

# CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES

*2004 ELECTIONS*

---

---

## County Offices and Ballot Measures

---

---



Institute for Social Research  
Center For California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento





CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ELECTION OUTCOMES:  
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2004 ELECTIONS

COUNTY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,  
Director, Center for California Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,  
Director, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081  
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research  
California State University, Sacramento  
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101  
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....		i
2004 County, City and School District Election Dates by County .....		ix
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xi
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xii
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures by County .....	xiv
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year .....	xv
Trend Table E	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year .....	xv
Trend Table F	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2004 .....	xv
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year .....	xvi
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year .....	xvi
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year .....	xvii
2004 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2004 .....	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2004 .....	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2004 .....	7



PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES.....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2004.....	11
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2004.....	14
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2004 .....	16
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2004 .....	17
 PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES .....	 19
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2004.....	21
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2004 .....	29







# **CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, both of California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes both candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California.

Election data are collected periodically throughout the year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to subject (e.g., taxes, education, public safety, governance, etc.).

## **THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES**

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.



## **INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH**

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is an interdisciplinary center serving the research needs of federal, state and local government agencies, non-profit organizations and university administration, faculty and students. These services include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous contracts with over 40 state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

## **CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE**

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

## **HISTORICAL PATTERNS AND TRENDS**

### **BALLOT MEASURES**

#### **CANDIDATES**

### **2004 ELECTION DATA**

#### **BALLOT MEASURES**

*County Measures.*

*City Measures.*

*School District Measures.*

#### **CANDIDATE ELECTIONS**

***County Races.***

***City Races.***

***School Board Races.***



## 2004 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/13	3/2	4/6	4/13	5/4	5/25	6/4	6/8	7/27	8/3	8/13	8/24	8/31	9/14	11/2
Alameda		✓													✓
Alpine		✓													✓
Amador		✓													✓
Butte		✓													✓
Calaveras		✓													✓
Colusa		✓													✓
Contra Costa		✓		✓				✓							✓
Del Norte		✓													✓
El Dorado		✓		✓											✓
Fresno		✓													✓
Glenn		✓													✓
Humboldt		✓		✓											✓
Imperial		✓													✓
Inyo		✓													✓
Kern		✓				✓		✓					✓		✓
Kings		✓													✓
Lake		✓											✓		✓
Lassen		✓													✓
Los Angeles		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓					✓	✓
Madera		✓													✓
Marin		✓		✓											✓
Mariposa		✓													✓
Mendocino		✓											✓		✓
Merced		✓													✓
Modoc		✓													✓
Mono		✓													✓
Monterey		✓													✓
Napa		✓													✓
Nevada		✓													✓





TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT				
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate		
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	60	21	93	
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	40	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73	
	1997	342	100	60	128	37	59	99	29	56	1	0	100	45	13	69	7	2	71	31	9	81	
	1998	572	100	60	147	26	58	161	28	48	118	21	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77	
	1999	283	100	59	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	69	10	4	40	20	7	50	
	2000	559	100	59	135	24	61	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67	
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60	
	2002	657	100	65	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77	
	2003	178	100	62	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75	
	2004	712	100	62	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83	
	1996	115	20	49	4	3	50	35	30	29	35	30	54	4	3	100	7	6	14	23	20	65	
	1997	24	7	63	8	33	63	6	25	67				4	17	100				4	17	25	
	1998	121	21	59	1	1	0	32	26	38	32	26	72				4	3	25	25	21	76	
	1999	33	17	67	1	3	100	16	48	50	8	24	63							4	12	100	
	2000	115	21	49	6	5	83	52	45	29	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	88	
	2001	36	15	75	3	8	100	13	36	77	11	31	64	4	11	75	1	3	0	25	69	60	
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71	
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0							
	2004	139	20	53				59	42	44	47	33	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56	
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	48	41	94	
	1996	371	65	58	10	3	30	98	26	43	120	32	58	25	7	76	11	3	55	92	25	75	
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50				28	19	54	7	49	71	27	19	89	
	1998	287	50	60	9	3	78	102	36	48	79	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77	
	1999	119	42	53	4	3	75	27	23	52	48	40	48	8	67	100	10	8	40	16	13	38	
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	11	4	64	71	24	70	
	2001	94	40	68	8	9	63	32	34	72	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63	
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77	
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75	
	2004	338	47	59	7	2	43	148	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	87	74	45	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	87	15	61	50	57	66	8	9	63	21	24	62	4	5	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	1	1	100	13	7	92						
	1998	164	29	62	137	84	57	9	5	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	100	68	51	4	3	75	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	75	228	91	76	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	120	48	53	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0			
	1996	573	100	57	94	16	67	210	37	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	71	12	51	6	1	50			
	1997	342	100	60	176	51	63	43	13	67	20	6	70	12	4	42	15	4	60	34	10	59	4	1	50			
	1998	572	100	60	164	29	62	125	22	65	46	8	70	37	6	43	32	6	59	26	5	77	23	4	70			
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	13	5	54	8	3	88			
	2000	559	100	59	154	28	62	141	25	63	73	13	55	32	6	50	38	7	68	20	4	55	21	4	43	15	3	73
	2001	233	100	70	106	45	72	45	19	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	16	7	50	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	75	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	35	5	11	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	234	33	72	143	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	3	76	110	15	47
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0			
	1996	115	20	49	1	1	100	45	39	64	11	10	38	8	7	50	15	13	13	12	10	42	3	3	100			
	1997	24	7	63	2	8	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	3	13	100	1	4	100			
	1998	121	21	59				24	20	75	13	11	62	14	12	36	12	10	42	15	12	67	16	13	75			
	1999	33	17	67				5	15	80				3	9	50	3	9	67	3	9	0	8	24	88			
	2000	115	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	14	12	36	8	7	63	16	14	50	9	8	78
	2001	36	15	75	2	6	100	12	33	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	5	14	80	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	100	6	21	17
	2004	139	20	53	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	15	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	20	14	75	17	12	41
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	3	3	100	56	47	86	11	9	64	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0			
	1996	371	65	58	3	1	67	160	43	68	43	12	63	30	8	53	23	6	52	58	16	53	3	1	0			
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	17	12	65	10	7	50	10	7	40	31	22	55	3	2	33			
	1998	287	50	60				101	35	62	33	11	73	23	8	48	21	7	67	10	3	100	7	2	57			
	1999	119	42	53				35	29	69	29	24	41	11	9	64	1	1	100	10	8	70						
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	23	8	57	22	7	86	12	4	50	8	3	63	2	1	50
	2001	94	40	68	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	12	13	58	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	338	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	4	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	5	1	80	91	27	47

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	117	100	51																				
	1996	87	15	61	80	92	66	5	6	0			1	1	0			1	1	0							
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																				
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40																	
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																	
	2000	146	26	63	142	97	63																	3	2	100	
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0					2	2	0										
	2002	250	38	75	249	100	0																		1	0	0
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																				
	2004	235	33	73	229	97	72	4	2	100															2	1	100

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			1998-2004		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
Butte							1	100	100												1	1	100	
Contra Costa	1	33	100				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	11	16	69
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	0	2	20	100	18	44	41
Fresno	1	50	100																		1	2	50	
Inyo							1	50	100												1	4	25	
Humboldt																			1	25	100	1	2	50
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75							3	100	33	15	15	100
Lake																			1	100	0	1	1	100
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100	6	9	67
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100				23	24	96
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				1	50	100	3	4	75
Monterey													1	100	0							1	1	100
Nevada							1	100	100												1	1	100	
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100				2	2	100
Placer	1	33	100																		1	3	33	
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100				4	5	80
Riverside				3	100	33				1	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	1	100	100	9	10	90
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33													5	9	56
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	20	0	8	12	67
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33	19	32	59
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				4	67	50	11	16	69
San Mateo																			1	14	100	1	7	14
Santa Barbara										1	100	0										1	1	100
Shasta							1	100	0													1	1	100
Siskiyou							2	100	100										5	83	0	7	8	88
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100				3	7	43
Tulare																			1	100	100	1	1	100
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0													2	4	50
Yuba							2	67	50										1	25	0	3	7	43
Total for CSD/CSA Measures Over All Counties	26	21	54	14	42	71	40	35	48	21	58	81	18	18	44	13	46	38	29	20	48	161	249	65

**TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR**

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54	19	16	58													7	6	14
1999	14	42	71	11	33	64									3	9	100				
2000	40	35	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100		2	2	100
2001	21	58	81	11	31	82	2	6	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	6	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27													2	7	100
2004	29	20	48	23	16	39				1	1	100 (1)			2	1	100		3	2	67

**TREND TABLE E NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR**

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUES			
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	
1998	26	21	54				12	10	58				3	2	33	2	2	50				6	5	17				
1999	14	42	71				2	6	50				3	9	0	5	15	100										
2000	40	35	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100	
2001	21	58	81				6	17	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	4	11	75	4	11	75	2	6	100	
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0				
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17	
2004	29	20	48				17	12	47	1	1	0				3	2	33	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100	

**TREND TABLE F COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2004**

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate
1998	121	95	60	26	54	13	9	19	58
1999	33	19	64	14	71	5	20	11	64
2000	115	75	50	40	48	24	30	28	29
2001	36	15	67	21	81	2	50	11	82
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	142	113	56	29	48	36	47	23	39

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	754	1,627
1996	5,530	822	2,160	2,548
1997	2,498	25	748	1,729
1998	5,502	1,167	1,903	2,432
1999	2,293	138	738	1,417
2000	5,153	894	2,200	2,059
2001	2,525	189	702	1,634
2002	6,072	1,412	2,210	2,450
2003	2,106	213	571	1,322
2004	5,155	878	2,232	2,045
Total	39,215	5,738	14,218	19,263

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,530	822	574	70	*	*
1997	2,498	25	21	84	*	*
1998	5,502	1,167	362	31	22	2
1999	2,293	138	5	4	109	79
2000	5,153	894	501	56	174	19
2001	2,525	189	0	0	186	98
2002	6,072	1,412	362	26	266	19
2003	2,106	213	10	5	181	85
2004	5,155	878	523	60	235	27
TOTAL	39,215	5,738	2,358	41	1,173	20

\*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		% OF ALL CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	26	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	27	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	26	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	32	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	78	78
	1996	79	69	75	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	85	86	82	83
	1999	77	0	80	75
	2000	79	87	80	75
	2001	77	0	79	76
	2002	82	78	79	79
	2003	76	0	72	77
	2004	80	78	81	76
PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	49	0	39	50
	1996	47	43	42	47
	1997	49	0	45	49
	1998	55	56	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	51
	2000	52	67	51	50
	2001	50	0	51	49
	2002	56	56	49	56
	2003	50	0	39	54
	2004	55	55	51	57





---

2004 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:  
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR  
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT  
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

---





TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION STATEMENT		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	11	10	5	1	6	1			2	3			1	0	5	0			30	15	45
Amador	1	0																	1	0	1
Butte	2	1	1	0	5	1									2	1			10	3	13
Calaveras	0	1	2	2															2	3	5
Colusa															0	1			0	1	1
Contra Costa	9	4	2	4	1	0	2	0					1	0	4	1			19	9	28
Del Norte	0	2	0	1															0	3	3
El Dorado	3	2	0	1	5	1	1	0	0	1									9	5	14
Fresno	2	6	8	2	1	2													11	10	21
Humboldt	4	3	2	0							0	1			1	2			7	6	13
Imperial	0	2	3	1															3	3	6
Inyo	0	2																	0	2	2
Kern	2	6	10	0			1	1											13	7	20
Kings			7	1			1	0											8	1	9
Lake	1	1	1	0			1	0											3	1	4
Lassen	2	1	1	2															3	3	6
Los Angeles	6	17	16	1	2	0	0	1	0	1					5	4			29	24	53
Madera	0	2	1	1			1	0							1	0			3	3	6
Marin	9	2	3	0									1	0	3	0			16	2	18
Mariposa	0	2																	0	2	2
Mendocino	3	3	2	0											2	0			7	3	10
Merced	3	2	0	3											4	1			7	6	13
Modoc			1	0															1	0	1
Mono			1	1															1	1	2
Monterey	1	10	3	1											1	1			5	12	17
Napa	1	0													2	6			3	6	9
Nevada			2	1															2	1	3
Orange	1	3	2	2	3	2							1	0	1	4			8	11	19

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION STATEMENT		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Placer	0	1	2	1											1	0			3	2	5
Riverside	5	4	5	2	12	3	1	1	0	3					3	3			26	16	42
Sacramento	2	1	3	3	1	0					1	0	1	0	1	0			9	4	13
San Benito	1	2	0	2											1	1			2	5	7
San Bernardino	4	1	4	0	2	0					3	0			4	0			17	1	18
San Diego	3	7	4	2	7	0	1	0	1	2					5	4			21	15	36
San Francisco	1	2			0	1									17	3	1	0	19	6	25
San Joaquin	1	2	5	1	1	0									3	4			10	7	17
San Luis Obispo	2	2	2	0									1	0	2	4			7	6	13
San Mateo	9	3	1	0	3	1			1	0					3	1			17	5	22
Santa Barbara			3	1										1	0	1	6		5	7	12
Santa Clara	5	6	6	0	2	2	1	0						2	0	4	2		20	10	30
Santa Cruz	2	4	3	0	4	0	1	0						1	0	1	0		12	4	16
Shasta			2	0															2	0	2
Siskiyou	1	7																	1	7	8
Solano	1	1	2	1			1	1							2	1			6	4	10
Sonoma	9	3	2	0			1	0			0	2			3	1			15	6	21
Stanislaus	2	2	3	1			1	0							3	0			9	3	12
Sutter			2	2			1	0											3	2	5
Tehama	0	2			0	1	0	1											0	4	4
Tulare	4	0	5	3	1	0					4	0			1	0			15	3	18
Tuolumne	1	1	2	0															3	1	4
Ventura	3	3	4	0			0	1							2	0			9	4	13
Yolo	2	0	2	0											4	1			8	1	9
Yuba	2	1			1	0	1	1											4	2	6
All Counties	121	137	135	44	57	15	16	7	4	10	8	3	10	0	92	52	1	0	444	268	712

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	8	2	3	0	4	2	8	1			1	0	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	3	1	3	30	15	45
Amador																			1	0			1	0	1
Butte	2	0	1	0			5	1									1	0	1	1	0	1	10	3	13
Calaveras	2	2																	0	1			2	3	5
Colusa																	0	1					0	1	1
Contra Costa	5	4	2	1	0	1	3	0			2	1	0	1			1	0	6	1			19	9	28
Del Norte	0	1											0	1					0	1			0	3	3
El Dorado	0	3	0	1			5	1					1	0					2	0	1	0	9	5	14
Fresno	8	2	1	0			0	2					2	0					0	5	0	1	11	10	21
Humboldt	2	1			2	0	1	2											2	2	0	1	7	6	13
Imperial	3	1			0	1													0	1			3	3	6
Inyo																			0	2			0	2	2
Kern	10	0	1	0	1	0	0	1			0	1	0	1			0	1	1	3			13	7	20
Kings	7	1					1	0															8	1	9
Lake	1	0									0	1					2	0					3	1	4
Lassen	1	2			1	0							0	1					1	0			3	3	6
Los Angeles	17	3	5	2	0	5	2	2					0	11			1	0	4	0	0	1	29	24	53
Madera	2	1			1	0													0	2			3	3	6
Marin	7	1	1	0	1	1					2	0							4	0	1	0	16	2	18
Mariposa	0	2																					0	2	2
Mendocino	2	1			2	2	1	0			1	0									1	0	7	3	10
Merced	1	3			0	1	5	1											1	1			7	6	13
Modoc	1	0																					1	0	1
Mono	1	1																					1	1	2
Monterey	3	2	0	1	0	1													2	8			5	12	17
Napa			0	6			1	0			1	0							1	0			3	6	9
Nevada	2	1																					2	1	3

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Orange	2	2	1	1			3	4									1	0	1	3	0	1	8	11	19
Placer	3	2																					3	2	5
Riverside	5	2	0	1	1	2	16	6			1	0	1	0			0	1	2	3	0	1	26	16	42
Sacramento	3	3	1	0			1	0			1	0	1	0	1	0			1	1			9	4	13
San Benito	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0					1	0					0	1			2	5	7
San Bernardino	4	0	2	0	1	1	7	0			1	0							2	0			17	1	18
San Diego	2	2	2	6	5	2	10	0			2	0	0	2					0	3			21	15	36
San Francisco	1	1					10	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	19	6	25
San Joaquin	5	1	3	4	1	1	1	0											0	1			10	7	17
San Luis Obispo	2	0			1	1	2	3					0	1					2	0	0	1	7	6	13
San Mateo	5	0	1	1	1	0	3	1			2	0	3	1			2	0	0	2			17	5	22
Santa Barbara	3	1					1	4	0	1									1	1			5	7	12
Santa Clara	13	5	2	0			2	4			1	0					1	0	1	1			20	10	30
Santa Cruz	3	0			0	1	4	0			0	1			1	0	1	0	3	2			12	4	16
Shasta	2	0																					2	0	2
Siskiyou					0	4							0	1			0	1	1	1			1	7	8
Solano	2	2	1	1			2	0			0	1							1	0			6	4	10
Sonoma	7	3	0	1	3	0	1	2			2	0			1	0			1	0			15	6	21
Stanislaus	4	1	1	0			1	0					1	0			1	0	1	2			9	3	12
Sutter	2	2	1	0																			3	2	5
Tehama					0	1	0	1											0	2			0	4	4
Tulare	5	3			1	0	5	0											3	0			15	3	18
Tuolumne	2	0																	1	1			3	1	4
Ventura	6	0	1	1							0	1			1	0			1	2			9	4	13
Yolo	2	0					2	1							1	0	1	0	2	0			8	1	9
Yuba					0	1	1	0			1	0	1	1					1	0			4	2	6
All Counties	168	66	30	28	26	29	105	38	1	1	19	6	14	23	8	1	16	7	52	58	5	11	444	268	712



TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2004

		Director, CSD*		County Supervisor		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
		Incumbent Candidates	Win	86.4	57	77.6	114	100.0	20	81.0	414	93.9	169	76.1	572
	Lose	13.6	9	22.4	33	0.0	0	19.0	97	6.1	11	23.9	180	19.7	330
	Total	100.0	66	100.0	147	100.0	20	100.0	511	100.0	180	100.0	752	100.0	1676
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	47.3	80	25.0	94	21.0	21	30.6	397	36.0	87	33.5	433	32.0	1112
	Lose	52.7	89	75.0	282	79.0	79	69.4	902	64.0	155	66.5	860	68.0	2367
	Total	100.0	169	100.0	376	100.0	100	100.0	1299	100.0	242	100.0	1293	100.0	3479
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	41.6	57	54.8	114	48.8	20	51.0	414	66.0	169	56.9	572	54.8	1346
	Non-Incumbent	58.4	80	45.2	94	51.2	21	49.0	397	34.0	87	43.1	433	45.2	1112
	Total	100.0	137	100.0	208	100.0	41	100.0	811	100.0	256	100.0	1005	100.0	2458
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	9.2	9	10.5	33	0.0	0	9.7	97	6.6	11	17.3	180	12.2	330
	Non-Incumbent	90.8	89	89.5	282	100.0	79	90.3	902	93.4	155	82.7	860	87.8	2367
	Total	100.0	98	100.0	315	100.0	79	100.0	999	100.0	166	100.0	1040	100.0	2697
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.1	66	28.1	147	16.7	20	28.2	511	42.7	180	36.8	752	32.5	1676
	Non-Incumbent	71.9	169	71.9	376	83.3	100	71.8	1299	57.3	242	63.2	1293	67.5	3479
	Total	100.0	235	100.0	523	100.0	120	100.0	1810	100.0	422	100.0	2045	100.0	5155

\*Directors of Community Service Districts, Community Service Areas, and Community and County Councils



---

---

PART 1  
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES  
AND TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES

