City and School District Election Dates by County	ix
2001 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2001	3
Table B Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2001	5
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2001	7
PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES.....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2001.....	11
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2001	15
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2001	21
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2001	22
PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES	23
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2001.....	25
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2001	47

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, both of California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes both candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California.

Election data are collected periodically throughout the year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to subject (e.g., taxes, education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, ISR offers program evaluation, needs assessment, time or workload studies, descriptive surveys and the compilation and analysis of existing data to federal, state and local government agencies, non-profit organizations, as well as the University and its faculty. Specific research capabilities include: research and sampling design, questionnaire construction and formatting, in-person interviewing, computer-assisted questionnaires and telephone interviewing, multi-lingual interviewing, focus groups, mailed survey administration, data entry and database management, statistical analysis, table and graph construction, report-writing and production. ISR's supplements its full-time staff with university faculty in the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education as projects require their specialized expertise. ISR also offers undergraduate and graduate research apprenticeships, providing paraprofessional training in research skills, for pay and/or credit, to the university's students.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2001 ELECTION DATA

The year 2001 saw a total of 96 county, city and school and community college district elections. All of California's 58 counties held at least one local election. The bulk of these elections were held on the regular election dates defined by the state's Election Code: 21 of the elections were conducted on the normal March primary date, 11 conducted in the first Tuesday in June and 34 on the normal November election day. The remaining 30 were special elections scattered through the year. These 96 elections determined the passage or failure of 233 local ballot measures and the election or defeat of 2,525 candidates for local elective office. In comparison, in the last odd-numbered election year, 1999 there were 283 ballot measures and 2,293 candidates for local offices while 2000 witnessed 559 ballot measures and 5,153 candidates. (Table A, Table C)

BALLOT MEASURES

In 2001 California voters faced a total of 233 county, city and school and community college district ballot measures of which 36 were county measures, 94 city measures and 103 school district measures. More than nine out of ten of these measures were placed on the ballot by local governing boards and only 22 or 9.4 percent made it to the ballot through the initiative process. As has been the trend in recent years (see above), the most common subject of local ballot measures was education with 106 measures or 45.5 percent of the statewide total. The most common types of measures were bond measures (73 or 31.3 percent) and tax related measures (69 or 29.6 percent). (Table A, Table B)

Voters were very supportive of local ballot measures, passing 70.4 percent or 164 measures.

Voters were also friendly towards new spending and taxes. Of the 73 bond measures, 55 or 75.3 percent passed. Of the 68 tax measures, 49 or 72.0 percent passed. Thus voters approved bond and tax measures at a higher rate than all ballot measures. This was especially true with education related ballot measures. Of the 106 education measures, 62 percent were bonds of which 47 or 75.8 percent passed. Another 23 education measures were tax measures of which 16 or 69.6 percent were approved by district voters. (Table A)

As noted above (see Trends section), the total number of local ballot measures in 2001 dropped from previous odd-numbered years. To illustrate, in 1995 there were a total of 252 ballots measures, in 1997 312 and in 1999 342 measures. In 2001 there were only 233. The CEDA data do not provide an explanation for this drop. The causes, however, may include the economic uncertainty that characterized most of 2001 that might have led to decisions to delay bond and tax measures. The wave of ballot measures necessitated by Proposition 218 has also peaked. Finally, and given the high number of bond measures in recent years, both the need for bonds and the bonding capacity of various local entities may have been largely met for the time being. (Table A)

County Measures. Only 12 counties had ballot measure elections in 2001 and the total number of county ballots measures was comparatively low. However, of the 36 county measures, 27 were passed for a 75 percent success rate. County measures relating to taxation and bonds were favorably received. Ten of 13 tax measures passed (76.9 percent) as did all 3 bond measures (100 percent). On the other hand, the 12 measures relating to governance issues had decidedly mixed results with 7 passing (58.3 percent) and 5 failing (42.6 percent). (County Report, Table 1.3)

County taxpayers were willing to tax themselves but not through countywide tax measures. The proliferation of community service districts (CSD) or county service areas (CSA) continued in 2001. CSDs and CSAs are county level special districts with governing boards and authority to levy taxes. Community service districts are created to allow voters in a clearly defined geographic area to tax themselves for the purpose of providing specified services to that area. For example a CSD or CSA can be created to finance and administer park and recreation, transportation or other public services that the countywide electorate would not support but voters of the specific area would. In 2001 there were a total of 14 CSD/CSA tax measures of which 11 (78.5 percent) passed. (County Report, Table 1.3, Table 1.4)

Marin County, for example, had a total of 11 ballot measures in 2001. Nine of those measures created or increased taxes for new and existing community service districts and county service areas. Eight of the nine measures passed. These measures primarily provided funding for road, landscape, marine and beach maintenance. Plumas County had only 2 ballot measures in 2001, both community service district tax measures providing revenue for emergency medical and fire services in two separate districts within Plumas County. Both passed. It should be noted that San Francisco traditionally has more ballot measures than any other county but in 2001, its 8 measures was second to Marin. Together Marin and San Francisco were responsible for more than half of all county ballot measures. (County Report, Table 1.3, Table 1.4)

City Measures. In 2001 64 of the 94 city measures presented to the voters passed (68 percent). This success rate was lower than the 75.0 percent rate for county measures. The most common municipal measures were tax measures (32 or 34 percent) with charter amendments being the second most frequent (24 or 25.5 percent). Tax measures fared well with 23 or 71.8 percent passing. However, 10 of the 32 tax measures levied taxes not on city residents but

rather on visitors through transient occupancy or entertainment tax measures. Charter amendments were also generally successful with 15 such measures being adopted (62.5 percent). Governance issues were the most frequent topic of city ballot measures with 35 measures or 37.2 percent of all city measures falling into this category. Revenue measures accounting for 27 or 28.7 percent of the total number of city measures. Both types, but especially revenue measures, enjoyed high success rates, (69 percent and 89 percent respectively). City voters approved 5 out of 8 bond measures (62.5 percent) acquiring \$69,125,000 new municipal indebtedness. (City Report, Table 1.3)

School Measures. As in previous odd-numbered years, school and community college districts measures represented the largest number of ballot measures in 2001. The 103 school measures had a high success rate with 73 measures (70.8 percent) being approved by the voters. Of these measures, the majority, 62, were bond measures (60.1 percent), of which 47 (75.8 percent) passed, creating more than three billion dollars in school bond indebtedness. Of the remaining 41 ballot measures, 23 were tax measures of which 16 or 69.6 percent passed, and 14 recalls, nine of which passed. The remaining 4 measures dealt with ordinances. (School District Report, Table 1.3)

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

In 2001, 2,525 Californians presented themselves to the voters as candidates for 1,175 county, city and school and community college district elected positions. This total of 2,525 candidates is the highest for an odd-numbered year since the inception of CEDA in 1994. The low was 2,293 in 1999. This increase is likely attributable to the increase in both the number of and the visibility of community service districts and community service areas. Both CSDs and CSAs have elective boards of directors. In 1997, there were 21 candidates for such positions; in 1999 there were 138 and 186 in 2001. (Table C)

Overall, incumbents continued to fare well among voters. Of the 755 incumbent candidates that sought reelection, 584 or 77.4 percent succeeded while only 171 or 22.6 percent lost. However, the candidates who won election to local office continue to be split roughly 50/50 between returning incumbents and new faces. In 2001, incumbents filled 49.7 percent of the 1175 available local elected positions available while 50.3 of the positions went to non-incumbents. This remains an important finding. Incumbent reelection rates alone can create the impression of static local governing boards with no turnover. CEDA data provides a clear and more detailed picture of governing boards with steady and significant turnover and local electorates that are positively inclined to reelect incumbents. (Table C)

County races. In 2001 county races were predominantly elections for directors of community service districts. There were 186 candidates for 94 CSD director positions. Of the 186 candidates 38 incumbents won and 14 lost while 56 non-incumbent candidates won and 78 lost. There were only 2 other county elections in California in 2001. One was for a county treasurer. The incumbent was the only candidate. The other election was for a county district attorney. There were two candidates and the incumbent won. (Table C, County Report, Table 2.2)

City races. Incumbents also fared well in city races. In 2001, 702 candidates ran for 268 posts in city government. Most ran for the 224 city council seats up in 2001. Indeed, the 663 city council candidates represented 94.4 percent of all city candidates. (Table C)

Voters continued to favor incumbent council members seeking reelection. Of the 144

incumbents running, 114 or 79.2 percent won and only 30 or 20.8 percent lost. Of the 459 non-incumbent candidates, 110 or 24 percent won while 349 or 76 percent lost. Non-incumbents were elected to 110 or 49.1 percent of all seats up last year while incumbents filled 114 or 50.9 percent. Again, the data underscores that high incumbent reelection rates do not necessarily mean an absence of healthy turnover among local elected officials. There were 44 other city posts open in 2001 that drew 99 candidates. Of these candidates, 33 were incumbents of who 30 won reelection for a 90.9 incumbent reelection rate. Consequently, of the 44 available city offices, 30 or 68.2 percent were taken by incumbents while non-incumbents were elected to 14 or 31.8 percent of the positions. (Table C)

School District Races. In 2001 there were 1,634 candidates for 811 seats on school boards. Of these, 525 were incumbents and 1,109 were non-incumbents. As usual, incumbents were more successful with a success rate of 76.4 percent as compared to the non-incumbent success rate of only 37 percent. However, once again high incumbent reelection rates did not prevent a healthy turnover rate with the 811 trustee positions being filled by 401 incumbents and 410 non-incumbents (49.4 percent and 50.5 percent respectively). (Table C)

TRENDS

The 2001 elections had the fewest ballot measures of the seven election years tracked by CEDA. Although odd-numbered years typically have roughly half as many ballot measures as even-numbered ones, 2001 had still fewer than recent history would suggest -- 233 compared with a range of 252 to 342 in 1995, 1997 and 1999. On the other hand, the 2001 elections offered more candidates (2525) than had previously characterized these odd-numbered years (2293 to 2498). Overall pass rates for the ballot measures reached a seven-year high of 70%, exceeding the high of 61% in 1995 by 9 percentage points. The proportion of incumbents (30%) is consistent with previous years. From 1997 through 2001, the proportion of incumbents varied between 30% and 32%. (Table A, Table C)

BALLOT MEASURES

While bonds continue to make up a higher percentage of ballot measures during the odd-numbered years (36% to 38% in 1995, 1997 and 1999 vs. 11 to 26% in the even-numbered years), there were fewer than expected during 2001 (31%). The pass rate for bonds, however, jumped from a stable range of 58% to 61% during 1996 through 2000 to 75% in 2001. Tax measures as well passed in unprecedented numbers (72% compared with previous highs of 56% and 57% in 1997 and 1999). The number of tax measures rebounded sharply from a low of 19% and 22% in 1999 and 2000 to a level (29%) that characterized 1996 through 1998 (25% to 29%). There were fewer ordinances (33) than any CEDA year except 1997, when a single ordinance was offered and passed. The pass rate of 58% was consistent with that for ordinances in the other five years, which have hardly varied from that figure. There was an above average number of recalls (21) with a very typical 71% passing, but fewer charter amendments (25) than in all but one year of the last seven. Only 20 were offered in 1999, but the number varied from 31 in 1997 to a high of 115 in 1996. The pass rate for charter amendments was also lower in the two years with fewer amendments (50% in 1999 and 60% in 2001 compared with 67% to 93% in the other five years). In 2001, a single initiative appeared on a city ballot and passed. Typically, there have been between 7 and 21 initiatives during an election year. (Table A)

Education remains the hot topic of ballot measures, particularly in odd-numbered years. In each of the four, starting in 1995, education made up between 42% and 51% of the measures. Although governance issues compete with education for voter attention in the even-numbered years, education measures outnumber governance measures by roughly two to one in the alternate years (45% to 19% in 2001). Pass rates, unusually high in 2001 for education measures (72% vs. 53% to 67% in the preceding six years), were on target for governance issues (67% in 2001 with the previous five years varying between 63% and 67%). (Table B)

Ranked third for each of the last six years, land use issues virtually disappeared from the ballot in 2001. However, the seven measures garnered a not untypical 71% pass rate. Public facilities and public safety measures (with 16 and 11 measures respectively) eclipsed land use. While pass rates for public facilities measures were closer to the seven year low (50% compared with lows of 37% and 50% in 1996 and 1995), the pass rate of 73% for public safety measures was at least 50% higher than those for comparable measures in the preceding six years, a legacy perhaps of the World Trade Center tragedy. Markedly fewer measures dealt with general services (7) and transportation (4), numbers so low that pass rates are unreliable. (Table B)

School districts and cities account for most of the ballot measures in each election year, with each averaging slightly less than half of all measures in the odd-numbered years. In 2001, school districts comprised 44% and cities, 40%, of all measures. County measures, a mere 15% of all ballot measures in 2001, make up about a fifth in the even-numbered years. The increasing number of county ballot measures in odd-numbered years, from 17 in 1995 to 36 in 2001, reflects an increase in the number of community service districts (CSDs). CSDs are typically created to provide services to a particular area within a county, allowing parcel tax, bonds and other similar measures to be voted upon only by the people directly affected rather than by the county as a whole. In 2001, 20 of 36, or 56%, of the county measures were CSD measures. Without the CSD measures, the number of county measures (16) would have been right at the usual 7%. (County Report, Table 1.3, City Report Table 1.3, School District Report, Table 1.3)

County Measures. Tax measures and ordinances account for two-thirds of all county ballot measures (36% and 31% respectively). They occur more often in even-numbered years, with three-fourths of those on the ballot in the past seven years appearing in 1996, 1998 and 2000. Although small in number, county tax measures (13) and bonds (3) in 2001 enjoyed high pass rates (77% and 100% respectively). County bonds and recalls are rare; only 20 bonds and 12 recalls appeared on the ballot over the past seven years. County initiatives occur only in even-numbered years while charter amendments are concentrated in those years as well (79% of those on the ballot between 1995 and 2001 appeared in the even-numbered years). (County Report, Table 1.3)

County measures dealt primarily with governance issues (33%), public safety (19%) and public facilities (14%). Because of the small numbers, pass rates are unreliable. (County Report, Table 1.4)

City Measures. Most city measures are taxes (34%), charter amendments (26%) or ordinances (19%). Like counties, cities offer more of these types of measures in the even-numbered years. Three-fourths of city ordinances, and two-thirds of city tax measures and charter amendments appeared on ballots in 1996, 1998 and 2000. While 2001 pass rates for ordinances and charter amendments were close to the usual range (48% to 58% for ordinances and 70% to 94% for charter amendments), the 72% pass rate for taxes was uncharacteristically high -- pass rates

during the previous six years varied between 29% and 52%. While a 70% pass rate is not unusual for school district tax measures, the 72% and 77% pass rates for city and county tax measures in 2001 were much higher than expected. (City Report, Table 1.3)

City measures focused on governance issues (35%), revenue (29%) and public facilities (13%). The pass rate (73%) for governance issues was a little higher than the range for the past five years (62% to 68%). Revenue measures not targeted for specific expenditure categories were introduced as a topic area for city measures in 2000. Voter acceptance of these measures was quite robust (88%). Inspection of their content suggests why: many are occupancy and entertainment taxes which disproportionately tax visitors rather than residents. (City Report, Table 1.4)

School District Measures. While cities and counties concentrate more of their ballot measures in the even-numbered years, school districts make up approximately half of all measures during the odd-numbered years. Most of these measures are either bonds (60% in 2001) or tax measures (22%) with recalls a distant third (14%). Pass rates for bonds were unusually high in 2001 (76% compared with a range of 45% to 59% in five of the last six years); the 70% pass rate for tax measures was in the usual range (63% to 100% in five of the last six years). (School District Report, Table 1.3)

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

Tellingly, almost all county candidates in 2001 were running for directors of CSDs (186 out of 189 candidates for county offices). This caps a dramatic increase in the number of county candidates during the odd-numbered years, beginning with 0 in 1995, growing to 21 in 1997 and 138 in 1999, and jumping to 189 in 2001. Nevertheless, most county offices are decided in even-numbered year elections (79%), while two-thirds of all candidates for city offices (68%) run in those years and roughly half (52%) of those running for school boards. The proportion of incumbents was higher among those running for director of a CSD (28%) than among those running for City Council (24%)-- a substantial difference given the large numbers involved. The short history and lower profile of CSDs may account for the higher proportion of incumbent candidates. The incumbency ratio for school board candidates is consistent with previous years-- it has varied between 30 and 33% during five of the last six years. The city council incumbency ratio is at the low end of its customary range, which has varied between 23% and 26% over the last five years. (Table C)

