

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

*2003 ELECTIONS*

---

---

## City Offices and Ballot Measures

---

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento





CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2003 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,  
Director, Center for California Studies

Carole Barnes, Ph.D.,  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....		i
2003 County, City and School District Election Dates by County .....		ix
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xi
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xii
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures by County .....	xiv
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year .....	xv
Trend Table E	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year .....	xv
Trend Table F	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2003 .....	xv
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xvi
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year .....	xvi
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xvii
2003 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2003 .....	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2003 .....	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2003 .....	7



PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES.....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2003.....	11
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2003.....	15
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2003 .....	21
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2003 .....	22
 PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES .....	 23
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2003.....	25
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2003 .....	43







# **CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, both of California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes both candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California.

Election data are collected periodically throughout the year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to subject (e.g., taxes, education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.



## **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is an interdisciplinary center serving the research needs of federal, state and local government agencies, non-profit organizations and university administration, faculty and students. These services include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous contracts with over 40 state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

## **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

## **HISTORICAL PATTERNS AND TRENDS**

CEDA now encompasses nine full years of election data, including two gubernatorial years (1998 and 2002), an historic recall of the governor in 2003, two statewide elections (1996 and 2000) and five odd-numbered years devoted to local races. The multi-year trends help to place the 2003 results in context.

## **BALLOT MEASURES**

With respect to ballot measures, there are both consistent features across elections and what appear to be emerging trends. Among the consistent features are the following:

### **Type of Ballot Measure**

- Bonds, tax measures, ordinance and charter amendments remain the most common ballot measures. All four are concentrated in even-year elections -- a pattern in evidence at CEDA's initiation in 1995 for all but bonds, which made the shift to even-year elections in 1998. The 2002 election ballot had the greatest number of bonds (245) and the 2003 ballot the fewest number (22) in CEDA's nine-year history. (Trend Table A)
- Most bonds are placed on the ballot by school districts, while tax measures are more often placed on the ballot by cities and counties. School districts, with fewer tax measures, deviate from the other jurisdictions in placing more of their tax measures on the odd-numbered year ballots (106 vs. 36 in even-numbered years between 1995 and 2003). Ordinances and charter amendments are mostly city measures. Cities place 3.2 ordinances on the ballot for every 1 placed by counties and 7.9 ordinances for every 1 placed by school districts. (Trend Table A)

- Recall measures are most common in cities (88) and school districts (66). In CEDA's nine years there were only 13 recall measures for county offices, although once on the ballot, they were more often successful (84.6% vs. 75.8% and 71.6% for school district and city offices). Recall measures are more likely to pass than any other type of measure. (Trend Table A)
- In the late 1990s, twenty-five California counties created Community Service Districts (CSD) and County Service Areas (CSA) as a reaction to the state-local finance environment that developed after the passage of Proposition 13 in 1978. The expectation was that smaller, more homogeneous areas would be more apt to approve tax increases to provide services that the broader county population would not support or that were lost as a result of Proposition 13. This expectation is supported by data on CSD/CSA tax measures which, together with Gann Limit measures, make up most of the ballot measures introduced by CSD/CSAs (110 out of 132 or 83.3%). In five of the six years that CEDA has tracked these measures, pass rates for CSD/CSA tax measures were significantly higher than for other county tax measures. While 22 of 70 county-wide tax measures passed (31.4%) between 1998 and 2003, 43 of 94 CSD/CSA tax measures passed (45.7%). Virtually all Gann Limit measures introduced by CSD/CSAs passed (15 out of 16). (Trend Tables D and F)
- Over the past six years, four counties account for half (50.8%) of all CSD/CSA ballot measures: Marin (23 measures), San Diego (16), El Dorado (16) and Kern (12). (Trend Table C)

Emerging trends include the following:

### **Number of Measures**

- The number of ballot measures in odd-numbered year elections has been on the wane since 1997. 2003 saw the fewest ballot measures of any year since CEDA began tracking local election results in 1994. This decline has been partially compensated for by an increase in the number of even-numbered year measures (up 17.5% in 2002 over 2000), producing the largest number of measures in CEDA's history (657). This reflects a wide-spread movement in the 1990s to consolidate municipal elections with state elections held in even-numbered years. After a brief period of higher pass rates in 2001 (70%) and 2002 (65%), 2003 pass rates dropped closer to the rates of the mid-1990s (62% in 2003 compared with 57% to 61% in 1994 through 2000). (Trend Table A)
- Regular elections for county offices and measures are held in even-numbered years and thus the bulk of county ballot measures occur in even-numbered years. The shift of many city elections from odd to even-numbered districts (to achieve cost savings resulting from consolidating city with state and county elections) increased the number of city ballot measures being decided in even-numbered years. Unlike cities, many school districts initially retained odd-numbered regular elections schedules. In 1995, for example, there were 117 school district ballot measures while in 1996 there were only 87. In recent years this pattern has shifted with 250 school district measures appearing in 2002 but only 61 in 2003. (Trend Table A)

- While pass rates for city and county measures have been highly variable over time, school districts have seen a gradual but continual increase in pass rates from 51% in 1995 to a peak of 75% in 2002. In 2003, there was a dramatic reversal to a pass rate of 52%, a function perhaps of the lagging state and national economies. Most of the school district measures were bonds (20) and taxes (36). (Trend Table A)

### **Type of Measures**

- An unusually large number of bonds are mainly responsible for the jump in ballot measures in 2002, accompanied by a dramatic drop in the number in 2003 (22 compared with a range of 73 to 128 in the odd-numbered years from 1995 to 2001). The unusual number of bond measures in 2002 may have temporarily satisfied the need for bonds and saturated local bond markets. This, in conjunction with a downgrading of the state's credit rating and a weak state and national economy, may have discouraged the placement of bond measures on the 2003 ballot. The peak number of bonds in 2002 may have been spurred by an unusually high pass rate for bonds in 2001 (75% passed), following passage of Proposition 39, which lowered the threshold for passage from 67% to 55% for school bonds meeting its requirements. Bond supporters in 2002 were rewarded with an equally high pass rate (76%) – a feat that was not repeated in 2003 when only 55% of bonds were approved (the second lowest pass rate in CEDA's 9-year history). (Trend Table A)

### **Ballot Measure Topics**

- Governance measures found more favor with the electorate in 2003 than at any time since 1995: 73% of 52 governance measures passed in 2003, compared with 84% in 1995 and 63% to 67% during the intervening years. (Trend Table B)
- Also of interest was the sudden increase in support for public safety measures during 2001 and the return to historically lower levels by 2003. In five of the six years between 1995 and 2000, public safety measures enjoyed a pass rate of 42% to 51%. In 2001, this jumped to 73%, a presumed effect of 9/11. In 2002, the pass rate for these measures declined to 57%, returning to historical levels in 2003 with a pass rate of 50%. (Trend Table B)
- Public safety is the dominant topic of ballot measures sponsored by CSD/CSAs. Of 114 measures, 46 or 40.4% dealt with public safety. Moreover, CSD/CSAs accounted for two-thirds (68%) of the public safety measures on the ballots in the past six years. Success, however, was not assured. While 52.2% of the 46 passed, the winning odds are a function of the success in 2001 of all six measures. Without the year of 9/11, pass rates for public safety measures in CSD/CSAs averaged only 45%. (Trend Tables B and E)
- Finally, from 1998 on, support for transportation measures has been declining during the even-numbered years when most appear on the ballot. While 70% of the 23 transportation measures in 1998 passed, only 11% of 35 such measures passed in 2002. (Trend Table B)

## CANDIDATES

With respect to candidate races, continuity dominates. Among the consistent features of local candidate races are the following:

- More than twice as many candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) run in even-numbered years than in odd-numbered years. (Trend Table G)
- School district candidates dominate odd-year elections. In those years, they make up between 62% and 69% of all candidates on the ballot. Although more school board races occur in even-numbered years when most county and city races are decided, they constitute a minority of candidates for local offices in those years (40% to 46%). (Trend Table G)

Emerging trends in candidate elections include the following:

- Fewer people ran for local office in 2003 than in any election year in CEDA's history. Even though there are fewer contested seats in odd-numbered year elections, the 2,106 candidates in 2003 represented a noticeable decline from the number of candidates in four such years between 1995 and 2001. There were 16% fewer candidates in 2003 than in 1997 and 2001 (with 2498 and 2525 candidates respectively) and 8% to 12% fewer than in 1999 and 1995 (with 2293 and 2381 candidates). (Trend Table G)
- Since the number of candidates for county offices continued to increase in the odd-numbered years, the decline in total candidates is due to a significant decrease in the number of candidates for city office in the odd-numbered years (from an average of 736 to 571) and a similar decline (of 17%) in the number of school district candidates relative to the average of the preceding four odd-numbered years (1322 candidates in 2003 compared with an average of 1602). (Trend Table G)
- The growth in county candidates represents races for other county offices since the number of Community Service District and Community Service Area candidates in odd-numbered years has leveled off. CSDs and CSAs may be adopting the county's preference for even-numbered year elections; 2002 had more CSD/CSA candidates (266) than any year since their introduction. (Trend Table G and H)
- The proportion of incumbents among all candidates held steady at 31% -- the average of the preceding six years. This average, however, disguised opposing trends among city council and school board candidates. There were fewer incumbents among city council candidates (22%) than in any CEDA year since 1995, when only 18% of the candidates were incumbents, while there were more incumbents among school board candidates (35%) than in any CEDA year except 2002 (36%). With an incumbency percentage of 31.1% for school district candidates in the preceding 7 years, 2002 and 2003 represent a noticeable break with the past. (Trend Table I)

## 2003 ELECTION DATA

### BALLOT MEASURES

Although Californians continue to love ballot measures, 2003 saw the fewest local ballot measures since 1995. In 2001, local voters faced 233 ballot measures and in 2002, a nine year high of 657. In 2003, the number fell to 178. Only 34 counties offered ballot measures in 2003, a third of them in three urban counties (31 in Los Angeles, 14 in San Francisco and 13 in San Mateo). Because county elections are not typically conducted in odd-numbered years, city ballot measures predominated with 89 compared with 61 school district and 28 county measures. Most were tax measures (62 or 34.8%) or ordinances (47 or 26.4%). Charter amendments and bonds accounted for another 26.8%. (Trend Table A and Table A)

The overall pass rate for ballot measures in 2003 was 62 per cent. School district measures passed at the lowest rate in eight years (52 per cent), while city and county measures passed at higher rates (67 per cent and 64 per cent respectively). The differing pass rates are a function of the types of measures associated with these jurisdictions. Bonds and tax measures made up the bulk of school district measures in 2003 and most such measures on the ballot were proposed by school districts. A slight majority of tax measures failed (51.6%) while a slight majority of bond measures passed (54.6%). Ordinances and charter amendments, on the other hand, were largely city and county affairs and much more likely to pass (70.2% and 75% respectively). (Table A and Trend Table A)

In the year of the first successful gubernatorial recall in California history, local ballots also saw a number of recall measures. Of the nine recalls, eight led to recall of the official; only one defeated the recall attempt. (Table A)

Education and governance issues dominated the ballot measures (61 or 34.3% and 52 or 29.2% respectively). A slim majority of education measures passed (52.5%), while most governance measures were approved (73.1%). Land use and revenue measures (15 and 13 respectively) were more apt to pass (60% and 61.5%), while transportation issues were more likely to fail (62.5%). (Table B)

**County Measures.** Voters in the 58 counties approved a clear majority of the 28 ballot measures (64 per cent). Ordinances (15) and taxes (12) predominated -- a not untypical pattern for odd-numbered year elections. Somewhat atypically, however, fewer of the tax measures passed (25 per cent) than usual for an odd-numbered year (between 33 per cent and 77 per cent from 1995 through 2001). Tax measures have generally been more successful, though less numerous, in odd-year elections. San Francisco, as usual, had the highest number of ballot measures, accounting for 13 of the 28 total county measures. All of San Francisco's measures passed. (Table 1.3)

County governance issues accounted for more than a third of all ballot measures in 2003 (36 per cent). This was the highest percentage of governance issues on county ballots since 1996, when they made up 39 per cent of all county ballot measures. The pass rate for these measures was the highest in nine years (90 per cent). Half a dozen revenue measures appeared on county ballots in 2003, but only one passed. In contrast, two general services and two transportation measures appeared, and all four passed. Public safety measures passed at slightly below the eight-year average rate (40 per cent vs. 43 per cent on average). Interestingly, there were no county land use or public facilities measures in 2003. (Table 1.4 and Trend Table B)



**City Measures.** Voters in municipal elections faced a total of 89 ballot measures, the smallest number in nine years. Pass rates for the city measures were only marginally higher than those for the county measures (67 per cent vs. 64 per cent). Ordinances and charter amendments accounted for over half of all city ballot measures (60 per cent) with taxes making up the next largest category (16 per cent). Five counties (Los Angeles, Napa, Riverside, Stanislaus, and Tulare) accounted for 58 per cent of all city ballot measures. (Table 1.3 and Trend Table A)

City tax measures were particularly successful in 2003. Indeed, the 2003 pass rate of 71 percent was the second highest in CEDA's 9 year history. Charter amendments were successful as always, with 75 per cent passing. All municipal recalls were successful with 6 city council members in Fresno and Los Angeles being recalled. (Table 1.3 and Trend Table A)

Governance issues dominated city ballots as they did in the county, accounting for nearly one-half (47 per cent) of all measures in the city and one-third (36 per cent) in the county. City pass rates on governance issues were somewhat lower than the counties' (69 per cent in the city vs. 90 per cent in the county). Land use issues, the second most common topic of city ballot measures, met with comparable success (60% passed). Although limited in number, all of the cities' revenue measures (7) and general services measures (4) passed. In contrast, only one of six county revenue measures succeeded. Transportation measures fared differently in the two jurisdictions: all six of the counties' transportation measures passed, while only two the cities' six measures succeeded. Public safety and public facilities issues made up relatively small proportions of city ballot measures (8 and 6 per cent respectively) but enjoyed similar pass rates (57 per cent and 60 per cent respectively). (Table 1.4)

**School District Measures.** Voters in community college and school districts passed judgment on a record low number of ballot measures (61 in 2003 compared with 250 in 2002 and an annual average of 147 between 1995 and 2002). Most of these were tax measures (59 per cent) or bonds (33%). While slightly less than half of the tax measures passed (47%), slightly more than half of the bonds succeeded (55%). The two recalls in the 2003 school district elections succeeded, a heavily favored outcome given the 76% pass rate for school district recalls over the previous eight years. (Table 1.3 and Trend Table A)

## CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

Fewer Californians sought local office in 2003 than in any odd-numbered election year since CEDA began. There were only 2,106 local candidates in 2003 as compared to 2,525 in 2001, 2,293 in 1999 and 2,498 in 1997 and 2,381 in 1995. Most candidates in off-year elections are running for the school board (1322) or city offices (571). These numbers, however, fell by nearly a fifth between 2001 and 2003. Relatively few county offices (213) appear on odd-year election ballots. Most of these (181) are for directors of Community Service Districts and Community Service Areas. (Trend Table G and Table C)

The proportion of incumbents was unusually high for school district candidates (35% compared with an average of 31.1% between 1995 and 2001) and unusually low for city council positions - at 22%, the lowest since 1995 when only 18% of all candidates were incumbents. (Table C and Trend Table I)

Incumbents seeking reelection enjoyed a typically high success rate (76 per cent) though the number of winning candidates who were incumbents in county and city races was less than half.

