

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2005 ELECTIONS

CITY OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Timothy A. Hodson, Ph.D.,
Director, Center for California Studies

Ernest Cowles, Ph.D.,
Director, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6081
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819-6101
(916) 278-5737 FAX: (916) 278-5150

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION		i
2005 County, City and School District Election Dates by County		xi
Trend Table A	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	xii
Trend Table B	Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year	xvi
Trend Table C	Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures by County	xix
Trend Table D	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xxi
Trend Table E	Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Ballot Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxi
Trend Table F	Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures, 1998-2005	xxi
Trend Table G	Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxii
Trend Table H	Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year	xxii
Trend Table I	Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxiii
2005 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY: ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES.....		1
Table A	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2005	3
Table B	Summary of Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2005	5
Table C	Summary of Election Outcomes for <i>All</i> County, City and School District Offices, 2005	7

PART 1 VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES.....	9
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for City Ballot Measures by County, 2005	11
Table 1.2 Text for City Ballot Measures by County, 2005	16
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2005	26
Table 1.4 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Ballot Measures by Topic of Measure and County, 2005	27
 PART 2 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES.....	 29
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for City Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2005	31
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for City Offices, 2005	62

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies and the Institute for Social Research, of California State University, Sacramento and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes both candidate and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and only one of such databases on local elections in the U.S.

Election data are collected periodically throughout the year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. Election results from counties, cities, and community college and school districts are entered in the CEDA database from which three standard CEDA reports are generated. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

Ballot measures for all jurisdictions are coded according to type (e.g., charter amendment, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to subject (e.g., taxes, education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) is an interdisciplinary center serving the research needs of federal, state and local government agencies, non-profit organizations and university administration, faculty and students. These services include research and sampling design, measurement, coding and data entry, computer assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and Internet surveys, focus groups, data base management, statistical analysis and report production. ISR has completed numerous projects with more than 45 state and community agencies, several private firms and many administrative units of the university. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, engineering and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

The Secretary of State is, among other duties, California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

TRENDS IN LOCAL ELECTIONS: 1995-2005

CEDA now encompasses over a decade (11 years) of election data, including two gubernatorial years (1998 and 2002), three statewide elections (1996, 2000 and 2004) and five odd-numbered years devoted to local races. This report begins with an overview of some of the multi-year election trends then continues to a discussion of the 2005 contests.

BALLOT MEASURES


Each year, California voters are asked to consider a number of governance issues and to choose among candidates vying for public office. At all local election levels, there appear to be some consistent features across elections, while other election aspects seem to vary considerably from year to year, particularly between on and off year election cycles. The following section discusses the patterns and trends over the 11 years of CEDA data collection.

The Number and Types of Local Election Measures

- The number of local ballot measures offered to voters clearly seems to “piggy-back” on state and national elections. From 1995 through 2005 there were, on average, 424 ballot measures per year. In even number years, the average was 615 measures, while odd years average 264. Over this 11-year period, 61% of all the ballot measures passed. In odd years, with smaller numbers of measures on ballots, the percentage of measures passed was slightly larger (63%) (Trend Table A).
 - Among the various types of ballots measures, charter amendments had the largest percentage of measures passed, with 76% of charter amendment measures passing over the 11-year period. The type of measure with the second best success was recalls with 69% passing. However, it should be noted that

this type had a small number of actual measures compared with some of the other categories. Following recalls were bonds (65%), ordinances (59%), taxes (50%), and initiatives (48%), respectively (Trend Table A).

- Local ballot measures most commonly address education issues, with 33% of all measures between 1995 and 2005 focused on this topic. The number of such propositions has exceeded the number of measures dealing with other specific topics in all but one year; in 1996, there were more governance than education measures. For the past four years (2002-2005), education measures appear to have stabilized as a percentage of the total number of measures—slightly more than a third (33%-38%)—despite the large variation in the actual number of measures between odd and even year elections (Trend Table B)
- Since CEDA starting tracking revenue as a separate topic area in 2000, this topic has represented approximately 10% of the total ballot measures in local elections (Trend Table B).
- Chart 1 provides an overview of the average (mean) number of local ballot measures and the percent of those measures passed for the past 11 years (1995-2005).




*Revenue data has only been collected as a separate topic since 2000.


Bond and Tax Measures

- Among city, county and school district measures, city measures accounted for nearly one-half of the total ballot measures (49%). School district measures comprised about one-third (34%), while county measures made up less than one-fifth (17%) (Trend Table A).
- Bonds and tax measures each made up about 27% of the measures, totally just over half (54%) of all ballot measures over the eleven years of election results tracked by CEDA. Ordinances and Charter Amendments, affecting policy shifts in local government, constituted another 35%. Initiatives and recalls accounted for only 6% of the total local ballot measures (Trend Table A).
 - School districts are responsible for most of the bonds placed before voters-- nearly 92% over 11 years. Tax measures are more dispersed, with a majority sponsored by cities (57%). Another quarter (25%) are accounted for by counties, which slightly less than one-fifth (19%) of local tax measures have their original in local school districts (Trend Table A).
- In the 11 years that CEDA has been collecting data, measures had much higher rates of passage for bonds than for taxes. The average pass rate for bonds was 65%, while the pass rate for taxes during the period was only 50% (Trend Table A).
 - Overall, pass rates for tax measures are consistently higher in odd-numbered years (an average of 57%) compared with 46% for the even-numbered years.. Interestingly, counties saw the biggest differences between pass rates for taxes in odd versus even years, with an average pass rate of 56% in odd years and 37% in even years. The discrepancy for odd and even years was less for cities, with an average 56% pass rate in odd years and a 48% pass rate in even years. By contrast, school districts have a slightly better pass rate for tax measures in even years (60%), compared to odd years (58%) (Trend Table A).
 - On the other hand, on average, pass rates for bond measures are higher in even-numbered years (68% vs. 61 %). More specifically, bond measures are more likely to pass in even years versus odd year for cities (65% versus 59%) and in school districts (69% versus 60%), However, they are more likely to pass in odd numbered years for counties (71% versus 50%) (Trend Table A).
- A major change in county governance occurred around the turn of the 21st century. Community Service Districts (CSDs) and County Service Areas (CSAs) were introduced as an accommodation to the tax restrictions posed by Proposition 13. Portions of a county could form a special district and agree to tax themselves to provide services that the population as a whole might not support. CEDA began tracking community service district ballot measures in 1998. Despite considerable fluctuation in the number of CSD/CSA measures during the eight-year period, speculation that the number would increase over time is not supported by the overall data (see the dashed trendline in Chart 2 below). As seen in the Chart, while the number of measures (represented by the solid line) increased dramatically from 1999 to 2000, the number experienced an equally sharp decline in the following three years. In the past two years, there has again

been an increase in such measures, but it is unclear whether this reflects an increasing trend or simply another peak.



- Considering the eight-year history of CSD/CSA measures, a relevant question would seem to be whether, as CSD/CSA measures become a larger percentage of all county measures, they lose effectiveness in terms of their passage rate. The answer to this question appears to be no. As seen in Chart 3 below, as the CSD/CSA measures become a larger portion of the total county ballot, the percentage of those measures passing also grows. In fact, with the exception of 2003, when the passage rate of CSD/CSA measures went down while the percentage of these measures went up (as a portion of the total number of county ballot measures), the trend over the past 8 years has been that the greater the proportion of CSD/CSA measures among all county ballot measures, the greater the likelihood that those measures will pass.



- Since 1998, 192 ballot measures dealt with Community Service Districts in 32 different counties. Six counties, however, accounted for nearly two-thirds of CSD/CSA-related measures -- Contra Costa (14), El Dorado (32), Kern (15), Marin (25), San Diego (19) and San Luis Obispo (15) (Trend Table C).
- In the eight years since their inception, the principal type of CSD/CSA measure has involved taxes (140). Gann Limit issues (19) and ordinances (18) were the second and third most prevalent measure respectively. Recalls (6), bond measures (5) and advisory measures (4) were seen considerably less often. (Trend Table D)
- Overall, CSD-related tax measures were passed half of the time. As with other tax related ballot measures, CSD/CSA measures in this area were more apt to pass in the odd-year elections (60%) and more apt to fail in even years (41%). CSDs/CSAs and cities appear to have approximately the same success rate (50%) in passing tax related measures, while school districts enjoy greater success with these measures (59%) (Trend Tables A & D).
- When we separate out CSD/CSA measures from all county measures, we see that non-CSD/CSA measures had a 62% passage rate, while county CSD/CSA measures fared slightly more poorly with a 57% passage rate. However, when county tax measures that are non-CSD/CSA related are compared to county tax measures that are CSD/CSA related, the CSD/CSA tax related measures do markedly better (50% for CSD/CSA related versus 38% for non-CSD/CSA tax measures) (Trend Table F).
- Public safety remains the most common focus of CSD/CSA measures (64 of the 192 or 33%), followed by general services (26), transportation (23) and public facilities (22) (Trend Table E)

CANDIDATES

With respect to candidate races, patterns have emerged that show stability. Among the consistent features of local candidate races are the following:

- The total number of candidates for local offices (county boards of supervisors, other county offices, city councils, and local school boards) is consistently over twice as high in even-numbered than in odd-numbered years. (Trend Table G)
- In the eight years of CEDA data collection, school district candidates have comprised just under half (49%) of all candidates for local offices. Candidates for city offices make up about 36% of the local candidates, while the smallest percentage of local election candidates reflects those seeking county offices (about 14%).
- Off-year elections are dominated by school district candidates. With the exception of the 2005 elections, they make up about two-thirds of the candidates (between 62% and 69% of all candidates on the ballot). In 2005, the percentage of school district candidates dropped to 55%; however, it can't be determined with just this one year of lower percentages whether this signals a change in the relative numbers of individuals running for various local offices, or whether it was simply an abnormal year. Although there are many more school board races in even-numbered years, these candidates make up less than half of all candidates (40% to 46%) when most county and city races are decided (Trend Table G).
- On average, about 30% of all candidates for local offices are incumbents. Thirty-three percent (33%) of those running for school boards are incumbents, while 28% of those running for county supervisor seats presently hold the office and 24% of those seeking positions on the city council are incumbents. (Trend Table I).
- Nearly four out of every five (79%) incumbents running for local reelection win their respective offices. (Trend Table I).
- A little more than half (52%) of winning candidates are incumbents. This means that the local political area is seeing a fresh mixture of individuals comprising local elected offices and bodies with each election cycle. Conversely, this also suggests that fears of control of these institutions by a group of long-term political incumbents may be overstated.

2005 ELECTION DATA

BALLOT MEASURES

The most intriguing aspect of the 295 county, city and school district ballot measures that faced California voters in 2005 actually relates to the 2006 primary election. The defeat of Proposition 81 (the library bond) was seen by some as heralding the end of California's willingness to approve bonds. The defeat of Proposition 82 (pre-schools funded by increasing personal income tax rates on the wealthiest taxpayers) was similarly interpreted as evidence that Californians will not support tax increases. The data from 2005 does not support either of these conclusions.

The data on local tax measures is particularly interesting. In 2005, 58 percent of all tax

measures were approved, the second highest pass rate for tax measures in the history of CEDA (i.e., 1995-2005) and higher than the last two years (47 percent in 2004 and 48 percent in 2003). There were a total of 111 tax measures, of which 24 were county measures, 47 city and 40 school district measures. The pass rates were 66 percent for county measures, 55 percent for city and 55 percent for school district measures. There are several arguments explaining the defeat of Proposition 82 (e.g., drafting errors; controversies surrounding Rob Reiner, the proposition's chief proponent; spill-over affects from the state's stem cell initiative its multi-millionaire sponsor; etc.) But the contention that Proposition 82's defeat was a function of an electorate unwilling to tax itself is simply not born out by the 64 tax measures approved by the voters in 2005.

In 2005, local voters had the opportunity to pass judgment on 57 separate bond measures totaling more than \$6 *trillion*. Voters approved 42 bonds measures and rejected 15, for a pass rate of 74 percent. This is higher than the ten year average pass rate for bond measures in odd-numbered years (61 percent). The total amount of bonds approved in 2005 was \$6.1 trillion, only \$43.2 million in bonds having been defeated. School districts were responsible for 52 of the 57 bond measures with only three county and two city bond measures. The bond measures ranged from the massive (\$3.9 billion in Los Angeles Unified School District) to the minor (\$300,000 in Calaveras County's Appaloosa Road Community Service District). The largest single bond measure was LAUSD's proposal which was approved by a 66 percent vote. The three county bond measures were all proposed by community service districts (CSDs). The Appaloosa CSD and a bond measure offered by the Cameron Park CSD of El Dorado County were approved by voters while the third county measure, a \$208 million infrastructure bond in San Francisco passed but failed to make the requisite super majority. But it is clear that Californians were certainly not averse to approving bonds in 2005.

Overall, 2005's total of 295 local ballot measures is the second highest number of measures in an odd-number year since 1995 (the highest was 342 in 1997). It also represents an increase of nearly 40 percent from 2003's 178 local ballot measures. Of the total, 57 were county measures, 135 city and 103 school district measures. The increase over 2003 was most dramatic in county measures, which nearly doubled from the 2003 total of 28 to 57 in 2005.

The overall pass rate of local government ballot measures in 2005 was 64 percent, again the second highest rate in odd-numbered years since 1995 (the highest being 70 percent in 2001) and the third highest in all years since 1995. The pass for county measures was 63 percent, for city measures 61 percent and from school district measures, 69 percent. The city measure pass rate was down from the 67 percent pass rate in 2003 while the school district pass rate was up from 2003's 52 percent. The pass rate for county measures was basically unchanged (63 percent and 64 percent in 2003).