---

---



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	3/2/2004	Measure 2 <sup>1</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	164,497	295,595	55.6%	Pass
		Measure A	Sales Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	214,449	301,764	71.1%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
ALPINE	No County Measures							
AMADOR	No County Measures							
BUTTE	11/2/2004	Measure D	Ordinance	Other	35,331	88,485	39.9%	Fail
CALAVERAS	11/2/2004	Measure D	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	8,849	21,192	41.8%	Fail
COLUSA	11/2/2004	Measure C	Ordinance	General Services	2,668	5,854	45.6%	Fail
CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	Measure L	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	108,770	234,825	46.3%	Fail
		Measure M	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	121,167	235,933	51.4%	Pass
		Measure O	Property Tax	Safety: Police	1,095	2,160	50.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure P	Gann Limit	Revenues	3,444	4,683	73.5%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure Y	Ordinance	Transport: Roads	490	623	78.7%	Pass
DEL NORTE	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	5,877	8,905	66.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,225	8,874	25.1%	Fail
EL DORADO	3/2/2004	Measure G	Initiative	Land Use	14,618	49,488	29.5%	Fail
		Measure H	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	30,018	50,181	59.8%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Advisory	Other	334	498	67.1%	Pass
		Measure F	Property Tax	Facilities: Libraries	11,280	14,743	76.5%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	35,064	70,415	49.8%	Fail
		Measure U	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	34,984	66,693	52.5%	Pass
		Measure V	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	48,858	67,940	71.9%	Pass
		Measure X	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	35,470	68,894	51.5%	Pass
		Measure Y	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	36,051	62,907	57.3%	Pass
		Measure Z	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	42,456	68,501	62.0%	Pass
FRESNO	11/2/2004	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	75,602	196,713	38.4%	Fail
		Measure B	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	159,279	223,224	71.4%	Pass
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	59,453	197,705	30.1%	Fail
		Measure J	Transient Occupancy Tax	Other	96,723	218,912	44.2%	Fail
		Measure Z	Sales Tax	Facilities: Zoos	171,035	232,957	73.4%	Pass
GLENN	No County Measures							
HUMBOLDT	3/2/2004	Measure I	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	548	635	86.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Recall F	Recall	Governance: Recall	20,517	53,197	38.6%	Fail
	11/2/2004	Measure L	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	18,684	62,229	30.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Ordinance	Other	21,444	60,702	35.3%	Fail

<sup>T</sup>Indicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. <sup>F</sup>Indicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

<sup>1</sup>Regional measure. Results for Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE	TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
IMPERIAL	No County Measures								
INYO	11/2/2004	Measure O	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		3,755	8,241	45.6%	Fail
		Measure U	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		2,990	8,147	36.7%	Fail
KERN	3/2/2004	Measure H	Property Tax	Facilities: Parks/Recreation		823	2,331	35.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure I	Property Tax	Transport		762	2,316	32.9%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
	8/31/2004	Measure M	Property Tax	Safety: Police		568	703	80.8%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
KINGS	3/2/2004	Measure A	Advisory	Governance: Organization		11,227	16,998	66.0%	Pass
LAKE	8/31/2004	Measure E	Property Tax	Transport: Roads		92	237	38.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
LASSEN	11/2/2004	Measure I	Property Tax	Safety: Fire		510	778	65.6%	Pass
LOS ANGELES	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Safety: Police		1,652,046	2,745,455	60.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
MADERA	No County Measures								
MARIN	3/2/2004	Measure 2 <sup>2</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit		51,285	79,772	64.3%	Pass
		Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		60,757	76,787	79.1%	Pass
		Measure B	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		58,530	77,500	75.5%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Transport		89,555	125,742	71.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Ordinance	Other		75,313	121,646	61.9%	Pass
MARIPOSA	11/2/2004	Measure H	Sales Tax	Education		4,879	7,802	62.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
MENDOCINO	3/2/2004	Measure H	Ordinance	Other		15,746	27,627	57.0%	Pass
	8/31/2004	Measure K	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Fire		686	953	72.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
MERCED	No County Measures								
MODOC	No County Measures								
MONO	No County Measures								
MONTEREY	No County Measures								
NAPA	3/2/2004	Measure O	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		9,532	35,241	27.0%	Fail
		Measure P	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		12,051	35,041	34.4%	Fail
		Measure Q	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		13,840	34,548	40.1%	Fail
		Measure R	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		15,174	34,205	44.4%	Fail
		Measure S	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		12,891	34,028	37.9%	Fail
	11/2/2004	Measure T	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning		22,956	51,524	44.6%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure V	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation		32,351	51,777	62.5%	Pass
		Measure W	Ordinance	Transport: Roads		42,041	54,205	77.6%	Pass
NEVADA	No County Measures								
ORANGE	No County Measures								
PLACER	No County Measures								

<sup>2</sup>Regional measure. Results for Alameda, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
PLUMAS	No County Measures							
RIVERSIDE	8/31/2004	Measure L	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	213	279	76.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SACRAMENTO	11/2/2004	Measure A	Utility Tax	Transport: Roads	331,255	439,997	75.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure K	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	231,926	415,294	55.8%	Pass
SAN BENITO	3/2/2004	Measure G	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	3,983	12,987	30.7%	Fail
SAN BERNARDINO	3/2/2004	Measure Z	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	545	969	56.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
	11/2/2004	Measure I	Sales Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	394,269	492,678	80.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SAN DIEGO	3/2/2004	Measure L	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	93	128	72.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	172	398	43.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure N	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	128	336	38.1%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure A	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	210,403	589,863	35.7%	Fail
		Measure B	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	111,008	221,482	50.1%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Transport	682,597	1,018,628	67.0%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Initiative	Land Use: Zoning	372,021	1,021,936	36.4%	Fail
		Measure C	Advisory	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	134,783	166,384	81.0%	Pass
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance	337,887	409,256	82.6%	Pass
		Measure 2 <sup>3</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	115,891	169,105	68.5%	Pass
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	107,690	172,633	62.4%	Pass
		Measure B	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	114,209	168,627	67.7%	Pass
		Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	105,005	169,778	61.8%	Pass
		Measure D	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	113,646	174,645	65.1%	Pass
		Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	87,704	167,940	52.2%	Pass
		Measure F	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	103,327	163,594	63.2%	Pass
		Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	99,726	169,315	58.9%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Education	123,103	173,940	70.8%	Pass
		Measure I	Ordinance	Environment: Regulation	118,074	174,938	67.5%	Pass
		Measure J	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	121,352	173,380	70.0%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	209,259	325,965	64.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Ordinance	Facilities: Public Works	181,658	316,554	57.4%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	166,651	295,861	56.3%	Pass
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	118,123	280,508	42.1%	Fail
		Measure E	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	210,091	318,284	66.0%	Pass

<sup>3</sup>Regional measure. Results for Alameda, Marin, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano counties are reported separately.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SAN FRANCISCO (continued)	11/2/2004	Measure F	Ordinance	Education	155,643	320,567	48.6%	Fail
		Measure G	Ordinance	General Services: Social/Welfare	201,674	301,880	66.8%	Pass
		Measure H	Ordinance	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	170,217	312,121	54.5%	Pass
		Measure I	Ordinance	Governance	154,022	296,672	51.9%	Pass
		Measure J	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	182,477	314,006	58.1%	Pass
		Measure K	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	135,068	297,978	45.3%	Fail
		Measure L	Transient Occupancy Tax	Other	78,157	307,644	25.4%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure N	Policy/Position	Other	195,257	308,310	63.3%	Pass
Measure O	Ordinance	General Services: Social/Welfare	181,340	303,984	59.7%	Pass		
SAN JOAQUIN	No County Measures							
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5/4/2004	Measure H-04	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	74	101	73.3%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure G-04	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	2,294	4,394	52.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
	11/2/2004	Measure M-04	Ordinance	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	253	1,731	14.6%	Fail
		Measure N-04	Gann Limit	Revenues	849	1,441	58.9%	Pass
		Measure L-04	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	57,738	120,310	48.0%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure Q-04	Ordinance	Other	49,910	121,356	41.1%	Fail
SAN MATEO	3/2/2004	Measure 2 <sup>4</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	81,381	147,367	55.2%	Pass
		Measure A	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,064	1,500	70.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Transport	186,480	246,453	75.7%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	164,843	231,573	71.2%	Pass
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	135,545	221,537	61.2%	Pass
		Measure D	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	123,730	206,725	59.9%	Pass
		Measure E	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	104,609	218,024	48.0%	Fail
		SANTA BARBARA No County Measures						
SANTA CLARA	3/2/2004	Measure 2 <sup>5</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	178,540	299,771	59.6%	Pass
		Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	177,293	293,335	60.4%	Pass
	11/2/2004	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	237,162	484,209	49.0%	Fail
		Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	199,467	472,290	42.2%	Fail
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	204,377	469,875	43.5%	Fail
SANTA CRUZ	11/2/2004	Measure J	Sales Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	50,024	115,652	43.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure K	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Repeal/Reduction/Limit	17,810	60,240	29.6%	Fail
SHASTA	No County Measures							
SIERRA	No County Measures							

<sup>4</sup>Regional measure. Results for Alameda, Marin, San Francisco, Santa Clara and Solano counties are reported separately.

<sup>5</sup>Regional measure. Results for Alameda, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo and Solano counties are reported separately.



TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure N	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	373	949	39.3%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure P	Property Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	220	569	38.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure Q	Property Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	188	567	33.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure R	Property Tax	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	127	564	22.5%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure S	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	165	566	29.2%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,928	11,574	42.6%	Fail
SOLANO	3/2/2004	Measure 2 <sup>6</sup>	Ordinance	Transport: Mass Transit	30,980	75,564	41.0%	Fail
SONOMA	11/2/2004	Measure O	Sales Tax	Safety	41,315	58,828	70.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure M	Sales Tax	Transport	140,557	209,228	67.2%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
		Measure N	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	34,462	66,639	51.7%	Pass
STANISLAUS	3/2/2004	Measure P	Sales Tax	Facilities: Libraries	53,621	74,593	71.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>
SUTTER	11/2/2004	Measure M	Advisory	Land Use: Zoning	16,397	27,754	59.1%	Pass
TEHAMA	11/2/2004	Measure A	Advisory	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	10,811	21,671	49.9%	Fail
		Measure B	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	6,041	22,230	27.2%	Fail
		Measure C	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	8,879	21,124	42.0%	Fail
TRINITY	No County Measures							
TULARE	11/2/2004	Measure Y	Ordinance	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	325	369	88.1%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	11/2/2004	Measure J	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	10,409	22,890	45.5%	Fail
VENTURA	11/2/2004	Measure A	Sales Tax	Land Use: Open Space	134,784	276,587	48.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure B	Sales Tax	Transport	115,251	276,219	41.7%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
YOLO	No County Measures							
YUBA	3/2/2004	Measure A	Advisory	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	5,510	10,955	50.3%	Pass
		Measure Z	Advisory	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	5,217	10,930	47.7%	Fail
	11/2/2004	Measure B	Property Tax	Safety: Fire	1,062	2,268	46.8%	Fail <sup>T</sup>
		Measure D	Development Tax	Transport: Roads	10,702	15,991	66.9%	Pass <sup>T</sup>

<sup>6</sup>Regional measure. Results for Alameda, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Clara counties are reported separately.

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

ALAMEDA	3/2/2004	Measure 2	Pass
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Relief Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART. (B) New transbay rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. (C) Comprehensive Regional Express bus network. (D) New expanded ferry service. (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail. (2) Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
ALAMEDA	3/2/2004	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To provide and maintain trauma and emergency medical services throughout Alameda County and to provide primary, preventative and mental health services to indigent, low income and uninsured children, families and seniors, to retain qualified nurses and health care professionals and to prevent closure of county clinics and the Alameda County Medical Center, shall Alameda County implement a half-cent transaction and use tax, with an annual fiscal oversight and review?			
BUTTE	11/2/2004	Measure D	Fail
Shall the "County Ordinance Prohibiting Growing of Genetically Engineered Organisms" be adopted?			
CALAVERAS	11/2/2004	Measure D	Fail
Shall the County Transient Occupancy Tax Ordinance be amended effective January 1, 2005 to increase the rate of tax from six percent (6%) to ten percent (10%)?			
COLUSA	11/2/2004	Measure C	Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 682 of the Colusa County Board of Supervisors be approved to provide needed funding for the general operations of the County Departments for the purpose of maintaining existing services and perhaps improve services to the residents of the County? Such revenues shall be used to supplement existing general funds and be allocated by the Board to the various departments as necessary including but not limited to Public Safety, Public Works and Roads, and Libraries, by levying a tax at the rate of one-half of one percent (.50%) for a maximum of three years.			
CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	Measure L	Fail
Shall the Large-Scale Retail Businesses Ordinance, Contra Costa County Ordinance No. 2003-18, be adopted?			
CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	Measure M	Pass
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Relief Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART; (B) New transbay commuter rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; (C) Comprehensive Regional Express bus network; (D) New expanded ferry service; (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail; Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	Measure O	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Contra Costa County Ordinance 2003-31 be approved to authorize a special tax increase on property in County Service Area P-2 (Zone A), to a maximum of \$270 per parcel for residential property (single and multiple), a maximum of \$1620 per parcel for commercial/industrial/institutional property, and a maximum of \$8100 per parcel for commercial/theater property, to provide additional funding for police protection service?			
CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	Measure P	Pass
Shall the appropriations limit under California Article XIII-B for County Service Area R-7A (Alamo Parks and Recreation) be increased to \$675,000 and adjusted for changes in the cost-of-living and population, with the increase effective for the fiscal years 2002/2003 through 2005/2006 (inclusive) to provide for the expenditure of funds that will be available to the Service Area during the stated fiscal years?			
CONTRA COSTA	11/2/2004	Measure Y	Pass
Shall the Diablo Community Service District increase its powers and purposes to include the constructing , opening, widening, extending, straightening, surfacing, and maintaining, in whole or in part, of any street in the district, subject to the consent of the governing body of the county or city in which the improvement is to be made?			
DEL NORTE	11/2/2004	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the Del Norte County public library transactions and use tax ordinance, chapter 3.05 of the Del Norte ordinance code, be approved?			
DEL NORTE	11/2/2004	Measure C	Fail
Shall the County of Del Norte impose a temporary .50% general purpose transactions and use tax for the period of four years, the proceeds of which would be placed in the County's general fund and used for general governmental purposes?			
EL DORADO	3/2/2004	Measure G	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall Measure G be approved, adopting the general plan proposed in the Measure as the official General Plan for the County of El Dorado, and specifying those aspects of the General Plan that can be amended by the Board of Supervisors without voter approval?			
EL DORADO	3/2/2004	Measure H	Pass



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

To help ensure survival of the Chaffee Zoo by providing necessary funding to repair and restore the zoo, bring back large animal exhibits, further revitalize the zoo, and preserve the zoo's Species Survival Plan and ongoing Education Program, shall Fresno County voters approve a one-tenth of one percent sales tax for ten years with all net proceeds dedicated exclusively to the Chaffee Zoo?

HUMBOLDT 3/2/2004 Measure I Pass (2/3 required)  
 Shall a special tax be levied for fire protection and emergency medical services by the Fieldbrook Community Services District of \$42.00 per assessor's parcel in Zone Two (2) in the District, such tax to continue for ten (10) years?

HUMBOLDT 3/2/2004 Recall F Fail  
 Shall Paul Gallegos be recalled (removed) from office of District Attorney?

HUMBOLDT 11/2/2004 Measure L Fail (2/3 required)  
 Shall the Board of Supervisors enact a temporary one percent sales tax, pursuant to County Ordinance No. 2323 and Resolution No. 04-51, a general tax, with these restrictions: The sales tax can only be used to pay for local services; The tax will terminate on June 30, 2009; and this Board of Supervisors will immediately repeal the tax if the State attempts to redirect or take the sales tax revenue away from Humboldt County.

HUMBOLDT 11/2/2004 Measure M Fail  
 Shall the Humboldt County ordinance titled "Ordinance Prohibiting Growing of Genetically Modified Organisms" be approved?

INYO 11/2/2004 Measure O Fail  
 Shall the County ordinance entitled "The Tourists' Fair Share Act" which increases the transient occupancy tax to 12% and expands it to cover spaces at campgrounds and recreational vehicle parks, be approved?

INYO 11/2/2004 Measure U Fail  
 Shall the County ordinance increasing the transactions and use tax by one-half of one percent (0.50%) for a period of six (6) years, be approved?

KERN 3/2/2004 Measure H Fail (2/3 required)  
 Shall Ordinance No. 2004-02 of the Rosamond Community Services District be adopted and approved to establish and authorize the levying of a special tax in the amount of \$45.00 per fiscal year for each developed parcel and for each mobile home listed in Kern County Tax Roll 6 and \$20.00 per fiscal year for each undeveloped parcel that are within Rosamond Community Services District boundaries to finance public parks and recreation?

KERN 3/2/2004 Measure I Fail (2/3 required)  
 Shall Ordinance No. 2004-03 of the Rosamond Community Services District be adopted to authorize the levying of a special tax in the amount of \$24.00 per fiscal year for each parcel specified in the Ordinance to finance street lighting and shall the special tax be increased on July 1 of each fiscal year by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside area not to exceed two percent (2%) each year?

KERN 8/31/2004 Measure M Pass (2/3 required)  
 Shall Stallion Springs Community Services District ("District") ordinance no. 134 "A" be approved and become effective which provides for: (1) levying a special tax in the amount of \$60 per parcel per year for necessary police expenses, effective the fiscal year when funding for police operations drops \$50,000 or more and (2) only the extent such special tax becomes effective increasing the District's appropriations limits in accordance with article XIII B of the California Constitution?

KINGS 3/2/2004 Measure A Pass  
 Shall the Kings County Board of Supervisors establish by ordinance the office of Kings County Director of Finance and consolidate therewith the offices of the County Auditor/Controller and County Treasure/Tax Collector?

LAKE 8/31/2004 Measure E Fail (2/3 required)  
 Shall a special annual tax of \$160 per year per single parcel be imposed on all the parcels within County Service Area No. 2 (Spring Valley Lakes) for a period of twelve (12) years commencing with fiscal year 2004-2005 for the purpose of improvements to existing paved roads, which shall be effective for the fiscal year 2004-2005?

LASSEN 11/2/2004 Measure I Pass  
 To maintain existing fire service programs, improve the quality of said services, and to provide funds to modernize facilities related to said services, shall the Westwood Community Services District levy a parcel tax in the amount of \$100 to be levied annually on all taxable parcels commencing July 1, 2005 and shall said tax be subject to any annual inflation adjustment not to exceed 5%?

LOS ANGELES 11/2/2004 Measure A Fail (2/3 required)  
 To provide expanded law enforcement in Los Angeles County and each of its cities, additional deputy sheriffs and city police officers, expanded community policing, strengthened youth and adult crime prevention programs, improved emergency and terrorism response capability, upgraded emergency communications systems, strengthened Sheriff's operations in the jails, and related justice services, shall the Countywide sales tax be increased by one-half cent, subject to audit and Oversight Committee review?

MARIN 3/2/2004 Measure 2 Pass



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

Shall Ordinance No. 04-05 be adopted? (Amends Napa County General Plan and Zoning Ordinance (i) creating a "Rural Dining" Zoning District, (ii) outlining uses allowed with and without use permits, (iii) rezoning the parcel at 7787 St Helena Highway (APN 027-300-021) from Agricultural Preserve to Rural Dining, and (iv) requiring a vote of the people to rezone additional parcels to the rural Dining Zoning District)

NAPA 11/2/2004 Measure V Pass  
Shall Ordinance No. 04-06 take effect? (Ordinance 04-06, if a majority of the voters approve it, will take effect and increase the Transient Occupancy Tax (Hotel Tax) applicable in the unincorporated area from 10 1/2% to 12% and require the proceeds of this Hotel Tax increase to be used for general public purposes).