For example, in city council races held in 2003, incumbents had a 78 percent re-election rate but less than half of council members elected were incumbents. This is typical of local elections where many incumbents choose not to seek reelection or pass away leaving open seats. School board elections, however, bucked the trend with incumbents making up 53 percent of winning candidates. (Table C)

**County Races.** Most county candidates were running for CSD/CSA directorships (181 out of 213 county positions). Almost 75 per cent of all candidates for county offices were non-incumbents and they prevailed in 65 per cent of the races. When they participated, however, incumbents were heavy favorites, winning 67.3 per cent of their races. Incumbent community service district (CSD/CSA) directors, in particular, won 65.4 per cent their races. In the few district attorney and sheriff's races, all incumbent candidates won. (Table 2.2)

**City Races.** As in county races, 75 per cent of all candidates for city offices were non-incumbents, but they prevailed in only 52.1 per cent of the elections. Incumbents won more often in all but the city council races where a majority (60.8 per cent) of the winning candidates were challengers. When they ran, however, incumbents prevailed in 78.3 per cent of their races. (Table 2.2)

**School Board Races.** School district elections attract more incumbents than cities and counties (a third of all school board candidates are incumbents while only a fourth of city and county candidates fall in this category.) Although incumbents are more likely to win in all three jurisdictions, incumbent candidates for county offices are less likely to be reelected than those running in city and school district elections (67.3% vs. 78.3% and 76.5% respectively). A solid majority of winning school board candidates were incumbents (53.6 per cent) while less than half of the city and county candidates who prevailed were incumbents (47.9% and 34.9% respectively). (Tables C and 2.2)

## 2003 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/14	1/28	2/4	2/25	3/4	4/1	4/8	4/15	5/13	5/20	6/3	6/17	7/15	7/23	7/29	8/5	9/16	9/23	10/7	11/4	12/9	12/16	
Alameda											✓										✓		
Contra Costa					✓													✓			✓		
El Dorado												✓	✓		✓	✓					✓		
Fresno																					✓		
Glenn																				✓			
Humboldt											✓										✓		
Imperial											✓										✓		
Inyo																					✓		
Kern																					✓		
Kings																					✓		
Lake																					✓		
Los Angeles	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓							✓	✓		✓		
Madera																			✓		✓		
Marin					✓						✓										✓		
Mendocino											✓										✓		
Merced									✓												✓		
Mono											✓												
Monterey																					✓		
Napa					✓																		
Orange		✓	✓								✓												
Plumas										✓											✓		
Riverside					✓						✓			✓							✓		
San Bernardino					✓						✓										✓		
San Francisco																					✓	✓	
San Joaquin					✓																		
San Luis Obispo																					✓		
San Mateo					✓						✓										✓		
Santa Barbara																					✓		
Santa Clara					✓						✓										✓		

2003 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/14	1/28	2/4	2/25	3/4	4/1	4/8	4/15	5/13	5/20	6/3	6/17	7/15	7/23	7/29	8/5	9/16	9/23	10/7	11/4	12/9	12/16	
Santa Cruz											✓												
Shasta																					✓		
Solano																					✓		
Sonoma																					✓		✓
Stanislaus											✓										✓		
Trinity																					✓		
Tulare						✓															✓		
Tuolumne						✓															✓		
Ventura											✓										✓		
Yolo						✓															✓		

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	60	21	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	40	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	128	37	59	99	29	56	1	0	100(1)	45	13	69	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	60	147	26	58	161	28	48	118	21	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	59	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	69	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	59	135	24	61	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100(1)	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	65	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	62	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83
	1996	115	20	49	4	3	50	35	30	29	35	30	54	4	3	100	7	6	14	23	20	65
	1997	24	7	63	8	33	63	6	25	67				4	17	100				4	17	25
	1998	121	21	59	1	1	0	32	26	38	32	26	72				4	3	25	25	21	76
	1999	33	17	67	1	3	100(1)	16	48	50	8	24	63							4	12	100
	2000	115	21	49	6	5	83	52	45	29	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	88
	2001	36	15	75	3	8	100	13	36	77	11	31	64	4	11	75	1	3	0	25	69	60
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64	0	0	0	12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	48	41	94
	1996	371	65	58	10	3	30	98	26	43	120	32	58	25	7	76	11	3	55	92	25	75
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50				28	19	54	7	49	71	27	19	89
	1998	287	50	60	9	3	78	102	36	48	79	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	119	42	53	4	3	75	27	23	52	48	40	48	8	67	100	10	8	40	16	13	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	11	4	64	71	24	70
	2001	94	40	68	8	9	63	32	34	72	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	87	74	45	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100(1)			
	1996	87	15	61	50	57	66	8	9	63	21	24	62	4	5	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	1	1	100	13	7	92						
	1998	164	29	62	137	84	57	9	5	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	100	68	51	4	3	75	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	75	228	91	76	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						

**TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR**

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	120	48	53	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0			
	1996	573	100	57	94	16	67	210	37	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	71	12	51	6	1	50			
	1997	342	100	60	176	51	63	43	13	67	20	6	70	12	4	42	15	4	60	34	10	59	4	1	50			
	1998	572	100	60	164	29	62	125	22	65	46	8	70	37	6	43	32	6	59	26	5	77	23	4	70			
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	13	5	54	8	3	88			
	2000	559	100	59	154	28	62	141	25	63	73	13	55	32	6	50	38	7	68	20	4	55	21	4	43	15	3	73
	2001	233	100	70	106	45	72	45	19	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	16	7	50	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	75	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	35	5	11	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0			
	1996	115	20	49	1	1	100(1)	45	39	64	11	10	38	8	7	50	15	13	13	12	10	42	3	3	100			
	1997	24	7	63	2	8	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	3	13	100	1	4	100			
	1998	121	21	59				24	20	75	13	11	62	14	12	36	12	10	42	15	12	67	16	13	75			
	1999	33	17	67				5	15	80				3	9	50	3	9	67	3	9	0	8	24	88			
	2000	115	21	49	1	1	100(1)	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	14	12	36	8	7	63	16	14	50	9	8	78
	2001	36	15	75	2	6	100	12	33	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	5	14	80	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	100	6	21	17
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	3	3	100	56	47	86	11	9	64	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0			
	1996	371	65	58	3	1	67	160	43	68	43	12	63	30	8	53	23	6	52	58	16	53	3	1	0			
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	17	12	65	10	7	50	10	7	40	31	22	55	3	2	33			
	1998	287	50	60				101	35	62	33	11	73	23	8	48	21	7	67	10	3	100	7	2	57			
	1999	119	42	53				35	29	69	29	24	41	11	9	64	1	1	100(1)	10	8	70						
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	23	8	57	22	7	86	12	4	50	8	3	63	2	1	50
	2001	94	40	68	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	12	13	58	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE	PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION	REVENUE			
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	117	100	51																		
	1996	87	15	61	80	92	66	5	6	0		1	1	0		1	1	0							
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																		
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40															
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92															
	2000	146	26	63	142	97	63																3	2	100
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0			2	2	0										
	2002	250	38	75	249	100	0																1	0	0
2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																			

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			1998-2003		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
Butte							1	100	100										1	100	100
Contra Costa	1	33	100				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				8	73	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	0	16	47	25
Fresno	1	50	100																1	50	100
Inyo							1	50	100										1	50	100
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75							12	100	50
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				5	63	20
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100	23	96	91
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				2	100	0
Monterey													1	100	0				1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100										1	100	100
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100	2	100	100
Placer	1	33	100																1	33	100
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100	4	80	100
Riverside				3	100	33				1	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	8	89	25
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33										5	56	60
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	7	100	43
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				16	70	31
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				7	70	86
Santa Barbara										1	100	0							1	100	0
Shasta							1	100	0										1	100	0
Siskiyou							2	100	100										2	100	100
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100	3	43	100
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0										2	50	0
Yuba							2	67	50										2	67	50
Total for CSD/CSA Measures Over All Counties	26	21	54	14	42	71	40	35	48	21	58	81	18	18	44	13	46	38	132	72	55



TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54	19	16	58													7	6	14
1999	14	42	71	11	33	64									3	9	100				
2000	40	35	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	21	58	81	11	31	82	2	6	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	6	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	

TREND TABLE E NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUES		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54				12	10	58				3	2	33	2	2	50				6	5	17			
1999	14	42	71				2	6	50				3	9	0	5	15	100									
2000	40	35	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	21	58	81				6	17	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	4	11	75	4	11	75	2	6	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100															

TREND TABLE F COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2003

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate
1998	121	95	60	26	54	13	9	19	58
1999	33	19	64	14	71	5	20	11	64
2000	115	75	50	40	48	24	30	28	29
2001	36	15	67	21	81	2	50	11	82
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	754	1,627
1996	5,530	822	2,160	2,548
1997	2,498	21	748	1,729
1998	5,502	1,167	1,903	2,432
1999	2,293	138	738	1,417
2000	5,153	894	2,200	2,059
2001	2,525	189	702	1,634
2002	6,072	1,412	2,210	2,450
2003	2,106	213	571	1,322
Total	34,060	4,856	11,986	17,218

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,530	822	574	70	*	*
1997	2,498	25	21	84	*	*
1998	5,502	1,167	362	31	22	2
1999	2,293	138	5	4	109	79
2000	5,153	894	501	56	174	19
2001	2,525	189	0	0	186	98
2002	6,072	1,412	362	26	266	19
2003	2,106	213	10	5	181	85
TOTAL	23,651	4,013	1,835	46	938	23

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR**

		% OF ALL CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	26	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	27	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	26	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	32	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	78	78
	1996	79	69	75	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	85	86	82	83
	1999	77	0	80	75
	2000	79	87	80	75
	2001	77	0	79	76
	2002	82	78	79	79
	2003	76	0	72	77



---

2003 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

---



TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	1	1	0											1	0	3	1	4
Contra Costa	1	1	1	1											0	1	2	3	5
El Dorado	1	5															1	5	6
Fresno											3	0					3	0	3
Humboldt	0	1															0	1	1
Imperial			1	0													1	0	1
Kings			1	0													1	0	1
Lake	0	1															0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	2	2	1	3	2	1	2			4	0			3	8	16	15	31
Madera			0	1													0	1	1
Marin	4	3													1	0	5	3	8
Mendocino	2	1	2	0													4	1	5
Merced	0	1	1	1											0	1	1	3	4
Mono	1	0															1	0	1
Monterey	1	1													2	0	3	1	4
Napa	1	0			2	1	1	0					1	0			5	1	6
Orange	1	0							0	2	1	0					2	2	4
Plumas											0	1			1	0	1	1	2
Riverside	4	2	0	1											2	0	6	3	9
San Bernardino	1	2	0	1			1	0	1	0					1	0	4	3	7
San Francisco			1	0											13	0	14	0	14
San Joaquin					2	2											2	2	4
San Luis Obispo	0	1															0	1	1
San Mateo	6	2	1	0	1	0			0	1					0	2	8	5	13
Santa Clara	0	5													2	1	2	6	8
Santa Cruz	1	1															1	1	2
Shasta			1	0													1	0	1

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Solano	1	0	0	1					1	0					1	1	3	2	5
Sonoma	0	2													2	0	2	2	4
Stanislaus			0	1	1	1	3	0							2	0	6	2	8
Tulare			0	1	9	0									1	0	10	1	11
Tuolumne			0	1													0	1	1
Ventura															1	0	1	0	1
Yolo	1	0															1	0	1
All Counties	30	32	12	10	18	6	6	2	2	3	8	1	1	0	33	14	110	68	178



TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	1					1	0											3	1	4
Contra Costa	2	2	0	1															2	3	5
El Dorado															1	5			1	5	6
Fresno	1	0					2	0											3	0	3
Humboldt	0	1																	0	1	1
Imperial	1	0																	1	0	1
Kings	1	0																	1	0	1
Lake									0	1									0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	3	1	1			9	10			1	1			1	0	1	0	16	15	31
Madera	0	1																	0	1	1
Marin	3	3			1	0							1	0					5	3	8
Mendocino	2	1							2	0									4	1	5
Merced	1	3																	1	3	4
Mono	1	0																	1	0	1
Monterey	1	0			0	1	2	0											3	1	4
Napa			1	0	1	0	0	1			1	0			1	0	1	0	5	1	6
Orange	1	0			1	0			0	2									2	2	4
Plumas							1	1											1	1	2
Riverside	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	0							2	0			6	3	9
San Bernardino	0	1			1	1	2	0			0	1			1	0			4	3	7
San Francisco	1	0					7	0	1	0			2	0			3	0	14	0	14
San Joaquin					0	1	1	1							1	0			2	2	4
San Luis Obispo	0	1																	0	1	1
San Mateo	6	2	0	3			1	0							1	0			8	5	13
Santa Clara	0	5	1	0							1	0					0	1	2	6	8
Santa Cruz	1	1																	1	1	2
Shasta	1	0																	1	0	1

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Solano	1	0	2	1	0	1													3	2	5
Sonoma			1	0			1	0	0	2									2	2	4
Stanislaus	1	1	1	0			1	1					3	0					6	2	8
Tulare	1	1					9	0											10	1	11
Tuolumne	0	1																	0	1	1
Ventura			1	0															1	0	1
Yolo	1	0																	1	0	1
All Counties	32	29	9	6	6	6	38	14	3	5	3	2	6	0	8	5	5	1	110	68	178

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2003

		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
		Incumbent Candidates	Win	65.4	34	100.0	3	71.6	73	95.1	39	76.5	351
	Lose	34.6	18	0.0	0	28.4	29	4.9	2	23.5	108	23.9	157
	Total	100.0	52	100.0	3	100.0	102	100.0	41	100.0	459	100.0	657
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	48.8	63	20.7	6	31.0	113	21.9	14	35.2	304	34.5	500
	Lose	51.2	66	79.3	23	69.0	251	78.1	50	64.8	559	65.5	949
	Total	100.0	129	100.0	29	100.0	364	100.0	64	100.0	863	100.0	1449
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	35.1	34	33.3	3	39.2	73	73.6	39	53.6	351	50.0	500
	Non-Incumbent	64.9	63	66.7	6	60.8	113	26.4	14	46.4	304	50.0	500
	Total	100.0	97	100.0	9	100.0	186	100.0	53	100.0	655	100.0	1000
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	21.4	18	0.0	0	10.4	29	3.8	2	16.2	108	14.2	157
	Non-Incumbent	78.6	66	100.0	23	89.6	251	96.2	50	83.8	559	85.8	949
	Total	100.0	84	100.0	23	100.0	280	100.0	52	100.0	667	100.0	1106
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.7	52	9.4	3	21.9	102	39.0	41	34.7	459	31.2	657
	Non-Incumbent	71.3	129	90.6	29	78.1	364	61.0	64	65.3	863	68.8	1449
	Total	100.0	181	100.0	32	100.0	466	100.0	105	100.0	1322	100.0	2106

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, Community Service Areas, and Community Councils



---

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES  
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