As always, the bulk of ballot measures were devoted to ordinary governance issues such as bonds, tax measures, and land use decisions, including Glendale's 21 charter amendments. As always, however, there were unusual measures. Sonoma County voters turned down a measure to prohibit genetically engineered organisms. San Francisco voters banned the manufacture, distribution, sale and transfer of firearms, adopted a policy of opposing military recruiting at San Francisco public schools, but rejected a measure detailing type and number of entry/exit ramps at a public parking garage. The voters of South Gate rejected an advisory measure regarding a permit system for street parking.

Several local measures successfully sought to restructure governance structures. Mono County voters approved a reorganization plan that replaced two county elected offices with an

appointed official. The Mono County vote was unusual in that most measures seeking to reduce the number of elected officials fail as did the measure in the City of Pittsburg which would have made the city clerk and city treasurer appointive positions and a El Monte measure that would have had the mayor become a rotating position among city council members rather than an elective position. Sunnyvale modified its term limits for elected city officials but Manhattan Beach rejected a similar measure.

There were 11 recall elections in 2005, the same as in 2004 but up from the nine recalls of 2003. The 2005 recalls included three members of the Murrieta City Council, one of whom was recalled; one member of the Elk Hills Elementary School District in Kern County, who was recalled and two members of the Center Joint School District which covers parts of both Placer and Sacramento Counties, both of whom were recalled. Perhaps most interesting, three members of the Los Osos Community Service District in San Luis Obispo County were recalled. This is only the second time a CSD has held a recall election since CEDA started tracking CSD/CSA data in 1997.

Finally, it should be noted that 2005 again disproved the popular assumption that ballot measures correspond to the failures of governing bodies and the need for citizens to take matters into their own hands through the initiative, referendum and recall. In fact, of the 295 local ballot members in 2005, only 7 were initiatives and 11 recalls. In short, 94 percent of ballot measures were placed on the ballot by actions of a county board of supervisors, city council, school board or CSD board and only six percent, or 11, were on the ballot as a result of direct citizen actions.

County Measures. Voters in 20 of the State's 58 counties (including the City and County of San Francisco) passed judgment on 57 ballot measures of which 36 passed for a pass rate of 63 percent. Tax measures were the most frequent, with 24 total tax measures of which 16 or 67 percent were approved. Ordinances constituted the second most frequent category with a total of 16 measures of which 56 percent were approved. County measures included advisory measures in Imperial County regarding the construction of a new regional international airport (failed); dedicating 1136 acres in Placer County to a new private college (passed) and a new Indian Casino/destination resort in Yuba County (failed). As counties hold elections for county wide offices and ballot measures in even-numbered years, typically there are few county measures in odd-numbered years. Indeed, between 1995 and 2003 the average number of county measures in odd-numbered years was only 28. The increase in 2005 can be attributed to the increase in the number of and activity of community service districts and community service areas (CSDs and CSAs). Non-CSD/CSA county ballot measures totaled 24 or just under the average. But 33 measures from 10 counties were CSD/CSA measures.

City Measures. In 2005, voters in 82 California cities faced 135 municipal ballot measures. Of these measures, 83 passed for a pass rate of 61 percent. As with county measures, the largest type of city ballot measure were tax measures, of which 26 of 47 were approved, for a pass rate of 55 percent. Charter amendments were the second most numerous with 30 of 33 measures being approved for a pass rate of 91 percent. The three measures that failed included a measure that would have reorganized law enforcement services at Los Angeles International Airport (Los Angeles); provided a mayor be elected in even numbered years (Inglewood) and allowed a city manager to live outside the city (Sunnyvale). Only two cities placed bond measures on the ballot, both of which failed. The City of Half Moon Bay approved an ordinance restricting the city's use of eminent domain.

School District Measures. California school and community college districts generated 103 ballot measures of which 71 were enacted for a pass rate of 69 percent. Typically, the

overwhelming majority of school district measures were either tax (40) or bond (52) measures. Tax measures were approved at a rate of 55 percent (22 of 40) while bonds measures were approved 77 percent of the time (40 of 52). Bond measures represented \$6.1 trillion of which \$6.0 trillion was approved. The largest single school bond, \$3.9 billion, was proposed by Los Angeles Unified School District. It was approved. A total of 3 school board trustees were subject to recalls and all three were removed from office (one in the Elk Hills Elementary School District in Kern County and two from the Center Joint School District which covers parts of both Placer and Sacramento Counties).

CANDIDATE ELECTIONS

A total of 2,580 individual Californians offered themselves to the verdicts of their fellow citizens in 2005. This included 1,408 candidates for school district boards of trustees, 1,005 candidates for city council and other municipal elected offices, and 167 candidates for county elective offices, mostly CSD/CSA boards. Of these 2,580, 48 percent, or 1,230 were elected while 1,350 were defeated. The number of candidates is near the 1995-2003 average of 2,360 candidates in odd-numbered years. It is also about the 2003 total of 2,106 who ran in 2003. The number of school district candidates increased over 2003 (1,408 versus 1,322) though it was still below the odd-numbered year high of 1,729 in 1997. On the other hand, the number of municipal candidates nearly doubled from 2003's 571 candidates and, indeed, was the highest number of candidates of any odd-numbered year since 1995. The data from county candidates is more difficult to compare given the increase in the number of community service districts and community service areas.

Both office turnover and incumbent re-election rates were high in 2005. Of the 1,230 winning candidates, 591 or 48 percent were non-incumbents. Of the 808 incumbents who sought reelection, a total of 639 were successful and 169 were defeated. This translates to an incumbent reelection rate of 79 percent. High turnover and incumbent reelection rates are not contradictory. Incumbent office holders have an undeniable electoral advantage: four out of five incumbents who tried were reelected in 2005. But reelection rates can obscure the number of incumbents who chose not to run for reelection or die in office. For example, 81 percent of incumbent city council members seeking another term were elected but of all successful city council candidates only 50 percent were incumbents and 50 percent were non-incumbents. If four-fifths of all city council members were reelected incumbents, California would have an entrenched ruling elite. But of the 310 council members elected in 2005, half had never held a council seat. Of the 310, 37 defeated incumbents and 118 ran in open seats. In short, a high incumbent re-election rate simply means that incumbents running for re-election are successful but the percentage of all successful candidates who are incumbents is usually far less.

County Races. In 2005 there were a total of 167 candidates who ran for county elective positions, including 155 who ran for community service district or community service area boards, and 12 who ran for other county offices. The latter included one county supervisor race, one race for District Attorney and one each for Assessor-Recorder and Treasurer. In races for CSD/CSA boards, 44 incumbents won reelection (77 percent), 12 lost (21 percent). Of all winning candidates, 44 or 52 percent were incumbents and 40 or 48 percent were non-incumbents. Incumbents won all races for District Attorney, Assessor-Recorder and Treasurer. The single county supervisor race did not feature an incumbent.

City Races. Many of the State's cities still hold their elections in odd-numbered years and these contests featured 1,005 candidates, the majority of which (827) were candidates for city council. Others included 93 candidates for mayor, 39 for city treasurer, 36 for city clerk, and 8 candidates for city attorney. Overall, 52 percent of winning candidates were incumbents and 48

percent were non-incumbents. In city council races, 155 winners were incumbents seeking reelection and 155 were non-incumbents. Incumbents thus enjoyed an 81 percent success rate but constituted only half of elected council members. A total of 30 mayors were elected of which exactly half were incumbents and half non-incumbents. Incumbent mayors had a reelection rate of 83 percent. Of the 85 candidates for other city offices, 48 were elected of which 33 or 69 percent were incumbents and 15 or 31 percent were newcomers.

School Board Races. A total of 1,408 Californians ran for 754 positions of school boards. A total of 504 incumbent trustees sought reelection and 390 or 77 percent were successful and 114, or 23 percent were defeated. Of the 754 trustees elected in 2005, 48 percent (364) were non-incumbents and 52 percent (390) were incumbents.

2005 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/4	1/11	1/25	2/8	2/15	2/22	3/1	3/8	4/5	4/12	4/19	5/3	5/17	6/7	6/14	6/28	7/26	8/2	8/30	9/13	9/27	11/8	12/13
Alameda				✓									✓	✓								✓	
Butte																						✓	
Calaveras																✓				✓			
Contra Costa					✓			✓						✓						✓		✓	
El Dorado								✓				✓							✓			✓	
Fresno																						✓	
Humboldt								✓														✓	
Imperial														✓								✓	
Inyo								✓														✓	
Kern																						✓	
Kings																						✓	
Lake																						✓	
Los Angeles						✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓							✓	
Madera																						✓	
Marin								✓						✓						✓		✓	
Mariposa																						✓	
Mendocino														✓								✓	
Merced																						✓	
Mono																						✓	
Monterey																						✓	
Napa																						✓	
Nevada								✓															
Orange								✓	✓													✓	✓
Placer								✓						✓								✓	
Riverside		✓						✓				✓		✓								✓	
Sacramento																						✓	
San Benito																						✓	
San Bernardino														✓								✓	
San Diego	✓																✓					✓	

2005 COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/4	1/11	1/25	2/8	2/15	2/22	3/1	3/8	4/5	4/12	4/19	5/3	5/17	6/7	6/14	6/28	7/26	8/2	8/30	9/13	9/27	11/8	12/13	
San Francisco																						✓		
San Joaquin																							✓	
San Luis Obispo																					✓		✓	
San Mateo								✓				✓		✓									✓	
Santa Barbara														✓									✓	
Santa Clara								✓						✓							✓		✓	
Santa Cruz												✓								✓			✓	
Shasta																							✓	
Sierra																							✓	
Siskiyou																							✓	
Solano																							✓	
Sonoma								✓						✓									✓	
Stanislaus								✓															✓	
Sutter			✓					✓															✓	
Tehama																							✓	
Tulare								✓															✓	
Tuolumne																							✓	
Ventura																							✓	
Yolo																							✓	
Yuba																							✓	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

ALL MEASURES				BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate		Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
ALL MEASURES																					
1995-2005	424	100	61	113	27	65	114	27	50	93	22	59	16	4	69	10	2	48	57	13	76
EVEN YEARS	615	100	61	153	25	68	168	27	46	145	24	59	16	3	75	14	2	47	87	14	74
ODD YEARS	264	100	63	80	30	61	70	27	57	50	19	61	15	6	65	6	2	50	32	12	79
COUNTY																					
1995-2005	72	17	56	3	4	60	28	40	42	21	30	64	1	2	69	3	4	47	9	13	64
EVEN YEARS	118	19	54	3	3	50	47	40	37	36	31	62	1	1	67	5	4	44	16	14	64
ODD YEARS	33	12	65	2	7	71	13	40	56	9	29	72	2	5	70	1	2	67	3	9	65
CITY																					
1995-2005	209	49	60	6	3	63	65	31	50	63	30	55	7	3	71	7	4	48	48	23	78
EVEN YEARS	320	52	59	10	3	65	103	32	48	100	31	56	10	3	71	9	3	49	71	22	77
ODD YEARS	117	44	62	4	3	59	33	28	56	33	28	54	5	4	69	6	5	47	29	25	80
SCHOOL DISTRICT																					
1995-2005	143	34	66	104	73	65	21	15	59	8	6	78	7	5	69	0	0	100			
EVEN YEARS	176	29	69	140	80	69	18	10	60	9	5	74	5	3	82	0	0	0			
ODD YEARS	115	44	62	74	64	60	24	21	58	7	6	82	9	8	62	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	54	21	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	141	25	40	176	31	58	33	6	70	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	60	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	59	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	69	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	59	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	65	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	62	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	715	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89	
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83
	1996	115	20	49	4	3	50	35	30	29	35	30	54	4	3	100	7	6	14	23	20	65
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	121	21	59	1	1	0	50	41	38	31	26	72				4	3	25	25	21	76
	1999	33	17	67	1	3	100	16	48	50	8	24	63							4	12	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	45	29	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	36	15	75	3	8	100	13	36	77	11	31	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	142	20	54				59	42	44	47	33	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	65	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50	
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	48	41	94
	1996	371	65	58	10	3	30	98	26	43	120	32	58	25	7	76	11	3	55	92	25	75
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	287	50	60	9	3	78	102	36	48	79	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	119	42	53	4	3	75	27	23	52	48	40	48	8	67	100	10	8	40	16	13	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	64	71	24	70
	2001	94	40	68	8	9	63	32	34	72	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	338	47	59	7	2	43	148	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	87	74	45	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	87	15	61	50	57	66	8	9	63	21	24	62	4	5	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	75	228	91	76	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES																												
1995-2005	424	100	61	142	33	66	100	24	68	35	8	54	26	6	50	23	5	53	22	5	61	11	3	58	26	6	60	
EVEN YEARS	615	100	61	175	29	69	154	25	66	55	9	55	41	7	49	36	6	50	32	5	60	17	3	60	42	7	55	
ODD YEARS	264	100	63	114	43	62	54	21	71	19	7	52	13	5	52	12	4	61	13	5	65	7	2	54	13	5	75	
COUNTY																												
1995-2005	72	17	56	1	1	75	19	27	69	7	10	43	9	12	44	8	12	45	6	9	61	7	10	70	5	7	54	
EVEN YEARS	118	19	54	1	1	60	32	27	68	12	10	41	15	12	41	13	11	37	9	8	57	12	10	67	8	6	58	
ODD YEARS	33	12	65	1	2	100	9	26	71	2	7	54	4	12	54	4	12	67	4	11	72	4	11	78	2	7	43	
CITY																												
1995-2005	209	49	60	2	1	63	78	37	68	28	14	57	17	8	54	15	7	55	15	7	62	4	2	40	21	10	62	
EVEN YEARS	320	52	59	3	1	69	119	37	67	43	13	60	26	8	54	23	7	57	22	7	61	5	2	48	33	10	54	
ODD YEARS	117	44	62	1	1	50	44	37	71	17	14	52	9	8	52	8	6	51	10	8	62	3	2	29	11	9	82	
SCHOOL																												
1995-2005	143	34	66	139	98	53	2	2	63				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				1	0	83	
EVEN YEARS	176	29	69	172	97	47	3	2	43				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				1	1	83	
ODD YEARS	115	44	62	112	98	61	2	2	85				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
ALL MEASURES	1995	252	100	61	120	48	53	63	25	84	14	6	57	12	5	50	14	6	57				2	1	0			
	1996	573	100	57	84	15	70	210	37	65	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	71	12	51	6	1	50			
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	60	35	10	60	4	1	50			
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	130	23	64	46	8	70	37	6	43	33	6	58	25	4	80	23	4	70			
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	13	5	54	8	3	88			
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	63	73	13	55	31	6	48	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	15	3	73
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	72	46	19	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	75	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	715	100	63	234	33	72	146	21	74	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	3	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	103	35	69	60	20	72	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
COUNTY MEASURES	1995	17	7	53				7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0			
	1996	115	20	49	1	1	100	45	39	64	11	10	38	8	7	50	15	13	13	12	10	42	3	3	100			
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	3	13	100	1	4	100			
	1998	121	21	59				24	20	75	13	11	62	14	12	36	12	10	42	15	12	67	16	13	75			
	1999	33	17	67				5	15	80				3	9	50	3	9	67	3	9	0	8	24	88			
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	50	9	8	78
	2001	36	15	75	2	6	100	12	33	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	5	14	80	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	100	6	21	17
	2004	142	20	54	3	2	33	35	25	69	14	10	14	22	15	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	20	14	75	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE		
		Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate
CITY MEASURES	1995	118	47	71	3	3	100	56	47	86	11	9	64	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0			
	1996	371	65	58	3	1	67	160	43	68	43	12	63	30	8	53	23	6	52	58	16	53	3	1	0			
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	32	22	56	3	2	33			
	1998	287	50	60				101	35	62	33	11	73	23	8	48	21	7	67	10	3	100	7	2	57			
	1999	119	42	53				45	38	53	29	24	41	11	9	64	1	1	100	10	8	70						
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	17	6	59	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	3	1	33
	2001	94	40	68	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	12	13	58	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	338	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	4	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	5	1	80	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
SCHOOL DISTRICT MEASURES	1995	117	46	51	117	100	51																					
	1996	87	15	61	80	92	66	5	6	0				1	1	0				1	1	0						
	1997	174	51	62	174	100	62																					
	1998	164	29	62	158	96	63	5	3	40																		
	1999	131	46	62	119	91	59	12	9	92																		
	2000	146	26	63	143	98	62																			3	2	100
	2001	103	44	71	100	97	73	1	1	0							2	2	0									
	2002	250	38	75	249	100	0																			1	0	0
	2003	61	34	52	61	100	52																					
	2004	235	33	73	229	97	72	4	2	100																2	1	100
2005	103	35	69	103	100	69																						