NAPA 11/2/2004 Measure W Pass  
Do you support widening Jamieson Canyon Road (Highway 12, from I-80 to Highway 29) from two lanes to four lanes to improve traffic capacity using a combination of state, federal and local dollars?

RIVERSIDE 8/31/2004 Measure L Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the County of Riverside be authorized to establish a Special Tax of \$50.00 per parcel, per year on all parcels within CSA 38 (Pine Cove) to finance the extended service of voluntary firefighters and enhanced ambulance service? This Special Tax will be subject to an annual adjustment that is the greater of two percent (2%) or the cumulative percentage increase, if any in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers ("CPI-U") for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County California Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area ("Index") published by the Bureau of Land Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

SACRAMENTO 11/2/2004 Measure A Pass (2/3 required)  
To relieve traffic congestion, improve safety, and match state/federal funds by: Improving I-5, I-80, US 50, SR 99; Constructing a new road connecting I-5/SR 99/US 50; Maintaining/improving local roads; Increasing transit for seniors and disabled persons; Expanding/planning for light rail and commuter rail; Shall Sacramento County voters continue the existing half-cent transportation sales tax for thirty years, including creating an Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee to conduct audits ensuring all voter mandates are met?

SACRAMENTO 11/2/2004 Measure K Pass  
Do the qualified electors of the County of Sacramento approve the development, construction, or acquisition of affordable housing within the following jurisdictions for households such as seniors, working families, and the disabled, referred in statute as low rent housing, not to exceed: 3,000 dwelling units in the City of Sacramento, 500 dwelling units in each of the Cities of Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, Folsom, Galt, and Rancho Cordova; and 4,000 dwelling units in the County unincorporated area over the next 20 years?

SAN BENITO 3/2/2004 Measure G Fail  
Shall the Growth Control Ordinance, Ordinance Number 760, be adopted?

SAN BERNARDINO 3/2/2004 Measure Z Fail (2/3 required)  
Shall a limited 4 year general tax terminating June 30, 2007 in the amount of \$49.50 per year per parcel, both developed and undeveloped within the Morongo Valley Community Services District, be authorized to fund general governmental purposes, allowing for full-time fire protection and emergency response services?

SAN BERNARDINO 11/2/2004 Measure I Pass (2/3 required)  
Local Transportation Improvement Program. To relieve traffic congestion, improve safety and match state/federal transportation funds for: Widening/Improving I-10, I-15, I-210, SR-60, SR-62, SR-18, US-395; Improving freeway interchanges countywide; Improving local streets and roads; Expanding transit for seniors and disabled riders; and expanding Metrolink commuter rail; Shall San Bernardino County voters continue the existing half-cent transportation sales tax (SBCTA Ordinance 04-01) for thirty years and create an independent taxpayer oversight committee to insure all voter mandates are met?

SAN DIEGO 3/2/2004 Measure A Fail  
(INITIATIVE) Shall the Rural Lands Initiative, which requires any newly subdivided parcels on approximately 694,000 acres of rural land in northern and eastern San Diego County to be a minimum of 40, 80, or 160 acres, be approved?

SAN DIEGO 3/2/2004 Measure B Pass  
(INITIATIVE) Shall this Initiative be adopted for the purpose of preventing the future construction of the Gregory Canyon Landfill and Recycling Collection Center by repealing the 1994 voter-approved Gregory Canyon ordinance?

SAN DIEGO 3/2/2004 Measure L Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall an ordinance for funding structural fire protection and emergency medical services within County Service Area No. 110 - Palomar Mountain be approved establishing special taxes not exceeding the amounts set forth in the ordinance?

SAN DIEGO 3/2/2004 Measure M Fail (2/3 required)  
Shall an ordinance for funding structural fire protection and emergency medical services within County Service Area No. 111 - Boulevard be approved establishing special taxes not exceeding the amounts set forth in the ordinance?

SAN DIEGO 3/2/2004 Measure N Fail (2/3 required)  
Shall an ordinance for funding structural fire protection and emergency medical services within County Service Area No. 112 - Campo be approved establishing special taxes not exceeding the amounts set forth in the ordinance?

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

SAN DIEGO	11/2/2004	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
To relieve traffic congestion, improve safety, and match state/federal funds by: Expanding I-5, I-8, I-15, SR 52, SR 54, SR 56, SR 67, SR 76, SR 78, SR 94, SR 125, I-805; Maintaining/improving local roads; increasing transit for seniors and disabled persons; expanding commuter express bus, trolley, Coaster services; Shall San Diego County voters continue the existing half-cent transportation sales tax (SDCRTC Ordinance 0401) for forty years, including creating an Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee to conduct yearly audits ensuring voter mandates are met?			
SAN DIEGO	11/2/2004	Measure B	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall this Initiative be adopted for the purpose of preventing the future construction of the Gregory Canyon Landfill and Recycling Collection Center by repealing the 1994 voter-approved Gregory Canyon ordinance?			
SAN DIEGO	11/2/2004	Measure C	Pass
(ADVISORY) There are 35 separate agencies providing fire and emergency medical services in San Diego County's unincorporated area. These agencies vary in size, personnel, funding, equipment and levels of service. Would you support the consolidation of these 35 agencies into a single agency, provided that a consolidation results in a better-coordinated and enhanced delivery of fire protection and emergency medical services for your area?			
SAN DIEGO	11/2/2004	Measure D	Pass
Shall the City Charter be amended to provide that the people have the right of access to information concerning the conduct of the people's business?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure 2	Pass
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Relief Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART; (B) New transbay commuter rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; (C) Comprehensive Regional Express bus network; (D) New expanded ferry service; (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail; (2) Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure A	Pass
Shall City employees who receive a cash payment for unused vacation time and sick leave be permitted to defer this payment and defer state and federal taxes on this payment?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure B	Pass
May the City contract with the California Public Employees Retirement System for retirement benefits for District Attorneys, Public Defenders and Public Defender investigators if there is no change in cost to the City?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure C	Pass
Shall the City reduce the required number of uniformed Police officers if the City fills certain positions currently performed by uniformed officers with civilian staff?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure D	Pass
Shall domestic partners be allowed to register in the City, even if they live or work outside the City, and shall the City Employees' Retirement System treat domestic partners as spouses?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure E	Pass
Shall the Board of Supervisors, rather than individual departments and officials, respond to requests made by the federal or state government for records that may contain private information about citizens?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure F	Pass
Shall the rules that apply to labor negotiations with the uniformed members of the Police and Fire departments also apply to labor negotiations with the deputy sheriffs?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure G	Pass
May the city provide supplemental pay for more than 180 days to City employees called for military service, and may the City provide this pay retroactively?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure H	Pass
Shall the City create a fund to increase the City's spending for public education over the next eleven years?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure I	Pass
Shall Muni be required to replace diesel buses purchased before 1991, and shall any new Muni vehicles be required to meet the anti-pollution standards that apply to other City vehicles?			
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	Measure J	Pass
Shall housing developments located downtown or along the central waterfront be subject to less-restrictive density and height rules than other housing developments if the developer builds and sells additional below-market rate housing?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City borrow \$200,000,000 to buy, build or renovate supportive and affordable housing and assist low- and moderate-income individuals and households to buy a home?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure B	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City borrow \$60,000,000 to buy, renovate or preserve historical resources owned by the City or the San Francisco Unified School District?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure C	Pass
Shall the Health Services System be a separate City department, and shall the Health Service Board be authorized to appoint and remove the manager of the Health Service System?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure D	Fail
Shall Charter rules be changed concerning the deadlines and number of votes required for action by the Board of Supervisors, the number of aides per Board member, and the length of time commissioners may serve after their term has expired?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City pay the survivor of a police officer or firefighter who dies in the line of duty 100% of retirement benefits the officer or firefighter would have received?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure F	Fail
May San Francisco residents who are 18 or older vote in School Board elections, whether or not the resident is a United States citizen, if the resident is a parent, guardian or care-giver of a child in the School District?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure G	Pass
Shall the City authorize the Health Service Board to establish health plans for City residents?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure H	Pass
Shall the City-owned sports stadium at Candlestick Point be named "Candlestick Park?"			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure I	Pass
Shall the City hire economists to study proposed legislation and report on the likely impact on the local economy, and shall the City develop a long-term Economic Development Plan?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure J	Pass
Shall the City increase the local sales tax by 1/4% (one-quarter-of-one-percent)?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure K	Fail
Shall the City create a temporary 0.1% (one-tenth-of-one-percent) gross receipts tax, and clarify how the City's existing payroll expense tax applies to certain business entities?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure L	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall 15% of the existing hotel tax surcharge be set aside to acquire, preserve and maintain neighborhood and single-screen movie theaters and promote local film-making industry?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure N	Pass
Shall it be City policy to urge the United States government to withdraw all troops from Iraq and bring all military personnel in Iraq back to the United States?			
SAN FRANCISCO	11/2/2004	Measure O	Pass
Shall it be City policy to use additional sales tax funds resulting from approval of Proposition J to assist low-income residents including seniors, the disabled, children and the homeless?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5/4/2004	Measure G-04	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Measure G-04 be approved, which rescinds the current Special Tax and implements a new Special Tax for fire protection, paramedic rescue, emergency response, and other services relating to the protection of lives and property for the benefit of the residents of Los Osos as detailed in Ordinance 2003-04?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	5/4/2004	Measure H-04	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall the County of San Luis Obispo commencing Fiscal year 2004/2005, and annually thereafter, impose, levy and collect a special tax on real property within County Service Area No. 9, Zone 1, to provide emergency fire and medical response services within said area, set at an initial rate of forty-two dollars (\$42.00) per benefit unit, to be increased each year thereafter not more than the increase specified in the full text of the proposition?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/2004	Measure L-04	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 3027 of the County of San Luis Obispo be approved to increase the countywide sales tax by one-quarter percent as a transactions and use tax and a special tax to provide needed funds for countywide local library operations including maintenance and repair of library facilities, restoring and protecting funding for books and materials, increasing open hours, and restoring and protecting services and programs for children, young adults, and older adults?			



## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/2004	Measure M-04	Fail
Shall a reorganization be approved to dissolve County Service Area #10, the Cayucos Sanitary District and the Cayucos Fire Protection District and to form in their place the Cayucos Community Services District, subject to conditions imposed by the Local Agency Formation Commission? If the proposed Cayucos Community Services District is created, please choose five (5) persons to represent your district as a member of the Board of Directors.			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/2004	Measure N-04	Pass
If Measure M-04 is approved, shall the initial appropriations limit for the Cayucos Community Services District, in accordance with Article XIII B of the California Constitution, be set at an established amount not to exceed the combined 2003-04 appropriations limits for the three dissolving Districts?			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/2004	Measure Q-04	Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted prohibiting the growing of genetically engineered organisms in San Luis Obispo County?			
SAN MATEO	3/2/2004	Measure 2	Pass
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART; (B) New transbay commuter rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; (C) Comprehensive Regional Express bus network; (D) New expanded ferry service; (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail. (2) Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
SAN MATEO	3/2/2004	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall Resolution No. 066343 of the County of San Mateo imposing an annual special tax for fire protection and extended police services according to the schedule therein be approved?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2004	Measure A	Pass (2/3 required)
Shall San Mateo County Ordinance No. 04223 THE SAN MATEO COUNTY SAFE ROADS, TRAFFIC RELIEF AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MEASURE be approved: to repair neighborhood streets; expand senior and paratransit services; improve Caltrain; construct bicycle/pedestrian paths; support the existing BART service; assist water transport; reduce congestion; Shall the Transportation Authority extend the current 1/2 cent sales/use tax for 25 years, implement the county Expenditure Plan and issue limited tax bonds not exceeding proceeds, with no increase in taxes, and with citizen participation and annual audits?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2004	Measure B	Pass
Should Section 501 of the County Charter be amended to require that personnel appointments serve the County's diverse population and to prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability or sexual orientation?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2004	Measure C	Pass
Shall Section 303 of the County Charter be amended to remove the requirement that the appointment of an Acting County Manager must be an existing County officer or employee?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2004	Measure D	Pass
Should Sections 502b(3) and 502b(4) of the County Charter be amended to limit the unclassified status of persons employed for professional, scientific, technical, expert services or associated services on a temporary basis for a specific project to three consecutive years and to delete part time physicians from unclassified service?			
SAN MATEO	11/2/2004	Measure E	Fail
Shall Section 206a(5) of the County Charter be amended to provide that the Board of Supervisors may annually request that the Grand Jury investigate and recommend on the need for an adjustment in the compensation for Supervisors, the Board of Supervisors may accept and or reject the recommendation but in no event may it set its compensation at an amount above the recommendation?			
SANTA CLARA	3/2/2004	Measure 2	Pass
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Relief Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART; (B) New transbay commuter rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; (C) Comprehensive Regional Express bus network; (D) New expanded ferry service; (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail; (2) Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
SANTA CLARA	3/2/2004	Measure A	Pass
To improve safety and conditions for children at Juvenile Hall, based on independent audit recommendations, shall supervisors establish an Advisory Board of Juvenile Hall to include: a Juvenile court judge, the District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, health professionals, Probation employee organization members, previous Hall residents, and parents of persons incarcerated at Juvenile Hall AND shall the County Charter be amended to allow the County Executive to appoint, supervise, and remove the Chief Probation Officer?			

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

SANTA CLARA	11/2/2004	Measure A	Fail
Shall the "prevailing wage" provisions of the Santa Clara County Charter, which have been interpreted by courts to mean base pay only and to require comparisons to private sector employees, be amended so County rates of pay will be based on comparisons only to public sector employees and, "wages" and "rates of pay" will mean base pay and employer-paid contributions for employee benefits, such as medical, dental, and retirement plans?			
SANTA CLARA	11/2/2004	Measure B	Fail
Shall the Charter be amended to permit the voters to approve or disapprove decisions made by arbitrators in labor negotiation disputes after the Board of Supervisors finds that those decisions will substantially interfere with the Board's authority over the financial and employment affairs of the County or will result in greater cost than the County's last proposal during negotiation, if the Charter has been amended to require binding arbitration for labor negotiation disputes?			
SANTA CLARA	11/2/2004	Measure C	Fail
Shall the Charter of the County of Santa Clara be amended to supersede dispute resolution processes contained in State and County law in the event that bargaining is unsuccessful, to impose binding arbitration to resolve negotiation disputes over wages, hours or other terms and conditions of employment between the County of Santa Clara and unions representing certain County attorneys, certain officers employed by the County Department of Correction, and certain County registered nurses, who currently resolve disputes pursuant to law?			
SANTA CRUZ	11/2/2004	Measure J	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall a half-cent sales tax be adopted to improve transportation in Santa Cruz County? Improvements will include: widening Highway 1 with carpool/bus/emergency lanes; improving local streets, sidewalks and bike paths, safety on Highway 17, elderly/disabled transportation; building a Coastal bike/walking path next to the rail line; and a Pajaro train station. This 30-year measure will be monitored by an independent oversight committee.			
SANTA CRUZ	11/2/2004	Measure K	Fail
Shall the County's Emergency Response Fee Ordinance, Chapter 4.28 of the Santa Cruz County Code, be repealed.			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure M	Fail
Shall there be an increase of two percent (2%) to the General Tax for the privilege of transient occupancy in any hotel as defined in Title 8, Chapter 4 of the Siskiyou County Code, which increase would set the total Transient Occupancy Tax at ten percent (10%) of the rent charged by the operator.			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure N	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Lake Shastina Community Services District levy a special tax, effective January 1, 2005, for fire department in an amount not to exceed: Improved Residential and Non-residential parcels, \$10.00 per month. Unimproved Residential and Non-residential parcels, \$6.25 per month. Said tax shall be used to employ up to two full-time firefighters/emergency medical personnel, and obtaining, maintaining and operating fire department equipment, buildings and related services.			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure P	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the McCloud Community Services District levy an additional special parcel tax commencing in Fiscal Year 2005-06 to provide fire protection and emergency response services at the rates and for the land use categories specified in Resolution 06, 2004, subject to a fixed annual increase of three percent (3%) per year?			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure Q	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the McCloud Community Services District levy an additional special parcel tax commencing in Fiscal Year 2005-06 to provide ambulance services at the rates and for the land use categories specified in Resolution 07, 2004, subject to a fixed annual increase of three percent (3%) per year?			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure R	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the McCloud Community Services District levy a special parcel tax commencing in Fiscal Year 2005-06 to provide park and recreation services, to replace the existing special tax for such services approved by the voters of the district on June 3, 1997, at the rates and for the land use categories specified in Resolution 08, 2004, subject to a fixed annual increase of three percent (3%) per year?			
SISKIYOU	11/2/2004	Measure S	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the McCloud Community Services District levy a new special parcel tax commencing in Fiscal Year 2005-06 to provide street lighting services, to replace the existing parcel fee for such services approved by the voters of the district on June 16, 1997, at the rates specified in Resolution 09, 2004, subject to a fixed annual increase of two percent (2%) per year?			
SOLANO	3/2/2004	Measure 2	Fail
Shall voters authorize a Regional Traffic Relief Plan that does the following: (1) Directs revenues generated through the collection of bridge tolls to provide the following projects: (A) Expand and extend BART. (B) New transbay commuter rail crossing south of the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. (C) Comprehensive Regional Express Bus network. (D) New expanded ferry service. (E) Better connections between BART, buses, ferries, and rail. (2) Approves a one dollar (\$1) toll increase effective July 1, 2004, on all toll bridges in the bay area, except the Golden Gate Bridge?			
SONOMA	11/2/2004	Measure M	Pass (2/3 required)

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

To maintain local streets, fix potholes, accelerate widening Highway 101, improve interchanges, restore and enhance transit, support development of passenger rail, and build safe bike/pedestrian routes, shall the Sonoma County Transportation Authority be authorized to levy a 1/4 cent retail transactions and use tax on the projects proposed, and issue bonds to finance the projects?

SONOMA 11/2/2004 Measure N Pass  
Without increasing local taxes, and in a manner consistent with Sonoma County's General Plan and zoning ordinance, shall public bodies be authorized to develop, construct, or acquire housing units affordable to low-income persons, including elderly and disabled persons, within the County's unincorporated area, either directly or by assisting private projects, in an annual amount not exceeding one-half of one percent (1/2%) of the housing units existing in the unincorporated area in that year?

SONOMA 11/2/2004 Measure O Pass (2/3 required)  
To 1) Improve and expand Santa Rosa Fire, Police and Paramedic services; 2) Combat gang violence, and 3) Expand crime prevention and after-school youth programs, shall Santa Rosa voters approve a 1/4 cent public safety sales tax, expiring in twenty years, with annual public reports by a citizen oversight committee assuring that funds are expended only for these purposes?

STANISLAUS 3/2/2004 Measure P Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall the Ordinance of the County of Stanislaus authorizing a limited transactions and use tax of one-eighth of one percent for a period of not more than eight years commencing on July 1, 2005, be used exclusively to maintain countywide library hours, provide purchases of new books, access to technology, maintain story times, programs for children and teens, reference, and other programs, be enacted to extend the current tax until June 30, 2013?

SUTTER 11/2/2004 Measure M Pass  
(ADVISORY) Do you approve of planning for commercial, industrial, and residential development in the current South Sutter Industrial/Commercial Reserve if the following planning standards are met? At least 3600 acres would be provided for commercial and industrial parks to create new jobs in Sutter County as a condition of having residential units approved. At least 1000 acres would be provided for schools, parks, open space, libraries, retail areas and other community facilities paid for by the development. No more than 2900 acres would be available for residential construction on land protected, at a minimum, from a 100-year flood event, resulting in an estimated population of 39,00. All necessary road, bridge, water, drainage, sewer and other improvements would be paid for by the development, without reducing current service levels. Developers would sign binding contracts to assure these planning requirements are met.

TEHAMA 11/2/2004 Measure A Fail  
(ADVISORY) If measure B imposing a general purpose transactions and use tax is approved by the voters of Tehama County, should the Tehama County Board of Supervisors distribute the proceeds from that tax to the County and to the incorporated cities in proportion to their respective populations to fund law enforcement and fire protection services?