---

---



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL	
ALAMEDA	11/4/2003	Livermore	Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	8,425	14,500	58.1%	Pass	
ALPINE	No City Measures									
AMADOR	No City Measures									
BUTTE	No City Measures									
CALAVERAS	No City Measures									
COLUSA	No City Measures									
CONTRA COSTA	11/4/2003	San Ramon	Measure E	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,942	10,646	46.4%	Fail	
DEL NORTE	No City Measures									
EL DORADO	No City Measures									
FRESNO	11/4/2003	Huron	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	222	436	50.9%	Pass	
			Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	224	433	51.7%	Pass	
GLENN	No City Measures									
HUMBOLDT	No City Measures									
IMPERIAL	No City Measures									
INYO	No City Measures									
KERN	No City Measures									
KINGS	No City Measures									
LAKE	No City Measures									
LASSEN	No City Measures									
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	3,163	8,147	38.8%	Fail	
			Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	2,537	7,946	31.9%	Fail	
			Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	2,521	7,877	32.0%	Fail	
			Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use	4,573	7,774	58.8%	Pass	
			Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,273	7,771	16.4%	Fail	
			Measure F	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	804	7,677	10.5%	Fail	
			Measure G	Advisory	Governance: Organization	2,704	7,790	34.7%	Fail	
				Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,702	8,205	81.7%	Pass
				Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,505	8,110	80.2%	Pass
				Recall 3	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,578	8,179	80.4%	Pass
				Recall 4	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,851	8,235	83.2%	Pass
	2/25/2003	Burbank	Measure L	GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	6,426	9,461	67.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>	
			Measure M	Advisory	Governance: Elections	5,724	8,987	63.7%	Pass	
3/4/2003	Pasadena	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	6,861	14,009	49.0%	Fail		
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	5,470	10,679	51.2%	Pass		

<sup>T</sup> Indicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. <sup>F</sup> Indicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other city measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/4/2003	Redondo Beach	Measure E	Charter Amendment	Other	3,168	5,317	59.6%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	1,642	5,338	30.8%	Fail
	4/8/2003	Burbank	Measure N	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,073	8,099	50.3%	Pass
			Measure O	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	5,318	7,441	71.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	6/3/2003	Hacienda Heights <sup>P</sup>	Measure HH	Ordinance	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	4,349	11,617	37.4%	Fail
			Measure II	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	3,562	8,726	40.8%	Fail
	11/4/2003	Hermosa Beach	Measure V	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,562	2,921	53.5%	Pass
			Measure W	Advisory	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	1,127	3,124	36.1%	Fail
		Malibu	Measure M	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,696	4,049	41.9%	Fail
	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	3,490	6,486	53.8%	Pass	
MADERA	No City Measures								
MARIN	11/4/2003	San Anselmo	Measure C	Ordinance	General Services: Solid Waste	2,494	3,030	82.3%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No City Measures								
MENDOCINO	6/3/2003	Willits	Measure A	Sales Tax	Transport: Roads	364	485	75.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/4/2003	Point Arena	Measure C	Sales Tax	Transport: Roads	67	97	69.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MERCED	No City Measures								
MODOC	No City Measures								
MONO	No City Measures								
MONTEREY	11/4/2003	Del Rey Oaks	Measure B	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	385	603	63.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Soledad	Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	764	873	87.5%	Pass
			Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	534	866	61.7%	Pass
NAPA	3/4/2003	Napa	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Land Use: Open Space	8,117	11,134	72.9%	Pass
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	4,195	10,838	38.7%	Fail
			Measure C	Charter Amendment	Safety: Police	8,639	10,894	79.3%	Pass
	Yountville	Measure B	Advisory	Facilities: Museum/Cultural/Community Centers	813	1,202	67.6%	Pass	
		Measure C	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	833	1,223	68.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>	
		Measure D	Gann Limit	Other	922	1,193	77.3%	Pass	
NEVADA	No City Measures								
ORANGE	6/3/2003	Irvine	Measure A	Initiative	Transport: Mass Transit	8,555	17,978	47.6%	Fail
			Measure B	Initiative	Transport: Mass Transit	8,419	17,580	47.9%	Fail
PLACER	No City Measures								
PLUMAS	No City Measures								
RIVERSIDE	3/4/2003	Rancho Mirage	Measure B	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,105	2,261	93.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	6/3/2003	Desert Hot Springs	Measure C	Utility Tax	Safety	777	1,149	67.6%	Pass <sup>T</sup>

<sup>P</sup> Proposed city.



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/4/2003	Murrieta	Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,579	7,449	61.5%	Pass
		Norco	Measure J	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,923	3,318	58.0%	Pass
		Palm Springs	Measure I	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	7,908	10,624	74.4%	Pass
		Riverside	Measure G	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	15,060	21,249	70.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures								
SAN BENITO	No City Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	6/3/2003	Rialto	Measure J	Advisory	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,108	3,301	63.9%	Pass
			Measure K	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,649	3,293	50.1%	Pass
	11/4/2003	Fontana	Measure R	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	1,931	3,165	61.0%	Pass
		Redlands	Measure N	Initiative	Governance	6,084	8,911	68.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		San Bernardino	Measure O	Miscellaneous Tax	Facilities: Libraries	4,935	9,483	52.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
SAN DIEGO	No City Measures								
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City Measures included in County Report								
SAN JOAQUIN	3/4/2003	Stockton	Measure E	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	8,287	16,728	49.5%	Fail
			Measure F	Charter Amendment	Revenues: Voter Approval	9,966	16,679	59.8%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	13,634	16,591	82.2%	Pass
			Measure H	Charter Amendment	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	8,281	16,639	49.8%	Fail
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures								
SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Half Moon Bay	Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,375	3,036	45.3%	Fail
		Millbrae	Measure E	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	1,735	4,077	42.6%	Fail
		Portola Valley	Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	921	1,912	48.2%	Fail
		Redwood City	Measure F	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,678	7,193	65.0%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	5,249	7,071	74.2%	Pass
SANTA BARBARA	No City Measures								
SANTA CLARA	3/4/2003	San Jose	Measure A	Ordinance	Facilities: Public Works	32,295	55,192	58.5%	Pass
	11/4/2003	Palo Alto	Measure B	Ordinance	Other	3,167	15,552	20.4%	Fail
			Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	8,060	15,508	52.0%	Pass
SANTA CRUZ	No City Measures								
SHASTA	No City Measures								
SIERRA	No City Measures								
SISKIYOU	No City Measures								
SOLANO	11/4/2003	Benicia	Measure K	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	4,985	7,166	69.6%	Pass <sup>F</sup>
			Measure M	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	2,345	7,086	33.1%	Fail <sup>F</sup>
			Measure O	GO Bond	Safety: Police	3,706	7,387	50.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Fairfield	Measure L	Initiative	Land Use: Voter Approval	7,130	11,885	60.0%	Pass <sup>F</sup>

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SONOMA	11/4/2003	Cotati	Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	1,047	2,060	50.8%	Pass
		Petaluma	Measure C	Utility Tax	Transport: Roads	3,828	11,043	34.7%	Fail
			Measure D	Utility Tax	Transport: Roads	3,747	11,045	33.9%	Fail
STANISLAUS	11/4/2003	Modesto	Measure H	Ordinance	Land Use: Open Space	17,263	25,589	67.5%	Pass
			Measure I	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	10,503	24,943	42.1%	Fail
			Measure K	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	13,337	26,267	50.8%	Pass
			Measure L	Advisory	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	13,510	25,250	53.5%	Pass
			Measure M	Advisory	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	15,649	25,138	62.3%	Pass
			Measure N	Advisory	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	15,209	24,338	62.5%	Pass
SUTTER	No City Measures								
TEHAMA	No City Measures								
TRINITY	No City Measures								
TULARE	3/4/2003	Porterville	Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance	1,315	2,353	55.9%	Pass
			Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	1,607	2,482	64.7%	Pass
			Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	1,560	2,400	65.0%	Pass
			Measure E	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,590	2,456	64.7%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	1,276	2,398	53.2%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	1,381	2,391	57.8%	Pass
			Measure H	Charter Amendment	Governance	1,895	2,441	77.6%	Pass
			Measure I	Charter Amendment	Governance: Budget Processes	1,188	2,356	50.4%	Pass
			Measure J	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	1,350	2,415	55.9%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures								
VENTURA	6/3/2003	Santa Paula	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	1,247	2,228	56.0%	Pass
YOLO	No City Measures								
YUBA	No City Measures								

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

ALAMEDA	11/4/2003	Livermore	Measure B	Pass
Shall the people of Livermore adopt an ordinance limiting both the mayor and city council members to terms totaling eight (8) consecutive years of service as mayor or city council member and reestablishing eligibility to serve after a break in service of two years?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/4/2003	San Ramon	Measure E	Fail
Shall the city of San Ramon adopt a zoning ordinance text amendment to continue to allow medical, firefighting, police and other emergency use heliports, subject to a use permit, and prohibit non-emergency use heliports throughout the City?				
FRESNO	11/4/2003	Huron	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Hilda Plasencia be recalled (removed) from the office of Member of City Council of the City of Huron?				
FRESNO	11/4/2003	Huron	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Ramon Dominquez be recalled (removed) from the office of Member of City Council of the City of Huron?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure A	Fail
Shall the Chief of Police pass a Spanish language proficiency exam as a condition of employment?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure B	Fail
Shall office of the city treasurer be appointive?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure C	Fail
Shall the office of the city clerk be appointive?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City of South Gate prohibit the construction of power plant facilities within the City?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure E	Fail
Shall the compensation of the elected city clerk be raised from its current rate at the level of the salary of the City Council members for attendance at City Council meetings, currently Six Hundred Dollars (\$600.00) per month, to Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Six and 66/100 Dollars (\$6,666.66) per month?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure F	Fail
Shall the compensation of the elected city treasurer be raised from its current rate at the level of the salary of the City Council members for attendance at City council meetings, Six Hundred Dollars (\$600.00) per month, to Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Six and 66/100 Dollars (\$6,666.66) per month?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Measure G	Fail
Advisory Vote Only. Shall the City of South Gate be a charter law city?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Recall 1	Pass
Shall Maria Benavides be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Recall 2	Pass
Shall Raul Moriel be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Recall 3	Pass
Shall Xochilt Ruvalcaba be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council?				
LOS ANGELES	1/28/2003	South Gate	Recall 4	Pass
Shall Albert Robles be recalled (removed) from the office of City Treasurer?				
LOS ANGELES	2/25/2003	Burbank	Measure L	Pass (2/3 required)
If, and only if, the City of Burbank, California secures State funding providing 65% of the cost for a new Central Library, shall the City incur bonded indebtedness (not exceeding \$14,000,000, obtained at the lowest possible interest rates) to improve literacy for children and adults by providing the remaining 35% of the Central Library cost, and by financing a renovated and expanded Northwest Branch Library -- with all expenditures subject to review by a Citizen's Oversight Committee?				
LOS ANGELES	2/25/2003	Burbank	Measure M	Pass
Advisory Vote Only. The City of Burbank currently allows special elections to be conducted by mail ballots. Shall the City enact an ordinance also allowing primary nominating elections and general municipal elections to be conducted by mail ballots?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2003	Pasadena	Measure A	Fail
Shall the Pasadena City Charter be amended to allow the City Council and the Board of Education the option to hold elections in which the primary or only method of voting is by mail, known as "all-mail" ballot elections?				

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

LOS ANGELES	3/4/2003	Pasadena	Measure B	Pass
Shall the Pasadena City Charter be amended to allow the spouse of a deceased Fire and Police Retirement System (FPRS) public safety member continue to receive pension benefits if he/she remarries?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2003	Redondo Beach	Measure E	Pass
Shall Article XIX Section 19.5 of the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to allow for the publication of legal notices in a newspaper of general circulation?				
LOS ANGELES	3/4/2003	Redondo Beach	Measure F	Fail
Shall Article XIX of the Redondo Beach City Charter be amended to allow the City Council to establish by Ordinance the minimum dollar amounts required for competitive bidding and public works contracts?				
LOS ANGELES	4/8/2003	Burbank	Measure N	Pass
Shall the Burbank Municipal Code be amended to allow the City Council to increase the City's existing transient parking tax at short term parking facilities, such as at the airport, conference center, and hotels, from the current rate of 10% up to a maximum of 12% of the fee paid for parking, after a public hearing on the matter. This tax does not apply to parking at hospitals, medical facilities and monthly employee or residential parking. The revenues from this tax shall be used for City services and facilities such as fire protection, law enforcement, parks, libraries, criminal prosecution and other authorized uses?				
LOS ANGELES	4/8/2003	Burbank	Measure O	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the proposed Charter Amendment which would amend Sections 26 and 30 of the Charter of the City of Burbank to provide that vacancies in the office of Council Member, City Clerk and City Treasurer shall be filled by a special election called for that purpose, unless less than 16 months remain in the unexpired term, in which case the vacancy shall be filled by appointment by the Council, and to provide that if a majority of Council seats become vacant, the City Clerk shall immediately call a special election to fill the vacancies and to provide that the exercise of the powers of initiative, referendum and recall shall be governed by the Elections code of the State of California, be ratified?				
LOS ANGELES	6/3/2003	Hacienda Hts.-Proposed	Measure HH	Fail
Shall the order adopted January 22, 2003, by the Local Agency Formation Commission, ordering the incorporation of the territory described in the order and known as the Hacienda Heights area, be confirmed, subject to such terms and conditions, including the establishment of a provisional appropriations limit for the new city of \$12,790,900, the requirement that the new city be authorized to continue to levy all previously authorized or collected rates, charges, fees, assessments and general or special taxes collected by the County of Los Angeles within the Hacienda Heights area, and that the new city shall pay a total of \$18,983,278 over a period of ten (10) years to the County of Los Angeles to mitigate the negative fiscal effect of the incorporation on the County, all as more particularly described and set forth in the order?				
LOS ANGELES	6/3/2003	Hacienda Hts.-Proposed	Measure II	Fail
If this city is incorporated, shall the members of the city council in future elections be elected by district or at large. (Note: A yes vote indicates by district, a no vote indicates at large)				
LOS ANGELES	11/4/2003	Hermosa Beach	Measure V	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted amending the City's Civil Service System to allow military veterans a three-percentage point credit in the scoring of competitive employment examinations?				
LOS ANGELES	11/4/2003	Hermosa Beach	Measure W	Fail
Advisory Vote Only. Should the City Council consider constructing a separate bike path on the beach?				
LOS ANGELES	11/4/2003	Malibu	Measure M	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 252 approving the Malibu Bay Company Development Agreement be adopted?				
LOS ANGELES	11/4/2003	Rancho Palos Verdes	Measure C	Pass
Shall an ordinance be adopted to establish a two-term limit on the number of consecutive terms (no lifetime ban) that a person may serve as a Member of the City Council?				
MARIN	11/4/2003	San Anselmo	Measure C	Pass
Shall the motion of the San Anselmo Town Council awarding a five-year franchise agreement to Marin Sanitary Service for Solid Waste, Recycling, and Green Waste Services be adopted?				
MENDOCINO	6/3/2003	Willits	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall an ordinance be adopted to impose a transactions (sales) and use tax at a maximum rate of one-half (1/2) of one percent to be used exclusively for the maintenance, repair, replacement, construction and reconstruction of the City's road system?				
MENDOCINO	11/4/2003	Point Arena	Measure C	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance Number 184 enacting a transactions and use tax in the amount of one half of one percent of gross receipts for the maintenance, repair, replacement, construction, and reconstruction of the city's road system be adopted?				
MONTEREY	11/4/2003	Del Rey Oaks	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

Shall Ordinance No. 256 providing for adoption of a special Public Safety Tax for no more than five fiscal years to fund police and fire protection services within the City, be approved?