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			1998-2005				
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate		
Butte							1	100	100															1	100	100			
Calaveras																								3	100	100	3	60	100
Contra Costa	1	33	100				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50				3	60	67	3	100	100	14	74	64		
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100	6	100	0	2	20	100	14	88	64	32	53	47		
Fresno	1	50	100																					1	50	100			
Humboldt																			1	25	100	2	100	0	3	50	33		
Imperial																								1	50	100	1	13	100
Inyo							1	50	100															1	50	100			
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75							3	100	33				15	100	47		
Lake																			1	100	0				1	100	0		
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25				1	100	100				6	67	33		
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100	1	100	100						2	100	100	25	96	92
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0				1	50	100				3	75	33		
Monterey													1	100	0										1	50	0		
Nevada							1	100	100																1	100	100		
Orange	1	100	100													1	100	100							2	33	100		
Placer	1	33	100																						1	25	100		
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100				1	50	100							4	80	100		
Riverside				3	100	33				1	100	0	2	67	50	2	100	0	1	100	100				9	90	33		
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33																5	56	60		
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0	1	100	0	1	20	0				8	67	38		
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0				3	33	33				19	59	32		
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100				4	67	50	4	100	100	15	75	80		
San Mateo																			1	14	100				1	14	100		
Santa Barbara										1	100	0													1	100	0		
Santa Cruz																						1	100	0	1	10	0		
Shasta							1	100	0																1	100	0		
Siskiyou							2	100	100										5	83	0				7	88	29		
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100				1	100	100							3	38	100		

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002			2003			2004			2005			1998-2005		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
Tulare																			1	100	100	1	100	100	2	100	0
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0																2	50	100
Yuba							2	67	50										1	25	0				3	38	33
Total for CSD/CSA Measures Over All Counties	26	21	54	14	42	71	40	35	48	21	58	81	18	18	44	13	46	38	29	20	48	31	54	74	192	62	57

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54	19	16	58													7	6	14
1999	14	42	71	11	33	64									3	9	100				
2000	40	35	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	21	58	81	11	31	82	2	6	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	6	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	29	20	48	23	16	39				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100

TREND TABLE E NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUES		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	26	21	54				12	10	58				3	2	33	2	2	50				6	5	17			
1999	14	42	71				2	6	50				3	9	0	5	15	100									
2000	40	35	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	21	58	81				6	17	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	4	11	75	4	11	75	2	6	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	29	20	48				17	12	47	1	1	0				3	2	33	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50

TREND TABLE F COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES, 1998-2005

	Total Number of County Measures	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES	
		Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	Pass Rate
1998	121	95	60	26	54	13	9	19	58
1999	33	19	64	14	71	5	20	11	64
2000	115	75	50	40	48	24	30	28	29
2001	36	15	67	21	81	2	50	11	82
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	142	113	56	29	48	36	47	23	39
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	754	1,627
1996	5,530	822	2,160	2,548
1997	2,498	25	748	1,729
1998	5,502	1,167	1,903	2,432
1999	2,293	138	738	1,417
2000	5,153	894	2,200	2,059
2001	2,525	189	702	1,634
2002	6,072	1,412	2,210	2,450
2003	2,106	213	571	1,322
2004	5,155	878	2,232	2,045
2005	2,580	167	1,005	1,408
Total	41,795	5,905	15,223	20,671

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	TOTAL NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY CANDIDATES
1995	2,381	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,530	822	574	70	*	*
1997	2,498	25	21	84	*	*
1998	5,502	1,167	362	31	22	2
1999	2,293	138	5	4	109	79
2000	5,153	894	501	56	174	19
2001	2,525	189	0	0	186	98
2002	6,072	1,412	362	26	266	19
2003	2,106	213	10	5	181	85
2004	5,155	878	523	60	235	27
2005	2,580	167	4	2	155	93
TOTAL	39,215	5,738	2,358	41	1,173	20

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION AND YEAR

		% OF ALL CANDIDATES	% OF COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES	% OF CITY COUNCIL CANDIDATES	% OF SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	27	0	18	30
	1996	26	24	23	28
	1997	30	5	23	33
	1998	32	27	26	32
	1999	30	0	23	32
	2000	30	30	26	32
	2001	30	0	24	32
	2002	34	32	27	36
	2003	31	0	22	35
	2004	33	28	28	37
	2005	31	0	23	36
PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN	1995	79	0	78	78
	1996	79	69	75	78
	1997	76	0	79	74
	1998	85	86	82	83
	1999	77	0	80	75
	2000	79	87	80	75
	2001	77	0	79	76
	2002	82	78	79	79
	2003	76	0	72	77
	2004	80	78	81	76
	2005	79	0	81	77
PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS	1995	49	0	39	50
	1996	47	43	42	47
	1997	49	0	45	49
	1998	55	56	48	53
	1999	51	0	45	51
	2000	52	67	51	50
	2001	50	0	51	49
	2002	56	56	49	56
	2003	50	0	39	54
	2004	55	55	51	57
	2005	52	0	50	52

2005 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES AND CANDIDATES

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	4	0	1	0					0	1					2	0	7	1	8
Butte															1	0	1	0	1
Calaveras	2	1	1	0													3	1	4
Contra Costa	4	3	1	1					1	0			1	0	1	3	8	7	15
El Dorado	9	6	1	1	0	1									2	1	12	9	21
Fresno			1	1													1	1	2
Humboldt	0	2															0	2	2
Imperial	2	0		1			1	0							2	0	5	1	6
Inyo			2	0													2	0	2
Kern	0	1	3	1							1	0					4	2	6
Kings			2	1													2	1	3
Los Angeles	3	9	4	0	24	2	1	4					1	0	5	5	38	20	58
Madera			1	1											1	0	2	1	3
Marin	9	1	1	1													10	2	12
Mariposa															1	0	1	0	1
Mendocino	1	0	1	0			1	0									3	0	3
Merced	1	0	1	0													2	0	2
Mono															2	0	2	0	2
Monterey	1	1	1	1											0	1	2	3	5
Napa			1	0													1	0	1
Nevada	1	0															1	0	1
Orange	1	2	1	0					0	1					1	3	3	6	9
Placer	1	1	0	1			1	0			2	0			2	0	6	2	8
Riverside	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1			1	2			1	3	5	6	11
Sacramento			1	0							2	0					3	0	3
San Benito				1													0	1	1
San Bernardino			2	0					0	2					1	2	3	4	7

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
San Diego	0	1	1	0											1	1	2	2	4
San Francisco			1	1					2	0					3	2	6	3	9
San Joaquin			1	1													1	1	2
San Luis Obispo											3	0			1	0	4	0	4
San Mateo	5	3	4	0	3	0	1	0					2	0	3	0	18	3	21
Santa Clara	3	1			2	1									0	3	5	5	10
Santa Cruz	4	1															4	1	5
Shasta			1	0													1	0	1
Sierra	1	0															1	0	1
Siskiyou			1	0													1	0	1
Solano	1	1													1	0	2	1	3
Sonoma	3	9													0	1	3	10	13
Stanislaus	0	1	2	1													2	2	4
Sutter	1	1															1	1	2
Tehama					1	0											1	0	1
Tuolumne			1	0											1	0	2	0	2
Tulare	4	2	2	1													6	3	9
Ventura	2	0	1	0											0	1	3	1	4
Yolo															0	1	0	1	1
Yuba							0	1									0	1	1
All Counties	64	47	42	15	31	4	5	6	3	4	9	2	4	0	32	27	190	105	295

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	5	0	0	1			1	0										1	0					7	1	8	
Butte	1	0																						1	0	1	
Calaveras											3	1												3	1	4	
Contra Costa	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	2			1	0	0	1				2	1	0	1			8	7	15	
El Dorado	1	1	1	0			1	1			3	1	4	1				3	2	2				12	9	21	
Fresno	1	1																						1	1	2	
Humboldt			0	2																				0	2	2	
Imperial	0	1											1	0			1	2	0	1	0			5	1	6	
Inyo	2	0																						2	0	2	
Kern	4	1									0	1												4	2	6	
Kings	2	1																						2	1	3	
Los Angeles	4	3	3	2	2	3	23	7					2	0				0	1	4	3	0	1	38	20	58	
Madera	2	1																						2	1	3	
Marin	6	1			1	0												3	1					10	2	12	
Mariposa	1	0																						1	0	1	
Mendocino	1	0			1	0														1	0			3	0	3	
Merced	1	0																		1	0			2	0	2	
Mono							2	0																2	0	2	
Monterey	1	1	0	1	0	1														1	0			2	3	5	
Napa	1	0																						1	0	1	
Nevada	1	0																						1	0	1	
Orange	1	1	1	0	0	4														1	1			3	6	9	
Placer	3	1	1	0			2	0					0	1										6	2	8	
Riverside	1	1	1	2			2	3												1	0			5	6	11	
Sacramento	3	0																						3	0	3	
San Benito		1																						0	1	1	
San Bernardino	2	0	1	2			0	1					0	1										3	4	7	

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	EDUCATION		LAND USE		PUBLIC SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORT		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL MEASURES			
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL	
San Diego	1	0	0	1									1	0								0	1			2	2	4
San Francisco	1	0			1	0	2	2			1	1											1	0	6	3	9	
San Joaquin	1	1																							1	1	2	
San Luis Obispo							3	0											1	0					4	0	4	
San Mateo	6	1	2	0	0	1	4	0			0	1							1	0	4	0	1	0	18	3	21	
Santa Clara	1	1	0	3			2	1													2	0			5	5	10	
Santa Cruz	2	0											0	1							2	0			4	1	5	
Shasta	1	0																							1	0	1	
Sierra													1	0												1	0	1
Siskiyou	1	0																								1	0	1
Solano	1	0																			1	1			2	1	3	
Sonoma	3	9																					0	1	3	10	13	
Stanislaus	2	1			0	1																				2	2	4
Sutter	0	1																			1	0				1	1	2
Tehama							1	0																		1	0	1
Tuolumne	1	0							1	0																2	0	2
Tulare	2	2			2	0													1	0	1	1				6	3	9
Ventura	1	0													0	1	1	0	1	0						3	1	4
Yolo			0	1																						0	1	1
Yuba			0	1																						0	1	1
All Counties	71	32	11	17	8	10	43	17	1	0	8	5	9	5	0	1	2	0	12	6	23	10	2	2	190	105	295	