2001 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/23	2/27	3/6	3/20	4/3	4/10	4/17	5/8	5/22	6/5	6/19	7/10	7/17	7/24	7/31	8/28	9/25	10/23	11/4	11/6	11/8	12/4
Alameda			✓													✓		✓		✓		
Butte										✓												
Colusa																					✓	
Contra Costa			✓							✓											✓	
El Dorado			✓																		✓	
Fresno			✓										✓								✓	
Humboldt																					✓	
Imperial		✓																			✓	
Inyo			✓																			
Kern			✓							✓									✓	✓		✓
Kings			✓																		✓	
Lake																					✓	
Los Angeles		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓											✓	
Marin			✓						✓												✓	
Mendocino																					✓	
Merced																					✓	
Monterey	✓			✓							✓										✓	
Napa			✓																			
Nevada			✓																			
Orange																					✓	
Placer			✓																			
Plumas			✓																		✓	
Riverside															✓		✓				✓	✓
Sacramento						✓													✓			
San Bernardino	✓		✓					✓		✓		✓					✓				✓	
San Diego		✓					✓			✓				✓								
San Francisco																					✓	
San Joaquin			✓																✓			
San Mateo			✓							✓											✓	

2001 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/23	2/27	3/6	3/20	4/3	4/10	4/17	5/8	5/22	6/5	6/19	7/10	7/17	7/24	7/31	8/28	9/25	10/23	11/4	11/6	11/8	12/4	
Santa Barbara			✓																	✓			
Santa Clara			✓							✓											✓		
Santa Cruz										✓													
Shasta			✓																		✓		
Sierra																							
Siskiyou																					✓		
Solano																					✓		
Sonoma			✓							✓											✓	✓	
Stanislaus																					✓		
Tehama																					✓		
Trinity																					✓		
Tulare			✓															✓			✓		
Tuolumne																					✓		
Ventura																					✓		
Yolo										✓											✓		

2001 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	7	0			1	0					4	0					12	0	12
Butte					0	1											0	1	1
Contra Costa	6	1	2	0	1	1	0	1									9	3	12
El Dorado	0	1															0	1	1
Fresno			1	1							1	0					2	1	3
Humboldt			2	0													2	0	2
Imperial											0	1					0	1	1
Kern	1	2	2	1							4	0					7	3	10
Kings	0	1					1	0			1	0					2	1	3
Lake			2	0													2	0	2
Los Angeles	5	4	6	3	3	3	1	1	1	0					4	6	20	17	37
Marin	6	1	5	0							0	1	2	0	0	1	13	3	16
Merced			0	1													0	1	1
Monterey			2	0	1	0											3	0	3
Napa					3	0											3	0	3
Nevada	1	0															1	0	1
Orange	1	0															1	0	1
Placer	1	0															1	0	1
Plumas	2	0									0	1					2	1	3
Riverside	1	1	3	1	1	0	1	0							0	1	6	3	9
Sacramento			1	0													1	0	1
San Bernardino	1	1	4	2	3	0									1	2	9	5	14
San Diego	1	0	0	1													1	1	2
San Francisco			2	0											5	2	7	2	9
San Joaquin			0	2													0	2	2
San Mateo	10	3	8	2	0	1							2	0	2	0	22	6	28
Santa Barbara															1	1	1	1	2

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Santa Clara	4	0	4	0	1	1									1	0	10	1	11
Santa Cruz	0	1													2	0	2	1	3
Shasta			1	1							3	0					4	1	5
Sierra											1	0					1	0	1
Siskiyou											1	0					1	0	1
Solano	0	1	1	0											1	0	2	1	3
Sonoma	2	0	2	0									1	0			5	0	5
Stanislaus	0	1	6	0	0	3	2	0							1	0	9	4	13
Tehama			0	1											0	1	0	2	2
Trinity			0	1													0	1	1
Tulare			1	1							0	3					1	4	5
Ventura															1	0	1	0	1
Yolo	0	1			1	0											1	1	2
All Counties	49	19	55	18	15	10	5	2	1	0	15	6	5	0	19	14	164	69	233

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	8	0					1	0											3	0			12	0	12
Butte											0	1											0	1	1
Contra Costa	3	1			2	0	1	1					1	1					2	0			9	3	12
El Dorado	0	1																					0	1	1
Fresno	2	1																					2	1	3
Humboldt	2	0																					2	0	2
Imperial	0	1																					0	1	1
Kern	2	2					4	0			0	1							1	0			7	3	10
Kings					1	0	1	0											0	1			2	1	3
Lake	2	0																					2	0	2
Los Angeles	6	3	1	1	0	2	6	4	0	1	1	1	1	1					5	3	0	1	20	17	37
Marin	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	3	0							3	1	2	0			13	3	16
Merced	0	1																					0	1	1
Monterey	2	0					1	0															3	0	3
Napa							2	0					1	0									3	0	3
Nevada	1	0																					1	0	1
Orange																			1	0			1	0	1
Placer	1	0																					1	0	1
Plumas	0	1			2	0																	2	1	3
Riverside	3	1					1	0					1	2					1	0			6	3	9
Sacramento	1	0																					1	0	1
San Bernardino	4	2	1	0			3	1					0	2					1	0			9	5	14
San Diego	0	1			1	0																	1	1	2
San Francisco	1	0	1	0			4	2					1	0									7	2	9
San Joaquin	0	2																					0	2	2
San Mateo	7	4	1	0			1	1					3	1					10	0			22	6	28
Santa Barbara							1	1															1	1	2

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Santa Clara	7	0					2	1											1	0			10	1	11
Santa Cruz	2	1																					2	1	3
Shasta	4	1																					4	1	5
Sierra	1	0																					1	0	1
Siskiyou	1	0																					1	0	1
Solano	1	0			0	1	1	0															2	1	3
Sonoma	4	0			1	0																	5	0	5
Stanislaus	6	0					1	3									2	1					9	4	13
Tehama	0	2																					0	2	2
Trinity	0	1																					0	1	1
Tulare	1	4																					1	4	5
Ventura			1	0																			1	0	1
Yolo													0	1	1	0							1	1	2
All Counties	76	30	5	2	8	3	30	15	3	1	1	3	8	8	1	0	5	2	27	4	0	1	164	69	233

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2001

		Director, CSD ¹		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>
		Incumbent Candidates	Win	73.1	38	100.0	1	79.2	114	90.9	30	76.4	401
	Lose	26.9	14	0.0	0	20.8	30	9.1	3	23.6	124	22.6	171
	Total	100.0	52	100.0	1	100.0	144	100.0	33	100.0	525	100.0	755
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	41.8	56	50.0	1	24.0	110	21.2	14	37.0	410	33.4	591
	Lose	58.2	78	50.0	1	76.0	349	78.8	52	63.0	699	66.6	1179
	Total	100.0	134	100.0	2	100.0	459	100.0	66	100.0	1109	100.0	1770
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	40.4	38	50.0	1	50.9	114	68.2	30	49.4	401	49.7	584
	Non-Incumbent	59.6	56	50.0	1	49.1	110	31.8	14	50.6	410	50.3	591
	Total	100.0	94	100.0	2	100.0	224	100.0	44	100.0	811	100.0	1175
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	15.2	14	0.0	0	7.9	30	5.5	3	15.1	124	12.7	171
	Non-Incumbent	84.8	78	100.0	1	92.1	349	94.5	52	84.9	699	87.3	1179
	Total	100.0	92	100.0	1	100.0	379	100.0	55	100.0	823	100.0	1350
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.0	52	33.3	1	23.9	144	33.3	33	32.1	525	29.9	755
	Non-Incumbent	72.0	134	66.7	2	76.1	459	66.7	66	67.9	1109	70.1	1770
	Total	100.0	186	100.0	3	100.0	603	100.0	99	100.0	1634	100.0	2525

¹Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	11/06/01	Albany	Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization		1,405	2,404	58.4%	Pass
		Emeryville	Measure D	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		401	607	66.1%	Pass
			Measure E	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		379	599	63.3%	Pass
		Newark	Measure F	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		2,389	3,847	62.1%	Pass
ALPINE	No City Measures									
AMADOR	No City Measures									
BUTTE	06/05/01	Chico	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Transport: Roads		4,548	10,050	45.3%	Fail
CALAVERAS	No City Measures									
COLUSA	No City Measures									
CONTRA COSTA	03/06/01	Hercules	Measure E	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,721	3,058	56.3%	Pass
			Measure F	Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,811	3,067	59.0%	Pass
			Measure G	Advisory	Education: Districts		1,395	3,210	43.5%	Fail
	06/05/01	Orinda	Measure H	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries		4,253	5,627	75.6%	Pass ^T
	11/06/01	Brentwood	Measure J	GO Bond	Safety: Police		2,132	2,886	73.9%	Pass ^T
		Richmond	Measure L	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections		6,000	12,402	48.4%	Fail
			Measure M	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries		6,163	12,701	48.5%	Fail ^T
		San Ramon	Measure K	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits		4,261	5,610	76.0%	Pass
DEL NORTE	No City Measures									
EL DORADO	No City Measures									
FRESNO	No City Measures									
GLENN	No City Measures									
HUMBOLDT	No City Measures									
IMPERIAL	No City Measures									
INYO	No City Measures									
KERN	06/05/01	California City	Measure C	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		1,160	1,614	71.9%	Pass ^T
	12/04/01	Taft	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recalls		673	1,318	51.1%	Pass
KINGS	03/06/01	Corcoran	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recalls		927	1,032	89.8%	Pass
LAKE	No City Measures									
LASSEN	No City Measures									
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Hawaiian Gardens	Measure 01-A	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		510	949	53.7%	Pass
			Measure 01-B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization		521	950	54.8%	Pass
		Pasadena	Measure A	Initiative	Transport: Roads		9,654	16,562	58.3%	Pass
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits		8,845	14,710	60.1%	Pass
			Measure C	Ordinance	Transport: Roads		7,232	16,144	44.8%	Fail

^TIndicates that the measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. All other City measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	03/06/01	Redondo Beach	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	Governance: Organization	1,735	3,913	44.3%	Fail
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Education: Districts	Education: Districts	1,755	3,905	44.9%	Fail
			Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	2,475	3,727	66.4%	Pass
			Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	Governance: Organization	1,428	3,833	37.3%	Fail
	04/10/01	Burbank	Measure 1	Advisory	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	5,019	9,670	51.9%	Pass
			Measure 2	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	Governance: Organization	5,835	9,343	62.5%	Pass
	04/17/01	Compton	Measure A	Ordinance	Education: Districts	Education: Districts	3,285	8,200	40.1%	Fail ^T
	11/06/01	Azusa	Measure L	GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	Facilities: Libraries	2,089	2,732	76.5%	Pass ^T
			Hawthorne	Measure A	Advisory	Land Use	Land Use	1,903	6,332	30.1%
		Malibu	Measure B	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,095	6,103	50.7%	Pass
			Measure K	GO Bond	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	1,690	2,725	62.0%	Fail ^T
		Monterey Park	Measure P	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	Safety: Fire	3,454	5,476	63.1%	Fail ^T
		Baldwin Park	Measure S	Ordinance	Other	Other	1,513	3,611	41.9%	Fail
		Hermosa Beach	Measure F	Ordinance	Environment: Agencies	Environment: Agencies	960	3,649	26.3%	Fail
			Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	1,337	3,633	36.8%	Fail
			Measure H	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	1,100	3,588	30.7%	Fail
		Manhattan Beach	Measure Y	Revenue Bond	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	3,712	6,149	60.4%	Fail ^T
		Monterey Park	Measure O	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	Land Use: Zoning	3,448	5,265	65.5%	Pass
		Palmdale	Measure I	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	4,665	9,314	50.1%	Pass
			Measure J	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	Governance: Organization	4,281	8,976	47.7%	Fail
		Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure M	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,320	5,901	73.2%	Pass
	Measure N		Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,678	5,835	80.2%	Pass	
	Torrance	Measure Q	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	5,130	12,815	40.0%	Fail	
Westlake Village	Measure T	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,154	1,603	72.0%	Pass		
	Measure U	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	488	1,590	30.7%	Fail		
MADERA	No City Measures									
MARIN	05/22/01	Novato	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	Land Use: Zoning	4,182	13,428	31.1%	Fail
MARIPOSA	No City Measures									
MENDOCINO	No City Measures									
MERCED	No City Measures									
MODOC	No City Measures									
MONO	No City Measures									
MONTEREY	11/06/01	Monterey	Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	2,201	3,002	73.3%	Pass
NAPA	03/06/01	Napa	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	Governance: Elections	7,921	11,222	70.6%	Pass
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	Governance: Elections	7,749	11,049	70.1%	Pass
			Measure C	Charter Amendment	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	9,004	11,417	78.9%	Pass

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL	
ORANGE	11/06/01	Stanton	Measure A		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,563	2,237	69.9%	Pass ^T	
PLACER	No City Measures										
PLUMAS	No City Measures										
RIVERSIDE	07/31/01	Moreno Valley	Measure A		Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	2,027	4,568	44.4%	Fail	
	11/06/01	Palm Springs	Measure D		Advisory	Facilities: Public Works	4,715	8,017	58.8%	Pass	
			Measure E		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	5,685	7,990	71.2%	Pass	
			Measure F		Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	6,459	7,987	80.9%	Pass	
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures										
SAN BENITO	No City Measures										
SAN BERNARDINO	01/23/01	Fontana	Measure T		Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	1,191	1,210	98.4%	Pass ^T	
	03/06/01	Chino	Measure U		Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,265	1,483	85.3%	Pass	
	11/06/01	Chino	Measure F		Charter Amendment	Governance	1,785	2,870	62.2%	Pass	
			San Bernardino	Measure H		Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	5,628	8,744	64.4%	Pass
				Measure I		Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	5,459	9,051	60.3%	Pass
SAN DIEGO	No City Measures										
SAN FRANCISCO	No City Measures										
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Measures										
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures										
SAN MATEO	03/06/01	Belmont	Measure C		GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	3,416	4,375	78.1%	Pass ^T	
			Measure F		Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,275	1,894	67.3%	Pass ^T	
	06/05/01	Atherton	Measure C		GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	2,358	3,410	69.1%	Pass ^T	
			San Bruno	Measure D		GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	3,355	5,916	56.7%	Fail ^T
				Measure E		Ordinance	Land Use: Military Base	4,257	5,920	71.9%	Pass
	11/06/01	Burlingame	Measure O		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,284	5,941	72.1%	Pass	
			Measure P		Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,006	5,932	67.5%	Pass	
			Measure Q		Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,080	5,850	69.7%	Pass	
			Measure T		GO Bond	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	3,842	5,446	70.5%	Pass ^T	
		Menlo Park	Measure N		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,857	3,701	77.2%	Pass	
			Portola Valley	Measure R		Gann Limit	Revenues	1,226	1,670	73.4%	Pass
				Measure S		Gann Limit	Revenues	1,228	1,653	74.3%	Pass
			San Bruno	Measure M		Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,280	5,245	62.5%	Pass
	San Carlos	Measure K		Ordinance	Governance	3,475	4,940	70.3%	Pass		
	South San Francisco	Measure L		Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,456	6,091	56.7%	Pass		
	SANTA BARBARA	11/06/01	Goleta	Measure H		Ordinance	Governance: Incorporation/Form./Annexation	4,216	7,273	58.0%	Pass

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SANTA CLARA	11/06/01	Cupertino	Measure D	Ordinance		Governance: Incorporation/Form./Annexation	187	337	55.5%	Pass
		Gilroy	Measure B	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	2,735	3,837	71.3%	Pass
			Measure C	Charter Amendment		Governance: Organization	1,490	3,914	38.1%	Fail
		Los Altos	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax		Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,958	3,852	76.8%	Pass
SANTA CRUZ	No City Measures									
SHASTA	No City Measures									
SIERRA	No City Measures									
SISKIYOU	11/06/01	Tulelake	Recall 1	Recall		Governance: Recalls	84	148	56.8%	Pass
SOLANO	11/06/01	Benicia	Measure T	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	3,451	5,127	67.3%	Pass
		Suisun	Measure U	Property Tax		Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,100	2,335	47.1%	Fail ^T
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Waterford	Measure Q	Ordinance		Governance: Organization	307	598	51.3%	Pass
			Measure R	Charter Amendment		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	291	600	48.5%	Fail
		Modesto	Measure K	Charter Amendment		Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	10,595	25,533	41.5%	Fail
			Measure L	Charter Amendment		Governance: Elections	8,423	25,012	33.7%	Fail
			Measure M	Utility Tax		General Services: Social/Welfare	10,450	26,451	39.5%	Fail
			Measure N	Advisory		General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	13,767	25,156	54.7%	Pass
Measure O	Advisory		General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	13,572	24,883	54.5%	Pass			
SUTTER	No City Measures									
TEHAMA	No City Measures									
TRINITY	No City Measures									
TULARE	No City Measures									
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures									
VENTURA	11/06/01	San Buenaventura	Measure P	Ordinance		Land Use: Voter Approval	11,258	14,558	77.3%	Pass
YOLO	06/05/01	Davis	Measure S	Miscellaneous Tax		Facilities: Parks/Recreation	6,296	10,574	59.5%	Fail ^T
	11/06/01	Woodland	Measure T	Charter Amendment		Housing: Rent Control	4,485	5,534	81.0%	Pass ^T
YUBA	No City Measures									