TEHAMA 11/2/2004 Measure B Fail  
Shall Tehama County adopt a temporary one-half percent sales tax increase for three years, the proceeds of which would be placed in the County's general fund and used for general governmental purposes?

TEHAMA 11/2/2004 Measure C Fail  
Shall the Tehama County Charter be amended, effective January 8, 2007, to: (1) separate the elected offices of Tehama County Coroner and Public Administrator; (2) make the office of Tehama County Public Administrator an appointed office; and (3) consolidate the office of Tehama County Public Administrator with the office of Tehama County Public Guardian?

TULARE 11/2/2004 Measure Y Pass  
Shall the Goshen Community Services District's powers be expanded for the purposes of recreation, including but not limited to aquatic parks and recreational harbors, equestrian trails, playgrounds, golf courses, and swimming pools, and for street lighting?

TUOLUMNE 11/2/2004 Measure J Fail  
Shall Ordinance No. 2559 which amends Chapter 3.32 of the Tuolumne County Ordinance Code to increase the Transient Occupancy Tax rate from eight percent to nine percent, and applying the transient occupancy Tax to camping sites and other lodging provided that the rate on camping sites and other lodging be phased in at two percent the first year, five percent the second year, and a total of nine percent the third and subsequent years be adopted?

VENTURA 11/2/2004 Measure A Fail (2/3 required)  
Shall the Ventura County Regional Open Space District be created and established; an ordinance become operative, establishing a one quarter percent (0.25%) sales tax in Ventura County for a period not longer than ten years for purposes of agricultural land preservation and acquisition of open space land and parkland under an Expenditure Plan with administrative expenses limited to 3%; and an appropriations limit for the District and citizens' Fiscal Oversight Committee be established?

VENTURA 11/2/2004 Measure B Fail (2/3 required)

## TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2004

Shall the ordinance establishing a one half percent (0.5%) countywide sales tax increase and spending limit in Ventura County to: maintain local streets and fix potholes; reduce traffic congestion, widen and improve safety on highways 101, 118, 126 and 23; expand Metrolink and upgrade railroad crossings; expand bus services and lower senior and disabled fares; improve access to CSUCI; and construct bicycle paths become effective and operative?

YUBA 3/2/2004 Measure A Pass  
(ADVISORY) In order to maintain summer recreation swimming programs, youth and adult swimming lessons, high school team water sports, Red Cross water safety programs, keep the Marysville High School swimming pool open to the public, and establish a Citizens Oversight Committee, would you support the Marysville Joint Unified School District in Levying a Parcel Tax of fifteen dollars (\$15.00), exempting those sixty-five (65) years old or older?

YUBA 3/2/2004 Measure Z Fail  
(ADVISORY) In order to establish a Regional Park System to maintain and improve park and recreation facilities in Yuba county, keep the Beckworth Park Boat Landing Facility open, the Marysville High School Pool open, and establish a Citizens Oversight Committee, should the Yuba County Board of Supervisors be directed to place an Annual Parcel Tax Measure of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00), exempting those sixty-five (65) years old or older, on the November 2, 2004 ballot for voter consideration?

YUBA 11/2/2004 Measure B Fail (2/3 required)  
Shall the Loma Rica-Browns Valley Community Services District increase its existing special tax from \$0.03 per square foot on taxed structures to \$0.06 per square foot (with a minimum of \$24.00 per structure and a maximum cap not to exceed \$200.00 per structure). Commencing with the fiscal year 2005/2006 this increase will be used to support the increasing cost of operating the District's fire department operations?

YUBA 11/2/2004 Measure D Pass (2/3 required)  
Shall a 15 cent per ton resource depletion surcharge on mined aggregate and sand and manufactured asphalt concrete be imposed on those businesses engaged in the mining of sand and gravel from land within Yuba County and/or manufacturing asphalt concrete within Yuba County, and thereby providing revenue solely for construction, repair and maintenance of County roads and city roads within the incorporated areas of the County, subject to appropriation at the sole discretion of the Yuba County Board of Supervisors? No more than three percent (3%) of the surcharge amount collected annually may be used for administration.

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	TAXES		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION STATEMENT		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	1	0											1	0			2	0	2
Butte													0	1			0	1	1
Calaveras	0	1															0	1	1
Colusa													0	1			0	1	1
Contra Costa	0	1									1	0	2	1			3	2	5
Del Norte	0	2															0	2	2
El Dorado	2	0	5	1	1	0	0	1									8	2	10
Fresno	2	1	0	2													2	3	5
Humboldt	1	1							0	1			0	1			1	3	4
Inyo	0	2															0	2	2
Kern	1	2															1	2	3
Kings					1	0											1	0	1
Lake	0	1															0	1	1
Lassen	1	0															1	0	1
Los Angeles	0	1															0	1	1
Marin	3	0											2	0			5	0	5
Mariposa	0	1															0	1	1
Mendocino	1	0											1	0			2	0	2
Napa	1	0											1	6			2	6	8
Riverside	1	0															1	0	1
Sacramento	1	0											1	0			2	0	2
San Benito													0	1			0	1	1
San Bernardino	1	1															1	1	2
San Diego	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	2									5	4	9

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	TAXES		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		POLICY/POSITION STATEMENT		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Francisco	1	2	0	1									17	3	1	0	19	6	25
San Luis Obispo	1	2									1	0	0	2			2	4	6
San Mateo	2	0	3	1									1	0			6	1	7
Santa Clara			1	2									1	1			2	3	5
Santa Cruz	0	2															0	2	2
Siskiyou	0	6															0	6	6
Solano													0	1			0	1	1
Sonoma	2	0											1	0			3	0	3
Stanislaus	1	0															1	0	1
Sutter					1	0											1	0	1
Tehama	0	1	0	1	0	1											0	3	3
Tulare													1	0			1	0	1
Tuolumne	0	1															0	1	1
Ventura	0	2															0	2	2
Yuba	1	1			1	1											2	2	4
All Counties	26	33	10	8	5	2	1	3	0	1	2	0	29	18	1	0	74	65	139

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda					1	0					1	0											2	0	2
Butte																					0	1	0	1	1
Calaveras																			0	1			0	1	1
Colusa																	0	1					0	1	1
Contra Costa			0	1	0	1					2	0							1	0			3	2	5
Del Norte													0	1					0	1			0	2	2
El Dorado			0	1			5	1					1	0					1	0	1	0	8	2	10
Fresno							0	2					2	0							0	1	2	3	5
Humboldt					1	0	0	1											0	1	0	1	1	3	4
Inyo																			0	2			0	2	2
Kern					1	0					0	1	0	1									1	2	3
Kings							1	0															1	0	1
Lake											0	1											0	1	1
Lassen					1	0																	1	0	1
Los Angeles					0	1																	0	1	1
Marin											2	0							2	0	1	0	5	0	5
Mariposa	0	1																					0	1	1
Mendocino					1	0															1	0	2	0	2
Napa			0	6							1	0							1	0			2	6	8
Riverside					1	0																	1	0	1
Sacramento											1	0			1	0							2	0	2
San Benito			0	1																			0	1	1
San Bernardino					0	1					1	0											1	1	2
San Diego			1	2	2	2	1	0			1	0											5	4	9
San Francisco	1	1					10	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	19	6	25
San Luis Obispo					1	1	0	1					0	1					1	0	0	1	2	4	6

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2004

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL COUNTY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Mateo					1	0	3	1			2	0											6	1	7
Santa Clara							1	3			1	0											2	3	5
Santa Cruz											0	1						0	1				0	2	2
Siskiyou					0	3							0	1			0	1	0	1			0	6	6
Solano											0	1											0	1	1
Sonoma					1	0					1	0			1	0							3	0	3
Stanislaus													1	0									1	0	1
Sutter			1	0																			1	0	1
Tehama					0	1	0	1											0	1			0	3	3
Tulare													1	0									1	0	1
Tuolumne																		0	1				0	1	1
Ventura			0	1							0	1											0	2	2
Yuba					0	1					1	0	1	1									2	2	4
All Counties	1	2	2	12	11	11	21	11	1	0	15	5	7	6	3	1	2	2	7	10	4	5	74	65	139





---

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR  
COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES

---



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
ALAMEDA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Haggerty	Scott P.	Mbr, Co. Board of Supervisors District 1	Yes	1	48,462	48,812	99.3%	Yes	
			4	Full	Miley	Nate	Supervisor, District 4, Alameda County	Yes	1	44,416	45,014	98.7%	Yes	
			5	Full	Carson	Keith	County Board of Supervisors 5th Dist.	Yes	1	62,173	62,652	99.2%	Yes	
	11/2/2004	Director, Dublin-San Ramon SD			Full	Scannell	Dan	Incumbent	Yes	5	6,167	21,877	28.2%	Yes
						Howard	Dwight L.	Engineer	No	5	5,094	21,877	23.3%	Yes
						Halket	Rich	Manager, Software Corporation	No	5	3,906	21,877	17.9%	Yes
						Leopold	Georgean M.	Tax Accountant	No	5	3,719	21,877	17.0%	No
						Rogoff	Rosalind	Technical Writer	No	5	2,991	21,877	13.7%	No
	ALPINE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Veatch	Henry Skip	Retired Sheriff-Coroner	No	2	61	120	50.8%	Yes
						Doyal	Lynn	Small Business Owner	No	2	59	120	49.2%	No
3				Full	Bennett	Phillip D.	Foster Parent	No	2	23	36	63.9%	Yes	
					Rakow	Katherine V.	Special Education Instructor	No	2	13	36	36.1%	No	
5				Full	Kaiser	Gunter E.	Manufacturing Operations Manager	No	2	79	136	58.1%	Yes	
					Celio	Clint	No Ballot Designation	No	2	57	136	41.9%	No	
		District Attorney	Short	Richmond	William A.	District Attorney	Yes	1	373	373	100.0%	Yes		
		Superior Judge	Full	Speechio	Richard	Attorney at Law	Yes	1	385	385	100.0%	Yes		
AMADOR	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Escamilla	Rich F.	County Supervisor	Yes	4	1,110	2,260	49.1%	Yes	
					Sweet	Keith	Businessman	No	4	548	2,260	24.2%	No	
					Admire	W. M. "Bill"	No Ballot Designation	No	4	456	2,260	20.2%	No	
					Hamans	Heinz H.	Water Agency Director	No	4	146	2,260	6.5%	No	
		2	Full	Foster	Richard M.	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	1	1,763	1,795	98.2%	Yes		
		4	Full	Boitano	Louis D.	County Supervisor	Yes	1	2,023	2,072	97.6%	Yes		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Escamilla	Rich F.	County Supervisor	Yes	2	2,064	3,237	63.8%	Yes	
					Sweet	Keith	Realtor/Businessman	No	2	1,165	3,237	36.0%	No	
BUTTE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Connelly	Bill	Contractor	No	2	6,900	11,114	62.1%	Yes	
					Beeler	R.J. "Bob"	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,187	11,114	37.7%	No	
			4	Full	Josiassen	Curt	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	2	5,427	9,439	57.5%	Yes	
					Busch	John	Businessman	No	2	3,979	9,439	42.2%	No	
			5	Full	Yamaguchi	Kim	Incumbent	Yes	2	9,686	13,363	72.5%	Yes	
					Hilgeman	Charlottee Ann	No Ballot Designation	No	2	3,672	13,363	27.5%	No	

<sup>1</sup>Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
CALAVERAS	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Claudino	Alan "Bill"	Retired CHP Officer	No	1	2,064	2,064	100.0%	Yes		
			2	Full	Wilensky	Steve	Farmer/Business Owner	No	6	1,545	3,244	47.6%	Yes		
					Studley	David	Attorney	No	6	548	3,244	16.9%	No		
					Fonceca	Leroy A.	Store Owner	No	6	515	3,244	15.9%	No		
					Dell'Orto	Michael R. "Mike"	Planning Commissioner/Contractor	No	6	365	3,244	11.3%	No		
					Stewart	Eugene "Gene"	Plumbing Contractor	No	6	186	3,244	5.7%	No		
					Huebert	Ronald G. "Ron"	School District Administrator	No	6	85	3,244	2.6%	No		
			4	Full	Tryon	Thomas	County Supervisor/Rancher	No	2	1,689	3,089	54.7%	Yes		
					Lackey	Trudy	Charitable Foundation Executive	No	2	1,400	3,089	45.3%	No		
			11/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Wilensky	Steve	Farmer/Business Owner	No	2	2,474	4,224	58.6%	Yes
Studley	David	Investment Attorney					No	2	1,750	4,224	41.4%	No			
COLUSA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Indrieri	Tom	Businessman	No	3	526	908	57.9%	Yes		
					Shadinger	Jerry	Retired Colusa County Sheriff	No	3	232	908	25.6%	No		
					Bressler	Terry	Fieldman	No	3	150	908	16.5%	No		
			3	Full	Marshall	Mark D.	Incumbent	Yes	1	452	452	100.0%	Yes		
					4	Full	Evans	Gary J.	Contractor	No	3	445	989	45.0%	Yes
							Waite	William R.	Incumbent	Yes	3	335	989	33.9%	No
			Rennick	Shirley	Secretary/Inspector	No	3	209	989	21.1%	No				
			11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Evans	Gary J.	Contractor	No	2	751	1,274	58.9%	Yes
							Waite	William R.	Incumbent	Yes	2	523	1,274	41.1%	No
			CONTRA COSTA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Uilkema	Gayle B.	Supervisor, District 2	Yes	2	40,495	54,424	74.4%
Young	Greg	Community Development Director						No	2	13,929	54,424	25.6%	No		
3	Full	Greenberg				Millie	Appointed Member, Board of Supervisors	No	3	22,923	56,242	40.8%	Yes		
		Piepho				Mary Nejedly	School Board Member	No	3	21,252	56,242	37.8%	No		
		Hudson				Dave	San Ramon Councilman	No	3	12,067	56,242	21.5%	No		
5	Full	Glover				Federal	County Supervisor	Yes	2	18,871	34,062	55.4%	Yes		
		Nunn				Erik	Construction Manager/Pastor	No	2	15,191	34,062	44.6%	No		
Superior Judge	Full	Sugiyama				John	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	139,238	203,985	68.3%	Yes		
		Schmidt				Denise Marie	Acting Court Commissioner	No	2	64,747	203,985	31.7%	No		
11/2/2004	County Supervisor	3				Full	Piepho	Mary Nejedly	School Board Member	No	2	54,276	92,756	58.5%	Yes
			Greenberg	Millie	Appointed Member, Board of Supervisors		Yes	2	38,480	92,756	41.5%	No			
DEL NORTE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	McNamer	Leslie	Deputy County Collector	No	4	266	783	34.0%	Yes		
					Berkowitz	Bob	School Board President	Yes	4	263	783	33.6%	No		
					Gray	Kent W.	Writer	No	4	191	783	24.4%	No		
					Guaglianone	Westenhaver	No Ballot Designation	No	4	63	783	8.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED						
DEL NORTE (continued)	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	McClure	Martha	Incumbent	Yes	4	467	1,021	45.7%	Yes						
					McNamara	Richard J.	Correctional Officer	No	4	408	1,021	40.0%	No						
					Quintana	Rene	Community Coordinator	No	4	113	1,021	11.1%	No						
					Perkins	James	Nurse/Author	No	4	33	1,021	3.2%	No						
					Finigan	David	Incumbent	Yes	2	650	1,171	55.5%	Yes						
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Perry	Dorothy L.	Employment Development Director	No	2	521	1,171	44.5%	No						
					McNamer	Leslie	Deputy County Collector	Yes	2	652	1,217	53.6%	Yes						
					Berkowitz	Bob	School Board President	No	2	565	1,217	46.4%	No						
					McClure	Martha	Incumbent	Yes	2	802	1,584	50.6%	Yes						
					McNamara	Richard	Correctional Officer	No	2	782	1,584	49.4%	No						
EL DORADO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Dupray	Rusty	County Supervisor District 1	Yes	1	6,399	6,399	100.0%	Yes						
					Baumann	Helen K.	Co. Supervisor District	Yes	2	5,903	11,655	50.6%	Yes						
							2/Businesswoman	No	2	5,752	11,655	49.4%	No						
	11/2/2004	Director, El Dorado Hills CSD	3	Full	Nutting	Ray	Rancher/Forest Management	No	2	5,752	11,655	49.4%	No						
					Sweeney	James R. "Jack"	County Supervisor District 3	Yes	1	6,816	6,816	100.0%	Yes						
					Brilliant	Larry	Incumbent	Yes	5	5,221	19,501	26.8%	Yes						
	Trapani	David M.	Attorney	No				5	4,371	19,501	22.4%	Yes							
	Haberman	Rhonda L.	Dental Operations Manager	No				5	3,522	19,501	18.1%	No							
	Witt	Norb	Incumbent	Yes				5	3,487	19,501	17.9%	No							
	Brody	Al	Educator/Business Owner	No				5	2,900	19,501	14.9%	No							
	11/2/2004	Director, Springfield Meadows CSD	Full	Hollis	Bob	Stonebriar ACC Chairperson	No	2	310	375	82.7%	Yes							
							Smith	Katherine M.	Current Board Member	No	2	65	375	17.3%	No				
										Short	Ganguet	Donna	Business Owner	No	3	225	547	41.1%	Yes
							Everett	Rusty	Executive Director					No	3	188	547	34.4%	Yes
														Arietta	Genevieve	Current Board Member	No	3	134
FRESNO							3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2								Full	Anderson	Susan B.
	3	Full	Perea	Henry R.	Personnel Administrator/Educator	Yes				4	6,569	15,233	43.1%	Yes					
			Quintero	Sal	Retired City Council Member	No				4	6,134	15,233	40.3%	No					
			Molineaux	Debilyn	Businesswoman	No				4	1,732	15,233	11.4%	No					
			Camac	Jose Guadalupe	Teacher/Businessman	No				4	760	15,233	5.0%	No					
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Waterston	Bob	Fresno County Supervisor District 5	Yes	1	31,778	32,243	98.6%	Yes						
					Perea	Henry R.	Educator	Yes	2	14,565	27,326	53.3%	Yes						
								Quintero	Sal	Retired City Councilmember	No	2	12,666	27,326	46.4%	No			
					Director, Del Rey CSD	Full	Holguin	Andy	Pastor	Yes	4	69	223	30.9%	Yes				
							Chacon	Michael F.	Incumbent	Yes	4	68	223	30.5%	Yes				
Solorio	Jesse	Custodian	No	4			41	223	18.4%	No									