MONTEREY            11/4/2003        Soledad                            Measure C                            Pass  
Shall the electors elect a mayor and four city councilmembers?

MONTEREY            11/4/2003        Soledad                            Measure D                            Pass  
Shall the term of office of mayor be two years?[Yes votes] Shall the term of office of mayor be four years?[No votes]

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Napa                                Measure A                            Pass  
Shall Section 185 be added to the City Charter to limit the use of Alston Park through December 31, 2017 to passive recreation and open space purposes subject to exceptions consistent with the park's passive recreation and open space purposes and uses as of March 2003?

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Napa                                Measure B                            Fail  
Shall Section 10 of the City Charter be amended to change the residency requirement for qualifications of elective officers from one year immediately preceding the date of such election to 30 days prior to filing of nomination papers to conform to case law?

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Napa                                Measure C                            Pass  
Shall the Napa City Charter Section 76.1(F)1 be amended to increase the number of eligible persons on the certified list for Police Officer Trainee and Police Officer Entry from six names to a number to be decided by the City Manager and Chief of Police?

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Yountville                        Measure B                            Pass  
ADVISORY VOTE ONLY. This proposition does not increase taxes. If Proposition C is approved and the Town's Transient Occupancy Tax (Hotel Tax) is increased from 10% to 12%, should the additional revenue be used for the Town's Community Center Project?

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Yountville                        Measure C                            Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall an ordinance be adopted to increase the Town's Transient Occupancy Tax (Hotel Tax) from 10% to 12%?

NAPA                    3/4/2003        Yountville                        Measure D                            Pass  
Shall the appropriations limit set pursuant to Article 13B of the California Constitution be raised by the sum of \$900,000 so that the Town of Yountville can expend up to \$900,000 additional funds each year for a four year period commencing with the fiscal year 2003/04? The additional funds to be expended are funds collected through taxes presently in effect and no present tax rates are to be increased?

ORANGE                6/3/2003        Irvine                                Measure A                            Fail  
Shall Initiative Ordinance No. 2003-01, and Initiative to Support the CenterLine Light Rail Project -- with an alignment from UC Irvine through the Irvine Business Complex to John Wayne Airport and destinations outside Irvine -- and providing any future extensions or different alignment require voter approval, be adopted?

ORANGE                6/3/2003        Irvine                                Measure B                            Fail  
Shall Initiative Ordinance No. 2003-02, and Initiative to Prohibit any City of Irvine Participation in the CenterLine Light Rail Project be adopted?

RIVERSIDE            3/4/2003        Rancho Mirage                    Measure B                            Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall Ordinance No. 819 be adopted to authorize continued collection of the City's existing transient occupancy tax ("hotel bed tax") at the rate of 10% established by Ordinance No. 530 of the City in May 1992 and to amend its provisions to restrict the use of one percent (1%) of the proceeds of the tax to provide funds for the promotion of the tourism and convention industry?

RIVERSIDE            6/3/2003        Desert Hot Springs                Measure C                            Pass (2/3 required)  
Should a Utility Users Tax Ordinance becoming effective on July 1, 2005, and expiring on June 30, 2010, levying and collecting a tax at the rate of 5% of utility service charges be approved with fifty percent dedicated to resolving the City's bankruptcy and restructuring the City's debt and fifty percent dedicated to providing needed public safety?

RIVERSIDE            11/4/2003        Murrieta                            Measure H                            Pass  
Shall the ordinance be adopted to Amend the Historic Murrieta Specific Plan and Land Use Designation map at the corner of Juniper and Adams from Village Single Family-2 to Village Public/Civic/Institutional and the area bounded by Kalmia, New Clay, B Street and Second from Village Single Family-1 to Village Public/Civic/Institutional and Invalidate specific Development Agreements and require that the City immediately acquire Murrieta Town Hall, 41810 Juniper, and contiguous property?

RIVERSIDE            11/4/2003        Norco                                Measure J                            Pass  
Shall Norco become a Charter City subject to the provisions of that charter prepared by the Charter Ad Hoc Committee?

RIVERSIDE            11/4/2003        Palm Springs                        Measure I                            Pass  
Shall the Palm Springs Municipal code be amended concerning the tax currently collected on persons staying at group meeting hotels, generally defined as hotels with more than 125 rooms and which accommodate large groups, by increasing the tax rate by one percentage point (1%), be adopted?

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

RIVERSIDE	11/4/2003	Riverside	Measure G	Pass (2/3 required)
To replace outdated and substandard fire stations to improve emergency services and response times, upgrade training facilities and construct an emergency operations center to manage major disasters, shall the City of Riverside incur bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$20,000,000, with interest at the statutory rate, to be repaid from ad valorem taxes levied annually at a rate which shall not exceed \$12 per \$100,000 of the full cash value of property?				
SAN BERNARDINO	6/3/2003	Rialto	Measure J	Pass
Advisory Vote Only. Should the City give priority to funding the following services and programs: Strengthening public safety and emergency preparedness by filling all vacant police and fire positions and adding additional police and fire personnel; improving streets, access to City facilities for senior citizens and people with disabilities; after school programs and other vital city services; and maintaining adequate reserves to address catastrophic events?				
SAN BERNARDINO	6/3/2003	Rialto	Measure K	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1340 be adopted to adopt, for a period of five years only, a utility users tax of eight percent (8%) within the City of Rialto to fund such City general fund programs as police and fire protection, street maintenance, and park and recreation services and to authorize an exemption for that tax for low-income and senior-citizen households?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/4/2003	Fontana	Measure R	Pass
Shall the office of the City Treasurer be appointive?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/4/2003	Redlands	Measure N	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 2550, an initiative ordinance of the People of the City of Redlands consenting to the City's extension beyond December 31, 2003, of the term of the contract with the county of San Bernardino to apportion sales and use tax revenue generated by businesses located within the unincorporated area known as the "Donut Hole" and for the City's provision of municipal services to the "Donut Hole" and approving an amendment to the City's General Plan relating to development within the City's planning area and sphere of influence, be adopted?				
SAN BERNARDINO	11/4/2003	San Bernardino	Measure O	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the ordinance adding chapter 3.42 to the San Bernardino Municipal Code be approved by the voters so that a special tax shall be imposed for ten years to help fund the City's libraries?				
SAN JOAQUIN	3/4/2003	Stockton	Measure E	Fail
Shall the Stockton City Charter be amended to require the City Council to adopt an annual budget that provides for mandatory minimum staffing levels for police officers and firefighters; and provide for a total reduction of City Councils salaries if staffing levels are not met?				
SAN JOAQUIN	3/4/2003	Stockton	Measure F	Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to amend the Stockton City Charter to require a vote on action(s) by the Stockton City Council to sell, transfer, lease or encumber any part of or interest in City utilities or to enter into a contract in excess of five million dollars with any private or for-profit agency for the operation and maintenance or collection of revenue for City utilities?				
SAN JOAQUIN	3/4/2003	Stockton	Measure G	Pass
Shall the City of Stockton adopt a Charter amendment to provide that special elections shall be conducted within the time set forth in the Elections Code of the State of California?				
SAN JOAQUIN	3/4/2003	Stockton	Measure H	Fail
Shall the Charter of the City of Stockton be amended to provide that all proceeds and revenue generated for the City's telecommunication, Gas, Electricity, Water, Video Services User Tax (UUT) be budgeted, appropriated and used for police and fire expenditures only?				
SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Half Moon Bay	Measure D	Fail
Shall the Ordinance entitled The Build Our School Now Initiative be adopted to amend the Wavecrest Village draft specific plan and the City of Half Moon Bay Local Coastal Land Use Plan to change the location where these plans provide for a new middle school to be constructed from the Wavecrest Village to the downtown area east of Highway 1?				
SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Millbrae	Measure E	Fail
Shall the Downtown Zoning Initiative submitted and circulated by the Alliance for a Better Downtown Millbrae establishing a 25% limitation on the number of restaurants in the Downtown Improvement Area, as well as prohibiting specified uses and requiring conditional use permits for other specified uses in said Area, be enacted as an amendment of Millbrae Municipal Code Section 10-1.407?				
SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Portola Valley	Measure H	Fail
Shall Ordinance 2003-350 be adopted to add a Special Residential District to the Zoning Ordinance that conforms with General Plan policies for three parcels in the Nathorst Triangle Area to reduce potential office development and encourage residences smaller and less expensive than typical residences in town?				
SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Redwood City	Measure F	Pass
Should Section 32.75 of the Municipal code of the city of Redwood City be amended to increase the uniform transient occupancy tax by 2%?				

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

SAN MATEO	11/4/2003	Redwood City	Measure G	Pass
Shall specified sections of the City Charter be amended to update amounts requiring public bid procedures for public works contracts, to conform the City's tort claim procedures to state law, to give the City flexibility in selecting its official advertiser, to restrict appointed board and commission members from interfering in the administration and management of city departments, and to set the maximum payment period of bonds at thirty-five (35) years?				
SANTA CLARA	3/4/2003	San Jose	Measure A	Pass
To improve airport security, convenience, relieve traffic, and reduce construction costs, shall the City be authorized to add space for Federally required security measures, improved passenger facilities, and nine new gates, once Highway 87, a full interchange at Coleman Avenue and Highway 880, the airport street system are within three years of completion, and City Council identifies funding to connect Airport with BART or light rail, without raising taxes?				
SANTA CLARA	11/4/2003	Palo Alto	Measure B	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted to 1) forbid the City from adding fluoride or any fluorine-containing substance to drinking water for the purpose of treating or affecting the physical or mental functions of the body of any person; and, 2) require a total exposure assessment, U.S. FDA approval, and specific restrictions on contaminants before the City can consider adding fluoride to drinking water in the future; and, 3) repeal all contrary laws?				
SANTA CLARA	11/4/2003	Palo Alto	Measure C	Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 4770 be adopted to approve a rezoning and height variance for the property at 800 High Street to permit a 96,200 square foot housing project including 61 housing units ( with 10 affordable units), 201 underground parking spaces (with 63 available for public use), and about 1900 square feet of neighborhood serving retail space?				
SOLANO	11/4/2003	Benicia	Measure K	Pass (55% required)
Shall the General Plan be amended to prohibit uses requiring urban facilities or services beyond the Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB"); to modify the UGB to incorporate the Northgate Christian Fellowship property inside the UGB; and to require a vote of the people to modify General Plan provisions related to the Urban Growth Boundary?				
SOLANO	11/4/2003	Benicia	Measure M	Fail (55% required)
Shall the General Plan policies related to the Urban Growth Boundary ("UGB") be reaffirmed and readopted to prohibit urban development beyond the UGB except for religious assembly and schools by use permit and public parks, and public/private recreation uses that do not require substantial public facilities or services and other public/semi public uses including golf courses under certain limited conditions; and be amended to require a vote of the people to modify these policies?				
SOLANO	11/4/2003	Benicia	Measure O	Fail (2/3 required)
To acquire and construct a new police building designed to essential service standards with a 911 Center, a secure prisoner holding facility, parking for the public, City staff, and official vehicles, and civic center improvements to address deferred maintenance, parking for other government center functions, and the remodeling of the existing police building for city offices, shall the City of Benicia be authorized to issue general obligation bonds for the maximum principal amount of \$14,000,000?				
SOLANO	11/4/2003	Fairfield	Measure L	Pass (55% required)
Shall an Initiative Measure (Ordinance No. 2003-10) be adopted requiring voter approval of changes to the General Plan relating only to (1) the Travis Reserve, (2) the Urban Limit Line, and (3) Airport Noise Standard?				
SONOMA	11/4/2003	Cotati	Measure B	Pass
For the purpose of increasing sales tax revenues to maintain essential and important city services, shall Cotati's General Plan and Zoning Ordinance be amended to modify an existing limitation of retail uses outside the downtown Hub area on a limited number of commercial parcels located west of US 101 and north of Highway 116, where any commercial projects located thereon, will completely comply with all applicable comprehensive city processing regulations, CEQA, and public hearing requirements.				
SONOMA	11/4/2003	Petaluma	Measure C	Fail
Shall the City of Petaluma Charter be amended to allow for the adoption of a special utility users tax on gas and electric service for 20 years, at a rate of no more than five percent (5%) of the monthly charge for the service, to pay for curb-to-curb repair and reconstruction of existing City Streets and not for the construction of new streets?				
SONOMA	11/4/2003	Petaluma	Measure D	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall a special tax be approved on users of gas and electric service for 20 years, at a rate of no more than five percent (5%) of the monthly charge of the service, with an annual cap for non-residential users and an exemption for service users who participate in PG&E's CARE program, to pay for curb-to-curb repair and reconstruction of existing City streets and not for the construction of new streets?				
STANISLAUS	11/4/2003	Modesto	Measure H	Pass
In order to minimize sprawl, reduce transportation costs, maintain farmland, and secure the fees necessary to provide for the cost of needed services, shall the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors direct urban development into the incorporated cities of Stanislaus County?				
STANISLAUS	11/4/2003	Modesto	Measure I	Fail

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2003

Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to change the runoff election date from December of odd-numbered years to March of even-numbered years, commencing with the year 2004?

STANISLAUS            11/4/2003            Modesto                            Measure K                            Pass  
Shall the Modesto City Charter be amended to impose prospectively a two-term limit on the offices of Mayor and Councilmember?

STANISLAUS            11/4/2003            Modesto                            Measure L                            Pass  
Advisory Vote Only. Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the following described areas of the Modesto Urban Area General Plan provided the areas are first annexed to the City?

STANISLAUS            11/4/2003            Modesto                            Measure M                            Pass  
Advisory Vote Only. Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the following described areas of the Modesto Urban Area General Plan provided the area is first annexed to the City?

STANISLAUS            11/4/2003            Modesto                            Measure N                            Pass  
Advisory Vote Only. Shall the City Council provide sewer service to the following described areas of the Modesto Urban Area General Plan provided the area is first annexed to the City?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure B                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to make certain technical, non-substantive revisions which do not materially alter, increase or decrease the home rule powers of the City of Porterville?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure C                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to change the election date for Council seats to be the same as the date for the California State Primary election for State officers?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure D                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to change the method of filling Council vacancies which occur as a result of a recall to provide that a special election shall occur at the same time as the recall election to fill any such vacancy created by a successful recall?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure E                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to increase the compensation paid to Council Members to \$50.00 per meeting and to the Mayor \$60.00 per meeting?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure F                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to establish the time for the Council to select its President (Mayor) and Vice-President, the length of the term of said offices, and permitting their removal by a 4/5th vote of the Council?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure G                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to establish the time for the council to select its President (Mayor) and Vice-President, the length of the term of said offices, and permitting their removal by a majority vote of the Council?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure H                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to add a Section to encourage public participation in City matters and affairs?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure I                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to allow the current City council to set, by ordinance approved by 4/5th vote, both the maximum amount of expenditure of City funds allowed without prior Council approval, and the amount is a revolving cash fund, provided that said amounts can only be subsequently increased after each future City Council election?