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

ALAMEDA	11/06/01	Albany	Measure C	Pass
Do you vote to adopt a City Council Proposed Charter Amendment, as recommended by the Charter Review Committee, to change the elected Chief of Police position to an appointed position, the exact wording of which is set forth in the voter pamphlet?				
ALAMEDA	11/06/01	Emeryville	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City of Emeryville increase the uniform transient occupancy tax imposed on transients from ten percent (10%) to twelve percent (12%) of the rent charged by the lodging service operator, such as hotels and motels, in order to provide for general municipal services in the City of Emeryville?				
ALAMEDA	11/06/01	Emeryville	Measure E	Pass
In order to provide for general municipal services in the City of Emeryville, shall the City of Emeryville revise the business license tax imposed on persons engaged in the business of operating a lodging service, such as hotels and motels, in an amount of twelve percent (12%) of gross receipts per quarter attributable to rent collected from customers of a lodging service, excluding gross receipts of a lodging service business attributable to rent collected from a transient upon which the lodging service business also collects from the transient and pays to the City of Emeryville a tax in accordance with the Uniform Transient Occupancy Tax Law of the City of Emeryville, plus eight-one hundredths of one percent (0.08%) of all other gross receipts of a lodging service business?				
ALAMEDA	11/06/01	Newark	Measure F	Pass
Shall the City of Newark increase the hotel/motel tax imposed on travelers from 7.5% to 10% of the daily room rate?				
BUTTE	06/05/01	Chico	Measure A	Fail
Shall the General Plan of the City of Chico be amended to allow construction of Otterson Drive improvements extending to the intersection of Park Avenue and East Park Avenue, which includes the purchase and preservation for public use of creekside greenway adjacent to the proposed bridge and road extension, as set forth in City Council Resolution No. 42 00-01?				
CONTRA COSTA	03/06/01	Hercules	Measure E	Pass
Shall the proposed ordinance increasing the Uniform Transient Occupancy Tax to ten percent (10%) be adopted?				
CONTRA COSTA	03/06/01	Hercules	Measure F	Pass
Shall the proposed ordinance add Chapter 8 To Title X of the Hercules Municipal Code Establishing an Admission tax on Sporting and Entertainment Events in the City of Hercules?				
CONTRA COSTA	03/06/01	Hercules	Measure G	Fail
(Advisory) Shall the people of the City of Hercules secede from the West Contra Costa Unified School District and form a new school district or merge with an adjoining school district?				
CONTRA COSTA	06/05/01	Orinda	Measure H	Pass (2/3 required)
To restore and improve Orinda's library services by increasing the hours the library is open; replacing worn and outdated books, materials and equipment; maintaining reading and educational programs for children and services for adults, students and seniors, shall the City of Orinda be authorized to collect a qualified special tax not to exceed \$27 per year per residential parcel or equivalent unit beginning July 1, 2001 for Orinda library services and expiring after eight years?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/06/01	Brentwood	Measure J	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Brentwood be authorized to issue General Obligation Bonds in the amount of six million dollars (\$6,000,000) principal amount to finance the construction, acquisition, and improvement of a new Police Station, and all costs incident thereto?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/06/01	Richmond	Measure L	Fail
Shall Section 3 of Article III and Section 3 of Article III-A of the Charter of the City of Richmond be amended to change the election dates for the Mayor and Councilmembers from November in odd-numbered years to November in even-numbered years commencing in November 2004, and increasing by one year the terms of the Mayor and City Councilmembers who are in office after the November, 2001 election?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/06/01	Richmond	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the ordinance levying an annual parcel tax of (1) \$19.80 for single-family residential dwelling units, (2) \$19.80 for the first unit and \$14.85 for each unit thereafter for multiple-family residential dwelling units, and (3) \$39.60 for non-residential parcels, which will be levied in each of eight consecutive fiscal years in order to provide additional funds for the maintenance and operations of the Richmond Public Library, be adopted?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/06/01	San Ramon	Measure K	Pass
Shall Article II and III of the City of San Ramon Charter be amended: a) to provide direct election of the Mayor to a two-year term commencing at the next municipal election; b) to establish term limits of four two-year terms or eight years total; c) to prescribe the duties of the Mayor as those existing on January 1 2001, and d) to set the Mayor's compensation at \$100 per month more than that of a Councilmember?				
KERN	06/05/01	California City	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax of \$75.00 per lot or parcel be approved for each of three (3) fiscal years beginning July 1, 2001?				
KERN	12/04/01	Taft	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Cliff Thompson be recalled (removed) from the office of Councilmember?				
KINGS	03/06/01	Corcoran	Recall 1	Pass
(Recall) Shall Joan Garcia-Munoz be recalled (removed) from the office of Council Member of the City of Corcoran?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Hawaiian Gardens	Measure 01-A	Pass
Shall the City Council adopt an Ordinance which imposes a 9% tax on persons staying 30 days or less in hotels, motels, and similar lodgings within the City?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Hawaiian Gardens	Measure 01-B	Pass
Shall the City Administrator have the permanent authority to hire a City Clerk as a City employee at the expiration of the current term or earlier vacancy of the Office?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Pasadena	Measure A	Pass
(Initiative) Shall an Initiative Ordinance of the City of Pasadena be adopted to declare the policy of the City of Pasadena to be in favor of completing the 710 Freeway extension between the I-210 and the I-10 Freeways, and to declare that such policy could not be changed or repealed without a vote of people?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Pasadena	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Pasadena City Charter be amended to prohibit elected and appointed local public officials from receiving what is specified as a "public or campaign advantage" from recipients of certain public benefits?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Pasadena	Measure C	Fail
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to require preparation of a Citywide Transportation and Traffic Management Plan and to direct that the City take no position supporting or opposing the 710 Freeway extension until that Plan and federally funded traffic improvement measures required in the Federal Government's Record of Decision approving the 710 Freeway extension are fully implemented and evaluated?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Redondo Beach	Measure A	Fail
Shall Section 26 of Article XXVI of the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to permit a person to be re-elected to the Office of Mayor or the office of Councilmember for two terms after a break in service of three years?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Redondo Beach	Measure B	Fail
Shall Section 16 or Article XVI of the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to permit a person to be re-elected to the Office of School Board Member for two terms after a break in service of three years?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Redondo Beach	Measure C	Pass
Shall Article XIX of the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to allow for design-build and design-build-operate public works contracts?				
LOS ANGELES	03/06/01	Redondo Beach	Measure D	Fail
Shall the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to authorize the City Clerk to hire and terminate his or her deputies, transfer responsibility for the administration of the financial affairs of the City, including the accounting function, from the City Clerk to the City Manager, and delete the accounting educational requirement for the position of the City Clerk?				
LOS ANGELES	04/10/01	Burbank	Measure 1	Pass
(Advisory) Shall Burbank City Council member compensation be increased by 5% (\$44 per month) effective May 1, 2001, and effective May 1, 2002, shall it be further increased by a percentage equal to the change in the local Consumer Price Index, but not to exceed 5% (maximum increase for second year is \$46 per month)? Any increases or decreases in Council member compensation after May 1, 2002 will continue to be governed by the provisions of the Burbank Charter and Municipal Code.				
LOS ANGELES	04/10/01	Burbank	Measure 2	Pass
Shall the proposed Charter Amendment be ratified, which would amend various sections of the Charter of the City of Burbank to eliminate obsolete language, provide consistency, clarify the powers and duties of certain departments and boards, change the name of two City departments, provide who shall act as City Manager in the event of the City Manager's absence, provide that the financial Services Department shall maintain monthly financial reports, raise the dollar amount over which public works construction projects are required to be awarded by the Council, require City boards to have Council approval prior to issuing subpoenas, amend the section relating to franchises and make numerous other minor changes?				
LOS ANGELES	04/17/01	Compton	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the political geographical area within the City of Compton's Municipal boundaries de-annex from the Compton Unified School District and become a school district of its own?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Azusa	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
If, and only if, the State of California provides a 65% matching share of the cost to build a new and expanded Library, shall the City of Azusa, California incur bonded indebtedness (in an amount not to exceed \$5,875,000 at interest rates not to exceed 6% per year), to provide our 35% local share to improve free educational resources and opportunities for children, families and our entire community, in cooperation with our local schools?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Hawthorne	Measure A	Fail
(Advisory) Shall the City Council be directed to pursue the reuse of the property currently operated by the City as an airport to generate additional revenues to improve education, police and other community services, to diversify the economic base, and to create jobs for residents of the City?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Hawthorne	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Hotel and Motel Bed Tax be increased from eight percent (8%) to twelve percent (12%)?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Malibu	Measure K	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Malibu issue its general obligation bond in the amount of \$15 million to acquire land for such public purposes as parks, playgrounds, playing fields, trails, community centers in order to serve children, teens, families, adults, and seniors with recreation, social and education programs, including improvements and construction; and to protect natural areas and wildlife habitat? Up to 15% of these funds may be used for improvements or construction.				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Monterey Park	Measure P	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted which establishes a limited annual parcel tax for the Monterey Park Fire Department? The proceeds of the tax are for the Fire Department only, to pay for fire station reconstruction and increased paramedic staff. This special Paramedic/Fire protection tax specifically supplements and does not replace the City's Fire Department general fund budget. The tax has a twenty-five year term and provides for certain exemptions.				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Baldwin Park	Measure S	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted which would prohibit the sale, use, and/or possession of all fireworks including safe and sane fireworks, within the City of Baldwin Park?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Hermosa Beach	Measure F	Fail
Shall an Ordinance be adopted that: (1) establishes new regulations, procedures, programs and restrictions pertaining to temporary events in the coastal zone, (2) directs the use of revenues from temporary events, (3) prohibits admission fees and permanent commercial advertising and sales on the beach, and (4) requires funding of stormwater runoff diversion programs, weekly testing of ocean water, beach cleanup days, and preparation of a Local Coastal Program for consideration by the Coastal commission?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Hermosa Beach	Measure G	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted that would prohibit a person from serving more than two consecutive terms on the Hermosa Beach city council?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Hermosa Beach	Measure H	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted that would repeal the City's utility user tax?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Manhattan Beach	Measure Y	Fail (2/3 required)
To build City police and fire facilities which will replace inadequate existing facilities, meet current seismic, health and safety standards, provide improved safety and emergency response services and provide civic center parking, shall the City of Manhattan Beach issue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$15,000,000 at interest rates within the legal limit and levy an additional ad valorem tax upon taxable property within the City to secure the bonds?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Monterey Park	Measure O	Pass
Shall Resolution No. 10655, which amends the City's Land Use Element of the General Plan as set forth on the map attached to the resolution, be adopted, and amendments to the City's Zoning Map, Zoning Code and Specific Plans consistent with this amendment be authorized for adoption by the City Council and approved?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Palmdale	Measure I	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to limit the number of terms persons may serve as Mayor and or members of the City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Palmdale	Measure J	Fail
Shall members of the Legislative body of the City of Palmdale be elected from districts as described in Ordinance 1188, with the Mayor of the City of Palmdale continuing to be elected on a citywide basis by the voters of the entire City?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure M	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to ratify and continue the 1991 increase of the transient occupancy tax from 6% to 10%?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure N	Pass
Shan an ordinance be adopted to ratify and continue the collection of the existing 10% golf tax?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Torrance	Measure Q	Fail
Shall the ordinance to repeal all assessments on real property within the City of Torrance for municipal lighting, including the assessment for the City of Torrance Lighting District No. 99-1 starting the first fiscal year following passage of the initiative and all subsequent fiscal years be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Westlake Village	Measure T	Pass
Shall ordinance No. 171-01, which ratifies and maintains the 1990 increase of the transient occupancy tax from 6% to 10% be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	11/06/01	Westlake Village	Measure U	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 172-01, which ratifies the 1990 increase of the transient occupancy tax from 6% to 10%, and additionally increases such tax by 4%, be adopted?				
MARIN	05/22/01	Novato	Measure A	Fail
Shall the master plan ordinance (City of Novato Ordinance No. 1437) establishing certain land use regulations which will allow the development of the Bahia residential project be adopted?				
MONTEREY	11/06/01	Monterey	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Charter of the City of Monterey be amended to replace Section 4.8 with a new Section 4.8 regarding Contracts for Public works, increasing the project cost limit requiring formal bidding to \$50,000 and authorizing open-end job order contracts up to \$1,000,000 per job order contract per year?				
NAPA	03/06/01	Napa	Measure A	Pass
Shall the Napa City Charter Section 20 - Circulation of Petitions: Petition Circulator - Residency be repealed?				
NAPA	03/06/01	Napa	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Napa City Charter Section 22 - Circulation of Petitions: Petition Circulator - Registration be repealed?				
NAPA	03/06/01	Napa	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Napa City Charter Section 101 Public works to be done by contract be amended to waive any and all requirements for the erection, improvement or repair of recreational facilities, excepting any auxiliary building, parking lot and off-site improvement exceeding \$75,000.00, if the City Council determines by a four-fifths (4/5) vote that one or more community organizations and/or volunteers will perform a substantial portion of the labor?				
ORANGE	11/06/01	Stanton	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the utility user tax adopted in 1993 by Ordinance No. 740 be approved and the tax continue to be collected?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