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2005

		Director, CSD*		Other County Offices		City Council		Other City Offices		School Board Member		Total	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
		Incumbent Candidates	Win	78.6	44	66.7	2	80.7	155	90.6	48	77.4	390
	Lose	21.4	12	33.3	1	19.3	37	9.4	5	22.6	114	20.9	169
	Total	100.0	56	100.0	3	100.0	192	100.0	53	100.0	504	100.0	808
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	40.4	40	22.2	2	24.4	155	24.0	30	40.3	364	33.4	591
	Lose	59.6	59	77.8	7	75.6	480	76.0	95	59.7	540	66.6	1,181
	Total	100.0	99	100.0	9	100.0	635	100.0	125	100.0	904	100.0	1,772
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	52.4	44	50.0	2	50.0	155	61.5	48	51.7	390	52.0	639
	Non-Incumbent	47.6	40	50.0	2	50.0	155	38.5	30	48.3	364	48.0	591
	Total	100.0	84	100.0	4	100.0	310	100.0	78	100.0	754	100.0	1,230
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	16.9	12	12.5	1	7.2	37	5.0	5	17.4	114	12.5	169
	Non-Incumbent	83.1	59	87.5	7	92.8	480	95.0	95	82.6	540	87.5	1,181
	Total	100.0	71	100.0	8	100.0	517	100.0	100	100.0	654	100.0	1,350
All Candidates	Incumbent	36.1	56	25.0	3	23.2	192	29.8	53	35.8	504	31.3	808
	Non-Incumbent	63.9	99	75.0	9	76.8	635	70.2	125	64.2	904	68.7	1,772
	Total	100.0	155	100.0	12	100.0	827	100.0	178	100.0	1,408	100.0	2,580

*Directors of Community Service Districts

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES
AND TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
ALAMEDA	11/8/2005	Emeryville	Measure C	Ordinance	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	1,245	2,296	54.2%	Pass
		Livermore	Measure D	Initiative	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	7,663	27,341	28.0%	Fail
			Measure E	Ordinance	General Services: Wastewater/Sewage	18,951	25,805	73.4%	Pass
ALPINE	No City Measures								
AMADOR	No City Measures								
BUTTE	No City Measures								
CALAVERAS	8/30/2005	City of Angels	Measure A	Sales Tax	Transport: Roads	173	1,242	13.9%	Fail [†]
COLUSA	No City Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Antioch	Measure K	Initiative	Transport: Traffic Regulation/Reduction	12,612	21,125	59.7%	Pass
		Brentwood	Measure L	Ordinance	Land Use: Growth Cap/Boundary	5,151	10,465	49.2%	Fail
		Clayton	Measure M	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	2,084	4,625	45.1%	Fail [†]
		Pittsburg	Measure N	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	4,417	10,309	42.8%	Fail
			Measure O	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	4,319	10,335	41.8%	Fail
			Measure P	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	5,577	10,776	51.8%	Pass
		Richmond	Measure Q	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	6,843	19,621	34.9%	Fail
		Walnut Creek	Measure R	GO Bond	Facilities: Libraries	16,133	26,220	61.5%	Fail [†]
DEL NORTE	No City Measures								
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	El Dorado Hills	Measure P	Ordinance	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	5,092	11,657	43.7%	Fail
			Measure Q	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	6,771	10,565	64.1%	Pass
		South Lake Tahoe	Measure R	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	1,518	3,328	45.6%	Fail [†]
FRESNO	No City Measures								
GLENN	No City Measures								
HUMBOLDT	No City Measures								
IMPERIAL	6/7/2005	Calexico	Measure N	Ordinance	Gambling	2,040	3,622	56.3%	Pass
	11/8/2005	Brawley	Measure O	Utility Tax	General Services	1,907	3,656	52.2%	Pass
		Calexico	Measure Q	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,370	3,304	71.7%	Pass
INYO	No City Measures								
KERN	11/8/2005	Delano	Measure H	Sales Tax	Transport: Roads	1,881	3,542	53.1%	Fail [†]
KINGS	No City Measures								
LAKE	No City Measures								
LASSEN	No City Measures								
LOS ANGELES	3/8/2005	Bell Gardens	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Elections	1,408	1,771	79.5%	Pass
		Beverly Hills	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	4,482	8,380	53.5%	Pass
		Carson	Measure A	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,772	9,192	41.0%	Fail

[†]Indicates measure required a two-thirds vote to pass. [‡]Indicates measure required a 55% vote to pass. All other county measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	La Habra Heights	Measure A	Gann Limit	Revenues	1,224	1,503	81.4%	Pass
			Measure B	Ordinance	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,212	1,514	80.1%	Pass
		La Verne	Measure S	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,521	4,873	51.7%	Pass
		Los Angeles	Measure 1	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	244,808	366,046	66.9%	Pass
			Measure 2	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	213,324	341,429	62.5%	Pass
		Manhattan Beach	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	957	6,658	14.4%	Fail
		Monterey Park	Measure AA	Property Tax	Safety: Police	4,045	6,861	59.0%	Fail ^T
		Redondo Beach	Measure G	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	4,910	8,971	54.7%	Pass
			Measure H	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,786	8,783	43.1%	Fail
			Measure J	Advisory	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	4,883	8,804	55.5%	Pass
		South Pasadena	Measure L	Miscellaneous Tax	Facilities: Libraries	3,717	4,445	83.6%	Pass ^T
	4/5/2005	Glendale	Measure 1	Charter Amendment	Governance	11,196	15,780	71.0%	Pass
			Measure 2	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	11,477	15,901	72.2%	Pass
			Measure 3	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	12,087	16,078	75.2%	Pass
			Measure 4	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	12,560	15,868	79.2%	Pass
			Measure 5	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	11,355	15,841	71.7%	Pass
			Measure 6	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	12,440	15,830	78.6%	Pass
			Measure 7	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	12,199	15,764	77.4%	Pass
			Measure 8	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	13,969	16,935	82.5%	Pass
			Measure 9	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	12,705	16,661	76.3%	Pass
			Measure 10	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	12,883	16,677	77.3%	Pass
			Measure 11	Charter Amendment	Governance	11,663	16,513	70.6%	Pass
			Measure 12	Charter Amendment	Governance	11,496	15,933	72.2%	Pass
			Measure 13	Charter Amendment	Governance	11,261	16,399	68.7%	Pass
			Measure 14	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	11,628	16,766	69.4%	Pass
			Measure 15	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	9,703	15,701	61.8%	Pass
			Measure 16	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	11,726	16,104	72.8%	Pass
			Measure 17	Charter Amendment	Governance: Personnel/Labor Relations	11,395	15,666	72.7%	Pass
			Measure 18	Charter Amendment	Safety: Civil Fines/Criminal Penalties	11,384	15,662	72.7%	Pass
			Measure 19	Charter Amendment	Governance	12,149	15,733	77.2%	Pass
			Measure 20	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	11,678	15,476	75.5%	Pass
			Measure 21	Charter Amendment	Land Use: Voter Approval	13,002	16,090	80.8%	Pass
		South Gate	Measure P	Advisory	Other	2,025	4,496	45.0%	Fail
			Measure S	Utility Tax	General Services	1,222	4,356	28.1%	Fail
	5/17/2005	Los Angeles	Measure A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	157,486	445,194	35.4%	Fail
			Measure B	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	224,986	433,858	51.9%	Pass

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
LOS ANGELES	6/14/2005	Inglewood	Measure 05-A	Charter Amendment	Governance: Elections	1,708	3,885	44.0%	Fail
(continued)	11/8/2005	Baldwin Park	Measure B	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,202	8,362	38.3%	Fail
		Calabasas	Measure C	Advisory	Land Use	2,486	6,181	40.2%	Fail
			Measure D	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	5,028	5,969	84.2%	Pass
		Commerce	Measure A	Ordinance	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,266	2,159	58.6%	Pass
		El Monte	Measure M	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	3,010	9,349	32.2%	Fail
		Hermosa Beach City	Measure E	Ordinance	Land Use: Open Space	2,346	5,401	43.4%	Fail
		Sierra Madre City	Measure F	Miscellaneous Tax	Safety: Emergency Medical/Paramedic	2,143	4,278	50.1%	Fail ^T
		Signal Hill City	Measure H	Utility Tax	Safety: Police	1,035	2,313	44.7%	Fail ^T
		South El Monte City	Measure I	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	902	2,135	42.2%	Fail
MADERA	No City Measures								
MARIN	6/7/2005	Fairfax	Measure F	Business Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,645	2,313	71.1%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	San Anselmo	Measure B	Property Tax	General Services	3,021	5,435	55.6%	Fail ^T
		San Rafael	Measure S	Sales Tax	General Services	12,419	17,661	70.3%	Pass
MARIPOSA	No City Measures								
MENDOCINO	6/7/2005	Ukiah	Measure S	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,442	2,076	69.5%	Pass
			Measure T	Advisory	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,640	2,021	81.1%	Pass
MERCED	11/8/2005	Merced	Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	7,200	11,191	64.3%	Pass
MODOC	No City Measures								
MONO	No City Measures								
MONTEREY	11/8/2005	King City	Measure K	Utility Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	640	1,251	51.2%	Fail ^T
		Salinas	Measure V	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	13,284	21,449	61.9%	Pass
NAPA	No City Measures								
NEVADA	No City Measures								
ORANGE	4/5/2005	Santa Ana	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use	9,301	16,424	56.6%	Pass
	11/8/2005	La Habra	Measure G	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	5,147	10,848	47.4%	Fail
	12/13/2005	Laguna Beach	Measure A	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,610	4,664	56.0%	Pass
PLACER	11/8/2005	North Lake Tahoe	Measure T	Revenue Bond	Facilities: Parks/Recreation	1,132	3,250	34.8%	Fail ^T
		Rocklin	Measure F	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	8,678	14,828	58.5%	Pass
			Measure G	Ordinance	Governance: Organization	8,322	14,824	56.1%	Pass
PLUMAS	No City Measures								
RIVERSIDE	1/11/2005	Riverside	Measure A	Ordinance	Governance: Incorpor./Formation/Annexation	61	107	57.0%	Pass
	3/8/2005	Palm Springs	Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	5,193	11,483	45.2%	Fail
			Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use	5,089	11,470	44.4%	Fail

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
RIVERSIDE (continued)	5/3/2005	Murrieta	Recall 1	Recall	Governance: Recall	5,645	12,362	45.7%	Fail
			Recall 2	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,065	12,304	49.3%	Fail
			Recall 3	Recall	Governance: Recall	6,283	12,328	51.0%	Pass
	11/8/2005	Indian Wells	Measure H	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,558	1,901	82.0%	Pass
			Norco	Measure F	Advisory	Governance: Elections	1,622	5,232	31.0%
				Measure G	Charter Amendment	Land Use: Zoning	3,991	5,329	74.9%
SACRAMENTO	No City Measures								
SAN BENITO	No City Measures								
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2005	Chino	Measure L	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	2,451	3,908	62.7%	Pass
	11/8/2005	Redlands	Measure P	Initiative	Land Use	6,848	18,379	37.3%	Fail
			Measure Q	Initiative	Governance	7,623	18,503	41.2%	Fail
			Measure R	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	7,700	18,375	41.9%	Fail
		San Bernardino	Measure S	Ordinance	Facilities: Libraries	14,371	25,905	55.5%	Fail ^T
SAN DIEGO	7/26/2005	San Diego	Measure A	Ordinance	Facilities: Public Works	197,125	259,498	76.0%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	Encinitas	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	7,283	20,883	34.9%	Fail
		National City	Measure B	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,593	6,010	43.1%	Fail
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City Measures included in County Report								
SAN JOAQUIN	No City Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Measures								
SAN MATEO	3/8/2005	San Carlos	Measure T	Property Tax	Transport: Mass Transit	3,457	7,637	45.3%	Fail ^T
	6/7/2005	Atherton	Measure W	Property Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,776	2,219	80.0%	Pass ^T
	11/8/2005	Belmont	Measure F	Ordinance	Land Use: Voter Approval	6,192	8,432	73.4%	Pass
			East Palo Alto	Measure J	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	1,514	2,818	53.7%
		Half Moon Bay	Measure K	Property Tax	Safety	1,563	2,869	54.5%	Fail ^T
			Measure O	Advisory	Governance	2,807	3,870	72.5%	Pass
			Measure P	Ordinance	General Services: Water	3,289	3,903	84.3%	Pass
		Millbrae	Measure Q	Miscellaneous Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	2,941	5,820	50.5%	Pass
		Portola Valley	Measure H	Gann Limit	Revenues	1,133	2,172	52.2%	Pass
			Measure I	Gann Limit	Land Use: Open Space	1,209	2,101	57.5%	Pass
		Redwood City	Measure L	Charter Amendment	Governance: Budget Processes	8,898	15,256	58.3%	Pass
			Measure M	Charter Amendment	Governance	11,095	15,423	71.9%	Pass
			Measure N	Charter Amendment	Governance: Contracting/Bidding/Leasing	8,066	15,275	52.8%	Pass
		San Bruno	Measure G	Ordinance	Other	6,654	10,187	65.3%	Pass
	SANTA BARBARA	No City Measures							