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
					Gomez	Frances	Receptionist	No	4	39	223	17.5%	No		
GLENN	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	McGowan	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	2	565	1,034	54.6%	Yes		
					Calonico	Albert V.	Retired/Farmer	No	2	469	1,034	45.4%	No		
			3	Full	Amaro	John	Farmer/Businessman	No	3	771	1,507	51.2%	Yes		
					Martin	Anjanette S.	Farmer	No	3	421	1,507	27.9%	No		
					Burrows	Martin J.	No Ballot Designation	No	3	315	1,507	20.9%	No		
			5	Full	Hansen	Keith S.	Incumbent	Yes	2	652	895	72.8%	Yes		
Rosa	Perfecto	Entrepreneur/Farmer			No	2	243	895	27.2%	No					
HUMBOLDT	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Smith	Jimmy	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,968	9,038	99.2%	Yes		
					2	Full	Rodoni	Roger	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,908	10,398	56.8%	Yes
							Steinberg	Sal	Vice Principal/Teacher	No	4	2,584	10,398	24.9%	No
							Baker	Jim	Licensed Land Surveyor	No	4	997	10,398	9.6%	No
		Rogers	Bud	Woodworker	No	4	904	10,398	8.7%	No					
		3	Full	Woolley	John	Incumbent	Yes	1	8,902	9,105	97.8%	Yes			
				District Attorney <sup>R</sup>	F	Short	Schechtman	Steven	Prosecuting Attorney	No	3	22,280	39,925	55.8%	Yes
							Sheets	Gloria Albin	Attorney	No	3	9,791	39,925	24.5%	No
Dikeman, Jr.	Worth						Deputy District Attorney	No	3	5,158	39,925	12.9%	No		
IMPERIAL	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Grogan	Larry L.	Businessman	No	2	1,524	3,032	50.3%	Yes		
					Kuiper	Hank	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,508	3,032	49.7%	No		
			4	Full	Wyatt	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	5	1,781	3,779	47.1%	Yes		
					Heath	Gregory	Police Officer	No	5	725	3,779	19.2%	No		
					Roper	Kari	Self Employed	No	5	575	3,779	15.2%	No		
					Kotlensky, PhD.	William V.	Retired Scientist/Engineer	No	5	374	3,779	9.9%	No		
Wyatt	Full	Larry R.	Businessman	No	5	324	3,779	8.6%	No						
		11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Wyatt	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,955	6,833	57.9%	Yes	
Heath	Gregory					Police Officer	No	2	2,878	6,833	42.1%	No			
INYO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Cash	Susan	Non-Profit Fiscal Director	No	2	494	955	51.7%	Yes		
					Bear	Julie K.	Incumbent	Yes	2	454	955	47.5%	No		
			4	Full	Bilyeu	Jim	Retired Law Enforcement	No	5	383	1,189	32.2%	Yes		
					Hambleton	Carroll "Butch"	Incumbent	Yes	5	304	1,189	25.6%	No		
					Masters	Nancy	Librarian/School Board	No	5	284	1,189	23.9%	No		
					Gracey	Robert W.	Businessman	No	5	128	1,189	10.8%	No		
					Meador	Robert "Bob"	Dentist/Businessman	No	5	90	1,189	7.6%	No		
			5	Full	Cervantes	Richard	Community Leader/Businessman	No	2	596	932	63.9%	Yes		
					Dorame	Michael A.	Inyo County Supervisor	Yes	2	331	932	35.5%	No		
					11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Bilyeu	Jim	Retired Law Enforcement	No	2	1,015	1,630

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
					Hambleton	Carroll "Butch"	Incumbent	Yes	2	604	1,630	37.1%	No
<i><sup>R</sup>To be elected if recall measure passes.</i>													
KERN	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	McQuiston	Jon	First District Supervisor	Yes	1	20,220	20,220	100.0%	Yes
			4	Full	Watson	Raymond A.	Incumbent	Yes	2	21,744	27,148	80.1%	Yes
					Moreno	Joel	Mental Health Professional	No	2	5,404	27,148	19.9%	No
			5	Full	Rubio	Michael	Senate Legislative Director	No	2	7,050	11,467	61.5%	Yes
					Parra	Pete H.	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,417	11,467	38.5%	No
	11/2/2004	Director, Arvin CSD	Full		Tarver	Charlene	Social Worker	No	6	799	3,127	25.6%	Yes
					Rosales	Roy M.	Mailman	No	6	780	3,127	24.9%	Yes
					Rodriguez	Robert	Retired	No	6	461	3,127	14.7%	No
					Freeman	Terry	Police Officer	No	6	452	3,127	14.5%	No
					Ortiz	Jess G.	Retired Firefighter	No	6	331	3,127	10.6%	No
					Hallum	Millard	Incumbent	Yes	6	304	3,127	9.7%	No
		Director, Bear Valley CSD	Full		Darling	Phillip H.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,723	4,402	39.1%	Yes
					Sheppard	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,456	4,402	33.1%	Yes
					Boyarski	Donald A.	Police Officer	No	3	1,223	4,402	27.8%	No
				Short	Romano	Al	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	1,339	2,566	52.2%	Yes
					Mitchell	B.J.	Writer	No	3	653	2,566	25.4%	No
		Director, Rosamond CSD	Full		Cooper	Joseph Olen	Retired	No	3	574	2,566	22.4%	No
					Glennan	Byron	Educator	No	4	1,966	6,294	31.2%	Yes
					Wood	Gregory	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,623	6,294	25.8%	Yes
					Roth	John E. "Jack"	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	1,444	6,294	22.9%	No
	Director, Stallion Springs CSD	Full		Shoffner	Dennis	Public Affairs Specialist	No	4	1,261	6,294	20.0%	No	
			Keller	James E.	Incumbent	Yes	4	639	2,095	30.5%	Yes		
			Patrick	Ralph K.	Incumbent	Yes	4	593	2,095	28.3%	Yes		
			Gunshinan	Irene E.	Retired College Professor	Yes	4	549	2,095	26.2%	Yes		
KINGS	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Rachford	Jon	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,110	2,106	52.7%	Yes
					Garcia	Jess M.	Training Employment Administrator	No	2	996	2,106	47.3%	No
			5	Full	Taylor	Alene	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,731	3,853	70.9%	Yes
					Mendoza	Raphael "Ralph"	Farmer	No	2	1,122	3,853	29.1%	No
LAKE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Robey	Ed	District 1 County Supervisor	Yes	4	1,162	3,108	37.4%	Yes
					Dornbush	Don	General Building Contractor	No	4	868	3,108	27.9%	No
					Lewis	Bill	Retired Navy Director	No	4	586	3,108	18.9%	No
					Wink	William "Bill"	Businessman	No	4	492	3,108	15.8%	No



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LAKE (continued)	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Farrington	Anthony W.	County Supervisor	Yes	4	1,840	3,519	52.3%	Yes		
					Brown	Cecil L.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	4	688	3,519	19.6%	No		
					Bruns	Willis "Buzz"	Business Owner	No	4	593	3,519	16.9%	No		
					Chapman	Janel M.	City Clerk/Businesswoman	No	4	398	3,519	11.3%	No		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Brown	Rob	County Supervisor/Businessman	Yes	2	2,270	3,361	67.5%	Yes		
					De Lope	Harry	Businessman	No	2	1,091	3,361	32.5%	No		
					Robey	Ed	District 1 County Supervisor	Yes	2	2,699	5,234	51.6%	Yes		
					Dornbush	Don	General Building Contractor	No	2	2,526	5,234	48.3%	No		
LASSEN	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Pyle	Robert F.	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,320	1,367	96.6%	Yes		
					Chapman	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	2	813	1,258	64.6%	Yes		
						Murdock	Joan H.	Retired Associate Warden	No	2	439	1,258	34.9%	No	
					11/2/2004	Director, Westwood CSD	4	Full	Dahle	Brian	Rancher	Yes	1	1,199	1,247
	House	Kristine "Krissy"	Office Coordinator	Yes					4	463	1,755	26.4%	Yes		
	Silva	Elta Irene	Housewife	Yes					4	449	1,755	25.6%	Yes		
	Creaglio	Nicholas P.	Engineer	Yes					4	429	1,755	24.4%	Yes		
	LOS ANGELES	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Burke	Yvonne Brathwaite	County District Supervisor, 2nd District	Yes	2	136,610	171,323	79.7%	Yes	
Mato						Guy	Real Estate Executive	No	2	34,713	171,323	20.3%	No		
4				Full	Knabe	Don	Supervisor, 4th District	Yes	3	168,521	224,795	75.0%	Yes		
					McDermott	Joann Hillary	Business Planning Consultant	No	3	34,743	224,795	15.5%	No		
					Shah	Jayendra Arvindlal	Physician	No	3	21,531	224,795	9.6%	No		
5				Full	Antonovich	Michael D.	Supervisor, Los Angeles County	Yes	3	205,346	275,661	74.5%	Yes		
					Plambeck	Lynne A.	Small Business Owner	No	3	44,755	275,661	16.2%	No		
					Jordan	Linda	Foster Parent/Caregiver	No	3	25,560	275,661	9.3%	No		
					District Attorney	Full	Cooley	Steve	Los Angeles County District Attorney	Yes	6	596,616	1,008,610	59.2%	Yes
							Pacheco	Nick	Municipal Attorney	No	6	151,360	1,008,610	15.0%	No
							Moehlman	Denise Beryl	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	91,667	1,008,610	9.1%	No
Higgins				Tom			Head Deputy D.A.	No	6	71,068	1,008,610	7.0%	No		
Superior Judge				14	Full	Carrick	Roger	Public Law Attorney	No	6	68,978	1,008,610	6.8%	No	
						Patchett	Anthony G.	Retired Deputy D.A.	No	6	28,921	1,008,610	2.9%	No	
18	Full	Pounders	William R.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	1	646,058	844,267	100.0%	Yes					
		Escobedo	Mildred	Temporary Judge	No	4	254,649	844,267	30.2%	Yes					
		Campbell	Pat	Criminal Prosecutor/Professor	No	4	233,299	844,267	27.6%	No					
		Feldstern	Daniel	Criminal Trial Prosecutor	No	4	220,597	844,267	26.1%	No					
		Dager	Miguel Angel	Deputy City Attorney	No	4	135,722	844,267	16.1%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/2/2004	Superior Judge	29	Full	Gomez	Gus	Deputy Attorney General	No	6	225,166	858,791	26.2%	Yes
					Jones	Lori	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	212,524	858,791	24.7%	No
					Gootman	Jeffrey S.	Deputy District Attorney	No	6	191,146	858,791	22.3%	No
					Layton	Larry H.	Law School Professor	No	6	113,946	858,791	13.3%	No
					Nison	Edward	Criminal Prosecutor/Instructor	No	6	58,979	858,791	6.9%	No
					Mack	C. Edward	Trial Attorney	No	6	57,030	858,791	6.6%	No
			52	Full	Gutierrez	John C.	Administrative Law Judge	No	3	344,951	854,495	40.4%	Yes
					Priver	Laura F.	Criminal Prosecutor	No	3	327,268	854,495	38.3%	No
					Diamond	Larry	Criminal Prosecutor	No	3	182,276	854,495	21.3%	No
			53	Full	Zeidler	Daniel Zeke	Superior Court Referee	No	6	246,541	877,994	28.1%	Yes
					Lopez	David	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	188,826	877,994	21.5%	No
					Henry	Bob	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	150,054	877,994	17.1%	No
					Mitchell	Craig Jordan	Criminal Prosecutor	No	6	144,061	877,994	16.4%	No
					Shook	Michael D.	Attorney at Law	No	6	93,753	877,994	10.7%	No
					Renetzky	Craig Allen	Criminal Trial Prosecutor	No	6	54,759	877,994	6.2%	No
			67	Full	Van Dusen	Richard W.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	618,657	788,221	78.5%	Yes
					Dik	Daniel K.	Civil Litigation Attorney	No	2	169,564	788,221	21.5%	No
			69	Full	Meyer	Judith Levey	Deputy District Attorney	No	5	274,729	846,763	32.4%	Yes
					Groman	Donna	Superior Court Commissioner	No	5	246,984	846,763	29.2%	No
					Najera	Carol	Criminal Prosecutor	No	5	167,048	846,763	19.7%	No
					Erwin	P. Michael	Criminal Prosecutor	No	5	87,623	846,763	10.3%	No
					Roth	Mitchell W.	Trial Lawyer	No	5	70,379	846,763	8.3%	No
			72	Full	Wesley	David S.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	4	481,142	862,595	55.8%	Yes
					Burke	Kevin	Police Sergeant	No	4	179,198	862,595	20.8%	No
					Lapin	Herbert R.	Crime Victim Advocate	No	4	119,435	862,595	13.8%	No
					Bershin	Daniel Lee	Criminal Prosecutor	No	4	82,820	862,595	9.6%	No
			95	Full	Oki	Dan Thomas	Judge of the Superior Court of California	Yes	4	480,108	849,036	56.5%	Yes
					Rhonan	Hilary Anne	Criminal Prosecutor	No	4	191,377	849,036	22.5%	No
					Debbaudt	Marc	Deputy District Attorney	No	4	100,435	849,036	11.8%	No
Salute	Eugene M.	Attorney			No	4	77,116	849,036	9.1%	No			
111	Full	McKay, Jr.	Chesley N.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	485,919	815,543	59.6%	Yes			
		Owens-Murrell	Stella L.	Civil Litigation Attorney	No	2	329,624	815,543	40.4%	No			
11/2/2004	Superior Judge	18	Full	Escobedo	Mildred	Superior Court Referee	No	2	1,154,372	2,283,121	50.6%	Yes	
				Campbell	Pat	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	1,128,749	2,283,121	49.4%	No	
		29	Full	Gomez	Gus	Deputy Attorney General	No	2	1,143,209	2,217,614	51.6%	Yes	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
					Jones	Lori	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	1,074,405	2,217,614	48.4%	No	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/2//2004	Superior Judge	52	Full	Priver	Laura F.	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	1,156,655	2,196,931	52.6%	Yes	
					Gutierrez	John C.	Administrative Law Judge	No	2	1,040,276	2,196,931	47.4%	No	
			53	Full	Zeidler	Daniel Zeke	Superior Court Referee	No	2	1,216,052	2,245,991	54.1%	Yes	
					Lopez	David	Criminal Prosecutor	No	2	1,029,939	2,245,991	45.9%	No	
			69	Full	Groman	Donna	Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	1,221,496	2,265,813	53.9%	Yes	
Meyer	Judy Levey	Criminal Prosecutor			No	2	1,044,317	2,265,813	46.1%	No				
MADERA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Dominici	Ronn	Madera County Supervisor	Yes	1	3,442	3,480	98.9%	Yes	
					Silva	John V.	Madera County Supervisor	No	3	810	1,912	42.4%	Yes	
						Rodriguez	Max	Insurance Agent	Yes	3	627	1,912	32.8%	No
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Bautista	Marc	Labor Relations	No	3	467	1,912	24.4%	No	
					Rodriguez	Max	Insurance Agent	Yes	2	1,939	3,433	56.5%	Yes	
					Silva	John V.	Madera County Supervisor	No	2	1,486	3,433	43.3%	No	
MARIN	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Brown	Harold	County Supervisor	Yes	2	13,077	16,126	81.1%	Yes	
					Caldararo	Niccolo	Anthropologist	No	2	3,049	16,126	18.9%	No	
			3	Full	McGlashan	Charles	Environmental Nonprofit Director	Yes	5	4,176	16,003	26.1%	Yes	
					Thompson	Andrew	Businessman	No	5	3,392	16,003	21.2%	No	
					Leonard	John	Educator	No	5	2,828	16,003	17.7%	No	
					Waldeck	Clifford	Council Mbr/Conservation Commissioner	No	5	2,818	16,003	17.6%	No	
					Griffin	Noah	Public Policy Advisor	No	5	2,789	16,003	17.4%	No	
			4	Full	Kinsey	Stephen H.	Marin County Supervisor	Yes	3	6,474	11,827	54.7%	Yes	
					Rodoni	Dennis J.	Water Board Official	No	3	4,321	11,827	36.5%	No	
					Nuyens	Louis	Information Systems Manager	No	3	1,032	11,827	8.7%	No	
			Superior Judge	Full	2	D'Opal	Faye	Public Interest Attorney	Yes	8	19,522	68,168	28.6%	Yes
						Haakenson	Paul	Deputy District Attorney	No	8	12,460	68,168	18.3%	No
						White	Matt	Attorney/Arbitrator	No	8	11,297	68,168	16.6%	No
						Meyers	Bernard	Attorney/Educator/Councilmember	No	8	7,476	68,168	11.0%	No
						Gibson	Jack	Attorney/Legal Educator	No	8	6,767	68,168	9.9%	No
Lagarias	Peter	Attorney				No	8	5,092	68,168	7.5%	No			
Rowland	Herbert	Attorney				No	8	2,976	68,168	4.4%	No			
Ritter	Tex	Supervising Attorney				No	8	2,578	68,168	3.8%	No			
3	Full	3	Dufficy	Michael	Superior Court Judge	Yes	3	37,725	65,055	58.0%	Yes			
			Fredrich	Erwin	Trial Attorney	No	3	15,958	65,055	24.5%	No			
			Scherer	Jim	Attorney	No	3	11,372	65,055	17.5%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
MARIN (continued)	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	McGlashan	Charles	Environmental Nonprofit Director	No	2	15,354	25,935	59.2%	Yes		
					Thompson	Andrew	Councilmember/Businessman/Father	No	2	10,581	25,935	40.8%	No		
		Superior Judge	2	Full	D'Opal	Faye	Public Interest Attorney	No	2	61,041	116,401	52.4%	Yes		
					Haakenson	Paul	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	55,360	116,401	47.6%	No		
MARIPOSA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Turpin	Lyle E.	Rancher	No	3	759	1,088	69.8%	Yes		
					Bennett	Yvonne	Caregiver	No	3	207	1,088	19.0%	No		
					Campbell	Dr. Ron	Businessman/Psychologist/Teacher	No	3	122	1,088	11.2%	No		
					4	Full	Fritz	Dianne	Restaurant Owner	No	5	402	1,327	30.3%	Yes
							Borchard	Robert "Bob"	Community Development Consultant	No	5	295	1,327	22.2%	No
							Young	Lowell	Retired Businessman	No	5	276	1,327	20.8%	No
	Standen	Michael R.	Life Long Resident	No			5	213	1,327	16.1%	No				
	5	Full	Pickard	Robert "Bob"	Incumbent Supervisor - District 5	Yes	2	812	1,383	58.7%	Yes				
			Taylor	Robert	Businessman	No	2	571	1,383	41.3%	No				
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Fritz	Dianne	Restaurant Owner	No	2	962	1,729	55.6%	Yes		
					Borchard	Robert "Bob"	Community Development Consultant	No	2	767	1,729	44.4%	No		
MENDOCINO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Delbar	Mike	1st District Supervisor	Yes	3	2,561	5,294	48.4%	Yes		
					Wildman	Joe Louis	Contract Negotiator	No	3	1,501	5,294	28.4%	No		
					Turner	Irma	Educator	No	3	1,223	5,294	23.1%	No		
					2	Full	Wattenburger	Jim	Retired City Councilmember	No	3	2,037	4,406	46.2%	Yes
							Shoemaker	Richard M.	2nd District Supervisor	Yes	3	1,815	4,406	41.2%	No
							Baldwin	Phil	Teacher/City Councilmember	No	3	537	4,406	12.2%	No
	4	Full	Smith	Kendall	Congressional District Representative	No	2	3,253	5,226	62.2%	Yes				
			Cardullo	Steve	Equipment Operator	No	2	1,954	5,226	37.4%	No				
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Delbar	Mike	1st District Supervisor	Yes	2	3,886	7,156	54.3%	Yes		
					Wildman	Joe Louis	Contract Negotiator	No	2	3,242	7,156	45.3%	No		
2					Full	Wattenburger	Jim	Retried City Councilmember	No	2	3,116	6,251	49.8%	Yes	
						Shoemaker	Richard M.	2nd District Supervisor	Yes	2	3,109	6,251	49.7%	No	
MERCED	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Pedrozo	John	Farmer	No	3	1,392	2,873	48.5%	Yes		
					Padilla	Diana M.	Research/Policy Analyst	No	3	967	2,873	33.7%	Yes		
					Lopez	Linda	Executive Director CTC	No	3	511	2,873	17.8%	No		
					2	Full	Crookham	Kathleen M.	Supervisory District 2	No	2	5,277	7,241	72.9%	Yes
							Crowder	Donna J.	Nurse	No	2	1,940	7,241	26.8%	No
					4	Full	Kelsey	Deidre F.	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,584	5,613	63.9%	Yes
							Neves	Lee	Agriculture Policy Consultant	No	2	2,017	5,613	35.9%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
MERCED (continued)	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Pedrozo	John	Farmer	No	2	3,800	6,229	61.0%	Yes		
					Padilla	Diana M.	Research/Policy Analyst	No	2	2,320	6,229	37.2%	No		
MODOC	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Moore	Roy M.	Retired Peace Officer	No	3	322	726	44.4%	Yes		
					Dunn	Michael E.	Supervisor 2nd District	Yes	3	227	726	31.3%	No		
					Sharpless	Ronald Phillip	Lodge Manger	No	3	177	726	24.4%	No		
			3	Full	Cantrall	Patricia Demick	Incumbent	Yes	1	536	551	97.3%	Yes		
					4	Full	Anklin	Raymond	Rancher	No	2	398	696	57.2%	Yes
							Hagge	William K.	Incumbent	Yes	2	293	696	42.1%	No
11/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Dunn	Michael E.	Supervisor 2nd District	Yes	2	593	987	60.1%	Yes			
				Moore	Roy	Retired Peace Officer	No	2	391	987	39.6%	No			
MONO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Hazard	Duane "Hap"	Sheriff Sergeant	No	2	420	824	51.0%	Yes		
					Pipersky	Mary	Incumbent	Yes	2	404	824	49.0%	No		
			3	Full	Magee-Bauer	Vikki	Business Owner	No	2	310	541	57.3%	Yes		
					Hodges	Peter	No Ballot Designation	No	2	228	541	42.1%	No		
			4	Full	Cecil	John D.	No Ballot Designation	No	2	380	744	51.1%	Yes		
					Huggans	Jan K.	Self-Employed Business Person	No	2	358	744	48.1%	No		
MONTEREY	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Armenta	Fernando	Monterey County Supervisor	No	1	3,402	3,402	100.0%	Yes		
					4	Full	Smith	Jerry	Mayor of Seaside	No	4	5,944	13,597	43.7%	Yes
							Parker	Jane	Healthcare Executive/Educator	No	4	3,798	13,597	27.9%	No
							Dunham	Darlene	Administrator/Businessowner/Educator	No	4	3,164	13,597	23.3%	No
			5	Full	McClair	Lance	Health Commissioner	No	4	691	13,597	5.1%	No		
					Potter	Dave	Monterey County Supervisor	No	3	14,340	27,308	52.5%	Yes		
							Collins	Steve	Business Owner/Educator	No	3	9,506	27,308	34.8%	No
			11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Goldbeck	Susan M.	City Councilmember/Attorney	No	3	3,462	27,308	12.7%	No
							Smith	Jerry	Mayor/Peace Officer	No	2	12,915	25,729	50.2%	Yes
			3/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Parker	Jane	Health Care Executive/Educator	No	2	12,711	25,729	49.4%	No
2	Full	Luce					Mark	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,185	6,185	100.0%	Yes	
		4	Full	Dodd	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	1	5,106	5,106	100.0%	Yes			
				5	Full	Moskowite	Harold	Rancher	No	2	3,328	6,548	50.8%	Yes	
Rippey	Mike	Napa County Supervisor	Yes			2	3,220	6,548	49.2%	No					
NEVADA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Diaz	Olivia	Retired Executive Director	No	3	3,975	8,742	45.5%	Yes		
					Beason	Nate	Retired Navy Captain	No	3	3,343	8,742	38.2%	No		
					Ramey	Joshua Willard	Firefighter/Business Manager	No	3	1,404	8,742	16.1%	No		
			2	Full	Horne	Susan M.	Nevada County Supervisor	Yes	2	5,756	7,902	72.8%	Yes		
					O'Rourke	Steve	Business Consultant/Entrepreneur	No	2	2,124	7,902	26.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
NEVADA (continued)	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Owens	Ted	Council Member/Businessman	Yes	1	3,181	3,365	94.5%	Yes		
			1	Full	Beason	Nate	Retired Navy Captain	No	2	5,923	11,354	52.2%	Yes		
					Diaz	Olivia	Retired Executive Director	No	2	5,386	11,354	47.4%	No		
			3	Full	Spencer	John C.	Business Owner	No	3	3,549	9,120	38.9%	Yes		
					Conklin	Bruce	Project Manager	No	3	3,080	9,120	33.8%	No		
					Stevens	Linda	Councilwoman/Business Owner	No	3	2,459	9,120	27.0%	No		
ORANGE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Correa	Lou	Member of the California State Assembly	No	4	27,635	62,381	44.3%	Yes		
					Broadwater	Bruce A.	Mayor	No	4	14,209	62,381	22.8%	No		
					Franklin	Brett E.	City Councilman/Businessman	No	4	10,989	62,381	17.6%	No		
					Marsh	Kermit	Westminster City Councilmember	No	4	9,548	62,381	15.3%	No		
				3	Full	Campbell	Bill	Orange County Supervisor, 3rd District	Yes	1	104,658	104,658	100.0%	Yes	
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor			Full	Correa	Lou	Member of the California State Assembly	No	2	62,242	114,197	54.5%	Yes	
						Broadwater	Bruce A.	Mayor of Garden Grove	No	2	51,955	114,197	45.5%	No	
						Director, Three Arch Bay CSD	Gapp	Elizabeth R.	Incumbent	Yes	6	369	1,636	22.6%	Yes
							Rubel	Gary	Local Business Owner	No	6	310	1,636	18.9%	Yes
							Wilson	Laurence	Incumbent	Yes	6	275	1,636	16.8%	Yes
	Davis	Scott	Retired Executive	No	6		261	1,636	16.0%	No					
						Nord	Jon	Retired Executive	No	6	259	1,636	15.8%	No	
						Dorse	Terry	Risk Manager	No	6	162	1,636	9.9%	No	
PLACER	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Dowdin	Alice	City Councilmember	No	3	6,215	16,506	37.7%	Yes		
					Holmes	Jim	Retired Business Owner	No	3	5,547	16,506	33.6%	No		
					Mackenroth	Dave	Auburn Business Owner	No	3	4,707	16,506	28.5%	No		
					4	Full	Gaines	Ted	Businessman/Placer County Supervisor	Yes	2	11,396	16,188	70.4%	Yes
							Tobin	Pamela E.	Local Business Owner	No	2	4,758	16,188	29.4%	No
							5	Full	Kranz	Bruce	Businessman/Retired Ranger	No	2	8,648	16,458
					Bloomfield	Rex	Placer County Supervisor/Teacher	Yes	2	7,752	16,458	47.1%	No		
	Superior Judge	1	Full	Nichols	Colleen M.	Superior Court Commissioner	No	2	50,878	77,131	66.0%	Yes			
				Rowley	Frank L.	Attorney/Retired CHP	No	2	26,045	77,131	33.8%	No			
				2	Full	Penney	Jeffrey S.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	53,003	74,727	70.9%	Yes	
						Burdick	Kevin	Attorney/Business Owner	No	2	21,482	74,727	28.7%	No	
					11/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Holmes	Jim	Retired Business Owner	No	2	12,806	24,172
					Dowdin	Alice	City Councilmember	No	2	11,321	24,172	46.8%	No		
PLUMAS	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Powers	Bill	Teacher/City Councilmember	No	2	999	1,904	52.5%	Yes		
					Pearson	B. J.	Incumbent	Yes	2	899	1,904	47.2%	No		
RIVERSIDE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Buster	Bob	County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	3	24,647	49,196	50.1%	Yes		
					Soubirous	Linda	President, Nonprofit Organization	No	3	16,971	49,196	34.5%	No		
					Pape	Kevin	Financial Planner/Businessman	No	3	7,578	49,196	15.4%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
RIVERSIDE (continued)	3/2/004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Stone	Jeff	Mayor/Businessman/Educator	Yes	2	41,810	72,516	57.7%	Yes		
					Venable	Jim	Riverside County Supervisor	No	2	30,706	72,516	42.3%	No		
	11/2/2004	Superior Judge	7	Full	Christian	Sarah Adams	Deputy District Attorney	Yes	7	73,188	214,220	34.2%	Yes		
					Cornell	Shaffer T.	Attorney/Youth Director	No	7	38,191	214,220	17.8%	No		
					Lewis	John W.	State Attorney	No	7	33,486	214,220	15.6%	No		
					Crossman	Derryl W.	Attorney/Educator/Businessman	No	7	29,695	214,220	13.9%	No		
					Kennedy	Richard A.	Attorney	No	7	19,065	214,220	8.9%	No		
					Faulhaber	C. Daniel	Lawyer/Adjunct Professor	No	7	12,830	214,220	6.0%	No		
					Herreman	Guy Arthur	Attorney/Educator	No	7	7,765	214,220	3.6%	No		
	11/2/2004	Superior Judge	7	Full	Christian	Sarah Adams	Deputy District Attorney	Yes	2	284,664	452,521	62.9%	Yes		
					Cornell	Shaffer T.	Attorney Criminal Civil	No	2	167,857	452,521	37.1%	No		
SACRAMENTO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	3	Full	Peters	Susan	Businesswoman	No	4	30,301	57,643	52.6%	Yes		
					Duveneck	Mike	Clinical Psychologist	No	4	11,745	57,643	20.4%	No		
					Harding	Warren G.	Parks Director	No	4	11,179	57,643	19.4%	No		
					Daughenbaugh	James A.	Retired Builder	No	4	4,418	57,643	7.7%	No		
	11/2/2004	Superior Judge	20	Full	Walters	Bob	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	3	20,402	54,011	37.8%	Yes		
					MacGlashan	Roberta	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	No	3	18,044	54,011	33.4%	No		
					Davis	Linda	SMUD Director/Engineer	No	3	15,565	54,011	28.8%	No		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Smith-Steward	Pamela	Superior Court Judge	Yes	2	168,472	221,420	76.1%	Yes		
					Engel	Julius M.	Senior Supervising Attorney	No	2	52,948	221,420	23.9%	No		
					MacGlashan	Roberta	City Councilmember/Businesswoman	No	2	42,481	84,537	50.3%	Yes		
					Walters	Bob	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	2	41,961	84,537	49.6%	No		
					Director, Elk Grove CSD	Full	McElroy	Douglas	Incumbent	Yes	8	17,359	79,807	21.8%	Yes
							Albani	Gil A.	Businessman/Rancher	No	8	16,325	79,807	20.5%	Yes
							Derr	Gerald H.	Incumbent	Yes	8	11,799	79,807	14.8%	Yes
Beal	Patricia A.	Community Volunteer	No	8			11,680	79,807	14.6%	No					
Luttrell	James	Retired Fire Captain	No	8			11,500	79,807	14.4%	No					
Case	Marnie	Business Organizational Manager	No	8			3,931	79,807	4.9%	No					
Director, Rancho Murieta CSD	Full	Loveland	Clifford B.	Retired State Manager	No	8	3,799	79,807	4.8%	No					
		Nowicki	Kevin	Retired	No	8	3,290	79,807	4.1%	No					
		White	William "Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,809	4,878	37.1%	Yes					
		Kuntz	Wayne W.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,730	4,878	35.5%	Yes					
					Belton	Roberta "Bobbi"	Retired Parole Agent	No	3	1,307	4,878	26.8%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SAN BENITO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Marcus	Don	Rancher/Business Owner	No	3	1,368	2,729	50.1%	Yes		
					Huston	Marci	Wholesale Flower Sales	No	3	981	2,729	35.9%	No		
					Brians	Grant	Engineer/Farmer	No	3	370	2,729	13.6%	No		
			2	Full	Botelho	Anthony J.	Grower-Shipper-Packer	No	3	1,212	2,928	41.4%	Yes		
					Freitas	Anthony	Orchard Preservationist	No	3	1,048	2,928	35.8%	No		
					Kesler	Ruth E.	Incumbent	Yes	3	662	2,928	22.6%	No		
	5	Full	Marshal	De La Cruz	Jaime	Accountant	No	2	875	1,743	50.2%	Yes			
				Cruz	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	2	864	1,743	49.6%	No			
				Scattini	Robert D.	Incumbent	Yes	3	6,869	11,665	58.9%	Yes			
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Alvarado	Joseph	911 Supervisor/Deputy	No	3	2,564	11,665	22.0%	No		
					Nunes	Larry	Private Investigator	No	3	2,164	11,665	18.6%	No		
					Botelho	Anthony J.	Orchard Owner/Farmer	No	2	2,377	4,150	57.3%	Yes		
SAN BERNARDINO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Postmus	Bill	Supervisor	Yes	2	45,711	53,945	84.7%	Yes		
					Nelson	Bob	Systems Analyst	No	2	8,136	53,945	15.1%	No		
			3	Full	5	Full	Hansberger	Dennis	Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	47,015	47,757	98.4%	Yes
							Longville	John	Legislator	No	10	6,758	28,686	23.6%	Yes
			11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Gonzales	Josie	City of Fontana Councilmember	No	10	6,048	28,686	21.1%	No
							Valdez	Martin	Chief of Staff	No	10	2,808	28,686	9.8%	No
	Scott	Ed					Businessman	No	10	2,771	28,686	9.7%	No		
	Estrada	Esther R.					Administrator	No	10	2,738	28,686	9.5%	No		
	Tillman	Danny					Trustee/County Manager	No	10	2,588	28,686	9.0%	No		
	Zamora	David R.					Community Director/Educator	No	10	2,246	28,686	7.8%	No		
	21	Full	Superior Judge	Full	Edinburgh	Victor G.	College Teacher	No	10	1,004	28,686	3.5%	No		
					Kidwell	R.E.	Retired Disabled Veteran	No	10	871	28,686	3.0%	No		
4	Full	5	Full	Lopez-Carson	Maria	Business Development	No	10	802	28,686	2.8%	No			
				Williams	David A.	Judge, Superior Court	Yes	2	121,062	185,392	65.3%	Yes			
11/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Kottmeier	Dennis	Retired District Attorney	No	2	63,632	185,392	34.3%	No			
				5	Full	Ovitt	Gary	Mayor/Educator	No	3	40,359	82,269	49.1%	Yes	
5	Full	County Supervisor	Ulloa			Eunice	Mayor/Business Manager	No	3	30,152	82,269	36.7%	No		
			5	Full	County Supervisor	Ayala	Maurice	Businessman	No	3	11,641	82,269	14.1%	No	
5	Full	County Supervisor				Gonzales	Josie	City of Fontana Councilmember	No	2	34,825	65,679	53.0%	Yes	
			5	Full	County Supervisor	Longville	John	Legislator	No	2	30,701	65,679	46.7%	No	