RIVERSIDE	07/31/01	Moreno Valley	Measure A	Fail
Shall the Moreno Valley Community Services District, which oversees Zone L (Library), be authorized to establish an annual parcel fee not to exceed \$20 in order to provide funding exclusively for the construction and operation of a state-of-the-art Main Library Building at the Civic Center campus, with the new Library to emphasize technology and provide improved programs for the community, especially school age youth, with such fee revenues lawfully restricted for library uses only?				
RIVERSIDE	11/06/01	Palm Springs	Measure D	Pass
(Advisory) Shall the City use the monies made available because of the 2001 Convention Center refinancing to issue debt to finance downtown parking?				
RIVERSIDE	11/06/01	Palm Springs	Measure E	Pass
Shall the Transient Occupancy Tax Ordinance be amended to add a section authorizing the City Council to increase the tax on group meeting hotels from 10.8 to a maximum of 12.5 percent and on all other hotels from 10 to a maximum of 11.5 percent with the tax imposed by this section to be paid into the general fund of the City to be used for the usual and current expenses of the City?				
RIVERSIDE	11/06/01	Palm Springs	Measure F	Pass
Shall the City of Palm Springs Charter be amended to change the term of each Member of the City Council to commence no later than five days from the Certification of the election results by the City Clerk?				
SAN BERNARDINO	01/23/01	Fontana	Measure T	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the rate and method of apportionment of the special taxes levied upon the land within City of Fontana Community Facilities District No. 3 (Hunter's Ridge) be changed to reduce the maximum special tax rate applicable to land use category R-3 2500 from \$1239.91 to \$500.01 for fiscal year 2000-01, subject to annual increases of 2% per year, in accordance with Resolution No. 2000-95 adopted by the City Council of the City of Fontana on October 17, 2000?				
SAN BERNARDINO	03/06/01	Chino	Measure U	Pass
Shall the General Plan of the City of Chino be amended to change the zoning of an 11 acre parcel of land--located on west side of Central Avenue between Philadelphia Street and Francis Avenue--from a commercial zone to a multiple residential zone (RD20) limited to senior citizen housing?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/06/01	Chino	Measure F	Pass
Shall the Mobilehome Park Review Committee Ordinance, which was approved by the voters in November 1983 and codified as Chapter 2.68 of the Municipal Code of the City of Chino, be replaced?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/06/01	San Bernardino	Measure H	Pass
Approve a Charter Amendment to permit City officials to be appointed to and serve on Federal or State Commissions or Committees providing such appointment and service is not full-time.				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/06/01	San Bernardino	Measure I	Pass
Approve a Charter Amendment to specifically state that the recommendation and written consent of the City Attorney is required before the Mayor and Common Council can employ and engage legal counsel and the services of such other assistants.				
SAN MATEO	03/06/01	Belmont	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To qualify for State or other library improvement matching funds to build a new community library, wired for computers, with adequate space for books, children's activities, community meetings, and make surrounding site improvements, shall Belmont Community Facilities District No. 2000-1 issue \$8,650,000 of bonds, levy special taxes to pay for facilities, maintenance, incidental expenses, including debt service; and establish the District's annual appropriations limit equal to \$8,650,000 as provided in Resolutions 8917 and 8918?				
SAN MATEO	06/05/01	Atherton	Measure F	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the ordinance entitled "An Ordinance of the Town of Atherton Authorizing the Levy of a Special Tax for Municipal Services and Capital Improvements and for the Expenditure of Funds Derived from such Tax," and numbered 520 be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	06/05/01	Millbrae	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
To qualify for State or other library matching funds, provide space for more books, periodicals, reference materials, update wiring for computers/technology, expand children's study/reading areas, increase computer terminals, ensure earthquake safety, provide adequate seating and lighting, shall the City issue \$10.6 million of bonds at tax-exempt interest rates to build a new Millbrae Community Library and related improvements, subject to guaranteed annual audits, a citizen's oversight committee, and no money for administrators' salaries?				
SAN MATEO	06/05/01	San Bruno	Measure D	Fail (2/3 required)
To provide space for children's programs and materials, full access for seniors and the disabled, expanded space for books and computers for public use, local history displays, community meeting rooms, and sufficient parking, while coordinating with local schools to provide educational opportunities, shall the City issue general obligation bonds to construct a Library/Community Center in an amount not to exceed \$14 million, with fiscal accountability per law and input from a citizens advisory committee?				
SAN MATEO	06/05/01	San Bruno	Measure E	Pass
To provide affordable senior housing, quality restaurant and hotel space, parks, transportation improvements, and removal of existing toxics at no cost to taxpayers, shall the height limit on the 20-acre Navy site across from Tanforan on El Camino Real be set at seven stories (90 feet) for hotel space, six stories (75 feet) for senior housing, five stories (70 feet) for apartments and offices, 35 feet for parking structure, complying with city development requirements?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Burlingame	Measure O	Pass
Shall the ordinance amending the Burlingame Municipal Code to sustain and continue an increase in the transient occupancy tax rate from eight percent (8%) to ten percent (10%) on the rent charged hotel guests within the City of Burlingame be adopted?				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Burlingame	Measure P	Pass
Shall the ordinance amending the Burlingame Municipal Code to sustain and continue an increase in the general business license tax rate from \$50 per year to \$100 per year within the City of Burlingame be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Burlingame	Measure Q	Pass
Shall the ordinance amending the Municipal Code to establish a business license tax of five percent (5%) of gross receipts on operators of commercial parking facilities within the City of Burlingame be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Menlo Park	Measure T	Pass (2/3 required)
To renovate and expand the City's parks and recreation facilities, shall the City of Menlo Park be authorized to issue \$38,000,000 in General Obligation Bonds phased over several years for construction, acquisition, and improvement of such facilities and all costs incident thereto; provided, that at the time any bond is issued, the highest tax rate required to service all bonds authorized by this measure and issued shall not be in excess of \$14 per \$100,000 in assessed value?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Millbrae	Measure N	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 573 adopted by the Millbrae City Council on June 11, 1991 which increased from 8% to 10% the Transient Occupancy Tax charged to persons for the privilege of occupancy in any hotel or motel in the City of Millbrae be continued in full force and effect?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Portola Valley	Measure R	Pass
Shall the Town of Portola Valley adjust the appropriations limit of the Town each fiscal year commencing July 1, 2002 by the amount of money collected from the existing 5.5% Utility Users Tax during the time of its levy and collection, provided that the authorization to so adjust the appropriations limit shall not exceed four (4) years from the end of Fiscal Year 2001-2002?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	Portola Valley	Measure S	Pass
If, and only if, Town of Portola Valley's Proposition R, which adjusts the Town's appropriation limit passes, shall the Town of Portola Valley adjust the appropriations limit of the Town each fiscal year commencing 7/1/02 by amount of money collected from the imposition of the 2% Utility Users Tax (for open space only) during the time of its levy and collection, provided that the authorization to adjust the appropriations limit shall not exceed 4 years from the end of Fiscal Year 2001/2002?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	San Bruno	Measure M	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1531 adopted by the San Bruno City Council on July 22, 1991 which increased from 8% to 10% the Transient Occupancy Tax charged to persons for the privilege of occupancy in any hotel or motel in the City of San Bruno be continued in full force and effect?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	San Carlos	Measure K	Pass
Shall an Ordinance Amending Chapter 5.04 of the San Carlos Municipal Code, setting the categories and rates for the Business Registration Tax be adopted?				
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	South San Francisco	Measure L	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted confirming and approving a previously authorized increase in the South San Francisco commercial parking facility business license tax, which is a tax that applies only to the gross receipts received by commercial parking companies, from four percent of gross receipts to eight percent of gross receipts?				
SANTA BARBARA	11/06/01	Goleta	Measure H	Pass
Shall the order adopted on July 10, 2001 by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara ordering the incorporation of territory described in the order and designated in the order as LAFCO No. 99-20, Incorporation of the City of Goleta, be confirmed subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order?				
SANTA CLARA	11/06/01	Cupertino	Measure D	Pass
Shall the reorganization order adopted on July 16, 2001, by the Cupertino City Council be confirmed? The reorganization would affect the unincorporated area of Garden Gate and provide for (1) the annexation of about 107 acres of territory known as Garden Gate to the City of Cupertino; and (2) the detachment of the same territory from the Santa Clara County Lighting Service Area.				
SANTA CLARA	11/06/01	Gilroy	Measure B	Pass
Shall Section 601 of the City Charter be amended to change the vote requirement, from a unanimous vote of the City Council to waive the reading of a proposed ordinance in full, to a majority vote to be consistent with state law?				
SANTA CLARA	11/06/01	Gilroy	Measure C	Fail
Shall Section 1403 be added to the City Charter to require that the election of the Mayor shall require a majority of the votes cast at said election to constitute the choice of the electors, and if no candidate receives a majority of the votes cast, a runoff election between the two candidates receiving the highest numbers of the votes cast, or in case of a tie, among those candidates tying for the highest number of votes cast, shall be called by the City Council?				
SANTA CLARA	11/06/01	Los Altos	Measure A	Pass
Shall the City Council of the City of Los Altos be authorized, annually, to set the rate of the Transient Occupancy Tax of the City at a rate not to exceed 11%?				
SISKIYOU	11/06/01	Tulelake	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Joan Van Matre be recalled (removed) from the office of Member, City Council?				
SOLANO	11/06/01	Benicia	Measure T	Pass
Shall Section 2.04.020 of the Benicia Municipal Code be amended to require the City Council to fill a City Council vacancy created when a Council Member is elected to be Mayor by appointment of the person receiving the highest number of votes for a City Council seat, but not elected, at the same election?				
SOLANO	11/06/01	Suisun	Measure U	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax be approved on owners of real property to pay for police and fire protection services and be deposited in a special account that is allocated specifically for such				

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2001

police and fire protection services? STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Waterford	Measure Q	Pass
Shall the office of the city clerk be appointive?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Waterford	Measure R	Fail
Shall the office of the city treasurer be appointive?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Modesto	Measure K	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to change the general municipal election date from November in odd-numbered years to March in even-numbered years and impose prospectively a two-term limit on the offices of Mayor and Councilmember?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Modesto	Measure L	Fail
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to change the general municipal election date from November in odd numbered years to March in even numbered years and to impose a requirement that beginning in the 2004 general municipal election, all Councilmembers other than the Mayor be elected from one of six districts, the boundaries of which would be determined by the Modesto City Clerk no later than July 1, 2002?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Modesto	Measure M	Fail
For the purpose of dental health, shall the City of Modesto fluoridate its drinking water in accordance with the standards set by the State of California, and raise water rates by up to two percent (2%) to support the operation and maintenance costs of fluoridation?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Modesto	Measure N	Pass
(Advisory) Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the area of the Modesto Urban Area General Plan which is described in the Sample Ballot as set forth in Measure N, provided the area is first annexed to the City?				
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	Modesto	Measure O	Pass
(Advisory) Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the two (2) areas of the Modesto Urban Area General Plan which are described in the Sample Ballot as set forth in Measure O?				
VENTURA	11/06/01	San Buenaventura	Measure P	Pass
Shall The City's Comprehensive Plan be amended to provide that until the Year 2031, city voter approval will be required for any urban development within the 9108-acre portion of the city Hillside Area described in City Council Resolution No. 2001-77, other than development consisting of public water facilities, public parks, city government facilities and certain exempted minor development?				
YOLO	06/05/01	Davis	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Davis Ordinance No. 2048 amending the Park Preservation Tax to fund maintenance of parks, street trees, greenbelts, bike paths, medians, public landscaping, urban wildlife and habitat, swimming pools and recreational facilities be approved?				
YOLO	11/06/01	Woodland	Measure T	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Chapter 16B, relating to Manufactured Home Space Rent Control, be added to the Code of the City of Woodland?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	3	0			1	0											4	0	4
Butte					0	1											0	1	1
Contra Costa	3	1	1	0	1	1	0	1									5	3	8
Kern	1	0									1	0					2	0	2
Kings											1	0					1	0	1
Los Angeles	5	4	1	2	3	3	1	1	1	0					3	6	14	16	30
Marin															0	1	0	1	1
Monterey					1	0											1	0	1
Napa					3	0											3	0	3
Orange	1	0															1	0	1
Riverside	1	1			1	0	1	0									3	1	4
San Bernardino	1	0			3	0									1	0	5	0	5
San Mateo	7	0	3	1									2	0	2	0	14	1	15
Santa Barbara															1	0	1	0	1
Santa Clara	1	0			1	1									1	0	3	1	4
Siskiyou											1	0					1	0	1
Solano	0	1													1	0	1	1	2
Stanislaus	0	1			0	3	2	0							1	0	3	4	7
Ventura															1	0	1	0	1
Yolo	0	1			1	0											1	1	2
All Counties	23	9	5	3	15	9	4	2	1	0	3	0	2	0	11	7	64	30	94

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2001

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUB SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda							1	0											3	0			4	0	4
Butte											0	1											0	1	1
Contra Costa	0	1			1	0	1	1					1	1					2	0			5	3	8
Kern							1	0											1	0			2	0	2
Kings							1	0															1	0	1
Los Angeles	0	2	1	1	0	2	6	4	0	1	1	1	1	1					5	3	0	1	14	16	30
Marin			0	1																			0	1	1
Monterey							1	0															1	0	1
Napa							2	0					1	0									3	0	3
Orange																			1	0			1	0	1
Riverside							1	0					1	1					1	0			3	1	4
San Bernardino			1	0			3	0											1	0			5	0	5
San Mateo			1	0			1	0					3	1					9	0			14	1	15
Santa Barbara							1	0															1	0	1
Santa Clara							2	1											1	0			3	1	4
Siskiyou							1	0															1	0	1
Solano					0	1	1	0															1	1	2
Stanislaus							1	3									2	1					3	4	7
Ventura			1	0																			1	0	1
Yolo													0	1	1	0							1	1	2
All Counties	0	3	4	2	1	3	24	9	0	1	1	2	7	5	1	0	2	1	24	3	0	1	64	30	94

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	11/06/01	EMERYVILLE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kassis	Dick	City Councilmember/Attorney	Yes	2	485	962	50.4%	Yes
						Caffey	Gary L.	Emeryville City Councilmember	Yes	2	477	962	49.6%	Yes
	LIVERMORE	CITY COUNCIL			Full	Reitter	Tom	Mechanical Engineer	No	6	7,737	23969	32.3%	Yes
						Beeman	Mark L.	Custom Furniture Builder	No	6	6,009	23969	25.1%	Yes
						Stein	John	Councilmember	No	6	4,732	23969	19.7%	No
						Goodman	Doug	Business Operations Manager	No	6	2,789	23969	11.6%	No
						Stevens	William R.	Civil Engineer	No	6	2,055	23969	8.6%	No
						King	Don	Retired Personnel Administrator	No	6	647	23969	2.7%	No
	MAYOR				Full	Kamena	Marshall H.	Doctor of Optometry	No	3	7,421	12926	57.4%	Yes
						Brown	Cathie	Mayor	Yes	3	4,381	12926	33.9%	No
						Dennis	Kevin M.	Livermore Business Owner	No	3	1,124	12926	8.7%	No
	NEWARK	CITY COUNCIL			Full	Nagy	Alan L.	Newark City Councilmember	Yes	7	2,171	7684	28.3%	Yes
						Torricon	Alberto	Attorney	No	7	1,402	7684	18.2%	Yes
						Marshall	Robert J. "Bob"	Real Estate Appraiser	No	7	1,370	7684	17.8%	No
						McDonald	Eileen	Trustee, Newark USD	No	7	1,340	7684	17.4%	No
						Francis	Andrea "Andy"	Businesswoman	No	7	977	7684	12.7%	No
						Greene	Vibert	Mechanical Engineer	No	7	215	7684	2.8%	No
						Dane	Jack	Facilities Manager	No	7	209	7684	2.7%	No
						MAYOR				Full	Smith	David W.	Mayor	Yes
	UNION CITY	CITY COUNCIL			Full	Dutra-Vernaci	Carol	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,795	13658	27.8%	Yes
						Valle	Richard	Executive Director	No	5	3,470	13658	25.4%	Yes
						Fernandez	Manuel "Manny"	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,404	13658	24.9%	Yes
						Tester	John R.	Business Owner/Commissioner	No	5	1,708	13658	12.5%	No
Raymundo						Myrla	Planning Commissioner	No	5	1,281	13658	9.4%	No	
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	No City Contests													
CONTRA COSTA	03/06/01	HERCULES	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Batara	Frank	CFO/Controller	No	2	2,008	3183	63.1%	Yes
						Lawson	Phil	Clergyman	No	2	1,175	3183	36.9%	No
	11/06/01	EL CERRITO	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Brusatori	Gina M.	Banker/Councilmember	Yes	4	3,455	11793	29.3%	Yes
						Friedman	Mark	Councilmember/Children's Director	Yes	4	3,379	11793	28.7%	Yes
						Moore	Letitia	Environmental Attorney	No	4	3,126	11793	26.5%	No
						Wise	Toni	El Cerrito Business Owner	No	4	1,833	11793	15.5%	No