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Cupertino	Measure A	Ordinance	Land Use	6,770	14,682	46.1%	Fail
			Measure B	Ordinance	Land Use	6,454	14,680	41.2%	Fail
			Measure C	Ordinance	Land Use	6,044	14,654	56.2%	Fail
		Sunnyvale	Measure D	Business Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	14,916	26,534	69.3%	Pass
			Measure E	Transient Occupancy Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	18,555	26,770	62.5%	Pass
			Measure F	Charter Amendment	Governance: Political Reform/Term Limits	16,184	25,906	45.4%	Pass
			Measure G	Charter Amendment	Governance: Organization	11,973	26,344	68.8%	Fail
			Measure H	Charter Amendment	Governance	17,175	24,970	44.0%	Pass
SANTA CRUZ	8/30/2005	Santa Cruz	Measure Z	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	7,050	12,087	58.3%	Pass
	11/8/2005	Scotts Valley	Measure C	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	3,033	4,242	71.5%	Pass
SHASTA	No City Measures								
SIERRA	No City Measures								
SISKIYOU	No City Measures								
SOLANO	11/8/2005	Fairfield	Measure E	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	9,355	20,607	45.4%	Fail
		Vacaville	Measure G	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	10,688	19,917	53.7%	Pass
SONOMA	No City Measures								
STANISLAUS	11/8/2005	Waterford	Measure Q	Sales Tax	Safety	670	1,265	53.0%	Fail ^T
SUTTER	3/8/2005	Live Oak	Measure O	Development Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	321	466	68.9%	Pass
TEHAMA	No City Measures								
TRINITY	No City Measures								
TULARE	11/8/2005	Dinuba	Measure F	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	1,776	2,406	73.8%	Pass ^T
		Farmersville	Measure G	Utility Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	400	868	46.1%	Fail
		Porterville	Measure H	Sales Tax	Safety: Multiple Emergency Services	4,362	6,207	70.3%	Pass ^T
		Tulare	Measure I	Sales Tax	Revenues: Tax Creation/Increase/Continuation	5,285	7,415	71.3%	Pass
TUOLUMNE	No City Measures								
VENTURA	11/8/2005	Fillmore	Measure B5	Property Tax	General Services: Maintenance	1,861	2,793	66.6%	Pass ^T
			Measure C5	Ordinance	Housing: Affordable	1,190	2,755	43.2%	Fail
		San Buenaventura	Measure D5	Business Tax	Gambling	13,739	19,883	69.1%	Pass
YOLO	11/8/2005	Davis	Measure X	Ordinance	Land Use: Zoning	8,843	21,421	41.3%	Fail
YUBA	No City Measures								

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

ALAMEDA	11/8/2005	Emeryville	Measure C	Pass
Shall an ordinance establishing annual permitting requirements for large hotels within the City of Emeryville conditioned upon compliance with special employment regulations regarding payment of specified wages and health benefits to employees, workers retention and reinstatement rights, overtime rules, and employer paid leave for jury duty be adopted?				
ALAMEDA	11/8/2005	Livermore	Measure D	Fail
(INITIATIVE) Shall the people of Livermore amend the Livermore General Plan concerning the North Livermore Urban Growth Boundary and other provisions to permit 2,450 units of residential development and related public facilities in North Livermore?				
ALAMEDA	11/8/2005	Livermore	Measure E	Pass
Shall the City of Livermore participate in the LAVWMA Export Pipeline Facilities Project to increase the City's wastewater disposal capacity to meet the current General Plan with the conditions that voters must approve future proposals that: (1) connect to the City sewer system any land brought inside the City's Urban Growth Boundary after November 1, 2005, that will have new urban uses after that date, or (2) change influent limits in the LAVWMA Agreement?				
CALAVERAS	8/30/2005	City of Angels	Measure A	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall Ordinance No. 420 of the City of Angels authorizing the City Council to impose a special purpose transactions and use tax at a rate of .075% for the purpose of constructing a north and south arterial road be adopted?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Antioch	Measure K	Pass
(INITIATIVE) Shall the electors adopt the City of Antioch Growth Control, Traffic Relief, Voter-Approved Urban Limit Line, and Roddy Ranch Development General Plan Reduction Initiative Ordinance?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Brentwood	Measure L	Fail
Shall the voters approve the City of Brentwood Voter Approved Urban Limit Line measure, which would amend the City of Brentwood's General Plan by creating an Urban Limit Line to guide future growth and development in a manner consistent with the General Plan and should qualify the City of Brentwood to receive Measure J's "return to source" funds from the Contra Costa Transportation Authority for improvements to local streets and roads?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Clayton	Measure M	Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City Council be authorized to levy a special landscape tax for 15 years, issue no more than \$2,800,000 in improvement bonds, and finance public services and facilities through Community Facilities District 2005-1 (replacing existing District 1997-1) in the initial annual amount of \$365.00 per residential parcel and non-residential acre (subject to annual CPI increases), for public landscaping, weed abatement, trails, downtown park, fountain and related costs; and shall the District's first year appropriations limit be \$1,616,000?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Pittsburg	Measure N	Fail
Shall the office of city clerk be appointive?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Pittsburg	Measure O	Fail
Shall the office of city treasurer be appointive?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Pittsburg	Measure P	Pass
Shall the voters of the City of Pittsburg approve a proposal to amend the City General Plan and Zoning Map by: (1) establishing a voter-approved urban limit line, which could only be changed by a vote of the people; (2) rezoning certain lands outside the City limits; (3) adding a new General Plan goal; and (4) modifying other General Plan text and diagrams, as further described in the proposal?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Richmond	Measure Q	Fail
Shall the ordinance amending the City of Richmond Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance to increase the tax rate by one-half percent (1/2%) be adopted?				
CONTRA COSTA	11/8/2005	Walnut Creek	Measure R	Fail (2/3 required)
To replace the outdated, undersized, worn out downtown library with a new library accessible to all, with adequate space for books and materials, children's reading programs, a computer, homework and tutoring center for local students, and the space and equipment to offer computer and Internet programs for adults and seniors, shall the City of Walnut Creek issue \$21 million of bonds to build a new downtown library, adjoining plaza and parking for the new library and Civic Park?				
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	El Dorado Hills	Measure P	Fail
Shall the resolution adopting on July 11, 2005, by the El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission ordering the incorporation of the City of El Dorado Hills and further ordering dissolution and reorganization of the El Dorado Hills Community Services District, and detachment of the territory to be incorporated from County Service Area 9, to be confirmed, subject to the terms and conditions specified in the order?				
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	El Dorado Hills	Measure Q	Pass
Shall members of the City Council of the City of El Dorado Hills be elected in future elections at-large or by district? Select only one of the following methods: City Council members shall be elected in the At-Large ("yes" column) -OR- City Council members shall be elected in the future by District ("no" column)				
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	South Lake Tahoe	Measure R	Fail (2/3 required)

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall the current \$20.00 annual benefit assessment imposed to fund snow removal services within the City of South Lake Tahoe, be replaced by a \$40.00 annual special tax upon all improved parcels, with specified exceptions, subject to an annual inflation adjustment not to exceed 3%, to be used exclusively for purchasing, operating and maintaining snow removal equipment?

IMPERIAL 6/7/2005 Calexico Measure N Pass
(ADVISORY) Shall Ordinance No. 1019 to give the City of Calexico the authority to negotiate an agreement with the Manzanita Band of the Kumeeyaay Indians concerning development and operation of a gaming and entertainment resort within the City of Calexico be adopted?

IMPERIAL 11/8/2005 Brawley Measure O Pass
Shall the City of Brawley extend the collection of the four percent Utility User's Tax (established by Ordinance NUMBER 98-06), scheduled to expire June 1, 2008, upon the following utility services: defined telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, trash collection and cable television, in order to maintain city public services, including, but not limited to: police, fire emergency, administration, library, and parks and recreation; for a period of five years from the date currently set for expiration?

IMPERIAL 11/8/2005 Calexico Measure Q Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 1023, which extends the sunset clause for the Heffernan Memorial Hospital District half-cent sales tax for a period of 10 additional years, be adopted?

KERN 11/8/2005 Delano Measure H Fail (2/3 required)
Shall there be enacted in the City of Delano a temporary sales tax rate increase of one-half of one percent (0.50%) which will end after twenty (20) years, the proceeds of which shall be used for street and road improvements?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Bell Gardens Measure A Pass
Shall the ordinance amending Bell Gardens Municipal Code Section 2.60.010 be adopted to read "Pursuant to Section 1301 of the California Elections Code, the City Municipal Election date shall be the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of odd-numbered years, commencing on November 6, 2007," causing the consolidation of the Bell Gardens general municipal elections with the Los Angeles County consolidated general elections, if approved by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Beverly Hills Measure A Pass
Shall Resolution No. 11688, a Resolution of the City Council of the City of Beverly Hills amending the Beverly Hills General Plan by changing the land use map designation for that real property located at 203-221 Canon Drive/202-240 Beverly Drive (the "Beverly Hills Gardens Specific Plan Area") from low-density commercial to Specific Plan and adopting the Beverly Hills Gardens Specific Plan, be adopted?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Carson Measure A Fail
Shall Ordinance No. 04-1328 be adopted, which ordinance renames the transient occupancy tax to the Uniform Hotel Visitors Tax and increases such tax from 9% to 12%?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 La Habra Heights Measure A Pass
Shall an Ordinance be adopted to renew the City's authority to spend existing revenues from the special fire tax approved by two-thirds of the City's voters in 1997 to provide essential fire services by renewing the voter-approved amendment to the City's appropriation limit?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 La Habra Heights Measure B Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 259 be adopted to amend and clarify the existing special fire tax to provide that the proceeds of the special fire tax may be used to fund not only fire prevention and suppression services, but also life safety services, including, but not limited to, paramedic and emergency medical response services?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 La Verne Measure S Pass
To maintain current levels of public service in La Verne, shall an ordinance be adopted to increase the existing utility user's tax from 4% to 6%?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Los Angeles Measure 1 Pass
Shall the Charter be amended to authorize the City Council of the City of Los Angeles to include new Port Police officers in the same pension plan as new members of the Los Angeles Police Department (Fire and Police Pension Plan - Tier 5) and to give current Port Police Officers the choice of joining that Plan or staying in their current retirement plan, with all Port Police pension costs continuing to be paid by the Harbor Department?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Los Angeles Measure 2 Pass
Shall the Charter be amended to authorize the adoption of ordinances to: (1) allow retired police officers and firefighters to return to active duty by terminating their pensions and being rehired, (2) allow the maximum amount of health subsidies for retirees and beneficiaries of the Plan to be set by ordinance, and (3) allow the City to pay part of employee contributions to the Plan as agreed in labor negotiations?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Manhattan Beach Measure A Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted which repeals existing term limits for City Councilmembers?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Monterey Park Measure AA Fail (2/3 required)

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall an ordinance be adopted by two-thirds plus-one voters preventing severe cuts to existing levels of public safety and addressing future protection demands by adding a daily fifth police patrol and a firefighter-paramedic position, bonding to replace two aged and substandard fire stations, resolving pay unfairness, and fund the safety pension shortfall so it is protected through applying a dedicated annual special levy on parcels not to exceed 25 years?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Redondo Beach Measure G Pass
 Shall an Ordinance amending Section 8-2.03 of the Redondo Beach Municipal Code to authorize increasing the transient occupancy tax charged to guests by hotel operators from the current ten percent (10%) to an amount not to exceed twelve percent (12%) of the rent charged by the operators effective July 1, 2005, be adopted?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Redondo Beach Measure H Fail
 Shall an Ordinance amending the Redondo Beach Municipal Code Section 6-1.22 regarding Business License Tax rates, last modified in 1991, authorizing the establishment of an annual CPI adjustment to begin July, 2005, be adopted?

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 Redondo Beach Measure J Pass
 (ADVISORY) For the properties bounded by Pacific Coast Highway, Catalina Avenue, Beryl Street, Harbor Drive and Herondo Street, the City Council requests you choose which of the following two visions, created by city residents through a consensus building process, you would prefer to guide future development (Yes=A, No=B): A. Acquire and develop 76.5 acres for park and recreation purposes, including both passive and active uses, at a cost to the taxpayers estimated to be in excess of \$345 million with the possibility of undetermined funding from outside public and private sources, including State bond funds; and with a combined annual General Fund increase in operating costs and loss of revenue estimated to be in excess of \$2.9 million. OR B. Allow mixed uses including a minimum 16 acres of park and privately financed development of 350 residences plus hotels and conference facilities including 400 standard and 150 condo hotel rooms. Public parks are estimated to cost \$50.6 million to be financed by developer fees, redevelopment bonds, and approximately \$6.6 million from taxpayers, with the possibility of undetermined funding from outside public or private sources. Net annual General Fund revenue increase of approximately \$770,000 is anticipated.

LOS ANGELES 3/8/2005 South Pasadena Measure L Pass (2/3 required)
 Shall the ordinance extending the Library Special Tax to June 30, 2011 in order to provide funding of the maintenance and operations of the South Pasadena Public Library at the current level of library services be adopted?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 1 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [1] Shall Article I, Section 2 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be added to provide for a gender neutrality clause and three other rules which establish the meaning of designated terms in the Charter?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 2 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [2] Shall Article IV, Section I, Article XI, Section 1 and 4, Article XXI, Sections 14 and 22 and Article XXIV, Section 5 and 10 of the Charter of the city of Glendale be amended to remove obsolete language and provide for the change of the official titles from city controller to director of administrative services, from street superintendent to maintenance service and from superintendent of buildings to building officials?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 3 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [3] Shall Article VI, Sections 3 and 6 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to provide for one additional week for canvassing returns, thereby allowing sufficient time for complete and accurate counting of all ballots?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 4 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [4] Shall Article VI, Section 1 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to remove obsolete language and to provide that each candidate for member of the City Council of the City Council shall be a qualified elector pursuant to state law?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 5 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [5] Shall Article VI, Section 5 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to provide that the mayor pro tem shall be selected monthly by alphabetical rotation and serve if the mayor is absent or unavailable?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 6 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [6] Shall Article VI, Section 9 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to provide that city council establish by ordinance a complete procedure to ensure the integrity of awarding all contracts and minimum dollar amount for contracts requiring competitive bidding?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 7 Pass
 CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [7] Shall Article VI, Section 13 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to provide that vacancies of city council, city clerk, or city treasurer be filled by appointment within 30 days, or otherwise call for an immediate special election to be held within 120 days unless the earliest next general municipal election or next county of state election with which a city election may be consolidated is no more than 180 days later?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 Glendale Measure 8 Pass

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

CHARTER AMENDMENT NO. [21] Shall Article VI, Section 15 of the Charter of the City of Glendale be amended to require a vote of the people for the sale of any city owned SR (Special Recreation) zoned of five acres or more, or property dedicated to park land of five acres or more, excepting easements and transfers to other public agencies with limitations?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 South Gate Measure P Fail
(ADVISORY) Shall the South Gate City Council enact a permit system regulating the number of vehicles that may be parked overnight on City streets?