TULARE                    3/4/2003            Porterville                            Measure J                            Pass  
Should the Charter be amended to increase the minimum cost of public works projects which must be subject to competitive bidding requirements to \$15,000.00 and to provide for automatic increases in said amount every two years?

VENTURA                6/3/2003            Santa Paula                            Measure A                            Pass  
Shall the ordinance approving an ordinance adjusting Santa Paula's city urban growth boundary (curb) line to include a 32.5 acre parcel at the northwest corner of Peck/Foothill roads be adopted?



TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda															1	0	1	0	1
Contra Costa															0	1	0	1	1
Fresno											2	0					2	0	2
Los Angeles	1	0	1	0	3	2	1	2			4	0			3	8	13	12	25
Marin															1	0	1	0	1
Mendocino	2	0															2	0	2
Monterey	0	1													2	0	2	1	3
Napa	1	0			2	1	1	0					1	0			5	1	6
Orange									0	2							0	2	2
Riverside	4	0													2	0	6	0	6
San Bernardino	1	1					1	0	1	0					1	0	4	1	5
San Joaquin					2	2											2	2	4
San Mateo	1	0			1	0			0	1					0	2	2	3	5
Santa Clara															2	1	2	1	3
Solano			0	1					1	0					1	1	2	2	4
Sonoma	0	2													1	0	1	2	3
Stanislaus					1	1	3	0							1	0	5	1	6
Tulare					9	0											9	0	9
Ventura															1	0	1	0	1
All Counties	10	4	1	1	18	6	6	2	2	3	6	0	1	0	16	13	60	29	89

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2003

	LAND USE		PUB SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda					1	0											1	0	1
Contra Costa	0	1															0	1	1
Fresno					2	0											2	0	2
Los Angeles	1	1			9	10			1	1			1	0	1	0	13	12	25
Marin											1	0					1	0	1
Mendocino							2	0									2	0	2
Monterey			0	1	2	0											2	1	3
Napa	1	0	1	0	0	1			1	0			1	0	1	0	5	1	6
Orange							0	2									0	2	2
Riverside	1	0	2	0	1	0							2	0			6	0	6
San Bernardino			1	0	2	0			0	1			1	0			4	1	5
San Joaquin			0	1	1	1							1	0			2	2	4
San Mateo	0	3			1	0							1	0			2	3	5
Santa Clara	1	0							1	0					0	1	2	1	3
Solano	2	1	0	1													2	2	4
Sonoma	1	0					0	2									1	2	3
Stanislaus	1	0			1	1					3	0					5	1	6
Tulare					9	0											9	0	9
Ventura	1	0															1	0	1
All Counties	9	6	4	3	29	13	2	4	3	2	4	0	7	0	2	1	60	29	89

---

---

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR  
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---

---



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
ALAMEDA	11/4/2003	Emeryville	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Davis	Nora	Incumbent	Yes	4	765	2,575	29.7%	Yes		
						Atkin	Ruth	Incumbent	Yes	4	714	2,575	27.7%	Yes		
						Bukowski	Ken	City Council Member	Yes	4	614	2,575	23.8%	Yes		
						Crouch	Jason	Residential Realtor	No	4	482	2,575	18.7%	No		
	Livermore	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Dietrich	Lorraine	Incumbent	Yes	5	7,055	27,010	26.1%	Yes				
				Leider	Marjorie R.	Planning Commissioner	No	5	6,938	27,010	25.7%	Yes				
				Bramell	Tom	Retired Fire Chief	No	5	6,413	27,010	23.7%	No				
				Hickman	Barbara	Author/Publisher	No	5	4,623	27,010	17.1%	No				
				Pope	Karen	Education Volunteer/Mother	No	5	1,981	27,010	7.3%	No				
				MAYOR	Full	Kamena	Marshall	Mayor/Optometrst	Yes	2	7,761	14,786	52.5%	Yes		
Vargas	Tom	Businessman/Council Member	No	2	7,025	14,786	47.5%	No								
ALPINE	No City Contests															
AMADOR	No City Contests															
BUTTE	No City Contests															
CALAVERAS	No City Contests															
COLUSA	No City Contests															
CONTRA COSTA	11/4/2003	San Ramon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rowley	Carol J.	Retired Principal	No	3	7,595	17,660	43.0%	Yes		
						Perkins	Scott	Livermore Lab Flight Test Engineer/Cpt. NR	No	3	6,465	17,660	36.6%	Yes		
						Cahill	Edward	No Ballot Designation	No	3	3,600	17,660	20.4%	No		
						MAYOR	Full	Wilson	H. Abram	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,947	10,247	58.0%	Yes
						Kinney	Curt	Retired Police Sergeant	No	2	4,300	10,247	42.0%	No		
DEL NORTE	No City Contests															
EL DORADO	No City Contests															
FRESNO	11/4/2003	Huron	CITY COUNCIL <sup>R</sup>		Short	Monreal	Tommy	Business Owner	No	1	221	237	93.2%	Yes		
						Diaz	Jose C.	Business Owner	No	1	222	243	91.4%	Yes		
GLENN	No City Contests															
HUMBOLDT	No City Contests															
IMPERIAL	6/3/2003	Calexico	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Salazar	Frank	Communications Consultant	No	3	1,107	1,931	57.3%	Yes		
						Cota	Leticia Aldana	Educator/Counselor	No	3	651	1,931	33.7%	No		
						DeLeon	David	Background Investigator	No	3	173	1,931	9.0%	No		
	11/4/2003	Brawley	CITY CLERK	Full	Smith	Janet P.	Certified Municipal Clerk	No	1	1,992	1,992	100.0%	Yes			

<sup>1</sup> Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

<sup>R</sup> To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
IMPERIAL (continued)	11/4/2003	Brawley	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Campbell	Don C.	Assistant General Superintendent	No	4	1,394	5,160	27.0%	Yes				
						Shields	M. Jo	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,297	5,160	25.1%	Yes				
						Carrillo	Toni C.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,297	5,160	25.1%	Yes				
						Johnson	Wayne C.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,172	5,160	22.7%	No				
				CITY TREASURER		Full	Santillan	Samuel B.	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,238	2,156	57.4%	Yes			
							Valenzuela	R.C. "Chuck"	Businessman	No	2	918	2,156	42.6%	No			
		El Centro		CITY CLERK		Full	Noden	Rita A.	City Clerk	Yes	1	2,657	2,657	100.0%	Yes			
				CITY COUNCIL		Full	Sanders	Sadalia	Medical Technician	No	7	1,541	7,463	20.6%	Yes			
							Moore	Rosanna Bayon	Loan Representative	No	7	1,290	7,463	17.3%	Yes			
							Edney	Jon A.	Business Owner	No	7	1,277	7,463	17.1%	Yes			
							Jimenez	Jacinto "Jay"	Insurance Agency Owner	No	7	1,198	7,463	16.1%	No			
							Caldwell	William "Bill"	Retail/Student	No	7	844	7,463	11.3%	No			
							McFadden	Dilda D.	Program Supervisor	No	7	740	7,463	9.9%	No			
							Makin	John D.	Retired Navy	No	7	573	7,463	7.7%	No			
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Terrazas	Albert	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,656	2,656	100.0%	Yes				
INYO	No City Contests																	
KERN	No City Contests																	
KINGS	No City Contests																	
LAKE	No City Contests																	
LASSEN	No City Contests																	
LOS ANGELES	1/14/2003	Inglewood	CITY CLERK		Short	Horton	Yvonne	Legal Accounting Clerk	No	2	2,297	3,618	63.5%	Yes				
						Gray	Gloria	School Board Member/Administrator	No	2	1,321	3,618	36.5%	No				
						CITY COUNCIL		4	Short	Johnson	Lorraine	Revenue Administrator	No	2	411	713	57.6%	Yes
									Hardeman	Garland	Businessman	No	2	302	713	42.4%	No	
				Redondo Beach		CITY COUNCIL		2	Full	Cagle	Chris	Businessman	No	2	1,066	1,683	63.3%	Yes
									Zager	Nelson	Businessman	No	2	617	1,683	36.7%	No	
		1/28/2003	South Gate	CITY COUNCIL <sup>R</sup>	1	Short	Martinez	Gregory	Business Owner	No	3	4,272	7,678	55.6%	Yes			
							Soto	Barbara C.	Attorney/Educator	No	3	2,263	7,678	29.5%	No			
							Bonilla-Clayton	Yadira M.	Customer Service Supervisor	No	3	1,143	7,678	14.9%	No			
							2	Short	Gutierrez	Steven R.	Field Deputy	No	4	5,163	7,503	68.8%	Yes	
						Carabez			Gladys	Public Servant/Parent	No	4	1,397	7,503	18.6%	No		
						Velasquez			Adelia	Account Clerk	No	4	495	7,503	6.6%	No		
						Abadi			Roy	Self-Employed Businessman	No	4	448	7,503	6.0%	No		
		3	Short	Davila	Maria R.	Medi-Cal Ombudsperson	No	3	5,137	7,550	68.0%	Yes						
	Alfaro			Patricia Trish	Parent Coordinator	No	3	1,321	7,550	17.5%	No							
	Miller			Gladys O.	Local Government Employee	No	3	1,092	7,550	14.5%	No							

<sup>R</sup> To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED							
LOS ANGELES (continued)	1/28/2003	South Gate	CITY TREASURER <sup>R</sup>	1	Short	Navarro	Rudy	Senior Loan Officer	No	3	5,150	7,700	66.9%	Yes							
						Romero	Moises	Community Services Manager	No	3	1,655	7,700	21.5%	No							
						Cogley	Richard J.	Retired	No	3	895	7,700	11.6%	No							
	2/25/2003	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vander Borgh	Jef	Architect	Yes	10	4,980	17,761	28.0%	Yes							
						Bric	Gary	No Ballot Designation	No	10	2,921	17,761	16.4%	Yes							
						Campbell	Todd	Public Policy Director	No	10	2,507	17,761	14.1%	No							
						Malone	Brian	Residential Realtor	No	10	2,154	17,761	12.1%	No							
						Havanessian	Vahe	Paralegal	No	10	1,166	17,761	6.6%	No							
						Bergfeld	Michael	No Ballot Designation	No	10	1,142	17,761	6.4%	No							
						Kirkpatrick	Kisa	No Ballot Designation	No	10	1,139	17,761	6.4%	No							
						Porco	Michael	Nonprofit Organization Director	No	10	656	17,761	3.7%	No							
						Espinoza	Esther	City Activist	No	10	559	17,761	3.1%	No							
						Dunayer	Lee	Senior Financial Advisor	No	10	537	17,761	3.0%	No							
						3/4/2003	Pasadena	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Streator	Joyce	Councilmember	Yes	2	1,038	1,460	71.1%	Yes		
											Crable	Arthur Dennis	Environmental Analyst	No	2	422	1,460	28.9%	No		
											2	Full	Little	Paul	Councilmember/Communications Director	Yes	2	1,526	1,804	84.6%	Yes
													Estrada	Israel	United States Marine	No	2	278	1,804	15.4%	No
											4	Full	Haderlein	Steve	Councilmember	Yes	1	1,817	1,817	100.0%	Yes
6	Full	Madison	Steve	Pasadena City Councilmember	Yes								1	2,478	2,478	100.0%	Yes				
MAYOR		Full	Bogaard	Bill	Mayor, City of Pasadena						Yes	2	9,800	11,585	84.6%	Yes					
	Koebel		Philip	Affordable Housing Advocate	No						2	1,785	11,585	15.4%	No						
Redondo Beach	CITY CLERK	Full	Forrest	Sandy	City Clerk						Yes	1	5,241	5,241	100.0%	Yes					
			CITY COUNCIL	3	Full						Aust	Pat	Retired Fire Chief	No	4	558	1,637	34.1%	Yes		
						Szerlip	Don	Business Owner	No	4	386	1,637	23.6%	No							
						Wickens	Patrick	Business Consultant	No	4	355	1,637	21.7%	No							
						Garten	Ann M.	Community Relations Manager	No	4	338	1,637	20.6%	No							
			5	Full	Parsons	John	Councilman/Businessman	Yes	1	812	812	100.0%	Yes								
					CITY TREASURER	Full	O'Dell	Ernie	City Treasurer	Yes	2	3,805	5,903	64.5%	Yes						
			Ohst	Gary			Self-Employed Businessman	No	2	2,098	5,903	35.5%	No								
			4/1/2003	Glendale	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Manoukian	Rafi	Mayor/CPA	Yes	7	9,369	32,022	29.3%	Yes						
							Gomez	Gus	City Councilmember/Prosecutor	Yes	7	7,595	32,022	23.7%	Yes						
Sussman	Carole	Small Business Owner					No	7	4,530	32,022	14.1%	No									
Miller	Larry	Small Business Owner					No	7	4,016	32,022	12.5%	No									
Dinger	Rick	Business Owner					No	7	3,763	32,022	11.8%	No									
Wallis	Dave	Businessman/Registered Engineer					No	7	2,202	32,022	6.9%	No									
Christopher	John Robert	Video Post-Production					No	7	541	32,022	1.7%	No									

<sup>R</sup> To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/1/2003	Inglewood	CITY CLERK		Full	Horton	Yvonne	Legal Accounting Clerk	Yes	3	4,003	6,690	59.8%	Yes
						Gray	Gloria	School Board Member/Administrator	No	3	2,102	6,690	31.4%	No
						Greene	Gloria	Business Professional	No	3	585	6,690	8.7%	No
	4/8/2003	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL	Full	3	Morales, Jr.	Eloy	Assembly Field Representative	No	2	613	1,182	51.9%	Yes
						Jimenez	Trini	Attorney at Law	No	2	569	1,182	48.1%	No
					4	Stevens	Mike	Executive Director	No	3	566	1,652	34.3%	Yes
						Franklin	Ralph	Labor/Community Volunteer	No	3	546	1,652	33.1%	Yes
						Johnson	Lorraine	Councilwoman	Yes	3	540	1,652	32.7%	No
						Brown	Wanda	City Treasurer	Yes	1	3,964	3,964	100.0%	Yes
	4/15/2003	Compton	CITY COUNCIL	Full	1	Calhoun	Barbara Jean	Compton School Boardmember	No	3	3,634	5,842	62.2%	Yes
						Zurita	Delores	Councilmember/Executive Director	Yes	3	1,813	5,842	31.0%	No
						Andrews, Jr.	Rodney	College Worker	No	3	368	5,842	6.3%	No
						4	Hall	Isadore	School Board Member/Administrator	No	5	2,953	5,868	50.3%
	Rahh	Amen	Professor/Councilperson	Yes	5		1,159	5,868	19.8%	No				
	Easter	Fred	Educator/Administrator	No	5		984	5,868	16.8%	No				
Cressel	Fred	Businessman	No	5	410		5,868	7.0%	No					
5/13/2003	Redondo Beach	CITY COUNCIL	Full	3	Szerlip	Don	Business Owner	No	2	691	1,256	55.0%	Yes	
					Aust	Pat	Retired Fire Chief	No	2	565	1,256	45.0%	No	
6/3/2003	Hacienda Heights	CITY COUNCIL <sup>P</sup>	Full	Manning	Kenneth R. "Ken"	Director, Upper San Gabriel Valley MWD	No	17	3,810	38,504	9.9%	Yes		
				House	Charles M.	Retired Sheriff Sergeant	No	17	3,605	38,504	9.4%	Yes		
				Treu	Scarlet	Businesswoman/Congressmbr.'s Advisor	No	17	2,929	38,504	7.6%	Yes		
				Minardi	Felicia F.	Gov Bd Mbr, Hacienda La Puente USD	No	17	2,852	38,504	7.4%	Yes		
				Fang	David	Attorney at Law	No	17	2,803	38,504	7.3%	Yes		
				Hsu	Norman	School Bd Mbr Hacienda La Puente SD	No	17	2,622	38,504	6.8%	No		
				Chang	Tom	Engineer/Transportation Planner	No	17	2,608	38,504	6.8%	No		
				Lee	Shan	Business Executive	No	17	2,572	38,504	6.7%	No		
				Fish	Barbara L.	Retired	No	17	2,344	38,504	6.1%	No		
				Pedregon	Henry B.	Deputy Probation Officer	No	17	2,113	38,504	5.5%	No		
				Chyr	Fred	Mt. San Antonio CD Gov Bd Mbr	No	17	1,942	38,504	5.0%	No		
				Yann	Jeffery K.	Civil Engineer	No	17	1,874	38,504	4.9%	No		
				Gonzales	Henry E.	Businessman/Mortgage Banker	No	17	1,838	38,504	4.8%	No		

<sup>P</sup> Proposed city.