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
CONTRA COSTA (continued)	11/06/01	RICHMOND	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Viramontes	Maria	CEO Children's Foundation	No	6	8,834	32546	27.1%	Yes	
						Griffin	Richard L.	Incumbent	Yes	6	6,759	32546	20.8%	No	
						Rogers	Jim	Lawyer/Small Businessman	No	6	5,329	32546	16.4%	No	
						Booze	Courtland "Corky"	Businessman	No	6	4,549	32546	14.0%	No	
						Harris	Adrienne	Software Engineer	No	6	4,052	32546	12.5%	No	
						McGee	Rachel	Writer, Television Producer	No	6	3,023	32546	9.3%	No	
						Belcher	Charles H.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	7,383	12976	56.9%	Yes	
						Wade	Lynn J.	Lead Auditor	No	2	5,593	12976	43.1%	No	
			MAYOR		Full	Anderson	Irma L.	Richmond City Councilwoman	No	4	4,994	13667	36.5%	Yes	
						Butt	Tom	Architect/Planner/Contractor	No	4	3,285	13667	24.0%	No	
						Bates	Nathaniel R. "Nat"	City of Richmond-Councilmember	No	4	3,008	13667	22.0%	No	
						Marquez	John E.	College Instructor/Councilmember	No	4	2,380	13667	17.4%	No	
			SAN RAMON		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Cambra	Jerry	Territory Sales Manager	No	5	2,650	10936	24.2%	Yes
							Hudson	David E.	Incumbent	No	5	2,468	10936	22.6%	Yes
							Ingalls	Bob	Telecommunications Manager	No	5	2,402	10936	22.0%	No
							Kinney	Curt	Incumbent	No	5	2,316	10936	21.2%	No
Patrino	Robert	Business Consultant					No	5	1,100	10936	10.1%	No			
Dickey	Donna	Retired Businesswoman					No	3	2,563	5498	46.6%	Yes			
Kerger	Donna	Community Affairs Representative					No	3	2,244	5498	40.8%	No			
O'Loane	Phil	Healthcare Financial Consultant					No	3	691	5498	12.6%	No			
DEL NORTE	No City Contests														
EL DORADO	No City Contests														
FRESNO	03/06/01	CLOVIS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ashbeck	Lynne A.	Hospital Education Director	No	7	6,150	15586	39.5%	Yes	
						Magsig	Nathan F.	Businessman/Legislative Advisor	No	7	4,176	15586	26.8%	Yes	
						Rollf	H. E. "Skip"	Business Consultant	No	7	2,242	15586	14.4%	No	
						Boyles, Jr.	Dennis W. "Denny"	Public Relations Specialist	No	7	1,345	15586	8.6%	No	
						Richardson	Thom	Real Estate Broker	No	7	566	15586	3.6%	No	
						Page	Edgar Eugene	Accountant/Law Student	No	7	562	15586	3.6%	No	
						Duvivier	Paul A.	Business Tax Representative	No	7	497	15586	3.2%	No	
						GLENN	No City Contests								
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests														
IMPERIAL	11/06/01	EL CENTRO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Viegas-Walker	Cheryl	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,466	4818	30.4%	Yes	
						Castillo	Raymond "Ray"	Parole Supervisor	No	5	1,461	4818	30.3%	Yes	
						Dhillon	David S.	Councilman	Yes	5	970	4818	20.1%	No	
						Gaspar	Pamela A.	Clinical Laboratory Scientist	No	5	607	4818	12.6%	No	
						Mosher	Sam	Businessman	No	5	314	4818	6.5%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
INYO	03/06/01	BISHOP	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Crom	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	6	381	1593	23.9%	Yes
						Smiley Connolly	Martin L.	Incumbent	Yes	6	356	1593	22.3%	Yes
						Gardner	Ted M.	Retired Police Officer	No	6	302	1593	19.0%	Yes
						Kimball	Robert	Incumbent	Yes	6	265	1593	16.6%	No
						Rischer	Lora	Department of Transportation	No	6	163	1593	10.2%	No
						Melgard	Joy E.	Businesswoman	No	6	126	1593	7.9%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Perkins	Eugene	Incumbent	Yes	1	413	413	100.0%	Yes
KERN	11/04/01	CITY OF TAFT	CITY COUNCIL ^R		Short	Finister	Bill	Reliability Inspector	No	3	363	363	100.0%	Yes
						Payne	Ken	Business Person	No	3	184	184	100.0%	Yes
						Hatch	Raymond L.	Retired Executive/Educator	No	3	449	449	100.0%	Yes
KINGS	03/06/01	CORCORAN	CITY COUNCIL ^R		Short	Kwast	Terry W.	Machine Shop Owner	No	1	907	907	100.0%	Yes
LAKE	No City Contests													
LASSEN	No City Contests													
LOS ANGELES	02/27/01	BURBANK	CITY CLERK		Full	Sarquiz	Judie	City Clerk	Yes	1	9,063	9063	100.0%	Yes
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Murphy	Stacey	City Councilmember	Yes	10	6,317	29179
			Ramos	Marsha R.	Businesswoman/Educator/Consultant	No		10	5,247	29179	18.0%	No		
			Golonski	Dave	Burbank City Councilmember	Yes		10	5,165	29179	17.7%	No		
			Olson	Gary	Business Executive	No		10	3,189	29179	10.9%	No		
			Rothenbach	Howard	Railroad Inspector	No		10	2,676	29179	9.2%	No		
			Dominguez	John	Attorney	No		10	2,335	29179	8.0%	No		
			Brody	Robert S.	Attorney	No		10	1,855	29179	6.4%	No		
			Gonzalez	Marco A.	Project Engineer	No		10	886	29179	3.0%	No		
			Stresino	Ed	Teacher	No		10	764	29179	2.6%	No		
			Brandon	Charles F. "Chuck"	Retired	No		10	745	29179	2.6%	No		
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Anderson	Donna E.		Assistant City Treasurer	No	4	6,338	10518	60.3%	Yes
			Kramer		Bob	Burbank Council Member		No	4	3,104	10518	29.5%	No	
			Layfer		Todd	Cash Manager/Accountant	No	4	886	10518	8.4%	No		
Wynn	Charity Dawn	Administrative Assistant	No		4	190	10518	1.8%	No					
	03/06/01	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	CITY CLERK		Full	Underwood	Sue	Bookkeeper/Office Manager	No	1	691	691	100.0%	Yes