LOS ANGELES 4/5/2005 South Gate Measure S Fail
Shall the City of South Gate adopt an ordinance to establish a Utility Users' Tax not to exceed 5% to fund general City services and programs including police, parks and recreation, and library services?

LOS ANGELES 5/17/2005 Los Angeles Measure A Fail
Shall the City Charter be amended to allow the reorganization of security and law enforcement authority at Los Angeles International Airport and other City owned airports by employing current Charter procedures to transfer some or all of that authority to other City departments or offices or to other governmental entities?

LOS ANGELES 5/17/2005 Los Angeles Measure B Pass
Shall the Charter be amended to eliminate the provision that requires a voter to cast a vote on the question of recalling the incumbent in order to have his or her vote counted for a replacement candidate?

LOS ANGELES 6/14/2005 Inglewood Measure 05-A Fail
Shall the Charter be amended to require the election of the Mayor be held on the first Tuesday in April starting in 2006?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Baldwin Park Measure B Fail
Shall the City of Baldwin Park's transient occupancy tax, which is levied for the privilege of occupancy in any hotel or motel, be increased from ten percent (10%) to eleven percent (11%) as of January 1, 2006; and be increased from eleven percent (11%) to twelve percent (12%) as of January 1, 2008?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Calabasas Measure C Fail
(ADVISORY) Should Calabasas annex 152 acres on Mulholland Highway east of Las Virgenes Road for the Malibu Valley Inn & Spa – a 203-room resort, 5 estate homes, facilities for swimming, equestrian use, tennis, fitness spa, restaurant, winery, shops, conference rooms, and underground parking as described in the project's draft environmental impact report – in lieu of up to 81 estate homes on 443 acres if not annexed?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Calabasas Measure D Pass
Shall Ordinance No. 2005-225 be adopted to protect and preserve the existing areas of Open Space in Calabasas by requiring two-thirds voter approval before any land in the City designated as Open Space may be redesignated for another use?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Commerce Measure A Pass
Shall the ordinance entitled "An Ordinance of the People of the City of Commerce, State of California, Enacting a Hotel Visitor Tax", for the purpose of protecting the local economy by continuing tourism promotion, be approved?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 El Monte Measure M Fail
Shall the City of El Monte eliminate the elective office of Mayor in favor of procedures which provide that the office of Mayor shall be occupied by each of the five members of the City Council on a rotating basis, except as otherwise provided by ordinance. (If approved, this Measure will not take effect until November 2007. Voters must still vote to elect a Mayor at the November 8, 2005 consolidated general municipal election.

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Hermosa Beach City Measure E Fail
Shall an ordinance be adopted adding the beach to the Restricted Open Space (O-S-1) zone and limiting improvements in the O-S-1 zone to (a) landscaping, irrigation and erosion control, and (b) replacement or repair of existing improvements within their existing footprint?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Sierra Madre City Measure F Fail (2/3 required)
In order to fund Public Safety Services in the City of Sierra Madre, including Advanced Life Support (paramedic) emergency medical services, replacement of fire equipment, personnel to improve fire and emergency medical response, and to allow more competitive Police Department salaries, shall the City of Sierra Madre impose a special public safety tax beginning with Fiscal Year 2006-07?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 Signal Hill City Measure H Fail (2/3 required)
Shall the City of Signal Hill impose a tax of 3% on charges for electric, gas, water and other utility services (with exemptions for seniors and low income households) for a period of no more than 15 years to finance and construct a new, modernized police station in the City of Signal Hill and to pay for police equipment and other related costs?

LOS ANGELES 11/8/2005 South El Monte City Measure I Fail
Shall the term of office of Mayor be four years?

MARIN 6/7/2005 Fairfax Measure F Pass (2/3 required)

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall an ordinance be adopted approving a special Fairfax municipal services tax of \$125 per year for each business occupancy and dwelling unit, for a period of five years, to be used exclusively to: maintain 24-hour, seven days per week staffing of the Fairfax Police Station and Fire House, maintain Fairfax's 911 Emergency Dispatch, perform Public Works safety improvements, restore funding for Youth Services and establish a citizen's oversight committee?

MARIN 11/8/2005 San Anselmo Measure B Fail (2/3 required)

Shall an ordinance be adopted approving a special San Anselmo municipal services tax of \$250 per year per living unit and per 1500 square feet of nonresidential use, for a period of four years, to be used to: restore and maintain Police, Parks, and Library staffing services, maintain minimum staffing at San Anselmo fire stations, increasing the appropriations limit by the amount of said tax for the next four years and establishing a citizens' oversight committee?

MARIN 11/8/2005 San Rafael Measure S Pass

To prevent further cuts and preserve funding for general city services, including maintaining the number of on-duty firefighters and police officers on patrol, preventing the closure of fire stations and community centers, repairing city streets and maintaining library service hours, shall the City of San Rafael adopt an ordinance enacting a one-half of one percent transactions (sales) and use tax, automatically expiring in ten years, with independent oversight and annual audits?

MENDOCINO 6/7/2005 Ukiah Measure S Pass

Shall Ordinance No. 1063 be adopted to impose a transaction (sales) and use tax at maximum rate of one-half of one percent within the city limits of the City of Ukiah?

MENDOCINO 6/7/2005 Ukiah Measure T Pass

(ADVISORY) Should additional funds for public safety, including police, fire and emergency medical services, be the first priority for the use of new sales tax revenues in the City of Ukiah?

MERCED 11/8/2005 Merced Measure C Pass

To help preserve the safety and character of Merced, with a priority on funding essential services such as police, fire and street maintenance, as well as other general City services, shall an Ordinance be adopted increasing the City's sales tax by 1/2 cent for every taxable dollar spent for not more than 20 years, with citizens oversight and independent annual audits of all expenditures?

MONTEREY 11/8/2005 King City Measure K Fail (2/3 required)

To help protect King City from state takeaways and provide adequate funding for police and fire services, shall King City amend Chapter 3.28 of the City's Municipal Code by raising the utility user tax rate on telephone, electricity, water, gas, and cable television services to the statewide average of six percent (6%), subject to annual review by a Citizen Advisory Committee?

MONTEREY 11/8/2005 Salinas Measure V Pass

To address an existing emergency related to Salinas' ability to fund all general public services, including basic services such as police, fire safety, paramedics, libraries, recreation, crossing guards, graffiti removal, anti-gang programs, and street and park maintenance, shall an ordinance be adopted to levy a transactions and use tax of a half cent, which would sunset in 10 years and include an independent citizens oversight committee?

ORANGE 4/5/2005 Santa Ana Measure A Pass

Shall the City of Santa Ana enforce Ordinance NS-2649 permitting the development of One Broadway Plaza, a 37 story office building and related retail improvements and parking?

ORANGE 11/8/2005 La Habra Measure G Fail

Shall the existing Utility Users Tax of 4.5% on electricity and 6% on other utilities be reduced to 4.5% for all utilities, for the funding of general city services and programs effective July 1, 2006, and extending beyond December 31, 2007, provided that annual financial audits continue to be conducted and that low income seniors and the disabled remain exempt from the tax?

ORANGE 12/13/2005 Laguna Beach Measure A Pass

Shall a temporary sales tax increase one-half of one percent (0.5%), which will end after six (6) years, be adopted to help limit budget cuts in the City's General Fund resulting from local disasters?

PLACER 11/8/2005 North Lake Tahoe Measure T Fail (2/3 required)

Shall the Community Facilities District No. 2005-1 (Community Recreation Center): 1) issue not more than \$22,230,000 of 30-year bonds to build the core of the North Tahoe Recreation Center on Dollar Hill; 2) levy a special tax which shall escalate at 2% annually to pay bond debt service and to support the Center's operation; and 3) have an appropriations limit of \$2,000,000 per year? After bonds are paid off, the tax may continue at a reduced level to fund only operations, if necessary.

PLACER 11/8/2005 Rocklin Measure F Pass

Shall the office of City Clerk be appointive?

PLACER 11/8/2005 Rocklin Measure G Pass

Shall the office of City Treasurer be appointive?

RIVERSIDE 1/11/2005 Riverside Measure A Pass

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall the order adopted on March 25, 2004, by the Executive Officer of behalf of the Local Agency Formation Commission of the County of Riverside ordering the reorganization affecting the City of Riverside, County Service Area 126 and the Riverside County Waste Resource Management District, and providing for Annexation 95 to the City of Riverside, and detachments from County Service Area 126 and the Riverside County Waste District Resources Management District be confirmed subject to the terms and conditions including extensions to the annexation area of the City's street lighting assessment, utility tax, general bonded indebtedness and various rates and service charges applicable within the City, all as more particularly described in the order?

RIVERSIDE 3/8/2005 Palm Springs Measure B Fail
 Shall the General Plan of the City of Palm Springs be amended to establish a new MR (Mountain Region) designation for approximately 55.5 square miles of property in and proximate to the San Jacinto and Santa Rosa Mountains, and repeal the various land use regulations currently affecting this property?

RIVERSIDE 3/8/2005 Palm Springs Measure C Fail
 Shall Resolution 20994 approving amendments to the General Plan Use and Circulation Plan for Palm Hills area be adopted?

RIVERSIDE 5/3/2005 Murrieta Recall 1 Fail
 Shall Douglas R. McAllister be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member, City of Murrieta?

RIVERSIDE 5/3/2005 Murrieta Recall 2 Fail
 Shall Kelly Seyarto be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member, City of Murrieta?

RIVERSIDE 5/3/2005 Murrieta Recall 3 Pass
 Shall Jack F. (No Suggestions) be recalled (removed) from the office of City Council Member, City of Murrieta?

RIVERSIDE 11/8/2005 Indian Wells Measure H Pass
 Shall Ordinance Bill No. 2005-14 be adopted to approve an increase in the City's Admission Tax on patrons attending entertainment events from the current maximum of five percent (5%) to a maximum of ten percent (10%), subject to authorization for the City Council to annually decrease or increase the Admission Tax within the ten percent (10%) maximum tax rate?

RIVERSIDE 11/8/2005 Norco Measure F Fail
 (ADVISORY) Shall the General Municipal Election date be changed from odd-numbered to even numbered years, effective November, 2008, resulting in the extension of the term of Council members elected in November, 2005 to November 2010 and incumbent Council members to November, 2008?

RIVERSIDE 11/8/2005 Norco Measure G Pass
 Shall the City of Norco Charter be amended to provide that any zone change from or to the following zones requires a Super Majority (4/5th) Vote of the City Council: A-E ZONE AGRICULTURAL ESTATE, A-1 ZONE AGRICULTURAL LOW DENSITY, A-2 ZONE AGRICULTURAL, R-1 ZONE RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY, R-3 ZONE LOW DENSITY MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, HS ZONE HILLSIDE (AGRICULTURAL/LOW DENSITY) AREAS, PD OVERLAY ZONE PLANNED DEVELOPMENTS, SPECIFIC PLAN?

SAN BERNARDINO 6/7/2005 Chino Measure L Pass
 Shall the General Plan of the City of Chino be amended to change the land use designation of 5.6 acres of vacant land- generally located at the southwest corner of Schaefer Avenue and Roswell Avenue- from "Business Park" to "Residential-RD20" so that the City Council can re-zone the parcel from IPD-BP (Industrial Planned Development- Business Park) to RPD-RD20 (Residential Planned Development- RD20) for the purpose of providing senior citizen housing?

SAN BERNARDINO 11/8/2005 Redlands Measure P Fail
 (INITIATIVE) Shall the initiative ordinance of the people of Redlands amending the principles of managed growth and the land use, circulation, open space and conservation, health and safety and noise elements of the Redlands General Plan, be adopted?

SAN BERNARDINO 11/8/2005 Redlands Measure Q Fail
 (INITIATIVE) Shall the initiative ordinance of the people of Redlands amending the Redlands Municipal Code to adopt a new official City seal, be adopted?

SAN BERNARDINO 11/8/2005 Redlands Measure R Fail
 Shall Ordinance No. 2606, adopting Zone Change No. 390, a change of zone from A-1 (Agricultural) District (five-acre lots) to R-R (Rural Residential) District (one-acre lots) on four contiguous lots totaling 180.9 acres located south of Highview Drive and east of Freya Drive for the Covington Project, be approved?

SAN BERNARDINO 11/8/2005 San Bernardino Measure S Fail (2/3 required)
 Shall the ordinance entitled the "City of San Bernardino Library Relief Act," approving a special tax and adding Chapter 3.42 to the San Bernardino Municipal Code be approved by the voters to help fund the City's libraries?

SAN DIEGO 7/26/2005 San Diego Measure A Pass (2/3 required)
 Shall the City of San Diego donate to the federal government all of the City's rights, title, and interest in the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial property for the federal government's use of the property as a national memorial honoring veterans of the United States Armed Forces?

SAN DIEGO 11/8/2005 Encinitas Measure A Fail

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall specified sections of the City Charter be amended to permit the Library Board to furnish its proposed budget to the City Manager by the same date as required of other city departments; allow Planning Commissioners and Port Commissioners to continue to hold office until their successors are appointed and qualified; and to permit the Board of Equalization to meet on any regular City Council meeting date in July of each year?