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	6/3/2003	Hacienda Heights	CITY COUNCIL <sup>P</sup>		Full	Perez	Joseph A.	Project Manager	No	17	1,556	38,504	4.0%	No
						Street	Sidney W.	Aerospace Material Consultant	No	17	1,213	38,504	3.2%	No
						Almeida	Rudy	Retired Coordinator	No	17	922	38,504	2.4%	No
						Trujillo	Carmelita Louise	Law Student	No	17	901	38,504	2.3%	No
	9/16/2003	Inglewood	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Franklin	Ralph	Labor Community Volunteer	No	2	953	1,366	69.8%	Yes
						Stevens	Mike	Executive Director	No	2	413	1,366	30.2%	No
	11/4/2003	Agoura Hills	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Johnson	Ralph	Labor/Community Volunteer	No	2	1,004	1,312	76.5%	Yes
						Kuperberg	Lorraine	Councilwoman	Yes	2	308	1,312	23.5%	No
	Diamond Bar	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Kuperberg	Dan	Councilmember/Attorney	No	6	1,596	6,154	25.9%	Yes		
				Edelston	John M.	County Commissioner/Businessman	No	6	1,590	6,154	25.8%	Yes		
				Rishoff	Stephen L.	Attorney/Court Mediator	No	6	1,350	6,154	21.9%	No		
				Soteras	Alex	Businessman/Chamber President	No	6	942	6,154	15.3%	No		
				Thomas	George "Chris"	Small Businessman	No	6	523	6,154	8.5%	No		
				Patnode	Seymour	Accountant/Corporate V.P.	No	6	153	6,154	2.5%	No		
				Herrera	Carol	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,723	11,086	24.6%	Yes		
				Huff	Robert "Bob"	Councilmember/Business Owner	No	7	2,700	11,086	24.4%	Yes		
				Torng	Tony	Engineer/Commissioner/Volunteer	No	7	2,020	11,086	18.2%	No		
				Tanaka	Jack	Retired Parole Agent	No	7	1,813	11,086	16.4%	No		
	Duarte City	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Lee	Kwang H.	Businessman	No	7	1,005	11,086	9.1%	No		
				Ortiz	Tom	Retired Police Officer	No	7	650	11,086	5.9%	No		
Perez				Robert K.	Realtor	No	7	175	11,086	1.6%	No			
Fasana				John	Duarte City Councilmember	Yes	4	1,673	5,110	32.7%	Yes			
Hawthorne	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gaston	Lois	Retired Telecommunications Executive	No	4	1,572	5,110	30.8%	Yes			
			Wood	Pat A.	Business Consultant	No	4	989	5,110	19.4%	No			
			Rodriguez	Art	Corporate Manager	No	4	876	5,110	17.1%	No			
			Lambert	Ginny McGinnis	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,545	7,182	35.4%	Yes			
MAYOR	Full	Velez	Louis C.	Facilities Technician	No	4	2,123	7,182	29.6%	Yes				
		Schoenfeld	Mark E.	Councilman, City of Hawthorne	Yes	4	1,503	7,182	20.9%	No				
		Andersen	Steve	Attorney	No	4	1,011	7,182	14.1%	No				
		Guidi	Larry M.	Mayor of Hawthorne	Yes	2	3,043	4,220	72.1%	Yes				
Hermosa Beach	CITY CLERK	Full	Merino	Felipe	Executive Director	No	2	1,177	4,220	27.9%	No			
			Doerfling	Elaine	City Clerk	Yes	1	1,926	1,926	100.0%	Yes			