^R To be elected if recall measure passes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	03/06/01	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Schultze	Betty J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	604	3106	19.4%	Yes			
						Heckerman	John	Recreation Commissioner	No	7	560	3106	18.0%	Yes			
						Oyama-Canada	Michiko A.	Pre-School Teacher	No	7	536	3106	17.3%	Yes			
						Cesena	Ralph J.	Retired Businessman	No	7	405	3106	13.0%	No			
						Cabrera	Lupe A.	Incumbent	Yes	7	396	3106	12.7%	No			
						Alvarez	Placido	Incumbent	Yes	7	368	3106	11.8%	No			
						Calcote	Alan	Teacher	No	7	237	3106	7.6%	No			
	PASADENA			CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	Holden	Chris	Councilmember	Yes	1	789	789	100.0%	Yes		
							5	Full	Gordo	Victor	Council Field Representative	No	2	1,217	1571	77.5%	Yes
									Wendler	Tim	Environmental Engineer	No	2	354	1571	22.5%	No
							7	Full	Tyler, Jr.	Sidney F.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,911	2603	73.4%	Yes
	Hadjian	Ciran Marie	City Planner	Yes	2	692			2603	26.6%	No						
	REDONDO BEACH			CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Goddard	Jerry	City Attorney	Yes	1	3,131	3303	94.8%	Yes		
				CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bisignano	Gerard	City Councilman/Businessman	Yes	1	620	657	94.4%	Yes			
						2	Full	Sullivan	Kevin	City Councilmember	No	2	623	1050	59.3%	Yes	
				Coleman	James			Small Business Owner	No	2	422	1050	40.2%	No			
				4	Full	Schmalz	Kurt L.	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	2	450	846	53.2%	Yes			
						Schroeder	Conrad	Deputy Attorney General	No	2	390	846	46.1%	No			
				MAYOR	Full	Hill	Greg	Mayor of Redondo Beach	Yes	1	3,393	3554	95.5%	Yes			
	04/03/01	GLENDALE		CITY CLERK		Full	Twedt	Doris	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	12,729	12729	100.0%	Yes		
				CITY COUNCIL	Full	Weaver	Dave	Mayor/Civil Engineer	No	13	7,292	50441	14.5%	Yes			
Quintero						Frank	Vocational Counselor	No	13	6,957	50441	13.8%	Yes				
Yousefian						Bob	Small Business Owner	No	13	6,723	50441	13.3%	Yes				
Boger						Mary W.	Civic Volunteer	No	13	5,832	50441	11.6%	No				
Rostamian						Hamo	Businessman	No	13	5,069	50441	10.0%	No				
Ellis						Pam	School Board Member	No	13	5,003	50441	9.9%	No				
Miller						Larry	Local Small Businessman	No	13	4,171	50441	8.3%	No				
Tartaglia						Tony	Public Affairs Manager	No	13	2,859	50441	5.7%	No				
Brandstater						Allen E.	Business Owner	No	13	2,670	50441	5.3%	No				
Wallis						Dave	Businessman/Broadcaster/Engineer	No	13	2,049	50441	4.1%	No				
Ropfogel						Steve	Small Business Owner	No	13	798	50441	1.6%	No				
Christopher						John Robert	Writer/Theatre Host	No	13	558	50441	1.1%	No				
Scopacasa						Joe	Retired Banker	No	13	460	50441	0.9%	No				
CITY TREASURER						Full	Borucki	Ronald	Appointed City Treasurer	Yes	1	12,168	12168	100.0%	Yes		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	04/03/01	INGLEWOOD	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Price, Jr.	Curren D.	Executive Director	No	5	1,854	3291	56.3%	Yes			
						Gray	Gloria	Health Care Administrator	No	5	781	3291	23.7%	No			
						Douroux	Marilyn G.	Retired Educator/Administrator	No	5	318	3291	9.7%	No			
						Hale	Lois Hill	Governmental/Business Consultant	No	5	259	3291	7.9%	No			
						Russell	John	College Professor/Businessman	No	5	79	3291	2.4%	No			
				2	Full	Dunlap	Judy	City Councilwoman/Teacher	Yes	5	903	1744	51.8%	Yes			
						Farwell	Gary	Attorney/Businessman	No	5	485	1744	27.8%	No			
						Burton	Cal E.	Producer/Broadcasting Executive	No	5	272	1744	15.6%	No			
						Russell, Jr.	F. Paul	Insurance Investigator	No	5	48	1744	2.8%	No			
						Inghram	Johnny Cleveland	Real Estate Counselor	No	5	36	1744	2.1%	No			
	04/10/01	BURBANK	CITY COUNCIL			Full	Ramos	Marsha R.	Businesswoman	No	4	6,188	19402	31.9%	Yes		
							Golonski	Dave	Burbank City Councilmember	Yes	4	5,733	19402	29.5%	Yes		
							Olson	Gary	Business Executive	Yes	4	4,325	19402	22.3%	No		
							Rothenbach	Howard	Railroad Inspector	No	4	3,156	19402	16.3%	No		
	04/17/01	COMPTON	CITY ATTORNEY			Full	Clegg, II	Legrand H.	City Attorney	Yes	1	7,727	7727	100.0%	Yes		
							Davis	Charles	Compton City Clerk	Yes	3	5,846	9277	63.0%	Yes		
			CITY CLERK			Full	Ennis	Aaron	Local Businessman	No	3	1,901	9277	20.5%	No		
							Acevedo	Elias	Small Business Owner	No	3	1,530	9277	16.5%	No		
		CITY COUNCIL				2	Full	Andrews	Melanie	College Boardmember/Educator	No	4	3,739	9263	40.4%	Yes	
								Irving	Leslie A.	School Boardmember/Educator	No	4	3,213	9263	34.7%	No	
Carrillo								Roberto	Maintenance Engineer	No	4	1,568	9263	16.9%	No		
Lewis								Joseph L.	Employment Development Specialist	No	4	743	9263	8.0%	No		
3								Full	Arceneaux	Yvonne	Councilwoman/Office Manager	Yes	6	3,620	9586	37.8%	Yes
									Wheaton	Frank K.	Lawyer/Media Consultant	No	6	2,661	9586	27.8%	No
									Garcia	Evaristo	Community/Recreation Specialist	No	6	1,415	9586	14.8%	No
									Hart-Holifield	Emily	Teacher/Block Commissioner	No	6	966	9586	10.1%	No
CITY TREASURER					Full	Taylor	Ramon "Ray"	Social Worker	No	6	486	9586	5.1%	No			
						Woods	Greg	Businessman	No	6	438	9586	4.6%	No			
	Sanders					Douglas	City Treasurer	Yes	4	4,211	9330	45.1%	Yes				
	Randle					Stephen John	Minister/College Boardmember	No	4	2,854	9330	30.6%	No				
					Full	Wilson	Eartha R.	Bank Branch Manager	No	4	1,176	9330	12.6%	No			
						Dees	Patricia	Code Enforcement Officer	No	4	1,089	9330	11.7%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	04/17/01	COMPTON	MAYOR		Full	Bradley	Omar	Mayor	Yes	9	4,312	9734	44.3%	Yes			
						Perrodin	Eric J.	Deputy District Attorney	No	9	1,983	9734	20.4%	No			
						Shaw	Marcine B.	Councilwoman, District 2	No	9	1,559	9734	16.0%	No			
						Mora	Juan R.	Railroad Engineer	No	9	695	9734	7.1%	No			
						Kimbrew	Basil	No Ballot Designation	No	9	437	9734	4.5%	No			
						Lankster	Saul E.	State University Professor	No	9	333	9734	3.4%	No			
						Cressel	Fred	No Ballot Designation	No	9	303	9734	3.1%	No			
						Sharif	Emma	Youth Development Director	No	9	68	9734	0.7%	No			
						Mitchell, Jr.	Eugene W.	Businessman	No	9	44	9734	0.5%	No			
							06/05/01	COMPTON	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Irving	Leslie A.	School Boardmember/Educator	No	2	5,313
Andrews	Melanie	College Boardmember/Educator	No	2	4,782							10095	47.4%	No			
3	Arceneaux	Yvonne	Councilwoman/Office Manager	Yes	2							5,996	10173	58.9%	Yes		
	Wheaton	Frank K.	Lawyer/Media Consultant	No	2							4,177	10173	41.1%	No		
CITY TREASURER	Full	Sanders	Douglas	City Treasurer	Yes				2	5,774	10198	56.6%	Yes				
		Randle	Stephen John	Minister/College Boardmember	No				2	4,424	10198	43.4%	No				
MAYOR	Full	Perrodin	Eric J.	Deputy District Attorney	No				2	5,367	10473	51.2%	Yes				
		Bradley	Omar	Mayor	Yes				2	5,106	10473	48.8%	No				
11/06/01	AGOURA HILLS	CITY COUNCIL			Full				Corridori	Ed	Mayor/Business Owner	No	8	1,578	7687	20.5%	Yes
									Weber	Denis	Councilmember/Bank Vice-President	Yes	8	1,334	7687	17.4%	Yes
						Reinhardt	Jeffrey M. "Jeff"	Councilman/Marketing Executive	Yes	8	1,312	7687	17.1%	Yes			
						Wachs	Bob	Business Consultant	No	8	1,172	7687	15.2%	No			
						Thomas	George Christopher	Research Associate	No	8	659	7687	8.6%	No			
						Crisafulli	Dan	Businessman	No	8	646	7687	8.4%	No			
						Adams	Melvin H.	General Manager	No	8	544	7687	7.1%	No			
						Horton	Ken	Financial Aid Director	No	8	442	7687	5.7%	No			
						DIAMOND BAR	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Chang	Wen	Councilman/Businessman	Yes	5	3,968	14542	27.3%	Yes
									O'Connor	Debby	Councilmember/Financial Consultant	Yes	5	3,392	14542	23.3%	Yes
Zirbes	Bob	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	5	2,834				14542	19.5%	Yes						
Ansari	Eileen R.	Councilwoman/Educator	Yes	5	2,726				14542	18.7%	No						
Ortiz	Tom	Retired Policeman	No	5	1,622				14542	11.2%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/06/01	DUARTE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Reyes	Phil	Duarte City Councilmember	Yes	8	1,852	9322	19.9%	Yes
						Paras	Tzeithel Russel M.	Youth Program Director	No	8	1,497	9322	16.1%	Yes
						Finlay	Margaret E.	Duarte City Councilmember	Yes	8	1,466	9322	15.7%	Yes
						Wood	Patricia "Pat"	Management Consultant	No	8	1,436	9322	15.4%	No
						Kirchner	Jim	Duarte City Councilmember	Yes	8	1,259	9322	13.5%	No
						Firth	Hal	Area Manager	No	8	1,015	9322	10.9%	No
						Baltazar, Jr.	Henry	Landscape Contractor	No	8	581	9322	6.2%	No
						Bagwell	Elizabeth Santana	Account Executive	No	8	216	9322	2.3%	No
HAWTHORNE			CITY CLERK		Full	Juarez	Daniel D. "Danny"	City Clerk	Yes	1	4,810	4810	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL	Full	Catano	Pablo H.	District Representative	No	6	2,742	10795	25.4%	Yes	
					Parsons	Gary P.	Aerospace Engineer	No	6	2,569	10795	23.8%	Yes	
					Andersen	Steve	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,486	10795	13.8%	No	
					Brown	Leatrice	School Administrative Assistant	No	6	1,418	10795	13.1%	No	
					Kunitak	Oliver	Businessman	No	6	1,354	10795	12.5%	No	
					Chiappe	Mario	Educator	No	6	1,226	10795	11.4%	No	
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Campos	Edelma	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,826	5580	50.6%	Yes	
					Lubenec	Thierry	Investor/Accountant	No	2	2,754	5580	49.4%	No	
			MAYOR	Full	Guidi	Larry	Mayor, City of Hawthorne	Yes	3	4,064	6246	65.1%	Yes	
Schoenfeld	Mark E.	Councilman, City of Hawthorne			No	3	1,402	6246	22.4%	No				
Blazicek	Wally	Businessman			No	3	780	6246	12.5%	No				
HERMOSA BEACH			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Keegan	Michael	Local Business Owner	No	8	2,384	9692	24.6%	Yes
						Yoon	Art	Businessman	No	8	1,800	9692	18.6%	Yes
						Reviczky	J. R.	Electrical Supervisor/Councilmember	Yes	8	1,556	9692	16.1%	Yes
						Weiss	Mary Lou	Businesswoman	No	8	1,244	9692	12.8%	No
						Tucker	Peter	Building Inspector	No	8	1,213	9692	12.5%	No
						Pizer	Ron	Residential Property Renovator	No	8	885	9692	9.1%	No
						Murphy	Brian	Businessman	No	8	370	9692	3.8%	No
						Bell	Robert	Writer	No	8	240	9692	2.5%	No
LYNWOOD			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pedroza	Fernando	Customer Service Representative	No	19	1,685	12333	13.7%	Yes
						Rodriguez	Ramon	Businessman/Self Employed	No	19	1,474	12333	12.0%	Yes
						Richards, II	Paul H.	Councilperson/Attorney	Yes	19	1,243	12333	10.1%	Yes
						Flores	Mark	Development Director	No	19	1,134	12333	9.2%	No
						Swift	Kent	Deputy Probation Officer	No	19	983	12333	8.0%	No
						Sanchez	Ricardo	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	19	794	12333	6.4%	No
						Rea	Armando	Deputy Sheriff/Councilmember	Yes	19	768	12333	6.2%	No
						Morton	Jim	Businessman/Self Employed	No	19	746	12333	6.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/06/01	LYNWOOD	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Wicker-Amey	Katherine	Teacher	No	19	568	12333	4.6%	No
						Araujo	Margaret	Legislative Field Deputy	No	19	438	12333	3.6%	No
						Jacinto	Edwin	Realtor	No	19	423	12333	3.4%	No
						Romero	Maria Elena	Event Coordinator	No	19	410	12333	3.3%	No
						Hawkins	Lorna	Executive Director	No	19	337	12333	2.7%	No
						Carr	Patricia	No Ballot Designation	No	19	302	12333	2.4%	No
						Alatorre	Sal	EPA Inspector	No	19	298	12333	2.4%	No
						Carrillo	Jorge	Tool Die Maker	No	19	247	12333	2.0%	No
						Garcia	Irene	Homemaker/Mother	No	19	208	12333	1.7%	No
						Brown	Mel	Carpenter/Pastor	No	19	199	12333	1.6%	No
						Gibbens, Sr.	Harry C.	Retired Cabinetmaker	No	19	76	12333	0.6%	No
MONTEBELLO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Saucedo	Mary Anne	Councilmember/Banker	Yes	4	3,590	12145	29.6%	Yes
						Molinari	William M. "Bill"	Businessowner/City Councilmember	Yes	4	3,478	12145	28.6%	Yes
						Vasquez	Ed	Professor	No	4	3,442	12145	28.3%	Yes
						Siccama	Jeff	Businessman	No	4	1,635	12145	13.5%	No
MONTEREY PARK			CITY COUNCIL		Short	Martinez	Sharon	Businessowner	No	7	1,883	6493	29.0%	Yes
						Yang	Lisa	Businesswoman	No	7	1,046	6493	16.1%	No
						Reichenberger	Patricia	Marketing/Public Relations	No	7	990	6493	15.2%	No
						Wong	Sophie C.	Governing Board Member	No	7	984	6493	15.2%	No
						Wong	Anthony	Businessman	No	7	934	6493	14.4%	No
						Wong	Daniel K.	Physician/Surgeon/Educator	No	7	639	6493	9.8%	No
						Shen	George	Businessman	No	7	17	6493	0.3%	No
PALMDALE			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Root	James A. "Jim"	Educator/Councilman	Yes	6	4,623	16965	27.3%	Yes
						Loa	Richard	Attorney/Businessman	No	6	3,514	16965	20.7%	Yes
						Penner	Rod	Councilmember/Sheriff's Lieutenant	Yes	6	3,330	16965	19.6%	No
						Roberts	Claudette	Projects Coordinator/Educator	No	6	2,474	16965	14.6%	No
						Eckley	Celeste	Businesswoman	No	6	2,063	16965	12.2%	No
						Cope	G. "Garry"	Educator/Government Worker	No	6	961	16965	5.7%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Ledford, Jr.	James C.	Mayor of Palmdale	Yes	4	5,632	9677	58.2%	Yes
			Norris			Richard H. "Rick"	City Councilmember/Businessman	No	4	2,793	9677	28.9%	No	
			Kester			Desmond	County Deputy Assessor	No	4	1,059	9677	10.9%	No	
			Angel			Drew	Theoretician	No	4	193	9677	2.0%	No	
			RANCHO PALOS VERDE			CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gardiner	Peter C.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	3,618	11396
Clark	Larry	Air Force Executive	No	4	3,075			11396	27.0%	Yes				
Lyon	Marilyn	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	4	2,846			11396	25.0%	No				
Alberio	Gil	Construction Management Consultant	No	4	1,857			11396	16.3%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/06/01	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Slavin	Bob	Tax Attorney/Businessman	No	5	1,211	4337	27.9%	Yes
						Rutherford	Mark C.	Mediator/Arbitrator/Councilman	Yes	5	1,064	4337	24.5%	Yes
						McSweeney	Susan	Teacher	No	5	997	4337	23.0%	Yes
						Broomand	Iraj	Incumbent	Yes	5	721	4337	16.6%	No
						Klamert	Ron	Vice President Production	No	5	344	4337	7.9%	No
MADERA	No City Contests													
MARIN	11/06/01	CORTE MADERA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Condon	Carla	Businesswoman/Planning Commissioner	No	5	1,427	5367	26.6%	Yes
						Dupar	John	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,282	5367	23.9%	Yes
						Lappert	Michael	Business Owner	No	5	1,145	5367	21.3%	Yes
						Edelbrock	Jerry	Environmental Foundation Executive	No	5	929	5367	17.3%	No
						Dill	Rand	Engineering Manager	No	5	584	5367	10.9%	No
		FAIRFAX	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Brandborg	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,337	5935	22.5%	Yes
						Ghiringhelli	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,304	5935	22.0%	Yes
						Egger	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,239	5935	20.9%	Yes
						Shaiken	Stephen	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,109	5935	18.7%	No
						Del Santo	Bernard Leo "Bernie"	Retired Police Chief	No	6	538	5935	9.1%	No
						Hellman	Jeff	Security Systems Professional	No	6	408	5935	6.9%	No
		NOVATO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Eklund	Pat	Environmental Manager/Council Member	Yes	5	5,664	21961	25.8%	Yes
						Meyers	Bernie	Attorney/Educator	No	5	5,347	21961	24.3%	Yes
						Arnold	Michael R.	Economist/Risk Manager	No	5	5,050	21961	23.0%	No
						Henderson	Jim	Councilmember	Yes	5	4,913	21961	22.4%	No
						Meyers	Gail E.	Retired Bank Employee	No	5	987	21961	4.5%	No
		SAN RAFAEL	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Heller	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,845	13556	43.1%	Yes
						Miller	Cyr	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,676	13556	41.9%	Yes
						Persily	Coleman "Carlitos"	Retired Insurance Agent	No	4	1,156	13556	8.5%	No
						Sonstein	Seth D.	General Sales Manager	No	4	879	13556	6.5%	No
TIBURON	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gram	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,525	6251	24.4%	Yes		
				Matthews	Harry S.	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,512	6251	24.2%	Yes		
				Fredericks	Alice	Tiburon Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,081	6251	17.3%	Yes		
				Vogelheim	Katie	Business Woman	No	6	949	6251	15.2%	No		
				Bergmann	Valerie	Director of Operations	No	6	892	6251	14.3%	No		
				Trudell	Paul	Attorney at Law	No	6	292	6251	4.7%	No		
MARIPOSA	No City Contests													
MENDOCINO	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MERCED	11/06/01	MERCED	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Cortez	Joseph	Retired Police Commander	No	8	3,026	14530	20.8%	Yes
						Spriggs	Bill	Businessman	No	8	2,912	14530	20.0%	Yes
						Sanders	Jim	President MCAN	No	8	2,573	14530	17.7%	Yes
						Osorio	Rick	Businessowner	No	8	1,382	14530	9.5%	No
						Riordan	David J.	Executive Director	No	8	1,314	14530	9.0%	No
						Chavez	Lidia C.	Teacher/Businesswoman	No	8	1,208	14530	8.3%	No
						Pollard	Carl	Childcare Worker	No	8	1,077	14530	7.4%	No
						Crowder	Donna	Nurse	No	8	1,032	14530	7.1%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Walsh	Hubert "Hub"	Social Services Director	No	2	3,537	5566	63.5%	Yes
						Thurston	Stanley P. "Stan"	Businessman/Attorney	No	2	2,005	5566	36.0%	No
MODOC	No City Contests													
MONO	No City Contests													
MONTEREY	11/06/01	GONZALES	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Orozco	Maria	Community Improvement Assistant	No	3	294	625	47.0%	Yes
						Rios	Jose	Account Representative	No	3	231	625	37.0%	No
						Williams	Larry	Dispatcher/Bus Driver	No	3	100	625	16.0%	No
NAPA	03/06/01	NAPA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Crawford	David	Physician	No	6	5,739	22440	25.6%	Yes
						Techel	Jill	Incumbent	Yes	6	5,695	22440	25.4%	Yes
						Cuney	Dee	Businesswoman/Educator/Consultant	No	6	3,777	22440	16.8%	No
						Francis	Janet	Retired Registered Nurse	No	6	3,580	22440	16.0%	No
						Frank	Rachael	Juvenile Justice Commissioner	No	6	2,877	22440	12.8%	No
						Ramey	Connie	Retired Private Investigator	No	6	772	22440	3.4%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Henderson	Ed	Mayor	Yes	4	7,079	12707	55.7%	Yes
						Martin	Harry V.	Councilmember/Publisher/Businessman	No	4	4,863	12707	38.3%	No
						Champion	Michael	Education Coordinator	No	4	485	12707	3.8%	No
						Mott	Peter D.	Business Owner	No	4	280	12707	2.2%	No
ST. HELENA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Potter	Joe F.	Incumbent	Yes	3	840	2234	37.6%	Yes
						Savidge	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	3	751	2234	33.6%	Yes
						Milliken	John	Computer Systems Consultant	No	3	643	2234	28.8%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Slavens	Ken	Incumbent	Yes	1	952	952	100.0%	Yes
YOUNTVILLE			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Knight	Eric E.	Council Member Incumbent	Yes	4	591	1335	44.3%	Yes
						Saucerman	Cynthia	Wine Industry Sales	No	4	354	1335	26.5%	Yes
						Janes	Jason Tiedemann	No Ballot Designation	No	4	258	1335	19.3%	No
						Wells	David E.	Retired	No	4	132	1335	9.9%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Holt	Mary Lou	Incumbent	Yes	2	631	707	89.3%	Yes
						Kaneshiro	Janice "Jan"	Retired	No	2	76	707	10.7%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
NEVADA	No City Contests													
ORANGE	No City Contests													
PLACER	No City Contests													
PLUMAS	No City Contests													
RIVERSIDE	11/06/01	BLYTHE	CITY CLERK		Full	Rivera	Virginia C. "Virgie"	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	941	1683	55.9%	Yes
						Esquibel	Irene	Computer Instructor	No	3	373	1683	22.2%	No
						Reese	Ann Graham	No Ballot Designation	No	3	369	1683	21.9%	No
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Crain	Robert A.	Insurance Agent	Yes	19	795	4966	16.0%	Yes
						Thomas	George W.	Educator	Yes	19	549	4966	11.1%	Yes
						Soto	Richard "Dickie"	Incumbent	Yes	19	453	4966	9.1%	Yes
						Hernandez	Alfonso "Sonny"	Director of Facilities	No	19	349	4966	7.0%	No
						Feemster	Robert "Bob"	Retired Police Chief	No	19	338	4966	6.8%	No
						Rodriguez, Jr.	Eddie G.	Auto Sales	No	19	318	4966	6.4%	No
						Preston	Billy Joe	Warehouse Supervisor	No	19	296	4966	6.0%	No
						Williams	Larry J.	General Contractor	No	19	213	4966	4.3%	No
						Schindler, II	Louis A.	Local Farmer	No	19	202	4966	4.1%	No
						Breshears	Kelly	Correctional Peace Officer	No	19	193	4966	3.9%	No
						Bolliger	Mark A.	Educator	No	19	193	4966	3.9%	No
						MacDonald	Robert R.	No Ballot Designation	No	19	178	4966	3.6%	No
						Dugan	Helen	Business Owner	No	19	167	4966	3.4%	No
						Galindo	Albert	Businessman	No	19	163	4966	3.3%	No
						Contreras	Benny F.	Businessman	No	19	157	4966	3.2%	No
						Pearce	Edrey	Retired Police Officer	No	19	143	4966	2.9%	No
						Evans	Nancy R.	Correctional Lieutenant	No	19	101	4966	2.0%	No
Reynolds	Dale S.	Apartment Manager	No	19	100	4966	2.0%	No						
Abril	Sylvia A.	State Employee	No	19	58	4966	1.2%	No						
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Martin	Leann Kay	Deputy Finance Director	No	1	1,430	1430	100.0%	Yes
COACHELLA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	De Lara	Juan M.	Incumbent	Yes	7	712	3151	22.6%	Yes
						Macknicki	Richard	Supervisor, Electric Utility	No	7	687	3151	21.8%	Yes
						Villarreal	Jesse	Reverend	No	7	616	3151	19.5%	Yes
						Montenegro	Sylvia Lara	Incumbent	Yes	7	328	3151	10.4%	No
						Castillon	Isabel	Deputy Probation Officer	No	7	311	3151	9.9%	No
						Dominguez	Lupe Loza	Mayor of Coachella	Yes	7	269	3151	8.5%	No
						Gaines	Yvonne P.	Business Administrator	No	7	228	3151	7.2%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/06/01	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bosworth	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	6	726	2956	24.6%	Yes			
						Pieper	William R. "Will"	Planning Commissioner/Electrician	No	6	724	2956	24.5%	Yes			
						Baker, Jr.	Karl	School Teacher/Businessman	No	6	535	2956	18.1%	No			
						Sherman	Douglas W.	Businessman	No	6	404	2956	13.7%	No			
						Shea	Judith L.	Community Action Commissioner	No	6	369	2956	12.5%	No			
						Esser	Rochelle	Graphic Designer/Writer	No	6	198	2956	6.7%	No			
			MAYOR					Full	Weyuker	Matt	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,060	1590	66.7%	Yes
									Pye	Jan Maria	Data Analyst/Councilmember	No	2	530	1590	33.3%	No
			INDIAN WELLS	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Monarch	Ed	Mayor, Councilman	Yes	3	1,130	2416	46.8%	Yes			
						Negron, Sr.	Conrad	Mayor Pro Tem	Yes	3	1,062	2416	44.0%	Yes			
						Lax	Martin	Attorney	No	3	224	2416	9.3%	No			
INDIO	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Fesmire	Melanie	Mayor/Business Person	Yes	8	1,918	9676	19.8%	Yes						
			Gilbert	Gene	District Attorney Investigator	No	8	1,771	9676	18.3%	Yes						
			Godfrey	Ben	Incumbent	Yes	8	1,669	9676	17.2%	Yes						
			Diaz	Ricardo "Rick"	Retired Deputy Coroner	No	8	1,257	9676	13.0%	No						
			Lopez	Marcos	Businessperson/Council Member	Yes	8	1,079	9676	11.2%	No						
			Silva	Chris B.	Businessperson	No	8	766	9676	7.9%	No						
			Cauhape	Chris	Floor Covering Contractor	No	8	624	9676	6.4%	No						
			Haddox	John P.	Planning Commissioner	No	8	592	9676	6.1%	No						
			LAKE ELSINORE	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Buckley	Thomas	Senior Account Executive	No	8	846	4359	19.4%	Yes			
Hickman	Daryl	Semi-Retired				No	8	721	4359	16.5%	Yes						
Pape	Kevin	Mbr City Council, City of Lake Elsinore				Yes	8	711	4359	16.3%	No						
Cheney	David B.	Financial Services Representative				No	8	594	4359	13.6%	No						
Flores	Jimmy B.	Restaurateur				No	8	561	4359	12.9%	No						
Hyland	Chris	Businessperson				No	8	474	4359	10.9%	No						
Aragon	Max	Marketing, Media, Administration				No	8	244	4359	5.6%	No						
Hite	Dale	Machinist				No	8	208	4359	4.8%	No						
MURRIETA	CITY COUNCIL	Full				Van Haaster	Jack F.	Certified Public Accountant	Yes	11	2,463	15975	15.4%	Yes			
			Seyarto	Kelly	Fire Captain/Councilmember	Yes	11	2,413	15975	15.1%	Yes						
			Ostling	Richard "Dick"	Retired Police Lieutenant	Yes	11	2,212	15975	13.8%	Yes						
			Holliday	Ron	Investment Adviser	No	11	1,808	15975	11.3%	No						
			Dickson	Kenneth C.	Gov Board Member, Murrieta Valley USD	No	11	1,783	15975	11.2%	No						
			Knight	Nancy	Retired Scientist/Chemist	No	11	1,419	15975	8.9%	No						
			Stone-Rubin	Lori	Businesswoman	No	11	1,181	15975	7.4%	No						
			Gibbo	Ayleen	Retired Interior Designer	No	11	984	15975	6.2%	No						
			Quayle	Jim	Plumbing Contractor	No	11	703	15975	4.4%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/06/01	MURRIETA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ross	Robert V.	Real Estate Broker	No	11	599	15975	3.7%	No
						Landriscina	David Frank	Technical Consultant	No	11	410	15975	2.6%	No
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/06/01	NORCO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Clark	Hal H.	No Ballot Designation	Yes	4	1,730	4606	37.6%	Yes
						Hall	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,571	4606	34.1%	Yes
						Loock	Lois "Grannie"	Licensed Cosmetologist	No	4	686	4606	14.9%	No
						Nolan	Jim	Factory Representative	No	4	619	4606	13.4%	No
		PALM SPRINGS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Oden	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	8	4,148	16023	25.9%	Yes
						Mills	Christopher "Chris"	Architect	No	8	3,502	16023	21.9%	No
						Hodges	Deyna	Incumbent	Yes	8	3,118	16023	19.5%	No
						Lock	Sharon I.	Retired	No	8	2,010	16023	12.5%	No
						Lyons	Arthur	Author/Businessman	No	8	1,369	16023	8.5%	No
						Franklin	James "Jim"	Corporate Executive, Entrepreneur	No	8	1,201	16023	7.5%	No
						Feingold	William	Investor	No	8	487	16023	3.0%	No
						Patterson	Wayne	Retired	No	8	188	16023	1.2%	No
		PERRIS	CITY CLERK		Full	Rey	Margaret	Incumbent	Yes	3	941	1804	52.2%	Yes
						Roseen	Judy "Haughney"	Office Manager	No	3	443	1804	24.6%	Yes
						Denney	Virginia Wyatt	Commissioner, Board Member	No	3	420	1804	23.3%	No
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Yarbrough	Raul Mark	Incumbent	Yes	5	909	3415	26.6%	Yes
Landers	Al					Businessman	No	5	890	3415	26.1%	No		
Kaus	Kelly C.					Businessman	Yes	5	616	3415	18.0%	No		
Vidal	Brian K. "Buzz"					U.S. Postal Carrier	No	5	562	3415	16.5%	No		
Ambriz	George C.					Property Manager	No	5	438	3415	12.8%	No		
MAYOR			Full	Busch	Daryl	Mayor, City of Perris	Yes	2	1,306	1826	71.5%	Yes		
				Larios	Cecilia M.	Councilmember	No	2	520	1826	28.5%	No		
RIVERSIDE	11/06/01	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Moore	Ameal	Councilmember	Yes	2	1,983	3815	52.0%	Yes	
					Rasso	Ruben	Deputy Sheriff	No	2	1,832	3815	48.0%	No	
			4	Full	Schiavone	Frank	Businessman/General Contractor	No	6	4,291	8707	49.3%	Yes	
					Kane	Maureen	Councilmember	Yes	6	3,436	8707	39.5%	No	
					Boling	Jon "Kevin"	No Ballot Designation	No	6	336	8707	3.9%	No	
					Walters	Donald R.	Retired Business Owner	No	6	315	8707	3.6%	No	
					Woolf	Steve	Consultant	No	6	171	8707	2.0%	No	
					Clelland	Richard W. "Dick"	Construction Contracts Administrator	No	6	158	8707	1.8%	No	
			6	Full	Hart	Nancy E.	School Trustee/Businesswoman	No	4	812	2009	40.4%	Yes	
					Thompson	O. "Terri"	Councilmember	Yes	4	798	2009	39.7%	No	
					Troncin	Ruby	Business Owner	No	4	265	2009	13.2%	No	
					Szychowski	Charles Anthony	Registered Tax Preparer	No	4	134	2009	6.7%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/06/01	RIVERSIDE	MAYOR		Full	Loveridge	Ron	Mayor/College Teacher	Yes	4	14,560	26364	55.2%	Yes	
						Cardelucci	Samuel D. "Sam"	No Ballot Designation	No	4	5,625	26364	21.3%	No	
						Pepper	Letitia	Attorney/Teacher	No	4	4,843	26364	18.4%	No	
						Weber	Peter G.	Manufacturing Manager	No	4	1,336	26364	5.1%	No	
		TEMECULA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Comerchero	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,984	21270	18.7%	Yes	
						Roberts	Ron	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,877	21270	18.2%	Yes	
						Stone	Jeff	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,722	21270	17.5%	Yes	
						Ross	Carl	Retired Lieutenant Colonel	No	6	3,654	21270	17.2%	No	
						Dool	Ed	Transportation Provider	No	6	3,137	21270	14.7%	No	
						Pedersen	Chris	Certified Business Appraiser	No	6	2,896	21270	13.6%	No	
SACRAMENTO	04/10/01	SACRAMENTO	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Tretheway	Ray	Conservation Foundation Director	No	5	2,573	4654	55.3%	Yes	
						Hackett	Cathy	Transportation Budget Analyst	No	5	1,595	4654	34.3%	No	
						Snipes	Tim	Small Business Owner	No	5	235	4654	5.0%	No	
						Baca	Raul	State Finance Specialist	No	5	175	4654	3.8%	No	
						Watanabe	Steven	Word Processing Technician	No	5	76	4654	1.6%	No	
SAN BENITO	No City Contests														
SAN BERNARDINO	11/06/01	CHINO	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Haughey	Tom	Appointed Councilmember	Yes	3	1,923	3188	60.3%	Yes	
						Martinez	Joe	Personal Banker	Yes	3	1,042	3188	32.7%	No	
						Lewis	Steve	Network Administrator	No	3	223	3188	7.0%	No	
		COLTON	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Mitchell	John D.	Office Manager	No	3	224	330	67.9%	Yes	
						Wilson	Robert D.	Systems Analyst	No	3	88	330	26.7%	No	
						Yahn	William E.	Temporary Office Worker	No	3	18	330	5.5%	No	
		REDLANDS	CITY COUNCIL		Full	George	Gary J.	Incumbent	Yes	6	5,750	24850	23.1%	Yes	
						Harrison	Jon	Computer System Designer	No	6	5,684	24850	22.9%	Yes	
						Gilbreath	Patricia L. "Pat"	Councilmember/Mayor/Accountant	Yes	6	5,496	24850	22.1%	Yes	
						Freedman	John L.	Councilmember	Yes	6	3,557	24850	14.3%	No	
						Cunningham	William E.	Retired College Professor	No	6	2,718	24850	10.9%	No	
		SAN BERNARDINO	CITY COUNCIL		1	Full	Denton	Stephen D.	Principle Property Agent	No	6	1,645	24850	6.6%	No
							Estrada	Esther R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	477	852	56.0%	Yes
							Navarro	Gil	Education Advocate	No	3	194	852	22.8%	No
2	Full				Seccombe, Sr.	Thomas E.	Construction Project Manager	No	3	181	852	21.2%	No		
					Lien	Susan	Second Ward Councilmember	Yes	2	500	802	62.3%	Yes		
					Rego	Robert F.	Business Owner	No	2	302	802	37.7%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN BERNARDINO (continued)	11/06/01	SAN BERNARDINO	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Derry	Neil	Administrator/Planning Commissioner	No	3	2,037	3006	67.8%	Yes	
						Holcomb	William R. "Bob"	Retired Attorney	No	3	688	3006	22.9%	No	
						Kincade	James	Retired Businessman	No	3	281	3006	9.3%	No	
					MAYOR		Full	Valles	Judith	Mayor	Yes	1	7,385	7385	100.0%
SAN DIEGO	02/27/01	SAN DIEGO	CITY COUNCIL	8	Full	Inzunza	Ralph	No Ballot Designation	No	12	4,759	7664	62.1%	Yes	
						Babcock	Richard D.	Businessman	No	12	714	7664	9.3%	No	
						Ramirez	Rafael	Educator/Public Administrator	No	12	606	7664	7.9%	No	
						Gomez	David	Water District Director/Rancher	No	12	325	7664	4.2%	No	
						Ramirez	Christian	Student/Professor's Assistant	No	12	238	7664	3.1%	No	
						Tyler-Mallery	Gloria	Businesswoman/Marketing Consultant	No	12	212	7664	2.8%	No	
						Hancock	Kevin	Property Investor	No	12	168	7664	2.2%	No	
						Ortega	Joe	Building Inspector	No	12	164	7664	2.1%	No	
						Arends-Biddlecome	Mary	Mother/Attorney/Businesswoman	No	12	164	7664	2.1%	No	
						Jordan	Janice	Student/Mother/Writer	No	12	138	7664	1.8%	No	
						Rojas	Elias	Financial Securities Broker	No	12	117	7664	1.5%	No	
						Pickard	Lincoln	Internet Consultant/Webmaster	No	12	59	7664	0.8%	No	
							04/17/01	SAN DIEGO	CITY COUNCIL	6	Full	Danon	Steve	Supervisor's Chief of Staff	No
	Frye	Donna D.	Small Business Owner	No	10	4,867						19036	25.6%	No	
	Pallamary	Michael	Professional Land Surveyor	No	10	3,573						19036	18.8%	No	
	Navarro	Peter	University Professor/Utility Analyst	No	10	1,494						19036	7.8%	No	
	Cox	Kim	No Ballot Designation	No	10	1,400						19036	7.4%	No	
	Rotto	Gary	Executive Director/Non-Profit Organization	No	10	1,249						19036	6.6%	No	
		06/05/01	SAN DIEGO	CITY COUNCIL	6	Full	Murray	Larry L.	Businessman/Father	No	10	569	19036	3.0%	No
Switzer	Tom						Entrepreneur/Businessman	No	10	450	19036	2.4%	No		
Mayes	Donald						Attorney	No	10	299	19036	1.6%	No		
						Richard	Rick	Real Estate Sales Agent	No	10	143	19036	0.8%	No	
						Frye	Donna	Small Business Owner	No	2	12,259	23460	52.3%	Yes	
						Danon	Steve	Supervisor's Chief of Staff	No	2	11,201	23460	47.7%	No	
SAN FRANCISCO	No City Contests														
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Contests														
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests														
SAN MATEO	11/06/01	BELMONT	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Metropulos	George	Teacher/Commissioner	No	5	4,216	13565	31.1%	Yes	
						Bauer	David	Insurance/Financial Advisor	No	5	3,459	13565	25.5%	Yes	
						Cook	Terri	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,405	13565	25.1%	Yes	
						Rianda	Pam	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,318	13565	9.7%	No	
						Hsu	Linden	Electrical Engineer	No	5	1,167	13565	8.6%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/06/01	BRISBANE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Panza	Lee J.	Construction Project Manager	No	6	561	2598	21.6%	Yes		
						Barnes	Michael G.	Analytical Chemist	No	6	463	2598	17.8%	Yes		
						Richardson	A. Sepi	Executive Financial Officer	No	6	457	2598	17.6%	Yes		
						Jameel	Haji	Mechanical/Civil Engineer	No	6	425	2598	16.4%	No		
						Dillworth	Dana	Community Activist	No	6	376	2598	14.5%	No		
						McCall	Ken	Client Services Director	No	6	316	2598	12.2%	No		
	BURLINGAME			CITY CLERK		Full	Musso	Ann T.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	4,237	4237	100.0%	Yes	
							CITY COUNCIL	O'Mahony	Rosalie M.	Councilwoman/Mathematics Professor	Yes	5	4,070	15762	25.8%	Yes
								Baylock	Cathy	Publisher/Mother	No	5	3,456	15762	21.9%	Yes
								Galligan	Joe	Councilman/Independent Businessman	Yes	5	3,419	15762	21.7%	Yes
								Osterling	Ralph S.	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	5	2,973	15762	18.9%	No
								Jensen	Patrick Denis	University Student	No	5	1,844	15762	11.7%	No
	FOSTER CITY			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Wilder	Deborah	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	3	2,519	6319	39.9%	Yes	
							Townsend	Marland W.	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,510	6319	39.7%	Yes	
							Wykoff	Rick	Retired City Manager	No	3	1,962	3610	54.3%	Yes	
						Short	Mogil	Gary M.	Counselor-at-Law	No	3	1,290	6319	20.4%	No	
							Sullivan	Patrick	Business Owner/Executive	No	3	1,076	3610	29.8%	No	
							Rain	Lou	Planning Commissioner/Electrician	No	3	572	3610	15.8%	No	
	HALF MOON BAY			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Taylor	Toni	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,515	7386	20.5%	Yes	
							Grady	Jim	Human Resources Executive	No	6	1,332	7386	18.0%	Yes	
Ferreira							Mike	Planning Commissioner	No	6	1,307	7386	17.7%	Yes		
Fraser							Marina	Biotechnology Training Manager	No	6	1,219	7386	16.5%	No		
McClung							Bonnie	School District Superintendent	No	6	1,144	7386	15.5%	No		
Rivera							Eliana	Biotechnology Training Consultant	No	6	869	7386	11.8%	No		
MILLBRAE			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Quigg	Daniel F.	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	3	2,566	6460	39.7%	Yes		
						Gottschalk	Robert G.	Attorney	No	3	2,479	6460	38.4%	Yes		
						Andrews	Gaetane M.	Assistant Financial Planner	No	3	1,415	6460	21.9%	No		
						CITY TREASURER	Treseler	Mary Vella	Property Management/Volunteer	No	1	2,848	2848	100.0%	Yes	
PORTOLA VALLEY			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Driscoll	Tedd	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,382	4584	30.1%	Yes		
						Comstock	George E.	Retired Executive/Consultant	No	4	1,355	4584	29.6%	Yes		
						Merk	Richard T.	Retired	No	4	1,118	4584	24.4%	Yes		
						Alkins	Douglas B.	Land Use Attorney	No	4	729	4584	15.9%	No		
SAN BRUNO			CITY CLERK		Full	Simon	Ed	Bank Manager	No	2	3,659	5114	71.5%	Yes		
						Mershon	Bernel I.	Business Administrator	No	2	1,455	5114	28.5%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/06/01	SAN BRUNO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pallas	Chris	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	5	2,735	9860	27.7%	Yes
						Ibarra	Ken	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	5	2,200	9860	22.3%	Yes
						Fleming	Gary	Engineering Manager	No	5	1,799	9860	18.2%	No
						Tobin	Mark J.	Elevator Constructor	No	5	1,638	9860	16.6%	No
						Barnes	Alice G.	Journalist/Contract Consultant	No	5	1,488	9860	15.1%	No
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Hornung	Karen Bava	City Treasurer, City of San Bruno	Yes	1	4,110	4110	100.0%	Yes	
			MAYOR	Full	Franzella	Larry	Mayor, City of San Bruno	Yes	1	4,258	4258	100.0%	Yes	
			SAN CARLOS	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Lempert	Sue	City Council Member	Yes	4	8,227	26702	30.8%	Yes
						Groom	Carole	Incumbent	Yes	4	7,337	26702	27.5%	Yes
						Epstein	Jan	City Council Member	Yes	4	7,074	26702	26.5%	Yes
		Tyo				Pete W.	Business Owner	No	4	4,064	26702	15.2%	No	
		SAN MATEO	CITY COUNCIL	Short	Doherty	Inge	Businesswoman/Mother	No	1	3,693	3693	100.0%	Yes	
					Eaton	Don	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,820	13561	28.2%	Yes	
					King	Michael J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	3,569	13561	26.3%	Yes	
					Grocott	Matt	Business Owner	No	4	3,263	13561	24.1%	Yes	
		SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	CITY CLERK	Full	Payne	Sylvia M.	City Clerk	Yes	1	5,452	5452	100.0%	Yes	
					CITY COUNCIL	Full	Green	Ray	Retired Fire Captain	No	6	3,649	16612	22.0%
			Gonzalez	Pedro "Pete"			Appointed Council Member	Yes	6	3,516	16612	21.2%	Yes	
			Matsumoto	Karyl			City Councilmember/Administrator	Yes	6	3,313	16612	19.9%	Yes	
			Teglia	Marc C.			Transportation Services Supervisor	No	6	2,825	16612	17.0%	No	
Ochsenhirt	Rick		Local Businessman	No			6	2,109	16612	12.7%	No			
Ceccato	Rhonda		Social Service Director	No			6	1,200	16612	7.2%	No			
CITY TREASURER	Full		Bonalanza-Ford	Beverly	City Treasurer	Yes	1	5,460	5460	100.0%	Yes			
WOODSIDE	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Gordon	Deborah Cody	Engineer	No	2	993	1720	57.7%	Yes		
				Kirley	Joe	Retired Peace Officer	No	2	727	1720	42.3%	No		
		4	Full	Putnam	Joe	Auto Dealer	No	1	916	916	100.0%	Yes		
				6	Full	Hodges	Carroll Ann	Geologist/Councilmember	Yes	2	1,134	1736	65.3%	Yes
						McKae	Gary P.	Private Investor	No	2	602	1736	34.7%	No
SANTA BARBARA	11/06/01	GOLETA	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Connell	Margaret	Retired Communications Director	No	12	3,479	27719	12.6%	Yes	
					Hawkhurst	Jack	Systems Analyst	No	12	3,443	27719	12.4%	Yes	
					Brock	Cynthia	Technical Communications Designer	No	12	3,279	27719	11.8%	Yes	
					Blois	Jean W.	Retired Business Consultant	No	12	3,158	27719	11.4%	Yes	
					Wallis	Jonny D.	Retired Attorney	No	12	3,017	27719	10.9%	Yes	
					Bernstein	Robert D.	Senior Design Engineer	No	12	2,254	27719	8.1%	No	
					Bearman	David "Dr. Dave"	Physician/Public Health Administrator	No	12	2,066	27719	7.5%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED							
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/06/01	GOLETA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ybarra	Reynaldo R.	Social Services Caseworker	No	12	1,492	27719	5.4%	No							
						Poole	Bob	Chamber President/CEO	No	12	1,455	27719	5.2%	No							
						Gilbert	William	Retired Engineer	No	12	1,399	27719	5.0%	No							
						Wasil	James A.	Retired Army Colonel	No	12	1,370	27719	4.9%	No							
						Johnson	David H.	Civil Engineer	No	12	1,307	27719	4.7%	No							
	SANTA BARBARA			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Falcone	Iya	Crisis Counselor/Attorney	No	12	8,359	48092	17.4%	Yes						
							Horton	Roger L.	Retired University Administrator	No	12	7,954	48092	16.5%	Yes						
							Secord	Dan B.	Physician/City Councilmember	Yes	12	6,982	48092	14.5%	Yes						
							Folayemi	Babatunde	Youth Family Specialist	No	12	6,755	48092	14.0%	No						
							Purvis	Michael	Small Business Attorney	No	12	3,419	48092	7.1%	No						
							Coffman-Grey	Keith J.	Business Manager	No	12	2,717	48092	5.6%	No						
							Garrett	Issac J.	Real Estate Counselor	No	12	2,596	48092	5.4%	No						
							Appleton	Joseph Luke	Retired City Firefighter	No	12	2,579	48092	5.4%	No						
							Esparza, Jr.	David	Student/Package Sorter	No	12	2,142	48092	4.5%	No						
							Quintero	Charles R. "Carlos"	Arbitrator/Mediator/Barber	No	12	1,840	48092	3.8%	No						
							Sherman	Marcia C.	Teacher/Consultant/Writer	No	12	1,410	48092	2.9%	No						
							Faeth	Michael	Retired Businessman	No	12	1,339	48092	2.8%	No						
							MAYOR					Full	Blum	Marty	Councilmember/Teacher/Attorney	No	7	5,280	17797	29.7%	Yes
													Garcia	Gilbert "Gil"	Councilmember/Architect	No	7	4,772	17797	26.8%	No
													Rittenhouse	Bruce A.	Businessman/Community Organizer	No	7	4,206	17797	23.6%	No
Langer	Elinor G. A. "Elly"	Community Volunteer	No	7	3,197	17797							18.0%	No							
Hackett	Bill	No Ballot Designation	No	7	146	17797							0.8%	No							
Jennings	William Brian	Independent Fraud Investigator	No	7	134	17797							0.8%	No							
SANTA CLARA	03/06/01	SAN JOSE	CITY COUNCIL	5	Full	Campos	Nora	Council District Aide	Yes	4	2,320	4140	56.0%	Yes							
						Lomonaco	Bud	Business Owner	No	4	1,030	4140	24.9%	No							
						Tanaka	Richard K.	Planner/College Trustee	No	4	747	4140	18.0%	No							
						Mendez	Luis	Transportation Planner	No	4	43	4140	1.0%	No							
						11/06/01	CUPERTINO	CITY COUNCIL		Full	James	Sandra "Sandy"	HR Consultant	No	6	4,057	18184	22.3%	Yes		
	Kwok	Patrick S.	Planning Commissioner/Engineer	No	6						3,995	18184	22.0%	Yes							
	Sandoval	Dolly	Teacher/College Trustee	No	6						3,846	18184	21.2%	Yes							
	Mahoney	Orrin	Marketing Manager	No	6						3,057	18184	16.8%	No							
	Patnoe	Geoff	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	6						2,452	18184	13.5%	No							
	Levy	Robert J.	Author	No	6						777	18184	4.3%	No							