SAN MATEO	11/8/2005	Redwood City	Measure N	Pass
Shall a specified section of the City Charter be amended to allow a change in the various threshold amounts for the purchase of materials or supplies to determine when contracts (1) may be awarded without solicitation or advertisements; (2) may be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder after solicitation but without advertisement or public bidding; and (3) may be awarded to the lower responsible bidder after public bidding?				
SAN MATEO	11/8/2005	San Bruno	Measure G	Pass
Shall the Safe & Sane Fireworks Ordinance- which allows the continued sale, use or discharge of Safe & San Fireworks- be adopted?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Cupertino	Measure A	Fail
Shall the City of Cupertino adopt an amendment to the General Plan concerning housing density?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Cupertino	Measure C	Fail
Shall the City of Cupertino adopt an amendment to the General Plan concerning building setbacks?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	Measure D	Pass
Shall the Sunnyvale Municipal Code be amended to increase the business license tax, which supports basic City services, from its current amount of \$10 for every 5 employees/rental units, to \$30 for 1, \$50 for 2 to 5, and \$50 for each additional group of 5 employees/rental units, up to \$9,500 for employees and \$4,250 for rentals, with increases phased over 3 years and both tax/cap adjusted annually for inflation?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	Measure E	Pass
Shall the Sunnyvale Municipal Code be amended to increase the transient occupancy tax (commonly called the "hotel tax") which is charged only on persons who occupy hotel or motel rooms in the City for 30 days or less, from the current 8.5% to 9.5%, over a 2-year period, which is lower that charged in San Jose, Palo Alto, Mountain View, Milpitas and Cupertino, in order to help maintain basic City Services?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	Measure F	Pass
Shall the Charter of the City of Sunnyvale be amended to standardize term limits for all city boards and commissions to two consecutive four-year terms and eliminate the two-year waiting period before a board of commission member may apply to serve on another board or commission?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	Measure G	Fail
Shall the Charter of the City of Sunnyvale be amended to conform with state law by eliminating the requirement that the City Manager shall reside in the City of Sunnyvale?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	Measure H	Pass
Shall the Charter of the City of Sunnyvale be amended to include the term "heritage resource" in its list of topics reviewed by the Sunnyvale Heritage Preservation Commission, consistent with existing policy?				
SANTA CLARA	11/8/2005	Cupertino	Measure B	Fail
Shall the City of Cupertino adopt an amendment to the General Plan concerning building heights?				
SANTA CRUZ	8/30/2005	Santa Cruz	Measure Z	Pass
In order to limit further reductions in the City's General Fund, shall the Santa Cruz Municipal Code be amended to add a Chapter imposing a Franchise Tax of 3% on the water utility rate, 3% on the sewer utility rate, and 12% on the refuse utility rate, to replace that comparable Franchise Fee that was recently discontinued?				
SANTA CRUZ	11/8/2005	Scotts Valley	Measure C	Pass
City of Scotts Valley. Shall a five year temporary one-half of one percent sales tax rate increase be approved for the first three year period and then reduced to one-quarter of one percent for the final two years be adopted to maintain general services including public safety, street improvements, and parks?				
SOLANO	11/8/2005	Fairfield	Measure E	Fail
To improve the safety of Fairfield and preserve the funding of essential services such as police, fire, street and park maintenance, as well as other general city services, shall an ordinance be adopted increasing the City sales tax by one-half cent, subject to independent annual financial audits, an independent Fairfield taxpayer's committee to review expenditures, and an expiration date of June 30, 2016?				
SOLANO	11/8/2005	Vacaville	Measure G	Pass
In order to comply with a recent court decision interpreting the Right to Vote on Taxes Act, shall the City of Vacaville replace its existing in-lieu franchise fee and property tax fee on City water and sewer operations with an equivalent general tax on City water and sewer operations to avoid reductions in City services such as police services, fire and medical emergency response, street lighting, street and park maintenance, and programs for youth and seniors?				
STANISLAUS	11/8/2005	Waterford	Measure Q	Fail (2/3 required)

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2005

Shall the Ordinance, creating Public Safety and City Street Improvement Act and imposing a 1/2 cent sales tax for 20 years and create a Citizens Advisory Oversight Committee, be adopted?

SUTTER 3/8/2005 Live Oak Measure O Pass
 Shall the City of Live Oak Ordinance No.482 be adopted, which imposes an excise tax (subject to certain exemptions) on persons issued a building permit for each new construction in the amount of one percent of the valuation of construction work under the permit?

TULARE 11/8/2005 Dinuba Measure F Pass (2/3 required)
 Dinuba Police, Fire, Emergency Response/ 9-1-1 Safety Measure. In order to hire, train and retain police/firefighters/paramedics/9-1-1 dispatchers; expand neighborhood/school policing and crime prevention efforts including more after-school, anti-gang and anti-drug programs; upgrade the 9-1-1 Emergency Response Center; and purchase fire engines/ambulances, shall the sales tax be increased by three-quarters of a cent with citizens oversight, guaranteed independent annual financial audits, 10-year reviews, and no money for administrators salaries?

TULARE 11/8/2005 Farmersville Measure G Fail
 Shall Farmersville voters enact a 4% utility users tax to restore and ensure essential government functions including animal control, police, fire, park facilities maintenance, and other general fund services continue in the future?

TULARE 11/8/2005 Porterville Measure H Pass (2/3 required)
 Porterville Police, Fire and Emergency Response/ 9-1-1 Safety Measure. In order to increase the number of police and firefighters, expand neighborhood crime prevention efforts, improve 9-1-1 dispatch center, build a new fire station, and expand and improve anti-gang, anti-gang and anti-bullying programs, shall the sales tax be increased by one-half cent with citizens oversight and independent annual performance and financial audits?

TULARE 11/8/2005 Tulare Measure I Pass
 The Tulare Vital City Services Measure. To maintain and improve vital city services such as police, fire, and paramedic services, 911 response times, anti-gang programs, street and pothole repair, parks, recreation, library, tree trimming, code enforcement and other services, shall the sales tax be increased by a maximum of one-half of a cent with citizens' oversight and independent annual financial audits?

VENTURA 11/8/2005 Fillmore Measure B5 Pass (2/3 required)
 Shall the ordinance proposing a special tax of \$15 per parcel per year, to be used only for maintenance and operations of a community swimming pool be adopted?

VENTURA 11/8/2005 Fillmore Measure C5 Fail
 Shall the city of Fillmore allow the development and construction, with local or state assistance, of very low to moderate income rental housing not to exceed in the aggregate 100 dwelling units?

VENTURA 11/8/2005 San Buenaventura Measure D5 Pass
 Should the San Buenaventura Municipal Code be amended to impose a tax on the privilege of lawfully operating a cardroom pursuant to a city cardroom license in amount equal to 15% of the gross revenues received by the license holder from card play, all as more particularly set forth in the proposed ordinance attached as an exhibit to City Council Resolution No. 2005-068 on file in the City Clerk's Office?

YOLO 11/8/2005 Davis Measure X Fail
 Shall amended Resolution No. 05-96, amending the Davis General Plan to change the land use designations for the Covell Village property from agriculture to residential and commercial uses, as set forth in the Resolution and establishing the Baseline Project Features for development of the Covell Village Project be approved?

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		ADVISORY		INITIATIVE		RECALL		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda									0	1					2	0	2	1	3
Calaveras	0	1															0	1	1
Contra Costa	0	2	0	1					1	0					1	3	2	6	8
El Dorado	0	1													1	1	1	2	3
Imperial	2	0													1	0	3	0	3
Kern	0	1															0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	7			24	2	1	2					1	0	5	4	34	15	49
Marin	2	1															2	1	3
Mendocino	1	0					1	0									2	0	2
Merced	1	0															1	0	1
Monterey	1	1															1	1	2
Orange	1	1													1	0	2	1	3
Placer			0	1											2	0	2	1	3
Riverside	1	0			1	0	0	1	0	2	1	2			1	2	4	5	9
San Bernardino															1	2	1	4	5
San Diego	0	1													1	1	1	2	3
San Mateo	3	2			3	0	1	0					2	0	3	0	12	2	14
Santa Clara	2	0			2	1										3	4	4	8
Santa Cruz	2	0															2	0	2
Solano	1	1															1	1	2
Stanislaus	0	1															0	1	1
Sutter	1	0															1	0	1
Tulare	3	1															3	1	4
Ventura	2	0													0	1	2	1	3
Yolo															0	1	0	1	1
All Counties	26	21	0	2	30	3	3	3	1	3	1	2	3	0	19	18	83	52	135

TABLE 1.4 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2005

	LAND USE		PUB SAFETY		GOVERNANCE		TRANSPORTATION		PUBLIC FACILITIES		HOUSING		GAMBLING		GENERAL SERVICES		REVENUE		OTHER		ALL CITY MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	0	1			1	0									1	0					2	1	3
Calaveras							0	1													0	1	1
Contra Costa	1	1			0	2	1	0	0	1					0	1	0	1			2	6	8
El Dorado					1	1									0	1					1	2	3
Imperial													1	0	1	0	1	0			3	0	3
Kern							0	1													0	1	1
Los Angeles	3	2	2	3	23	5			2	0					0	1	4	3	0	1	34	15	49
Marin			1	0											1	1					2	1	3
Mendocino			1	0													1	0			2	0	2
Merced																	1	0			1	0	1
Monterey			0	1													1	0			1	1	2
Orange	1	0															1	1			2	1	3
Placer					2	0			0	1											2	1	3
Riverside	1	2			2	3											1	0			4	5	9
San Bernardino	1	2				1			0	1											1	4	5
San Diego	0	1							1	0							0	1			1	2	3
San Mateo	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	1							1	0	4	0	1	0	12	2	14
Santa Clara	0	3			2	1											2	0			4	4	8
Santa Cruz																	2	0			2	0	2
Solano																	1	1			1	1	2
Stanislaus			0	1																	0	1	1
Sutter																	1	0			1	0	1
Tulare			2	0													0	1			3	1	4
Ventura											0	1	1	0	1	0					2	1	3
Yolo	0	1																			0	1	1
All Counties	9	13	6	6	35	13	1	3	3	3	0	1	2	0	5	4	21	8	1	1	83	52	135

PART 2

VOTE TOTALS FOR
CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
ALAMEDA	2/8/2005	San Leandro	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Starosciak	Joyce R.	Computer Support Consultant	No	2	7,500	13,369	56.1%	Yes
						Mahoney	Mike	Public Works Superintendent	No	2	5,869	13,369	43.9%	No
	5/17/2005	Oakland	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Kernighan	Patricia	Chief of Staff	No	9	2,551	8,868	28.8%	Yes
						Kakishiba	David	Exec. Dir., East Bay Asian Youth Center	No	9	1,876	8,868	21.2%	No
						Gee	Shirley	Executive University Manager	No	9	1,466	8,868	16.5%	No
						Allison	Aimee	Consultant, Non Profit & Small Businesses	No	9	1,261	8,868	14.2%	No
						Garrison	Paul E.	Attorney, Senior Bank Executive	No	9	697	8,868	7.9%	No
						Horner	Justin	Legislative Staff Director	No	9	475	8,868	5.4%	No
						Moore	Margaret "Peggy"	Community Outreach Specialist	No	9	289	8,868	3.3%	No
						Drake	Pamela A.	Teacher, Neighbor Administrator	No	9	206	8,868	2.3%	No
						Plate	Todd	Non Profit Consultant	No	9	32	8,868	0.4%	No
						6/7/2005	Pleasanton	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Thorne	Jerry	Retired Environmental Engineer	No
	Arkin	Brian	Director Hardware Engineer	No	3						3,332	9,261	36.0%	No
	Faustina	Dan	Sale Executive	No	3						2,122	9,261	22.9%	No
	11/8/2005	Emeryville	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kassis	Dick	City Council Member, Attorney	Yes	3	1,220	3,386	36.0%	Yes
						Fricke	John	Small Business Attorney	No	3	1,213	3,386	35.8%	Yes
						Treuting	Ed	Printer, Planning Commissioner	No	3	945	3,386	27.9%	No
		Livermore	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Reitter	Tom	Mechanical Engineer	Yes	5	14,140	46,857	30.2%	Yes
						Marchand	John P.	Water Quality Chemist	No	5	11,777	46,857	25.1%	Yes
						Bramell	Tom	Retired Deputy Fire Chief	No	5	8,011	46,857	17.1%	No
Stein						John	Engineer	No	5	6,639	46,857	14.2%	No	
Aboumrad						Bill	Businessman, Realtor	No	5	6,272	46,857	13.4%	No	
MAYOR				Full	Kamena	Marshall H.	Mayor, Optometrist	Yes	2	17,406	26,646	65.3%	Yes	
					Mertes	David	Retired State Chancellor	No	2	9,171	26,646	34.4%	No	
Newark	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Nagy	Alan I.	City Councilmember	Yes	3	5,221	14,242	36.7%	Yes		
				Apodaca	Ana	Community Relations Manager	No	3	4,710	14,242	33.1%	Yes		
				Marshall	Bob	Appraiser, Planning Commissioner	No	3	4,293	14,242	30.1%	No		
				MAYOR	Full	Smith	David W.	Mayor	Yes	1	7,698	7,869	97.8%	Yes
ALPINE	No City Contests													
AMADOR	No City Contests													
BUTTE	No City Contests													
CALAVERAS	No City Contests													
COLUSA	No City Contests													