<sup>P</sup> Proposed city.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/4/2003	Hermosa Beach	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Tucker	Peter C.	Building Inspector	No	7	1,470	5,732	25.6%	Yes			
						Edgerton	Sam Y.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,211	5,732	21.1%	Yes			
						Fishman	Howard L.	Public Sector Manager	No	7	1,204	5,732	21.0%	No			
						Cheatham	Charlie	Defense Department Manager	No	7	1,104	5,732	19.3%	No			
						Benson	Alan B.	Post Production Supervisor	No	7	296	5,732	5.2%	No			
						Gebele	Richard	Real Estate Developer	No	7	267	5,732	4.7%	No			
						Cohn	David	Investment Banker	No	7	180	5,732	3.1%	No			
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Workman	John M.	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,259	2,259	100.0%	Yes			
Lynwood			CITY CLERK		Full	Hooper	Andrea L.	City Clerk	Yes	4	1,426	3,479	41.0%	Yes			
						Quinonez	Maria	Administrative Assistant	No	4	867	3,479	24.9%	No			
						Ramirez	Gloria Uribe	Admissions Officer	No	4	708	3,479	20.4%	No			
						Gomez	Victor R.	Customer Service Representative	No	4	478	3,479	13.7%	No			
			CITY COUNCIL					Full	Vasquez	Leticia	Lynwood Teacher	No	13	1,357	6,380	21.3%	Yes
									Byrd	Louis	Councilman	Yes	13	1,129	6,380	17.7%	Yes
									Morton	Jim	Businessman/Self Employed	No	13	727	6,380	11.4%	No
									Flores	Mark	Development Consultant	No	13	710	6,380	11.1%	No
									Salas	Jonathan Colin	Revenue Investigator	No	13	605	6,380	9.5%	No
									Reyes	Arturo	Councilman/Business Owner	Yes	13	423	6,380	6.6%	No
									Carr	Patricia	Businesswoman	No	13	393	6,380	6.2%	No
									Enciso	Juan J.	Real Estate Broker	No	13	335	6,380	5.3%	No
									Hyshaw	Clarence M.	Physician	No	13	309	6,380	4.8%	No
Jacinto	Edwin R.	Realtor	No	13	238	6,380	3.7%	No									
Villanova	Tristan	County Eligibility Worker	No	13	65	6,380	1.0%	No									
Gibbens, Sr.	Harry C.	Retired Cabinetmaker	No	13	60	6,380	0.9%	No									
Esparza	Hilario M.	Chiropractor, D.C.	No	13	29	6,380	0.5%	No									
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Pygatt	Iris	Lynwood City Treasurer	Yes	3	1,516	3,544	42.8%	Yes			
						Alatorre	Salvador	State Inspector	No	3	1,227	3,544	34.6%	No			
						Rea	Armando	Prosecuting Investigator	No	3	801	3,544	22.6%	No			
Montebello			CITY CLERK		Full	King	Robert J.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,741	4,667	58.7%	Yes			
						Salazar	Larry	Program Representative	No	2	1,926	4,667	41.3%	No			
			CITY COUNCIL					Full	Bagwell	Robert "Bob"	Retired Businessman	No	7	1,999	8,535	23.4%	Yes
									Lopez-Reid	Norma	School Principal	No	7	1,888	8,535	22.1%	Yes
									Salazar	Kathy	Mayer/Businesswoman	No	7	1,624	8,535	19.0%	No
									Robles	Christopher	Business Owner/Teacher	No	7	1,614	8,535	18.9%	No
									Howard	Mark	Retired Police Sergeant	No	7	742	8,535	8.7%	No
									Siccama	Jeff	Manager	No	7	370	8,535	4.3%	No
									Mota	Robert	Program Administrator	No	7	298	8,535	3.5%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/4/2003	Montebello	CITY TREASURER		Full	Guzman	Gerri	City Treasurer	Yes	3	2,716	4,812	56.4%	Yes	
						Agajanian	Janis	Office Administrator	No	3	1,269	4,812	26.4%	No	
						Vargas	Marcela F.	Businesswoman	No	3	827	4,812	17.2%	No	
	Palmdale	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Dispenza	Mike	Mayor Pro Tem	No	6	3,197	12,688	25.2%	Yes			
				Hofbauer	Steven D.	Fireman/Planning Commissioner	No	6	3,032	12,688	23.9%	Yes			
				Norris	Richard H. "Rick"	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	6	2,894	12,688	22.8%	No			
				McCaulley	Ollie M.	Businessman/Educator	No	6	1,619	12,688	12.8%	No			
				Buteyn	Steven D.	Police Officer	No	6	1,441	12,688	11.4%	No			
				Cope	G. G. "Garry"	Federal Civil Servant	No	6	505	12,688	4.0%	No			
				MAYOR	Ledford, Jr.	James C.	Mayor of Palmdale	Yes	1	5,529	5,529	100.0%	Yes		
	Rancho Palos Verdes	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Wolowicz	Steve	Business Owner/CPA	No	7	4,003	19,187	20.9%	Yes			
				Stern	Douglas W.	Councilman/Business Attorney	Yes	7	3,624	19,187	18.9%	Yes			
				Long	Tom	Attorney	No	7	3,203	19,187	16.7%	Yes			
				Ferraro	Barbara	Teacher/Councilwoman	Yes	7	3,061	19,187	16.0%	No			
				McTaggart	John C.	Incumbent	Yes	7	3,032	19,187	15.8%	No			
				Reeves	Don	Retired Aerospace Engineer	No	7	1,780	19,187	9.3%	No			
				Aubrey	Libby	Charitable Organization, President	No	7	484	19,187	2.5%	No			
	MADERA	No City Contests													
	MARIN	11/4/2003	Corte Madera	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Yang	Jin Suk	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,378	3,467	39.7%	Yes
Paulson							Melissa	Real Estate Advisor	No	3	1,193	3,467	34.4%	Yes	
Colombo							Mark	Physician/Attorney	No	3	692	3,467	20.0%	No	
Fairfax		CITY CLERK	Full	Anderson	Judy	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	1,509	1,550	97.4%	Yes			
				Tremaine	Lew	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,333	4,832	27.6%	Yes			
		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bragman	Lawrence	Consumer Attorney	No	5	1,237	4,832	25.6%	Yes			
				Weinsoff	David	Environmental Attorney	No	5	1,107	4,832	22.9%	No			
				Hailer	Laurie	Teacher	No	5	997	4,832	20.6%	No			
				Prum	Jory K.	Sound Guy	No	5	150	4,832	3.1%	No			
Larkspur		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Chu	Laurence "Larry" J.	Management Consultant	No	4	1,865	5,389	34.6%	Yes			
				Hartzell	Kathleen E.	Larkspur School District Trustee	No	4	1,694	5,389	31.4%	Yes			
				Ocheltree	Lori	Attorney	No	4	1,422	5,389	26.4%	No			
				McCloskey	Albert	No Ballot Designation	No	4	391	5,389	7.3%	No			
Novato		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Dillon-Knutson	Carole	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	6	5,454	26,565	20.5%	Yes			
				MacLeamy	Jeanne	Architect	No	6	5,425	26,565	20.4%	Yes			
				Arnold	Judy	Senate Aide	No	6	5,185	26,565	19.5%	Yes			
				Di Giorgio	Michael	Small Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	6	4,443	26,565	16.7%	No			
				Mani	John	Retired Physician/Councilmember	Yes	6	3,989	26,565	15.0%	No			
				Meyers	Gail	Retired Bank Employee	No	6	2,022	26,565	7.6%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
MARIN	11/4/2003	San Anselmo	CITY CLERK		Full	Chambers	Barbara A.	Assistant Planner	No	1	2,139	2,173	98.4%	Yes
(continued)			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Thornton	Barbara	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	2,063	4,873	42.3%	Yes
						Kilkus	Peter	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,992	4,873	40.9%	Yes
						Mehling	Herman	Public Relations Consultant	No	3	780	4,873	16.0%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Robinson	Roberta	Banker	No	1	2,159	2,176	99.2%	Yes
		San Rafael	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Ragghianti	Gary T.	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,590	7,697	98.6%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Phillips	Gary O.	Incumbent	Yes	3	6,577	16,419	40.1%	Yes
						Cohen	Paul M.	San Rafael Councilmember	Yes	3	6,463	16,419	39.4%	Yes
						Harrold	Mark W.	Business Consultant	No	3	3,311	16,419	20.2%	No
			CLERK-ASSESSOR		Full	Leoncini	Jeanne M.	Incumbent	Yes	2	6,590	9,160	71.9%	Yes
						Lollini	Sandra	Account Executive	No	2	2,561	9,160	28.0%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Boro	Al	San Rafael Mayor	Yes	2	7,531	9,703	77.6%	Yes
						Keilman, III	L.B. "Kyle"	Union Stage Worker	No	2	2,146	9,703	22.1%	No
		Tiburon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Slavitz	Jeff	Town Councilmember	Yes	3	1,279	3,277	39.0%	Yes
						Smith	Paul	Attorney	No	3	1,119	3,277	34.1%	Yes
						Salerno	George	Retired Businessman	No	3	857	3,277	26.2%	No
MARIPOSA	No City Contests													
MENDOCINO	No City Contests													
MERCED	11/4/2003	Merced	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Wooten	Ellie	Businesswoman	No	9	3,423	17,122	20.0%	Yes
						Osorio	Rick	Businessman/Council Member	Yes	9	3,193	17,122	18.6%	Yes
						Gabriault-Acosta	Michele	Business Owner	No	9	2,451	17,122	14.3%	Yes
						Murphy	Denise A.	Nurse/Massage Therapist	No	9	2,336	17,122	13.6%	No
						Pollard	Carl	Planning Commissioner	No	9	1,588	17,122	9.3%	No
						Crowder	Donna	Nurse	No	9	1,399	17,122	8.2%	No
						Davenport	Renee	Self-Employed	No	9	1,260	17,122	7.4%	No
						Shliapnikoff	Anatol	Hotel Owner	No	9	912	17,122	5.3%	No
						Martinez	Jeff	Assignment Employee	No	9	553	17,122	3.2%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Walsh	Huber "Hub"	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,105	6,453	79.1%	Yes
						Hollingshed	Edward G.	Businessman	No	2	1,330	6,453	20.6%	No
MODOC	No City Contests													
MONO	No City Contests													
MONTEREY	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
NAPA	3/4/2003	Calistoga	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Von Pole	Janice	Teacher/Attorney	No	6	733	2,333	31.4%	Yes			
						Slusser	Karen	Businesswoman	No	6	583	2,333	25.0%	Yes			
						Holinsworth	Donald G.	Retired Court Clerk	No	6	430	2,333	18.4%	No			
						Lucas	Louise	Retired	No	6	252	2,333	10.8%	No			
						Selvey	Don	Contract Administrator/Planner	No	6	182	2,333	7.8%	No			
						Gieling	Roger L.	System Engineer	No	6	153	2,333	6.6%	No			
		MAYOR			Full	Alexander	Andrew G.	Family Physician	No	4	831	1,255	66.2%	Yes			
	Coates					Paul	General Contractor	No	4	265	1,255	21.1%	No				
	Gingles					Jack T.	Businessman/Councilmember	No	4	125	1,255	10.0%	No				
	Larrecou					Kurt M.	Land Broker/Rancher	No	4	34	1,255	2.7%	No				
	Napa			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Block	Kevin	Small Business Attorney	No	7	5,786	21,288	27.2%	Yes		
							Martin	Harry V.	Councilmember/Publisher/Businessman	Yes	7	5,571	21,288	26.2%	Yes		
							Busenbark	Joann	Councilmember/Educator	Yes	7	3,227	21,288	15.2%	No		
							Cuney	Dee	Businesswoman/Educator/Consultant	No	7	2,650	21,288	12.4%	No		
							Rogers	Pat	Contract Office Administrator	No	7	1,917	21,288	9.0%	No		
							Edwards	Chris	Director of Logistics	No	7	1,693	21,288	8.0%	No		
							Ramey	Connie	Retired Private Investigator	No	7	444	21,288	2.1%	No		
							St. Helena			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Schoch	Bonnie	Accountant	No	2
Sklar	Eric	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	2	1,015	2,064							49.2%	Yes			
	MAYOR	Full	Slavens	Ken	Mayor	Yes							2	891	1,523	58.5%	Yes
Bowers			Sampson	City Councilman	No	2							632	1,523	41.5%	No	
Yountville			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Steiger	Donna M.	Economic Development Consultant	No	3	774	1,939	39.9%	Yes			
						Dutton	William "Bill"	Retired	No	3	626	1,939	32.3%	Yes			
						Blum	Christopher G.	Local Businessman	No	3	539	1,939	27.8%	No			
							MAYOR	Full	Carlson	Todd R.	Businessman	No	2	709	1,259	56.3%	Yes
						Knight			Eric E.	Yountville Council Member	No	2	550	1,259	43.7%	No	
						NEVADA	No City Contests										
ORANGE	No City Contests																
PLACER	No City Contests																
PLUMAS	No City Contests																
RIVERSIDE	11/4/2003	Blythe	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Grotke	Charles A. "Chuck"	Incumbent	Yes	4	700	2,553	27.4%	Yes			
						Hernandez	Alfonson "Sonny"	Director of Facilities	No	4	659	2,553	25.8%	Yes			
						Williams	Larry J.	Gen Contractor	No	4	618	2,553	24.2%	No			
						MacDonald	Robert R.	Director Environmental Services	No	4	576	2,553	22.6%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/4/2003	Desert Hot Springs	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Stephens	Mary Katherine	Incumbent	Yes	6	777	3,323	23.4%	Yes			
						Hohenstein	Henry J.	Land Use Planner	No	6	582	3,323	17.5%	Yes			
						Evenson	Dale	Fire Safety Specialist	No	6	576	3,323	17.3%	No			
						Parks	Yvonne	Retired Employment Manager	No	6	542	3,323	16.3%	No			
						Baker	Karl	School Teacher/Businessman	No	6	516	3,323	15.5%	No			
						Stone King	Gabriel	Building Designer	No	6	330	3,323	9.9%	No			
			MAYOR		Full	Weyuker	Matt	Mayor	Yes	2	968	1,753	55.2%	Yes			
					Full	Bosworth	Gary	Council Member/Businessman	No	2	785	1,753	44.8%	No			
			Lake Elsinore	11/4/2003	Lake Elsinore	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kelley	Genie	Incumbent	Yes	10	1,356	8,617	15.7%	Yes
									Magee	Robert E. "Bob"	Local Businessman	No	10	1,225	8,617	14.2%	Yes
									Schiffner	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	10	1,136	8,617	13.2%	Yes
									La Pere	Roland "Ron"	Businessowner/Planning Commissioner	No	10	1,086	8,617	12.6%	No
									Fleming	Tim	Business/Marketing	No	10	1,066	8,617	12.4%	No
									O'Neal	Michael	Finance Officer Insurance	No	10	991	8,617	11.5%	No
Flores	Jimmy	Business							No	10	913	8,617	10.6%	No			
Aragon	Max	Business, Marketing/Recreation							No	10	455	8,617	5.3%	No			
McBride	Patrick S.	Independent Business Consultant							No	10	200	8,617	2.3%	No			
Tucker	Bill "Whiskers"	Retired Grocery Clerk							No	10	189	8,617	2.2%	No			
CITY TREASURER		Full							Weber	Peter G.	Operations Manager, Manufacturing	No	2	1,559	2,763	56.4%	Yes
		Full							Ekstein	Desiree Wilsey	Business Owner	No	2	1,204	2,763	43.6%	No
Murrieta	11/4/2003	Murrieta	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Enochs	Warnie	Small Businessman/Councilman	Yes	8	3,158	14,723	21.4%	Yes			
						McAllister	Douglas "Mac"	Business Executive	No	8	2,955	14,723	20.1%	Yes			
						Knight	Nancy	Newspaper Editor	No	8	2,535	14,723	17.2%	No			
						Youens	Brian	Teacher/Councilmember	Yes	8	2,510	14,723	17.0%	No			
						Detienne	Dan	Deputy District Attorney	No	8	1,697	14,723	11.5%	No			
						Flynn	Stephen	Local Businessman	No	8	934	14,723	6.3%	No			
						Craig	Gary Michael	The Strawberry Man	No	8	566	14,723	3.8%	No			
						Landriscina	David F.	Businessman/Consultant	No	8	368	14,723	2.5%	No			
						CITY COUNCIL		Full	Azevedo	Kathy	Business Owner	No	7	2,091	9,654	21.7%	Yes
		Full	Sullivan	Harvey C.	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,025	9,654	21.0%	Yes						
		Full	Higgins	Herb	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,967	9,654	20.4%	No						
		Full	Harpin	Mark	Parks/Recreation Commissioner	No	7	1,133	9,654	11.7%	No						
		Full	Hatzenbuhler	Ed	Retired	No	7	1,065	9,654	11.0%	No						
		Full	Carmichael	Barbara J.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,052	9,654	10.9%	No						
		Full	Guertin	Jeanne M.	No Ballot Designation	No	7	321	9,654	3.3%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED				
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/4/2003	Palm Springs	CITY COUNCIL		Full	McCulloch	Mike	Certified Public Accountant	No	9	4,973	21,027	23.7%	Yes				
						Pouget	Steve	Real Estate Agent	No	9	3,504	21,027	16.7%	Yes				
						Foat	Ginny	Businesswoman	No	9	3,189	21,027	15.2%	No				
						Hodges	Deyna L.	Incumbent	Yes	9	2,254	21,027	10.7%	No				
						Stiles	John	Businessman	No	9	2,167	21,027	10.3%	No				
						Peet	David	Business Executive	No	9	2,092	21,027	9.9%	No				
						Feingold	William "Bill"	Investor	No	9	1,633	21,027	7.8%	No				
						Franklin	James "Jim"	Corporate Executive	No	9	738	21,027	3.5%	No				
						Grasha	Steve	Newspaper Publisher/Businessman	No	9	477	21,027	2.3%	No				
						MAYOR		Full	Oden	Ron	Councilmember/College Administrator	No	4	5,693	11,194	50.9%	Yes	
									Kleindienst	William "Will"	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,866	11,194	43.5%	No	
									Ziegler	Vincent "Vinni"	Volunteer Firefighter	No	4	342	11,194	3.1%	No	
									Boyd	Wayne	Producer/Actor	No	4	293	11,194	2.6%	No	
						Perris			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Motte	John D.	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	6	934	3,046
Rogers	Rita	Incumbent	Yes	6	572							3,046	18.8%	Yes				
Finney	James H.	Planning Commissioner	No	6	547							3,046	18.0%	No				
Nevarez-Clark	Delilah	CEO/Corporate Planner	No	6	366							3,046	12.0%	No				
Roseen	Judy "Haughney"	Office Manager	No	6	346							3,046	11.4%	No				
Meza	Jose Everardo	Community Service Assistant	No	6	281							3,046	9.2%	No				
MAYOR		Full	Busch	Daryl	Mayor, City of Perris							Yes	4	1,090	1,701	64.1%	Yes	
			Yarbrough	Raul "Mark"	Councilmember/Businessman							No	4	335	1,701	19.7%	No	
			Mitchell	Paul "Mitch"	Hazardous Materials Specialist							No	4	199	1,701	11.7%	No	
			Jenkins	Tralaunie M.	Microbiology Lab Tech							No	4	77	1,701	4.5%	No	
Riverside			CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Fick	Paul	Deputy District Attorney	No	9	1,546	3,839	40.3%	Yes				
						Betro	Dom	Businessman/Educator	No	9	1,400	3,839	36.5%	No				
						Gardner	Mike	Retired Utility Executive	No	9	272	3,839	7.1%	No				
						Nash	Susan L.	Retired Attorney/Teacher	No	9	203	3,839	5.3%	No				
						Castillo	Richard	Business Owner	No	9	169	3,839	4.4%	No				
						Lyons	Michael J.	Substance Abuse Counselor	No	9	86	3,839	2.2%	No				
						Strong	Patrick	Teacher/Businessman	No	9	72	3,839	1.9%	No				
						Ludwig	Joe	Customer Service Representative	No	9	48	3,839	1.3%	No				
						Seipel	Teresa M.	No Ballot Designation	No	9	43	3,839	1.1%	No				
								3	Full	Goldware	Mike	Businessman/Educator	No	5	1,789	4,430	40.4%	Yes
										Gage	Art	Businessman	No	5	1,767	4,430	39.9%	No
										Slawsby	Robert B.	Sports Television Broadcasting	No	5	495	4,430	11.2%	No
										Diaz	Robert "Ron"	Businessman	No	5	343	4,430	7.7%	No
										Huspek	Gary R.	No Ballot Designation	No	5	36	4,430	0.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/4/2003	Riverside	CITY COUNCIL	5	Full	Adkinson	Ed	Land Surveyor	No	2	2,003	2,643	75.8%	Yes	
						Walters	Donald R.	Insurance Agent/Broker	No	2	640	2,643	24.2%	No	
				7	Full	Frizzel	Teresa R. "Terry"	Businesswoman	No	4	820	2,482	33.0%	Yes	
						Adams	Steve	Retired Policeman/Businessman	No	4	799	2,482	32.2%	No	
						Morales	Mary Lou	Retired Educator	No	4	791	2,482	31.9%	No	
			Quiroz	Creg	Business Representative	No	4	72	2,482	2.9%	No				
			Temecula	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Naggar	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,859	13,333	36.4%	Yes	
						Washington	Chuck	Airline Captain	No	5	4,533	13,333	34.0%	Yes	
						Morrison	Greg	Water Agency Executive	No	5	1,951	13,333	14.6%	No	
						Pratt	Sam	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,593	13,333	11.9%	No	
Miller	Henry	Museum Specialist				No	5	397	13,333	3.0%	No				
SACRAMENTO	No City Contests														
SAN BENITO	No City Contests														
SAN BERNARDINO	11/4/2003	Redlands	CITY CLERK	Full	Poyzer	Lorrie	City Clerk	Yes	1	7,596	7,691	98.8%	Yes		
					CITY COUNCIL	Full	Peppler	Susan D.	Councilmember	Yes	6	4,987	17,571	28.4%	Yes
			Gil	Gilberto	Peace Officer		No	6	3,732	17,571	21.2%	Yes			
			Kwappenberg	Theresa W.	Educator/Citrus Grower		No	6	3,168	17,571	18.0%	No			
			Laymon	Caroline	Planning Commissioner		No	6	2,598	17,571	14.8%	No			
			Cantrell	Mark A.	Businessman		No	6	2,222	17,571	12.6%	No			
			Freedman	John L.	Financial Consultant		No	6	846	17,571	4.8%	No			
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Reynolds	Michael	City Treasurer	Yes	1	7,577	7,649	99.1%	Yes		
			San Bernardino	CITY ATTORNEY	Full	Penman	Jim	San Bernardino City Attorney	Yes	1	7,999	8,323	96.1%	Yes	
				CITY CLERK	Full	Clark	Rachel Mendoza	San Bernardino City Clerk	Yes	1	8,464	8,578	98.7%	Yes	
				CITY COUNCIL	3	Full	McGinnis	Gordon	Third Ward Councilman	Yes	2	382	678	56.3%	Yes
							Misquez	Jan	Community Environmental Educator	No	2	295	678	43.5%	No
				5	Full	Kelley	Chas A.	Nutritional Services Employee	No	2	1,519	2,347	64.7%	Yes	
						Suarez	Joe V.	Councilman Fifth Ward	Yes	2	816	2,347	34.8%	No	
				6	Full	Van Johnson	Rikke	Postal Service Clerk	No	2	613	1,156	53.0%	Yes	
						Anderson	Betty Dean	Incumbent	Yes	2	538	1,156	46.5%	No	
				7	Full	McCammack	Wendy	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	2	1,310	1,833	71.5%	Yes	
Sanborn	Paul W.	Fire Sprinkler Contractor	No			2	514	1,833	28.0%	No					
CITY TREASURER	Full	Kennedy	David C.	City Treasurer/CPA	Yes	2	7,024	9,312	75.4%	Yes					
			Oberhelman	David R.	Probation Administrator	No	2	2,261	9,312	24.3%	No				
SAN DIEGO	No City Contests														
SAN FRANCISCO	City and County Contests included in County Report														
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Contests														