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SANTA CLARA (continued)	11/06/01	GILROY	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gartman	Craig L.	Program Manager	No	7	2,100	11531	18.2%	Yes		
						Morales	Charles Steve	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,053	11531	17.8%	Yes		
						Dillon	Robert T.	Business Owner/Journalist	No	7	2,016	11531	17.5%	Yes		
						Correa	Paul	City Planner for the City of San Jose	No	7	1,790	11531	15.5%	No		
						Arellano	Guadalupe	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,656	11531	14.4%	No		
						Valiquette	Russ	Supervisor	No	7	1,563	11531	13.6%	No		
						Willis	Manly R.	Retired Army Sergeant	No	7	353	11531	3.1%	No		
	LOS ALTOS			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Moss	John	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,170	6035	52.5%	Yes	
							Lear	King Craig	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,865	6035	47.5%	Yes	
	PALO ALTO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Freeman	Hillary	Business Owner	No	14	7,963	52232	15.2%	Yes	
							Kishimoto	Yoriko	Management Consultant	No	14	6,366	52232	12.2%	Yes	
							Burch	Jim	Full Time Member of Palo Alto City Council	Yes	14	6,105	52232	11.7%	Yes	
							Ojakian	Victor	Project Manager, Hewlett Packard	No	14	5,864	52232	11.2%	Yes	
							Morton	John D. "Jack"	Certified Public Accountant	No	14	5,324	52232	10.2%	Yes	
							Kelly	Chris	Businessman/Attorney	No	14	5,141	52232	9.8%	No	
							Eakins	Sandy	Business Manager	No	14	4,375	52232	8.4%	No	
							Graves	Pria	Artist, Com. Activist, Ret. Software Eng.	No	14	4,148	52232	7.9%	No	
							Sabin	Mark R.	Sales Manager	No	14	2,386	52232	4.6%	No	
							Wang	Wei	Software Engineer	No	14	1,298	52232	2.5%	No	
							Indergand	Litsie	No Ballot Designation	No	14	1,266	52232	2.4%	No	
							Forbes	Paul E.	Withdrawn	No	14	974	52232	1.9%	No	
							Frost	Victor	Homeless Advocate	No	14	519	52232	1.0%	No	
							Power	Edmund	Retired Toolmaker	No	14	503	52232	1.0%	No	
							SUNNYVALE			CITY COUNCIL		1	Full	Fowler	Fred	Incumbent
	Dwyer, III	Tom	Software Engineer	No	2	4,301							10331	41.6%	No	
	2	Full	(Fox) Miller	Julia Elliot	Council Member	Yes						3	5,749	10259	56.0%	Yes
			Esteban	Judy S.	Educator	No						3	2,662	10259	25.9%	No
			Flores	Michael Anthony	Engineering Management Consultant	No						3	1,848	10259	18.0%	No
3	Full	Howe	John N.	Small Business Owner	No	2						6,702	10180	65.8%	Yes	
		Ayers	Ron	Home Restoration Consultant	No	2						3,478	10180	34.2%	No	
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests															
SHASTA	11/06/01	SHASTA LAKE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lindsay	Rodney B.	Incumbent	Yes	5	730	2370	30.8%	Yes		
						Hartman	Linda K.	Small Business Owner	Yes	5	718	2370	30.3%	Yes		
						Siner	Ray	Retired	No	5	363	2370	15.3%	No		
						Mark	Jim	Newspaper Publisher	No	5	284	2370	12.0%	No		
						Pickells	Don	Magazine Photojournalist/Investor	No	5	275	2370	11.6%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SIERRA	No City Contests														
SISKIYOU	No City Contests														
SOLANO	11/06/01	BENICIA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Campbell	Tom	Orthodontist	No	4	3,254	10265	31.7%	Yes	
						Smith	Dan	Public Health Educator	No	4	2,535	10265	24.7%	Yes	
						Martinez	Nancy	Financial Loan Officer	No	4	2,255	10265	22.0%	No	
						Lobdell	Mark	Financial Advisor	No	4	2,221	10265	21.6%	No	
	FAIRFIELD			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Price	Harry T.	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,517	21631	25.5%	Yes
							English	John	Local Business Owner	No	7	5,505	21631	25.4%	Yes
							Lessler	Steve	Businessman/Councilman	Yes	7	4,351	21631	20.1%	No
							Merrell	Gina	Fairfield City Clerk	No	7	2,178	21631	10.1%	No
							Runkle	Brian M.	Businessman/Gemologist	No	7	1,550	21631	7.2%	No
							Smith	Ken	Warehouse Distributor	No	7	1,342	21631	6.2%	No
							Clarke	Barbara	Assembly Intern/Student	No	7	1,188	21631	5.5%	No
							MAYOR				Full	MacMillian	Karin	City Councilmember	No
						Pettygrove	George	Mayor	Yes	3	4,601	11956	38.5%	No	
						Randhawa	I. S. "Paul"	Businessman/Entrepreneur	No	3	1,222	11956	10.2%	No	
	VALLEJO			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Pitts	Pamela	Incumbent	Yes	8	7,020	35167	20.0%	Yes
							Schivley	Joanne	Incumbent	Yes	8	5,830	35167	16.6%	Yes
							Rey	Pete	Incumbent	Yes	8	5,435	35167	15.5%	Yes
							Osborne, Jr.	John H.	Retired	No	8	4,629	35167	13.2%	No
							Sultan	Mohsen	Bridge Engineer	No	8	4,146	35167	11.8%	No
							Stafford	Kim David	Litigation Support Specialist	No	8	3,088	35167	8.8%	No
Estioko							Oscar P.	Human Resources Consultant	No	8	2,803	35167	8.0%	No	
Stancombe							Al	Legal Researcher/Writer	No	8	2,216	35167	6.3%	No	
SONOMA	No City Contests														
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	CERES	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Moore	Lisa Mantarro	Legislative Assistant	No	4	1,989	5805	34.3%	Yes	
						Constantinou	Andy	Councilman/Businessman	Yes	4	1,860	5805	32.0%	Yes	
						Phipps	Robert "Rob"	Businessman	No	4	1,490	5805	25.7%	No	
						Ureno	Tony	Sales Representative	No	4	466	5805	8.0%	No	
	MAYOR				Full	Ingwerson	Eric E.	Councilmember/Businessman	No	2	2,619	3440	76.1%	Yes	
						Soffa	Mike	Retired	No	2	821	3440	23.9%	No	
	MODESTO			CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Smith	Armour	Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	5	9,364	25076	37.3%	Yes
							Keating	Janice	Homemaker/Businesswoman	No	5	7,472	25076	29.8%	No
							Lopez	Dave	Technical Specialist	No	5	4,483	25076	17.9%	No
							Williams	Dale "Gene"	Retail Sales/Military	No	5	2,281	25076	9.1%	No
Donoso							Miguel B.	Community Services Worker	No	5	1,476	25076	5.9%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
STANISLAUS	11/06/01	MODESTO	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	O'Bryant	William E.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	9	5,455	24331	22.4%	Yes
						Hopkins	Dan	Senior Pastor	No	9	5,408	24331	22.2%	No
						Ellett	Rob	Businessman	No	9	5,023	24331	20.6%	No
						Shook	Bob	Service Provider/Teacher	No	9	3,168	24331	13.0%	No
						Williams	Jeremiah	Cabinet Maker	No	9	1,802	24331	7.4%	No
						Silva	Keith	Businessman	No	9	1,310	24331	5.4%	No
						Gonzales	Michael J.	Shoe Salesman	No	9	1,251	24331	5.1%	No
						Nugent	Marcus A.	Handyman	No	9	659	24331	2.7%	No
						Sharp	George B.	No Ballot Designation	No	9	255	24331	1.0%	No
						Friedman	Kenni	Councilmember	Yes	4	12,134	24879	48.8%	Yes
						Jackman	Denny	Planner and Scheduler	No	4	5,787	24879	23.3%	No
						Williams	John B.	Sales Representative	No	4	3,860	24879	15.5%	No
						Surratt	Leonard M.	Maintenance Supervisor	No	4	3,098	24879	12.5%	No
SUTTER	No City Contests													
TEHAMA	No City Contests													
TRINITY	No City Contests													
TULARE	03/06/01	PORTERVILLE	CITY COUNCIL		Full	McGuire	Bill	Business Manager	No	5	960	3698	26.0%	Yes
						West	Kelly	Retired General Contractor	No	5	896	3698	24.2%	Yes
						Hebebrand	David	Certified Public Accountant	No	5	695	3698	18.8%	No
						McCracken	Pete V.	Businessman	No	5	591	3698	16.0%	No
						Garcia	Dago	Teacher	No	5	544	3698	14.7%	No
						Landers	Don	Incumbent	Yes	7	6,669	23480	28.4%	Yes
	11/06/01	VISALIA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Cox	Phil	Business Owner	Yes	7	5,698	23480	24.3%	Yes
						Gamboa	Jesus J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,490	23480	23.4%	Yes
						Trevino	Jose Rigo	Builder/Investor	No	7	2,235	23480	9.5%	No
						Randall	Joe	No Ballot Designation	No	7	1,366	23480	5.8%	No
						Barker	Rusty	No Ballot Designation	No	7	1,157	23480	4.9%	No
						D'Orazio	Bobby	House Inspector	No	7	807	23480	3.4%	No
TUOLUMNE	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2001