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN DIEGO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Cox	Greg	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	2	60,721	73,797	82.3%	Yes	
					Barajas	Petra E.	Retired Realtor/Broker	No	2	13,076	73,797	17.7%	No	
			2	Full	Jacob	Dianne	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	1	114,688	114,688	100.0%	Yes	
					Slater	Pam	San Diego County Supervisor	Yes	1	109,295	109,295	100.0%	Yes	
			Superior Judge	5	Full	Enright	Kevin A.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	330,948	463,430	71.4%	Yes
						McMillan	James I.	Attorney/Businessman	No	2	132,482	463,430	28.6%	No
		8		Full	Coates	Robert C.	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	2	317,816	457,756	69.4%	Yes	
					Plumb	Robert T.	Attorney at Law	No	2	139,940	457,756	30.6%	No	
		24	Full	Brannigan	Joseph P.	Federal Prosecutor	No	5	167,915	462,952	36.3%	Yes		
				Hendren	Dave	Deputy District Attorney	No	5	104,611	462,952	22.6%	No		
				Laqua	Lisa M.	Consumer Rights Attorney	No	5	101,407	462,952	21.9%	No		
				Sullivan	Daniel J.	Attorney/Law Professor	No	5	70,041	462,952	15.1%	No		
	Peckham			Edward J.	Lawyer	No	5	18,978	462,952	4.1%	No			
	11/2/2004	Director, Jacumba CSD	Full	Marshall, Jr.	Joe A.	Retired Computer Specialist	No	3	107	277	38.6%	Yes		
				Barnett	E. Dixon "Moon"	Retired Resort Manager	No	3	104	277	37.5%	Yes		
				Jimenez	Jesus S.	Welder	No	3	66	277	23.8%	No		
		Director, Rancho Santa Fe CSD	Full	Laret	James A.	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,666	4,311	38.6%	Yes		
				Moul	Douglas W.	Business Consultant	No	3	1,425	4,311	33.1%	Yes		
				Bray	Barbara T.	Farmer	No	3	1,220	4,311	28.3%	No		
		Director, Whispering Palms CSD	Full	McHenry	Kathy	Incumbent	Yes	4	700	2,387	29.3%	Yes		
				Keep	Philip R.	Incumbent	Yes	4	650	2,387	27.2%	Yes		
				Arckless	Alan	Retired Aeronautical Engineer	No	4	621	2,387	26.0%	Yes		
				Mirza	John	Retiree	No	4	416	2,387	17.4%	No		
Member, Alpine CPA		Full	Price	Mark	Board Mbr, Community Planning Group	Yes	15	3,773	42,658	8.8%	Yes			
			Lenz	Cheryl J.	Community Volunteer	No	15	3,674	42,658	8.6%	Yes			
			Mowry	Jim	Pastor	No	15	3,247	42,658	7.6%	Yes			
			Urdahl	Larry	Mbr, Community Planning Group	No	15	3,154	42,658	7.4%	Yes			
			Benson	Douglas G.	Incumbent	Yes	15	3,131	42,658	7.3%	Yes			
			Cox, Sr.	Harold L.	Retired Police Captain	No	15	2,988	42,658	7.0%	Yes			
			Lesh	Caree	College Faculty/Counselor	No	15	2,932	42,658	6.9%	Yes			
			Forlenza	Joe	Retirement Planner	No	15	2,706	42,658	6.3%	Yes			
	Holmes		Ned	Business Owner	No	15	2,680	42,658	6.3%	No				
	Richards		Linda	Health/Science Writer	No	15	2,664	42,658	6.2%	No				
	Field-Karsh		Lynn	Senior Administrative Analyst	No	15	2,623	42,658	6.1%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN DIEGO (continued)	11/2/2004	Member, Alpine CPA		Full	Barnett	George	Retired	No	15	2,479	42,658	5.8%	No
					Gonya	Paul	Custom Homebuilder/Horsebreeder	No	15	2,383	42,658	5.6%	No
					Connell	Neville	Apptd Mbr, Community Planning Group	Yes	15	2,352	42,658	5.5%	No
					Toby	Michael J.	Retired Utility Manager	No	15	1,872	42,658	4.4%	No
		Member, Campo/Lake Morena CPA	Full	Johnson	Larry	Retired Electrical Engineer	No	7	679	3,402	20.0%	Yes	
				Kreger	Robert G.	Retired Probation Officer	No	7	581	3,402	17.1%	Yes	
				Shea	Bob	Rancher/Business Owner	No	7	537	3,402	15.8%	Yes	
				Perkiss-Driscoll	Shirley J.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	7	485	3,402	14.3%	Yes	
				Carmody	Joseph A.	Contract Administrator	No	7	462	3,402	13.6%	Yes	
				Borstadt	Richard E.	Media Producer	No	7	332	3,402	9.8%	No	
				Thometz	Michael C.	Retired	No	7	326	3,402	9.6%	No	
		Member, Fallbrook CPA	Full	Christiansen	Harry	Incumbent	Yes	10	8,186	69,526	11.8%	Yes	
				Pfeil	Mary Jane	Incumbent	Yes	10	7,855	69,526	11.3%	Yes	
				Bowen	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	10	7,764	69,526	11.2%	Yes	
				Major	Carolyn	Retired Business Owner	No	10	7,291	69,526	10.5%	Yes	
				Sanacore	Charles	Retired/Avocado Rancher	No	10	7,108	69,526	10.2%	Yes	
				Oenning	Jim	Engineer/Business Owner	No	10	6,806	69,526	9.8%	Yes	
				Crouch	John	Incumbent	Yes	10	6,675	69,526	9.6%	Yes	
				Perez	Isaac "Ike"	Retired Civil Engineer	No	10	6,209	69,526	8.9%	Yes	
				Voigt	Dumonte	Retired Engineering Executive	No	10	5,890	69,526	8.5%	No	
				Eastman	Carol S.	Event Coordinator	No	10	5,742	69,526	8.3%	No	
		Member, Julian CPA	Full	Barnes	Franklin "Woody"	Farmer	No	9	1,132	6,646	17.0%	Yes	
				Redding	Ray R.	Retired Pipeline Contractor	No	9	1,009	6,646	15.2%	Yes	
				Birdsell	Betty Jean	Retail Shop Owner	No	9	976	6,646	14.7%	Yes	
				Mushet	Patricia A.	No Ballot Designation	No	9	816	6,646	12.3%	No	
				Vedova-Bryan	Vicky	Self-Employed	No	9	768	6,646	11.6%	No	
				Steadham	Peggy L.	Draftsman	No	9	711	6,646	10.7%	No	
Shelver	Jack			Retired City Manager	No	9	556	6,646	8.4%	No			
Zane	Roberta			Writer	No	9	382	6,646	5.7%	No			
Steutel	Brian			Retired General Manager	No	9	296	6,646	4.5%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
SAN DIEGO (continued)	11/2/2004	Member, Lakeside CPA		Full	Shackelford	Janis	Member, Community Planning Group	Yes	16	9,954	115,152	8.6%	Yes			
					Clegg	Robin	Fire Relief Director	No	16	9,590	115,152	8.3%	Yes			
					Allen, Jr.	Wyatt	Member, Community Planning Group	Yes	16	9,221	115,152	8.0%	Yes			
					Lambert	Phillip S.	Wildlife Sanctuary Manager	No	16	8,684	115,152	7.5%	Yes			
					Strom	Linda	Incumbent	Yes	16	8,325	115,152	7.2%	Yes			
					Smith	Rick	Incumbent	Yes	16	8,035	115,152	7.0%	Yes			
					Barnard	George S.	Incumbent	Yes	16	7,377	115,152	6.4%	Yes			
					Colgan	William	Retired Federal Agent	No	16	7,266	115,152	6.3%	Yes			
					Nelson	Peter	Retired Secondary Teacher	No	16	6,840	115,152	5.9%	No			
					Burnett	Joan	Community Volunteer	No	16	6,629	115,152	5.8%	No			
					Dintrone	Patricia	College Administrator	No	16	6,231	115,152	5.4%	No			
					Botter	Anton	Self-Employed Landscape Contractor	No	16	6,020	115,152	5.2%	No			
					Sabbadini	Gail	College Teacher	No	16	5,943	115,152	5.2%	No			
					Medvitz	Tom	Retired Executive	No	16	5,238	115,152	4.5%	No			
					Horger	Joe	Software Systems Engineer	No	16	5,070	115,152	4.4%	No			
					Bugbee	Julie	Antiques Dealer	No	16	4,729	115,152	4.1%	No			
					Member, Ramona CPA		Full	Tobiason	Angus G.	Incumbent	Yes	9	8,848	60,457	14.6%	Yes
								Anderson	Chris	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	9	7,820	60,457	12.9%	Yes
								Osborn	Vivian D.	Farmer/Housewife	No	9	7,344	60,457	12.1%	Yes
Dorroh	Carolyn A.	Hardware Engineer	No	9				6,976	60,457	11.5%	Yes					
Simmons	Andrew	Businessman	No	9				6,695	60,457	11.1%	Yes					
Radzik	Helene E.	Paralegal	No	9				6,513	60,457	10.8%	Yes					
Stines	Luauna	Pastor/Businesswoman	No	9				5,804	60,457	9.6%	Yes					
Troiano	Amanda	Student	No	9				5,784	60,457	9.6%	Yes					
Van Dierendonck	L. "Dutch"	Incumbent	Yes	9				4,673	60,457	7.7%	No					
Member, Valle De Oro CPA		Full	Mitrovich	Dan	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	16	7,658	91,288	8.4%	Yes					
			Millar	Randall S.	Incumbent	Yes	16	7,559	91,288	8.3%	Yes					
			Ripperger	Gloria M.	Incumbent	Yes	16	7,226	91,288	7.9%	Yes					
			Manning	Clarice	Incumbent	Yes	16	6,981	91,288	7.6%	Yes					
			Hyatt	Dan	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	16	6,748	91,288	7.4%	Yes					
			Henderson	Allison M.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	16	6,564	91,288	7.2%	Yes					
			Brownlee	Susan	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	16	6,354	91,288	7.0%	Yes					
			Collier	Gerald	Member, Community Planning Group	No	16	5,844	91,288	6.4%	Yes					
			Hyatt	Joel S.	Urban Planner/Manager	No	16	5,629	91,288	6.2%	No					
			Oakes	Thomas W.	Business Owner/Research Scientist	No	16	5,169	91,288	5.7%	No					
			Goodell	John	Independent Businessman	No	16	4,997	91,288	5.5%	No					