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN LUIS OBISPO No City Contests														
SAN MATEO	6/3/2003	Belmont	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Feierbach	Coralin	Businesswoman/Investor	No	3	1,899	3,859	49.2%	Yes
						Burgess	George A.	Financial Businessman	No	3	1,649	3,859	42.7%	No
						Kranen	George P.	Retired Project Manager	No	3	311	3,859	8.1%	No
	11/4/2003	Burlingame	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Nagel	Terry	Editor/Businesswoman	No	6	2,791	10,457	26.7%	Yes
						Coffey	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,344	10,457	22.4%	Yes
						Cohen	Russ	Businessman	No	6	2,168	10,457	20.7%	No
						Janney	Mary	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,096	10,457	20.0%	No
						Andersen	Dan E.	Marketing Consultant	No	6	772	10,457	7.4%	No
						Prendiville	Paul	General Contractor/Realtor	No	6	286	10,457	2.7%	No
	Half Moon Bay	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Fraser	Marina M.	Biotech Manager	No	3	1,690	4,836	34.9%	Yes		
				McCausland	Sid	Chief Executive Officer	No	3	1,626	4,836	33.6%	Yes		
				Coleman	Dennis	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,520	4,836	31.4%	No		
	Millbrae	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Hershman	Marc	Council Member/Business Attorney	Yes	4	2,757	9,971	27.7%	Yes		
				Holober	Nadia V.	Council Member/Municipal Attorney	Yes	4	2,583	9,971	25.9%	Yes		
				Larson	Linda T.	Councilwoman/Property Manager	Yes	4	2,352	9,971	23.6%	Yes		
				Fogarty	Janet	Business Owner/Attorney	No	4	2,279	9,971	22.9%	No		
	Portola Valley	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Toben	Steve	Foundation President	No	2	1,163	2,221	52.4%	Yes		
				Davis	Edward	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,058	2,221	47.6%	Yes		
Redwood City	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Ruskin	Ira	City Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	7	5,326	25,854	20.6%	Yes			
			Pierce	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,265	25,854	20.4%	Yes			
			Foust	Rosanne	Mother/Businesswoman/Commissioner	No	7	5,110	25,854	19.8%	Yes			
			Bain	Ian	Media Relations Director	No	7	4,427	25,854	17.1%	Yes			
			Sanfilipo	Paul	Businessperson/Mortgage Broker	No	7	3,358	25,854	13.0%	No			
			Luca	Rudy	Retired Machinist	No	7	1,446	25,854	5.6%	No			
			Newgard	David L.	Automotive Service Technician	No	7	922	25,854	3.6%	No			
San Carlos	CITY CLERK	Full	Boland	Christine Dale	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,842	3,842	100.0%	Yes			
	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Davids	Thomas J.	Operations Manager	No	3	3,603	7,911	45.5%	Yes			
			Doherty	Inge H. Tiegel	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,364	7,911	42.5%	Yes			
			Schutzman	Edward I.	Entrepreneur	No	3	944	7,911	11.9%	No			
CITY TREASURER	Full	Galvin	Michael	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,885	3,885	100.0%	Yes				
San Mateo	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Matthews	John "Jack"	Architect	No	3	6,271	16,014	39.2%	Yes			
			Lee	John	Councilman, San Mateo City Council	Yes	3	5,830	16,014	36.4%	Yes			
			Tyo	Pete	Plumbing Contractor	No	3	3,913	16,014	24.4%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/4/2003	South San Francisco	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fernekes	Joe	City Councilmember/Administrator	Yes	5	4,541	10,833	41.9%	Yes	
						Garbarino	Rich	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	3,303	10,833	30.5%	Yes	
						Ochsenhirt	Rick	Local Businessman	No	5	1,882	10,833	17.4%	No	
						Koller	Keith	Law Student	No	5	554	10,833	5.1%	No	
						Presley	Carolyn	Retired Telecommunications Worker	No	5	553	10,833	5.1%	No	
			Woodside	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Tanner	Dave	Incumbent	Yes	1	749	749	100.0%	Yes
		3			Full	Boynton	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	2	751	1,097	68.5%	Yes	
						Corrigan	Erik James	Businessman	No	2	346	1,097	31.5%	No	
		5			Full	Goeld	Paul	Incumbent	Yes	1	780	780	100.0%	Yes	
		7			Full	Sinclair	Pete	Incumbent	Yes	1	768	768	100.0%	Yes	
SANTA BARBARA	11/4/2003	Santa Barbara	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Schneider	Helene	Human Resources Director	No	9	8,597	47,902	17.9%	Yes	
						Williams	Das	Legislative Analyst/Educator	No	9	8,169	47,902	17.1%	Yes	
						Barnwell	Brian B.	Businessman	No	9	7,955	47,902	16.6%	Yes	
						Folayemi	Babatunde	Santa Barbara Councilmember	Yes	9	6,933	47,902	14.5%	No	
						Burns	Scott	Local Businessman	No	9	6,622	47,902	13.8%	No	
						Magne	Michael	Businessman	No	9	6,557	47,902	13.7%	No	
						Qui	Charles "Carlos"	Arbitrator/Mediator/Barber	No	9	1,337	47,902	2.8%	No	
						Hansen	Bob	Teacher	No	9	1,011	47,902	2.1%	No	
						Cawley	Robert B.	Yoga Studio Owner	No	9	721	47,902	1.5%	No	
				SANTA CLARA	11/4/2003	Cupertino	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lowenthal	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	4	4,740
		Wang	Kris					Cupertino City Commissioner	No	4	4,080	11,913	34.2%	Yes	
		Barrett	Deborah C.					Retired Attorney	No	4	1,659	11,913	13.9%	No	
		Moore	Ron					Retired	No	4	1,414	11,913	11.9%	No	
Gilroy	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Velasco			Roland	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,771	14,555	19.0%	Yes		
			Valiquette			Russ	Operations Manager	No	7	2,402	14,555	16.5%	Yes		
			Correa			Paul	Land-use Planner	No	7	2,276	14,555	15.6%	Yes		
			Bracco			A. Dion	Business Owner	No	7	2,205	14,555	15.1%	No		
			Arellano			Peter D.	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,167	14,555	14.9%	No		
			Dover			Mark	Educator	No	7	1,601	14,555	11.0%	No		
			Morasca			Bruce	Grocery Clerk	No	7	1,119	14,555	7.7%	No		
		MAYOR	Full			Pinheiro	Al	Businessowner/Councilman	No	4	3,462	5,294	65.4%	Yes	
						Arellano	Guadalupe "Lupe"	Business Woman	No	4	1,240	5,294	23.4%	No	
						Hohenbrink	Mary S.	Systems Engineering Manager	No	4	443	5,294	8.4%	No	
						Atkins	Ellyn	Student	No	4	113	5,294	2.1%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SANTA CLARA (continued)	11/4/2003	Los Altos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Casas	David	Finance Manager	No	8	4,719	21,491	22.0%	Yes	
						Packard	Ronald D.	Attorney	No	8	4,239	21,491	19.7%	Yes	
						Cole	Curtis	Engineer/Software Manager	No	8	3,688	21,491	17.2%	Yes	
						La Poll	Francis Albert	Incumbent	Yes	8	3,475	21,491	16.2%	No	
						Carpenter	Val	Business Executive	No	8	2,824	21,491	13.1%	No	
						Samani	Jeannice	Business Development Consultant	No	8	1,631	21,491	7.6%	No	
						Nicholson	Christopher Friendly	Student	No	8	448	21,491	2.1%	No	
						Smiley	Steven R.	Business Manager	No	8	445	21,491	2.1%	No	
	Palo Alto		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kleinberg	Judy	No Ballot Designation	Yes	10	10,144	52,833	19.2%	Yes	
						Mossar	Dena	No Ballot Designation	Yes	10	9,204	52,833	17.4%	Yes	
						Cordell	Ladoris H.	No Ballot Designation	No	10	8,980	52,833	17.0%	Yes	
						Beecham	Bern	No Ballot Designation	Yes	10	8,973	52,833	17.0%	Yes	
						Justman	Harold "Skip"	No Ballot Designation	No	10	5,362	52,833	10.1%	No	
						Lytle	Nancy	No Ballot Designation	Yes	10	5,184	52,833	9.8%	No	
						Fredrich	John Karl	No Ballot Designation	No	10	2,170	52,833	4.1%	No	
						Bar-Gadda	Ronny	No Ballot Designation	No	10	1,328	52,833	2.5%	No	
						Frost	Victor	No Ballot Designation	No	10	823	52,833	1.6%	No	
						Power	Edmund	No Ballot Designation	No	10	600	52,833	1.1%	No	
	Sunnyvale		CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Chu	Dean	Financial Analyst	No	2	10,846	14,513	74.7%	Yes	
						Richards	Pete Mate	Businessman	No	2	3,640	14,513	25.1%	No	
5						Full	Lee	Otto Oswald	Registered Patent Attorney	No	2	7,671	14,494	52.9%	Yes
							Fussell	James C.	Businessperson	No	2	6,807	14,494	47.0%	No
6						Full	Swegles	Ron	Senior Community Administrator	No	2	9,576	14,158	67.6%	Yes
							Flores	Michael Anthony	Businessman/Engineer/Father	No	2	4,566	14,158	32.3%	No
7						Full	Hamilton	Melinda	High-tech Marketing Professional	No	2	8,315	14,658	56.7%	Yes
							Risch	Tim	Incumbent	Yes	2	6,326	14,658	43.2%	No
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests														
SHASTA	11/4/2003	Shasta Lake	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Goekler	Dean	Building Contractor	No	7	651	3,255	20.0%	Yes	
						Farr	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	7	560	3,255	17.2%	Yes	
						Siner	Ray	Retired Electrician	No	7	492	3,255	15.1%	Yes	
						Hurlhey	Bonnie	Homemaker	No	7	419	3,255	12.9%	No	
						Duryee	Debra	Small Business Owner	No	7	393	3,255	12.1%	No	
						Rogers	Larry	Manufacturing Department Leader	No	7	380	3,255	11.7%	No	
						Mark	Jim	Editor/Publisher	No	7	360	3,255	11.1%	No	
						SIERRA	No City Contests								
SISKIYOU	No City Contests														



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
STANISLAUS	6/3/2003	Newman	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Reed	David	Retired Field Engineer	No	2	358	537	66.7%	Yes		
						Applegate	Tom	Retired Banker	No	2	179	537	33.3%	No		
	11/4/2003	Ceres	CITY CLERK		Full	Herbert	Brenda Scudder	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,902	2,950	98.4%	Yes		
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Cannella	Anthony J.	Businessman/Professional Engineer	No	3	2,228	5,234	42.6%	Yes
								Phipps	Rob	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,958	5,234	37.4%	Yes
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Meyer	Brandy D.	Security Consultant	No	3	1,037	5,234	19.8%	No			
					Avila	Albert	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	2,870	2,926	98.1%	Yes			
			MAYOR	Full	Moore	Delinda	Council Member	No	2	1,995	3,360	59.4%	Yes			
					Lane	Ken	Ceres Businessman	No	2	1,356	3,360	40.4%	No			
			Modesto	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Dunbar	Bob	Retired School Administrator	No	3	9,630	25,419	37.9%	Yes	
							Lopez	Dave	Technical Manager	No	3	9,190	25,419	36.2%	No	
							Perine	Jeff	High School Teacher	No	3	6,564	25,419	25.8%	No	
	3	Full					Marsh	Garrard	Businessman	No	2	13,139	25,815	50.9%	Yes	
							Conrad	Bill	City Councilman/Businessman	Yes	2	12,637	25,815	49.0%	No	
	6	Full					Hawn	Brad	Engineer	No	4	16,129	25,034	64.4%	Yes	
							Hand	Todd	Businessman	No	4	3,946	25,034	15.8%	No	
							Vanden Bosch	Michael S.	Journalist	No	4	3,134	25,034	12.5%	No	
							Williams	Dale "Gene"	Retail Management	No	4	1,772	25,034	7.1%	No	
	MAYOR	Full					Finley	Bev	Retired Health Executive	No	4	11,513	27,210	42.3%	Yes	
			Ridenour	Jim	9-1-1 Emergency Consultant	No	4	10,254	27,210	37.7%	No					
Sabatino			Carmen	Mayor of Modesto	Yes	4	3,819	27,210	14.0%	No						
Grenbeaux			Steven	Teacher	No	4	1,608	27,210	5.9%	No						
SUTTER	No City Contests															
TEHAMA	No City Contests															
TRINITY	No City Contests															
TULARE	3/4/2003	Porterville	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hamilton	Cameron J.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	10	1,035	6,662	15.5%	Yes		
						Martinez	Pedro "Pete"	Businessman	No	10	907	6,662	13.6%	Yes		
						Stadtherr	Richard M.	Corporate Controller	No	10	831	6,662	12.5%	Yes		
						Joyner	Keith	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	10	802	6,662	12.0%	No		
						Haskins	Michael R.	Businessman	No	10	682	6,662	10.2%	No		
						McCracken	Pete V.	Businessman/Chef	No	10	675	6,662	10.1%	No		
						Lok	Case	Contractor/Businessman	No	10	600	6,662	9.0%	No		
						Williams	Jeremy Chad	Psychiatric Social Worker	No	10	575	6,662	8.6%	No		
						Britt	Jason T.	CalWORKs Manager	No	10	388	6,662	5.8%	No		
						Ebeling	Virgil	Maintenance Manager	No	10	151	6,662	2.3%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2003

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
TULARE (continued)	3/4/2003	Porterville	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Irish	Ronald L.	Small Business Owner	No	3	1,337	2,442	54.8%	Yes
						Rockholt	Roy	Retired Businessman	No	3	667	2,442	27.3%	No
						Belcher	Jeri	Audit Analyst	No	3	428	2,442	17.5%	No
	11/4/2003	Visalia	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Link	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	6	8,228	24,869	33.1%	Yes
						Kirkpatrick	Greg	Farmer/Farmland Conservationist	No	6	6,236	24,869	25.1%	Yes
						Vivier	Mary Louise	No Ballot Designation	No	6	4,883	24,869	19.6%	No
						Perez	Victor M.	Attorney at Law	No	6	3,738	24,869	15.0%	No
						Barker	Rusty	No Ballot Designation	No	6	879	24,869	3.5%	No
						Alkins	Michael C. "Mickey"	Printer	No	6	856	24,869	3.4%	No
TUOLUMNE	No City Contests													
VENTURA	11/4/2003	San Buenaventura	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fulton	Bill	Urban Planner/Author	No	7	8,081	35,920	22.5%	Yes
						Morehouse	Carl	Ventura City Councilmember	No	7	7,722	35,920	21.5%	Yes
						Weir	Christy A.	Editor/Writer	No	7	5,348	35,920	14.9%	Yes
						Summers	Ed	Banker/Businessman	No	7	4,926	35,920	13.7%	No
						Osborn	Mike	Service Manager/Businessman	No	7	4,387	35,920	12.2%	No
						Rencher	Brian Lee	Community Volunteer	No	7	4,350	35,920	12.1%	No
						Lehrer	Bernard	Attorney at Law	No	7	1,072	35,920	3.0%	No
YOLO	No City Contests													
YUBA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2003

		City Attorney		City Clerk		City Council		City Treasurer		Mayor		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	0.0	0	71.6	73	0.0	0	85.7	12	78.3	112
	Lose	100.0	2	100.0	12	28.4	29	100.0	13	14.3	2	21.7	31
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	12	100.0	102	100.0	13	100.0	14	100.0	143
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	0.0	0	33.3	5	31.0	113	20.0	3	17.6	6	29.7	127
	Lose	0.0	0	66.7	10	69.0	251	80.0	12	82.4	28	70.3	301
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	15	100.0	364	100.0	15	100.0	34	100.0	428
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	100.0	2	70.6	12	39.2	73	81.3	13	66.7	12	47.9	112
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	29.4	5	60.8	113	18.8	3	33.3	6	52.1	122
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	17	100.0	186	100.0	16	100.0	18	100.0	234
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	0.0	0	10.4	29	0.0	0	6.7	2	9.3	31
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	100.0	10	89.6	251	100.0	12	93.3	28	90.7	301
	Total	0.0	0	100.0	10	100.0	280	100.0	12	100.0	30	100.0	332
All Candidates	Incumbent	100.0	2	44.4	12	21.9	102	46.4	13	29.2	14	25.0	143
	Non-Incumbent	0.0	0	55.6	15	78.1	364	53.6	15	70.8	34	75.0	428
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	27	100.0	466	100.0	28	100.0	48	100.0	571