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
VENTURA	11/06/01	SAN BUENAVENTURA	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Monahan	Jim	Business Owner/Council Member	Yes	12	8,413	59058	14.2%	Yes
						Brennan	Brian	Ventura City Council Member	Yes	12	8,394	59058	14.2%	Yes
						Smith	Sandy E.	Teacher/Council Member	Yes	12	7,240	59058	12.3%	Yes
						Andrews	Neal	Business Executive	No	12	6,607	59058	11.2%	Yes
						Osborn	Mike	Businessman	No	12	6,460	59058	10.9%	No
						Underhill	Diane	Small Business Owner	No	12	6,038	59058	10.2%	No
						De Paola	Donna	Incumbent	Yes	12	4,817	59058	8.2%	No
						Rencher	Brian Lee	Citizen Advocate	No	12	4,369	59058	7.4%	No
						Patton	Jon	Crisis Team Clinician	No	12	2,677	59058	4.5%	No
						Rickard	Howard "Rick"	Financial Management Executive	No	12	1,696	59058	2.9%	No
						Williams	Carrol Dean	Citizen Advocate	No	12	1,110	59058	1.9%	No
						Norrdin	David L.	Customer Service Manager	No	12	597	59058	1.0%	No
						YOLO	No City Contests							
YUBA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2001

		City Council		Mayor		City Treasurer		City Clerk		City Attorney		Total	
		Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>
Incumbent Candidates	Win	79.2	114	81.2	13	100.0	7	100.0	8	100.0	2	81.4	144
	Lose	20.8	30	18.8	3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	18.6	33
	Total	100.0	144	100.0	16	100.0	7	100.0	8	100.0	2	100.0	177
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	24.0	110	16.7	7	27.3	3	33.3	3	25.0	1	23.6	124
	Lose	76.0	349	83.3	35	72.7	8	66.7	6	75.0	3	76.4	401
	Total	100.0	459	100.0	42	100.0	11	100.0	9	100.0	4	100.0	525
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	50.9	114	65.0	13	70.0	7	72.7	8	66.7	2	53.7	144
	Non-Incumbent	49.1	110	35	7	30.0	3	27.3	3	33.3	1	46.3	124
	Total	100.0	224	100.0	20	100.0	10	100.0	11	100.0	3	100.0	268
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	7.9	30	7.9	3	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	7.6	33
	Non-Incumbent	92.1	349	92.1	35	100.0	8	100.0	6	100.0	3	92.4	401
	Total	100.0	379	100.0	38	100.0	8	100.0	6	100.0	3	100.0	434
All Candidates	Incumbent	23.9	144	27.6	16	38.9	7	47.1	8	33.3	2	25.2	177
	Non-Incumbent	76.1	459	72.4	42	61.1	11	52.9	9	66.7	4	74.8	525
	Total	100.0	603	100.0	58	100.0	18	100.0	17	100.0	6	100.0	702