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN DIEGO (continued)	11/2/2004	Member, Valle De Oro CPA		Full	Starrett, II	Carl H.	Business Law Attorney	No	16	4,638	91,288	5.1%	No
					Conard, Jr.	Philip R.	Business Manager	No	16	4,393	91,288	4.8%	No
					Smith	Daniel	Business Manager	No	16	4,386	91,288	4.8%	No
					Baldivia	Cheryl	Insurance Agent	No	16	4,070	91,288	4.5%	No
					Torres-Reyes	Debra Roberts	Defense Attorney/Parent	No	16	3,072	91,288	3.4%	No
		Member, Valley Center CPA	Full		Schwartz	Leon	Retired Army Colonel	No	16	2,963	38,179	7.8%	Yes
				Nolan	Elaine	Teacher	No	16	2,941	38,179	7.7%	Yes	
				Washburn	Andy	Apptd Mbr, Community Planning Group	Yes	16	2,809	38,179	7.4%	Yes	
				Johnson	Craig T.	Property Manager	No	16	2,759	38,179	7.2%	Yes	
				Simpson	Keith	Airline Pilot	No	16	2,627	38,179	6.9%	Yes	
				Preston	Kristine L.	Biologist	No	16	2,555	38,179	6.7%	Yes	
				Hofler	Deborah	Veterinarian	No	16	2,534	38,179	6.6%	Yes	
				Shoemaker	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	16	2,363	38,179	6.2%	Yes	
				Richards	Don F.	Self Employed	No	16	2,288	38,179	6.0%	No	
				Smith	Sandy	Incumbent	Yes	16	2,195	38,179	5.7%	No	
				Vick	Jonathan C.	Medical Consultant	No	16	2,160	38,179	5.7%	No	
				Van Koughnett	Terry	Community Volunteer	No	16	2,114	38,179	5.5%	No	
				Rudolf	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	16	1,999	38,179	5.2%	No	
				Smith	Oliver J.	Electrical Engineer	No	16	1,988	38,179	5.2%	No	
		Ingraham	Roger K.	Retired County Employee	No	16	1,949	38,179	5.1%	No			
	Prime	Carol	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	16	1,935	38,179	5.1%	No				
	Superior Judge	1	Full	Cowett	Patricia	Judge of the Superior Court	Yes	1	725,184	725,184	100.0%	Yes	
24				Full	Brannigan	Joseph P.	Federal Prosecutor	No	2	554,119	833,850	66.5%	Yes
				Hendren	David M.	Prosecutor	No	2	279,731	833,850	33.5%	No	
SAN FRANCISCO	3/2/2004	County Council		Full	King	Susan C.	Non-Profit Fundraising Director	No	12	2,934	28,000	10.5%	Yes
					Powell	Catherine	Journalist	No	12	2,764	28,000	9.9%	Yes
					Kim	Sujung	Deputy Public Defender	No	12	2,743	28,000	9.8%	Yes
					DeBoer	Maureen	Community Programs Director	No	12	2,690	28,000	9.6%	Yes
					Alterman	Michael	Community Volunteer	No	12	2,631	28,000	9.4%	Yes
					Chandonia	John-Marc	Computational Biologist	No	12	2,434	28,000	8.7%	Yes
					Knox	Kimberley M.	Solar Energy Coordinator	No	12	2,422	28,000	8.7%	Yes
					Quick	Paul	Physician	No	12	2,400	28,000	8.6%	Yes
					Salomon	Marc	Police Reform Treasurer	No	12	2,279	28,000	8.1%	Yes
					Herman	Micheas C.	No Ballot Designation	No	12	1,498	28,000	5.4%	No
					Petroni	Carlos	Newspaper Editor	No	12	1,368	28,000	4.9%	No
					Finn	Chris	Train Operator/Student	No	12	1,338	28,000	4.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN FRANCISCO (continued)	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	McGoldrick	Jake	San Francisco Supervisor	Yes	7	11,791	28,697	41.1%	Yes
					Sing	Lilian	Mediator	No	7	8,959	28,697	31.2%	No
					Tuchow	Matt	Attorney/Teacher	No	7	2,859	28,697	10.0%	No
					Heller	David	Small Business Owner	No	7	2,003	28,697	7.0%	No
					Tsai	Rose	Radio Host	No	7	1,581	28,697	5.5%	No
					Dawydiak	Leanna	Police Sergeant/Attorney	No	7	1,373	28,697	4.8%	No
					Freebairn	Jeffrey S.	Technical Account Consultant	No	7	131	28,697	0.5%	No
		2	Full		Alioto-Pier	Michela	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	21,013	34,308	61.2%	Yes
					Braccini	Steve	Attorney	No	5	5,763	34,308	16.8%	No
					Pascal	David	Local Business Developer	No	5	4,207	34,308	12.3%	No
					Schulke	Roger E.	Computer Company Executive	No	5	1,950	34,308	5.7%	No
					Shah	Jay R.	CPA	No	5	1,375	34,308	4.0%	No
		3	Full		Peskin	Aaron	San Francisco Supervisor	Yes	4	16,120	25,771	62.6%	Yes
					O'Flynn	Brian Murphy	Neighborhood Small Businessman	No	4	4,581	25,771	17.8%	No
					Wong	Eugene Chi-Ching	Immigration Attorney	No	4	3,534	25,771	13.7%	No
					Busalacchi	Sal	Small Business Owner	Yes	4	1,536	25,771	6.0%	No
		5	Full		Mirkarimi	Ross	District Attorney Investigator	No	22	9,928	34,955	28.4%	Yes
					Haaland	Robert	Labor/Housing Organizer	No	22	5,096	34,955	14.6%	No
					Feldstein	Lisa	Community Housing Executive	No	22	3,242	34,955	9.3%	No
					Waugh	Nick	Labor Rights Advisor	No	22	3,007	34,955	8.6%	No
					Sullivan	Andrew	Internet Service Executive	No	22	2,474	34,955	7.1%	No
					Barnes	Bill	District Supervisor's Representative	No	22	1,659	34,955	4.7%	No
					Siegel	Jim	Small Business Owner	No	22	1,537	34,955	4.4%	No
					Kalb	Dan	Environmental Policy Director	No	22	1,393	34,955	4.0%	No
					King	Susan C.	Non-Profit Fundraising Director	No	22	971	34,955	2.8%	No
					O'Connor	Michael E.	Small Business Owner	No	22	860	34,955	2.5%	No
					Wheeler	Brett	Professor	No	22	825	34,955	2.4%	No
					Blue	Joseph	Loan Consultant	No	22	792	34,955	2.3%	No
					Sniffen	Tys	Small Business Owner	No	22	684	34,955	2.0%	No
					Streets	Phoenix	Deputy Public Defender	No	22	654	34,955	1.9%	No
					Davis	Julian	Doctoral Student	No	22	412	34,955	1.2%	No
					Gilman	Emmett	Attorney	No	22	390	34,955	1.1%	No
Somsel	Francis				Business Development Consultant	No	22	365	34,955	1.0%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN FRANCISCO (continued)	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Anderson	Rob	Dishwasher	No	22	332	34,955	0.9%	No
					Wilder	Vivian	Consumer Advisor	No	22	129	34,955	0.4%	No
					Ciocca	Patrick M.	Attorney-Restaurant Host	No	22	87	34,955	0.2%	No
					House	Philip	No Ballot Designation	No	22	61	34,955	0.2%	No
					Brown	H.	No Ballot Designation	No	22	57	34,955	0.2%	No
	7	Full	Elsbernd	Sean R.	Appointed Supervisor	Yes	13	10,475	31,523	33.2%	Yes		
			Linnebach	Christine	Attorney	No	13	6,764	31,523	21.5%	No		
			Wang	Isaac	Financial Advisor	No	13	2,717	31,523	8.6%	No		
			Corrales	Gregory	Police Captain	No	13	2,550	31,523	8.1%	No		
			O'Brien	Milton "Rennie"	Physician/Teacher	No	13	2,359	31,523	7.5%	No		
			Grigg, III	Vernon C.	Attorney	No	13	2,082	31,523	6.6%	No		
			Reifsteck	Shawn	Nonprofit Executive	No	13	1,103	31,523	3.5%	No		
			Mallen	Michael Patrick	Management Consultant	No	13	968	31,523	3.1%	No		
			Lakey	Pat	Field Representative	No	13	760	31,523	2.4%	No		
			Kaff	Svetlana	Attorney/Business Owner	No	13	541	31,523	1.7%	No		
			Belenson	Art	Senior Assessment Clerk	No	13	507	31,523	1.6%	No		
			Kini	Sheela	Parent	No	13	349	31,523	1.1%	No		
			Parker	David	Businessman/Restaurant Manager	No	13	348	31,523	1.1%	No		
	9	Full	Ammiano	Tom	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	6	12,547	24,733	50.7%	Yes		
			Saucedo	Renee	Civil Rights Attorney	No	6	5,460	24,733	22.1%	No		
			Bustos	Miguel	Community Relations Advisor	No	6	4,318	24,733	17.5%	No		
			Bermudez	Lucrecia	Immigrants Rights Organizer	No	6	1,018	24,733	4.1%	No		
			Zeltzer	Steve	Stationary Engineer	No	6	798	24,733	3.2%	No		
			Perez	Jame Boris	Voice Communications Manager	No	6	575	24,733	2.3%	No		
	11	Full	Sandoval	Gerardo	Incumbent	Yes	8	7,427	23,039	32.2%	Yes		
			Lim	Myrna Viray	Small Business Owner	No	8	4,259	23,039	18.5%	No		
			Medina	Jose	Environmental Board Member	No	8	2,852	23,039	12.4%	No		
			Grier	Anita	Educator/Administrator	No	8	2,787	23,039	12.1%	No		
			Bonilla	Roland A.	No Ballot Designation	No	8	2,279	23,039	9.9%	No		
			Silverberg	Rebecca Reynolds	Community Volunteer	No	8	1,810	23,039	7.9%	No		
			Yuen	Tom	Police Sergeant	No	8	1,318	23,039	5.7%	No		
			Silverio	Fil M.	Retired Infantry Officer	No	8	307	23,039	1.3%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN JOAQUIN	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Gutierrez	Steve	County Supervisor	Yes	3	7,686	12,963	59.3%	Yes
					Giovanetti	Gary S.	Businessman/Council Member	No	3	4,453	12,963	34.4%	No
					Warren, Sr.	Joe C.	Retired/Entrepreneur	No	3	796	12,963	6.1%	No
			3	Full	Mow	Victor	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	1	16,023	16,268	98.5%	Yes
			5	Full	Ornellas	Leroy	Dairy Farmer	Yes	1	17,524	17,756	98.7%	Yes
			11/2/2004	Director, Lockeford CSD	Full	Gordon	Gary L.	Retired School Teacher	Yes	3	512	1,373	37.3%
	Littlefield	Chris				Productions Facilities Manager	No	3	435	1,373	31.7%	Yes	
	Eklund	Richard C.				Engineer/Innkeeper	No	3	426	1,373	31.0%	No	
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Ovitt	Harry	County Supervisor	Yes	3	10,068	14,366	70.1%
Harvey						Susan A.	Business Owner	No	3	2,589	14,366	18.0%	No
Del Campo						Dan	Marketing Manager	No	3	1,673	14,366	11.6%	No
3				Full	Lenthall	Jerry	Retired Police Supervisor	No	4	7,179	14,637	49.0%	Yes
Andreen				Patricia	Gov Bd Mbr, San Luis Coastal USD	No	4	5,606	14,637	38.3%	No		
RabenaIdt				Bill	Pismo Beach City Councilmember	No	4	1,199	14,637	8.2%	No		
Howell				Erik	Lucia Mar USD Governing Board Member	No	4	608	14,637	4.2%	No		
5				Full	Patterson	Jim	Water Conservation Manager	No	2	7,610	14,046	54.2%	Yes
Ryan				Mike	County Supervisor/Rancher	Yes	2	6,390	14,046	45.5%	No		
11/2/2004				County Supervisor	3	Full	Lenthall	Jerry	Retired Police Supervisor	No	2	11,967	23,542
		Andreen	Patty				Gov Bd Mbr, San Luis Coastal USD	No	2	11,542	23,542	49.0%	No
		Director, Cambria CSD	Full	Villeneuve	Donald	Retired	No	6	2,311	9,853	23.5%	Yes	
				Cobin	Joan T.	Incumbent	Yes	6	2,255	9,853	22.9%	Yes	
				Funke-Bilu	Ilan	Attorney at Law	No	6	2,249	9,853	22.8%	Yes	
				Kalshhan	Vern	Environmental Attorney	No	6	1,182	9,853	12.0%	No	
				May	Helen	Retired Librarian	No	6	1,083	9,853	11.0%	No	
				Kalshhan	Louise	Retired Executive Secretary	No	6	734	9,853	7.4%	No	
		Director, Cayucos CSD <sup>P</sup>	Full	Brett	George	Carpenter	No	7	805	3,952	20.4%	Yes	
				McHale	Bud	Reti. Police Chief	No	7	579	3,952	14.7%	Yes	
				Stevens	Dave	Real Estate Appraiser	No	7	509	3,952	12.9%	Yes	
				Pettineli	Carol	Accountant	No	7	500	3,952	12.7%	Yes	
Wessel				Ralph	Retired Engineering Manager	No	7	476	3,952	12.0%	Yes		
Carnegie	Edgar J.			Ret Professor Agricultural Engineering	No	7	451	3,952	11.4%	No			
Carsel	John M.			Attorney	No	7	354	3,952	9.0%	No			
Director, Heritage Ranch CSD	Full	Bell	Harlan H.	Retired Electrical Engineer	No	4	513	1,610	31.9%	Yes			
		Cummings	Karen	No Ballot Designation	No	4	412	1,610	25.6%	Yes			
		Breland	Marilyn J.	Former City Councilwoman	No	4	392	1,610	24.3%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
					Fernandez	Linda R.	Teacher	No	4	279	1,610	17.3%	No
<i><sup>p</sup>Proposed Community Service District.</i>													
SAN LUIS OBISPO	11/2/2004	Director, Los Osos CSD		Full	Schicker	Lisa K.	Biologist/Env. Specialist/Technical Writer	No	3	5,123	12,088	42.4%	Yes
(continued)					Tacker	Julie	Community Volunteer/Estate Executor	No	3	4,014	12,088	33.2%	Yes
					Peterson	Tina M.	Environmental Planner	No	3	2,636	12,088	21.8%	No
		Director, Nipomo CSD		Full	Eby	Ed	Retired Engineer	No	4	2,271	6,823	33.3%	Yes
					Winn	Michael "Mike"	Educator	No	4	1,781	6,823	26.1%	Yes
					Smith	Michael D.	Civil and Structural Engineer	No	4	1,655	6,823	24.3%	No
					Blair	Bob	Retired Pharmacist	No	4	1,096	6,823	16.1%	No
		Director, Oceano CSD		Full	Hill	Jim	Power Plant Engineer	No	5	1,167	4,512	25.9%	Yes
					Dahl	Vern	Incumbent	Yes	5	929	4,512	20.6%	Yes
					Bookout	Bill	Owner of Oceano Nursery	No	5	878	4,512	19.5%	Yes
					Senna	Bill	Local Independent Businessman	No	5	827	4,512	18.3%	No
					Searcy	Rick	Construction Worker	No	5	673	4,512	14.9%	No
		Director, San Simeon CSD		Full	Russell	John K.	Retired University Dean	No	4	105	327	32.1%	Yes
					Fields	Alan	Commercial Driver	No	4	80	327	24.5%	Yes
					Mirabal-Boubion	L.	Retired Educator	No	4	71	327	21.7%	Yes
					Crisler	Jane	Human Resources Manager	No	4	62	327	19.0%	No
		Director, Templeton CSD		Full	Bergman	Robert	Self-Employed Business Person	No	4	1,433	5,296	27.1%	Yes
					Hunt	Kevin	Insurance Agent	No	4	1,383	5,296	26.1%	Yes
					Dietch	Judith	No Ballot Designation	No	4	1,315	5,296	24.8%	Yes
					Mather	Randy	Retired Farmer	No	4	1,153	5,296	21.8%	No
SAN MATEO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Church	Mark	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	2	93,138	120,502	77.3%	Yes
					Zografos	Taso	Parent/Businessman	No	2	27,364	120,502	22.7%	No
			4	Full	Gibson	Rose Jacobs	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	2	82,659	112,434	73.5%	Yes
					Buetens	Stan	Paralegal/Educator	No	2	29,775	112,434	26.5%	No
			5	Full	Tissier	Adrienne	Businesswoman/Councilmember	No	2	101,529	101,529	100.0%	Yes
SANTA BARBARA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Carbajal	Salud	Supervisor Executive Staff	No	2	11,631	17,892	65.0%	Yes
					Gostovich	John B.	Economist	No	2	6,203	17,892	34.7%	No
			3	Full	Firestone	Brooks	Farmer/Teacher	No	4	12,417	23,045	53.9%	Yes
					Buttny	John	3rd District Supervisor	No	4	8,416	23,045	36.5%	No
					Pappas	Steven Andrew	Businessman	No	4	1,504	23,045	6.5%	No
					Gardner	Slick	Rancher	No	4	682	23,045	3.0%	No
			4	Full	Gray	Joni	Incumbent	Yes	2	15,639	19,811	78.9%	Yes
					Niesen	Gerry	Retired Computer Engineer	No	2	4,065	19,811	20.5%	No
		Superior Judge		Full	Rigali	James F.	Attorney	No	4	26,284	84,143	31.2%	Yes
					Bullard	Edward H.	Deputy District Attorney	No	4	22,277	84,143	26.5%	No



TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SANTA BARBARA (continued)	11/2/2004	Director, Los Alamos CSD		Full	Hall	James M.	Attorney	No	4	17,774	84,143	21.1%	No		
					Andrade	Adrian Stephen	Attorney/Businessperson	No	4	17,454	84,143	20.7%	No		
					Torres	Larry A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	403	1,308	30.8%	Yes		
					Herrera	Bob	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	4	336	1,308	25.7%	Yes		
					Williams	T. R. "Randy"	Incumbent	Yes	4	335	1,308	25.6%	Yes		
					Russell	Ernest "Sonny"	No Ballot Designation	No	4	234	1,308	17.9%	No		
		Director, Vandenberg Village CSD	Full	Wyckoff	Robert A.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,932	7,259	26.6%	Yes			
				Brooks	Christopher C.	Retired Teacher	Yes	4	1,834	7,259	25.3%	Yes			
				Rowland	Donald D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,773	7,259	24.4%	Yes			
				Blair	Charles E.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,720	7,259	23.7%	No			
				Superior Judge	6	Full	Rigali	James F.	Attorney	No	2	71,677	140,492	51.0%	Yes
							Bullard	Edward H.	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	68,815	140,492	49.0%	No
SANTA CLARA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor		Full	Alvarado	Blanco	Second District Supervisor	Yes	1	27,677	27,677	100.0%	Yes		
					McHugh	Pete "Primo"	County Supervisor, District 3	Yes	1	35,148	35,148	100.0%	Yes		
					Kniss	Liz	Santa Clara County Supervisor	Yes	1	62,310	62,310	100.0%	Yes		
		Superior Judge	7	Full	Bonini	Griffin	Deputy District Attorney	No	3	105,068	230,707	45.5%	Yes		
					Colin	Enrique	Government Attorney	No	3	75,216	230,707	32.6%	No		
					Burrow	Lance	Attorney at Law	No	3	50,423	230,707	21.9%	No		
					18	Full	Guerrero-Daley	Teresa	Attorney in Santa Clara County	Yes	2	138,259	235,210	58.8%	Yes
		Monahan	William J.	Attorney in Santa Clara County			No	2	96,951	235,210	41.2%	No			
		11/2/2004	Superior Judge	7	Full	Bonini	Griffin	Deputy District Attorney	No	2	269,861	448,851	60.1%	Yes	
						Colin	Enrique	Government Attorney	No	2	178,990	448,851	39.9%	No	
SANTA CRUZ	3/2/2004	County Supervisor		Full	Beautz	Janet K.	Incumbent	Yes	1	10,963	10,963	100.0%	Yes		
					2	Full	Pirie	Ellen	Incumbent	Yes	2	9,205	15,658	58.8%	Yes
							Truman	Peter	Businessman/Accountant/Father	No	2	6,453	15,658	41.2%	No
					5	Full	Stone	Mark W.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	5,722	15,526	36.9%	Yes
							Aguilar	Stephany E.	Council Member, City of Scotts Valley	No	5	3,308	15,526	21.3%	No
							Johnson	Randy	Businessperson/Councilmember	No	5	2,881	15,526	18.6%	No
					11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Landis	Tod	Retired Engineer	No	5	1,864	15,526
		Matthes	Denese	Small Business Owner					No	5	1,751	15,526	11.3%	No	
		11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Stone	Mark	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	13,680	23,934	57.2%	Yes	
						Aguilar	Stephany E.	Council Member, City of Scotts Valley	No	2	10,210	23,934	42.7%	No	
SHASTA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Cibula	Mark	Councilman/Attorney	No	5	3,223	8,881	36.3%	Yes		
					Leach	Stanley Scott	Businessman	No	5	2,803	8,881	31.6%	No		
					Wright	Roberta	Small Business Owner	No	5	1,347	8,881	15.2%	No		
					Pappas	John	Housing Coordinator	No	5	790	8,881	8.9%	No		
					Anderson	Andy S.	Retired	No	5	718	8,881	8.1%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
			3	Full	Hawes	Glenn	County Supervisor	Yes	1	6,968	6,968	100.0%	Yes		
SHASTA (continued)	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	4	Full	Hartman	Linda	Small Business Owner	Yes	8	2,090	7,923	26.4%	Yes		
					Bosetti	Rick	Businessman	No	8	1,880	7,923	23.7%	No		
					White	Ken	Financial Advisor	No	8	1,314	7,923	16.6%	No		
					Lindsay	Rod "Redwheels"	Shasta Lake Council Member	No	8	785	7,923	9.9%	No		
					Palmer	Gracious	Businesswoman	No	8	659	7,923	8.3%	No		
					Munroe	Dean	College Instructor	No	8	571	7,923	7.2%	No		
					Duryee	Debra	Small Business Owner	No	8	375	7,923	4.7%	No		
	Bailey	Dwight M.	Painter/Master Carpenter	No	8	249	7,923	3.1%	No						
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Cibula	Mark	Councilman/Attorney/Businessman	No	2	7,692	14,816	51.9%	Yes		
					Leach	Stanley Scott	Businessman	No	2	7,124	14,816	48.1%	No		
SIERRA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Hartman	Linda	Small Business Owner	No	2	7,379	14,586	50.6%	Yes		
					Bosetti	Rick	Businessman	No	2	7,207	14,586	49.4%	No		
					Gutman	Arnold	Incumbent	Yes	2	178	365	48.8%	Yes		
					Jordan	B.J.	Writer	No	2	143	365	39.2%	No		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Nunes	Bill	Supervisor, District 3	Yes	2	215	329	65.3%	Yes		
					Amodei	John L.	Building Contractor	No	2	111	329	33.7%	No		
					Mitchell	Brooks	Incumbent	Yes	1	163	171	95.3%	Yes		
					Gutman	Arnold "Arnie"	Incumbent	Yes	2	291	471	61.8%	Yes		
					Jordan	B.J.	Writer	No	2	75	471	15.9%	No		
SISKIYOU	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Cook	Jim	Business Consultant/Rancher	No	4	958	2,314	41.4%	Yes		
					Dutra	Clancy	Rancher	No	4	598	2,314	25.8%	No		
					Tracy	Ernest "Ernie"	Agricultural Inspection Supervisor	No	4	488	2,314	21.1%	No		
					Parker	Ron	No Ballot Designation	No	4	261	2,314	11.3%	No		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Erickson	Lavada	No Ballot Designation	No	1	2,274	2,348	96.8%	Yes		
					Overman	Bill	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,398	2,437	98.4%	Yes		
					Cook	Jim	Business Consultant/Rancher	No	2	1,860	3,374	55.1%	Yes		
					Dutra	Clancy	Rancher	No	2	1,502	3,374	44.5%	No		
					Director, McCloud CSD	Full	Dalton	Dennis	Butcher	No	4	459	1,046	43.9%	Yes
							Young	Cathy	Incumbent	Yes	4	267	1,046	25.5%	Yes
French	Lynne W.	Travel Consultant	No	4			226	1,046	21.6%	No					
Brown	Marilyn Ann	Teacher	No	4	90	1,046	8.6%	No							
SOLANO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Kondylis	Barbara R.	Incumbent	Yes	1	9,989	9,989	100.0%	Yes		
					2	Full	Silva	John F.	Incumbent	Yes	1	13,631	13,631	100.0%	Yes
					5	Full	Reagan	Mike	Retired USAF Colonel	No	2	9,928	16,534	60.0%	Yes
							Forney	Ruth	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	2	6,606	16,534	40.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
SONOMA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Brown	Valerie K.	First District Supervisor	No	2	23,385	32,793	71.3%	Yes		
					Kenny	Ed	Director, Water District	No	2	9,408	32,793	28.7%	No		
			3	Full	Smith	Tim	Sonoma County Supervisor, 3rd District	No	2	15,284	22,119	69.1%	Yes		
					Jacobi	Veronica	Professional Mechanical Engineer	No	2	6,835	22,119	30.9%	No		
			5	Full	Reilly	Mike	5th District Supervisor	No	1	21,403	21,403	100.0%	Yes		
STANISLAUS	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	O'Brien	Bill	Businessman/Mayor	No	2	9,756	17,710	55.1%	Yes		
					Paul	Pat	No Ballot Designation	No	2	7,887	17,710	44.5%	No		
			2	Full	Mayfield	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,598	15,777	35.5%	Yes		
					Howze	Ted D.	Veterinarian	No	4	4,999	15,777	31.7%	No		
					Bates	Brad	Business Advisor	No	4	4,259	15,777	27.0%	No		
	Applegate	Rick R.			Police Officer	No	4	870	15,777	5.5%	No				
	5	Full	Demartini	Jim	Farmer	No	2	4,846	9,500	51.0%	Yes				
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Caruso	Paul W.	Supervisor/Businessman	No	2	4,609	9,500	48.5%	No		
					Mayfield	Tom	Farmer/County Supervisor	No	2	15,656	28,949	54.1%	Yes		
			Howze	Ted	Veterinarian	No	2	13,156	28,949	45.4%	No				
SUTTER			3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Montna	Larry	Businessman	No	4	895	2,900	30.9%	Yes
							Kroon	Casey	Incumbent	Yes	4	736	2,900	25.4%	No
	Boone	Jeffrey K.					Farmer/Businessman	No	4	647	2,900	22.3%	No		
	Singh	Gabrial					Technologist	No	4	622	2,900	21.4%	No		
	4	Full			Whiteaker	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,216	3,216	100.0%	Yes		
5	Full	Silva	Dan L.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,830	2,830	100.0%	Yes					
11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Montna	Larry	Businessman	No	2	2,658	4,942	53.8%	Yes			
				Kroon	Casey	Yuba College Instructor	Yes	2	2,284	4,942	46.2%	No			
TEHAMA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Avilla	Greg	Agribusiness	No	2	8,064	12,940	62.3%	Yes		
					Bourne	Richard C.	Educator	No	2	4,876	12,940	37.7%	No		
			2	Full	Russell	George	Incumbent	Yes	1	11,520	11,520	100.0%	Yes		
			5	Full	Warner	Ron	Mayor	No	2	8,085	12,503	64.7%	Yes		
					Garstang	Richard F.	Merchant	No	2	4,418	12,503	35.3%	No		
			11/2/2004	Director, Los Molinos CSD	Short	Crossland	Charles R.	Heating/Air Technician	Yes	3	163	323	50.5%	Yes	
			Botts	Georgia A.	Housewife and Mother	No	3	110	323	34.1%	No				
			Domingo	Phyllis E.	Bail bonds/Nurses Aid	No	3	50	323	15.5%	No				
TRINITY	No County Contests														

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
TULARE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Ishida	Allen	Farmer	No	6	3,676	11,783	31.2%	Yes			
					Pinkham	Frank "Bud"	Farmer	No	6	3,400	11,783	28.9%	No			
					Sario	Marlene	Business Owner	No	6	3,017	11,783	25.6%	No			
					Wiley	Harroll D.	Banker	No	6	768	11,783	6.5%	No			
					Potter	Gary A.	Youth Director/Businessman	No	6	670	11,783	5.7%	No			
					Macareno	Ruben	No Ballot Designation	No	6	213	11,783	1.8%	No			
		2	Full	Conway	Connie	County Supervisor 2nd District	Yes	1	8,369	8,470	98.8%	Yes				
		3	Full	Moheno	Lali	Tulare County Supervisor	Yes	3	7,648	17,125	44.7%	Yes				
	Cox			Phil	Business Owner	No	3	5,766	17,125	33.7%	No					
	Glenn			Chuck	Advertising/Marketing Professional	No	3	3,680	17,125	21.5%	No					
		11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Ishida	Allen	Farmer	No	2	10,180	19,459	52.3%	Yes		
	Pinkham					Frank "Bud"	Farmer	No	2	9,221	19,459	47.4%	No			
						3	Full	Cox	Phil	Business Owner	No	2	13,793	26,972	51.1%	Yes
						Moheno	Lali	Tulare County Supervisor	Yes	2	13,101	26,972	48.6%	No		
	TUOLUMNE	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Bass	Liz	Retired Teacher	No	3	1,462	3,458	42.3%	Yes		
Rotelli						Larry A.	Supervisor/Truck Driver	Yes	3	1,253	3,458	36.2%	No			
Selesia, Sr.						Randall S.	Tow Service Owner	No	3	728	3,458	21.1%	No			
						4	Full	Thornton	Mark V.	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,266	3,277	69.1%	Yes
								Reed	Sharlene Winn	Office Assistant	No	2	1,001	3,277	30.5%	No
						5	Full	Pland	Richard	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,405	3,410	70.5%	Yes
				Young	Ken	Entrepreneur/Inventor	No	2	993	3,410	29.1%	No				
		11/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Bass	Liz	Retired Teacher	No	2	2,543	4,741	53.6%	Yes		
Rotelli						Larry A.	Supervisor/Truck Driver	Yes	2	2,175	4,741	45.9%	No			
VENTURA		3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	Bennett	Steve	County Supervisor, 1st District	Yes	2	25,621	33,327	76.9%	Yes		
	Ketelsen					Jeffrey	Parent/Educator	No	2	7,706	33,327	23.1%	No			
				3	Full	Long	Kathy I.	Ventura County Supervisor, 3rd District	Yes	2	19,020	31,816	59.8%	Yes		
	Morgan					Mike	Retired Federal Officer	No	2	12,796	31,816	40.2%	No			
				5	Full	Flynn	John K.	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	4	7,979	17,175	46.5%	Yes		
	Lopez					Manuel M.	Oxnard Mayor/Optometrlist	No	4	4,188	17,175	24.4%	No			
	Zaragoza	John C.	Oxnard City Councilmember			No	4	3,901	17,175	22.7%	No					
	Fraser	Arlene Naomi	Executive Director			No	4	1,107	17,175	6.4%	No					
		11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Flynn	John K.	Member, Board of Supervisors	Yes	2	19,179	33,955	56.5%	Yes		
	Lopez					Manuel M.	Oxnard Mayor/Optometrlist	No	2	14,776	33,955	43.5%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR COUNTY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2004

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DIS- TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST <sup>1</sup>	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
YOLO	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	1	Full	McGowan	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	2	4,644	7,114	65.3%	Yes
					Cahill	Jim	Venture Capital Consultant	No	2	2,470	7,114	34.7%	No
			4	Full	Yamada	Mariko	Appointed Yolo County Supervisor	Yes	2	6,099	9,322	65.4%	Yes
					Sandido	David	Water Resources Attorney	No	2	3,223	9,322	34.6%	No
			5	Full	Pollock	Lynnel	Yolo County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	5	2,443	8,510	28.7%	Yes
					Chamberlain	Duane	Farmer/Rancher/Businessman	No	5	2,438	8,510	28.6%	No
	Losoya	Jack			Insurance/Safety Manager	No	5	1,756	8,510	20.6%	No		
	Ryhal	Dan			Pizza Restaurant Owner	No	5	1,231	8,510	14.5%	No		
	Pelican	Susan			Nurse Midwife	No	5	642	8,510	7.5%	No		
	11/2/2004	County Supervisor	5	Full	Chamberlain	Duane	Farmer/Rancher/Businessman	No	2	6,450	12,775	50.5%	Yes
					Pollock	Lynnel	Yolo County Supervisor/Farmer	Yes	2	6,325	12,775	49.5%	No
	YUBA	3/2/2004	County Supervisor	2	Full	Nicoletti	Joan	Businessman	No	4	924	2,394	38.6%
Simmons						Bill	Incumbent	Yes	4	773	2,394	32.3%	No
McNamara						Paul D.	Marysville Vice Mayor	No	4	353	2,394	14.7%	No
Mahler						Mike	Businessman/Coffee Merchant	No	4	344	2,394	14.4%	No
3				Full	Griego	Mary Jane	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,097	1,950	56.3%	Yes
					Roberts	Tony	Program Manager	No	2	853	1,950	43.7%	No
4		Full	Schrader	Don	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,595	1,595	100.0%	Yes		
			Superior Judge	1	Full	Givens	Debra	Public Defender	No	2	6,042	10,780	56.0%
			Epley	Robert C.		Attorney at Law	No	2	4,738	10,780	44.0%	No	
11/2/2004		County Supervisor	2	Full	Nicoletti	John	Businessman	No	2	1,912	3,432	55.7%	Yes
	Simmons				Bill	Incumbent	Yes	2	1,520	3,432	44.3%	No	



TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY OFFICES, 2004

		County										Total	
		Supervisor		Director, CSD*		District Attorney		Marshal		Superior Judge			
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	77.6	114	86.4	57	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	17	82.0	191
	Lose	22.4	33	13.6	9	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	18.0	42
	Total	100.0	147	100.0	66	100.0	2	100.0	1	100.0	17	100.0	233
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	25.0	94	47.3	80	12.5	1	0.0	0	22.2	20	30.2	195
	Lose	75.0	282	52.7	89	87.5	7	100.0	2	77.8	70	69.8	450
	Total	100.0	376	100.0	169	100.0	8	100.0	2	100.0	90	100.0	645
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	54.8	114	41.6	57	66.7	2	100.0	1	45.9	17	49.5	191
	Non-Incumbent	45.2	94	58.4	80	33.3	1	0.0	0	54.1	20	50.5	195
	Total	100.0	208	100.0	137	100.0	3	100.0	1	100.0	37	100.0	386
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	10.5	33	9.2	9	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.5	42
	Non-Incumbent	89.5	282	90.8	89	100.0	7	100.0	2	100.0	70	91.5	450
	Total	100.0	315	100.0	98	100.0	7	100.0	2	100.0	70	100.0	492
All Candidates	Incumbent	28.1	147	28.1	66	20.0	2	33.3	1	15.9	17	26.5	233
	Non-Incumbent	71.9	376	71.9	169	80.0	8	66.7	2	84.1	90	73.5	645
	Total	100.0	523	100.0	235	100.0	10	100.0	3	100.0	107	100.0	878

\* Directors of Community Service Districts, County Service Areas and Community or County Councils