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
CONTRA COSTA	6/7/2005	Brentwood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Brockman	Bob	Local Businessman/Engineering	No	4	1,645	3,859	42.6%	Yes
						Becnel	Chris	CPA/Businessman/Attorney	No	4	1,203	3,859	31.2%	No
						Bates, Jr.	Richard G.	No Ballot Designation	No	4	612	3,859	15.9%	No
						Handwork	Charles	No Ballot Designation	No	4	383	3,859	9.9%	No
	11/8/2005	San Ramon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hudson	David E.	San Ramon City Council Member	Yes	3	9,598	21,414	44.8%	Yes
						Livingstone	Jim	Retired Business Owner	Yes	3	7,681	21,414	35.9%	Yes
						Rosenbluth	Ellen	Attorney	No	3	3,995	21,414	18.7%	No
			MAYOR		Full	Wilson	H. Abram	Mayor/Investment Banker	Yes	1	11,380	11,800	96.4%	Yes
DEL NORTE	No City Contests													
EL DORADO	11/8/2005	El Dorado	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hidal	John	Chief System Engineer	No	19	3,480	38,587	9.0%	Yes
						Haberman	Jeff	Local Business Owner	No	19	3,255	38,587	8.4%	Yes
						Brilliant	Larry	Safety/Risk Manager	No	19	3,144	38,587	8.1%	Yes
						Murphy	Greg	Deputy Sheriff	No	19	3,107	38,587	8.1%	Yes
						Knight	John R.	Businessman	No	19	2,766	38,587	7.2%	Yes
						Rowett	Norm	Electrical Engineer	No	19	2,581	38,587	6.7%	No
						Trapani	David M.	Attorney/EDHCSD Director	No	19	2,529	38,587	6.6%	No
						Wyatt	Constance "Connie"	Director, El Dorado Hills CSD	No	19	2,255	38,587	5.8%	No
						Nesbitt	Dorothy	Retired Business Consultant	No	19	2,113	38,587	5.5%	No
						Ellerman	C. Howell	Attorney/Business Professor	No	19	1,868	38,587	4.8%	No
						Palley	Beau	Business Owner	No	19	1,821	38,587	4.7%	No
						Masters	Justin	Small Business Marketing	No	19	1,716	38,587	4.4%	No
						Johnson	Susan M.	Small Business Consultant	No	19	1,685	38,587	4.4%	No
						Lagomarsino	Bruce	School Board Trustee	No	19	1,549	38,587	4.0%	No
						Hollis	Bob	SMCSD President	No	19	1,375	38,587	3.6%	No
						Pearson	Sunday L.	Federal Manager	No	19	1,040	38,587	2.7%	No
						Tryane	Gerry	Businessman/Business Professor	No	19	990	38,587	2.6%	No
Winston	Charel	Computer Entrepreneur/Rancher	No	19	800	38,587	2.1%	No						
Cox	Bart	Real Estate Broker	No	19	513	38,587	1.3%	No						
FRESNO	No City Contests													
GLENN	No City Contests													
HUMBOLDT	3/8/2005	Arcata	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Wheetley	Mark E.	Natural Resource Planner	No	6	1,525	3,062	49.8%	Yes
						Winkler	Michael	Energy Research Engineer	No	6	925	3,062	30.2%	No
						Scoggin	Mary	Parent, Professor	No	6	248	3,062	8.1%	No
						Allen	Greg	Attorney	Yes	6	241	3,062	7.9%	No
						Lord	Andrew	Environmental Consultant	No	6	70	3,062	2.3%	No
						Bravo	Nicholas	Environmentalist	No	6	26	3,062	0.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
IMPERIAL	11/8/2005	Brawley	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vasquez	Esteban "Steve"	Incumbent	Yes	3	2,274	5,693	39.9%	Yes			
						Benson	John R.	Businessman	No	3	2,012	5,693	35.3%	Yes			
						Alaniz	Pedro	Correctional Counselor	No	3	1,407	5,693	24.7%	No			
	El Centro	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Viegas-Walker	Cheryl	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,462	8,671	28.4%	Yes					
				Solomon	Ben	SBDC Director	No	4	2,373	8,671	27.4%	Yes					
				Jackson	Jason	General Manager	No	4	2,125	8,671	24.5%	No					
				Fragale	Richard P.	Retired CUHSD Superintendent	No	4	1,711	8,671	19.7%	No					
				INYO No City Contests													
				KERN No City Contests													
KINGS No City Contests																	
LAKE No City Contests																	
LASSEN No City Contests																	
LOS ANGELES	2/22/2005	Burbank	CITY CLERK		Full	Campos	Margarita	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	7,659	7,659	100.0%	Yes			
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Murphy	Stacey	Councilmember/Controller	No	7	6,266	24,756	25.3%	Yes	
								Ramos	Marsha R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,098	24,756	20.6%	Yes	
								Golonski	Dave	Incumbent	Yes	7	4,809	24,756	19.4%	Yes	
								Bergfeld	Michael	Appellate Attorney	No	7	3,479	24,756	14.1%	No	
								Hovanhessian	Vahe	Legal Consultant	No	7	2,088	24,756	8.4%	No	
								Bonis	Claudia	Real Estate Broker	No	7	1,926	24,756	7.8%	No	
								Byers	Jesse L.	Novelist	No	7	1,090	24,756	4.4%	No	
	CITY TREASURER	Full	Anderson	Donna E.	Burbank City Treasurer	Yes	1	7,540	7,540	100.0%	Yes						
	3/1/2005	Signal Hill	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Wilson	Edward	Councilman/Accountant	Yes	6	592	2,786	21.2%	Yes				
					Noll	Micheal	Incumbent/Businessman	Yes	6	589	2,786	21.1%	Yes				
					Ward	Ellen	Incumbent	Yes	6	562	2,786	20.2%	Yes				
					Long	Nancy	E-Commerce Creative Designer	No	6	417	2,786	15.0%	No				
					Churchill	Carol	Attorney/Volunteer	No	6	368	2,786	13.2%	No				
					Dare	Luis	Machinist/Retired	No	6	258	2,786	9.3%	No				
	3/8/2005	Azusa	CITY CLERK		Full	Mendoza	Vera	City Clerk	Yes	1	3,415	3,415	100.0%	Yes			
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Rocha	Joseph Romero	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,204	7,208	30.6%	Yes	
								Hanks	Keith	Senior Engineer	No	7	1,147	7,208	15.9%	Yes	
								Carrillo	Angel A.	Teacher	No	7	1,133	7,208	15.7%	No	
								Gonzales	Robert "Gonzo"	Businessman	No	7	946	7,208	13.1%	No	
								Dierking	John	Attorney	No	7	857	7,208	11.9%	No	
Donnelson								Robert	Purchasing/Fulfillment Manager	No	7	479	7,208	6.6%	No		
Johnson								Karen	Retired	No	7	442	7,208	6.1%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Azusa	CITY TREASURER		Full	Hamilton	Marcene	Certified Public Accountant	No	2	2,818	3,733	75.5%	Yes
						Dierking	Leslie	Accounting Manager	No	2	915	3,733	24.5%	No
Bell Gardens			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Changnon	Daine M.	Council Member	No	2	2,116	3,957	53.5%	Yes
						Macias	Uriel Edwards	City Treasure	No	2	1,841	3,957	46.5%	No
						Flores	Priscilla	Teacher	No	12	1,117	6,781	16.5%	Yes
						Crespo	Daniel	Councilmember/Probation Officer	Yes	12	962	6,781	14.2%	Yes
						Beltran	Mario E.	California Legislative Employee	No	12	941	6,781	13.9%	Yes
						Penilla	Joaquin	Community Volunteer	No	12	798	6,781	11.8%	No
						Sanchez	Javier	Businessman/Manager	No	12	762	6,781	11.2%	No
						Salvador	Franco	Councilman/Businessman	Yes	12	758	6,781	11.2%	No
						Ortega	Gary	Maintenance Technician	No	12	365	6,781	5.4%	No
						Guerra	Armando	News Editor	No	12	343	6,781	5.1%	No
						Barba	Rocio G.	Customer Relations/Businesswoman	No	12	333	6,781	4.9%	No
						Viurquiz	Marthaane C.	Legal Representative	No	12	157	6,781	2.3%	No
						Arzt	Laura "Alvina"	Businesswoman	No	12	150	6,781	2.2%	No
						Tudon	Marcos	Environmental Service Supervisor	No	12	95	6,781	1.4%	No
						Bellflower			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Larsen	Scott A.	Incumbent
King	Dorothy R.	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,788							6,387	28.0%	Yes
Drayer	John	Educator	No	7	1,064							6,387	16.7%	No
Cvetko	Joe	Retired Letter Carrier	No	7	1,003							6,387	15.7%	No
Rameriz	Antonio	Decorator	No	7	254							6,387	4.0%	No
Lukacs	Jay	Soft drink Bottler	No	7	155							6,387	2.4%	No
Ahmad	Zubair	Student	No	7	103							6,387	1.6%	No
Beverly Hills			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Brucker	Barry	School Board Member	No	7	4,606	19,342	23.8%	Yes
						Fenton	Frank	Beverly Hills City Treasurer	No	7	4,568	19,342	23.6%	Yes
						Briskman	Linda J.	Beverly Hills City Councilmember	Yes	7	4,115	19,342	21.3%	Yes
						Hakim	Michael	Entrepreneur	No	7	1,936	19,342	10.0%	No
						Geimer	Martin	Residential Realtor	No	7	1,896	19,342	9.8%	No
						Goldstein	Jacob	English Professor	No	7	1,198	19,342	6.2%	No
						Margolin	Allison	Attorney	No	7	1,023	19,342	5.3%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Finkel	Eliot	Investment Adviser	No	1	5,034	5,034	100.0%	Yes

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Calabasas	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Bozajian	James R.	Calabasas City Councilmember	Yes	7	2,692	9,749	27.6%	Yes			
						Maurer	Mary Sue	Businesswomen/Parent/Educator/ Comm.	No	7	1,957	9,749	20.1%	Yes			
						Wolfson	Jonathon	Lawyer/Planning Commissioner	No	7	1,765	9,749	18.1%	Yes			
						Sibilia	Bob	Consumer Attorney	No	7	1,658	9,749	17.0%	No			
						Harrison	Michael	Calabasas City Councilmember	Yes	7	749	9,749	7.7%	No			
						Devine	Lesley	Calabasas City Councilwoman	Yes	7	703	9,749	7.2%	No			
						Padgett	Vernon	No Ballot Designation	No	7	225	9,749	2.3%	No			
						Carson	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Williams	Harold C.	Councilmember/Civil Engineer	No	11	3,840	19,171	20.0%	Yes
									Gipson	Mike A.	Teachers Union Representative	No	11	3,111	19,171	16.2%	Yes
		Mitoma	Michael "Mike"	Business Owner	No				11	2,560	19,171	13.4%	No				
		Razon-Philipp	Lina	Planning Commissioner/Administrator	No				11	2,546	19,171	13.3%	No				
		Deweitt	Vera Robels	Carson Businesswoman	No				11	2,078	19,171	10.8%	No				
		Boggs	Rita R.	Business Owner/Professor	No				11	1,576	19,171	8.2%	No				
		Lesley	Robert "Bob"	Retired Police Officer	No				11	1,006	19,171	5.2%	No				
		Post	Barbara	Realtor	No				11	848	19,171	4.4%	No				
		Hernandez	Richard A.	Water Quality Technician	No				11	739	19,171	3.9%	No				
		Mozena	Steve	College Textbooks Businessman	No				11	448	19,171	2.3%	No				
		Highshaw	Carl F.	Compliance Auditor	No	11	410	19,171	2.1%	No							
			MAYOR	Full	Dear	Jim	Carson Mayor/Teacher	Yes	4	5,984	10,825	55.3%	Yes				
Smith	Gilbert D. "Gil"				Government Affairs Advisor	No	4	3,389	10,825	31.3%	No						
Crisostomo	Cesar F.				Accounting Manager Controller	No	4	929	10,825	8.6%	No						
Robinson, Sr.	Carl E.				Community College Trustee	No	4	523	10,825	4.8%	No						
Cerritos	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Crawley	John F.	Teacher/City Councilman	Yes	7	4,005	16,578	24.2%	Yes						
			Edwards	Jim	Educator	No	7	3,455	16,578	20.8%	Yes						
			Cho	Joseph	Journalist/Author/Businessman	No	7	3,173	16,578	19.1%	No						
			Kim	Chan-Young	Businessman	No	7	2,062	16,578	12.4%	No						
			Barrows	Bruce W.	Businessman	No	7	2,045	16,578	12.3%	No						
			Havice	Sally	College English Professor	No	7	1,670	16,578	10.1%	No						
			Del Real	Enrique	No Ballot Designation	No	7	168	16,578	1.0%	No						
Claremont	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Taylor	Ellen	Law Office Manger	No	7	2,788	13,149	21.2%	Yes						
			Calaycay	Corey	State Legislator's Representative	No	7	2,513	13,149	19.1%	Yes						
			Pedroza	Sam	Environmental Planner/Commissioner	No	7	2,349	13,149	17.9%	No						
			Brown	Russ	Retired Police Captain	No	7	1,725	13,149	13.1%	No						
			Miller	Llewellyn	Investment Consultant	Yes	7	1,527	13,149	11.6%	No						
			Leiga	Al	Retired Business Executive	No	7	1,408	13,149	10.7%	No						
			Keenan	Michael John	Professional Window Cleaner	No	7	268	13,149	2.0%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Commerce	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Fierro	Robert C.	Teacher/Education Commissioner	No	9	785	5,198	15.1%	Yes
						Baca Del Rio	Tina	Business Administrator	No	9	771	5,198	14.8%	Yes
						Lopez	Rosalina G.	Councilmember	Yes	9	706	5,198	13.6%	Yes
						Romero	Randy "Sax"	Commercial Transportation	No	9	571	5,198	11.0%	No
						Cervantes	Jesus M.	Councilmember	Yes	9	548	5,198	10.5%	No
						Cisneros	Ray "Gordy"	City Councilmember	Yes	9	509	5,198	9.8%	No
						Leon	Lela R.	Business Owner/Commissioner	No	9	505	5,198	9.7%	No
						Chacon	Art	Special Education Professional	No	9	433	5,198	8.3%	No
						Baker	Carl N.	Union Electrician	No	9	370	5,198	7.1%	No
Covina			CITY CLERK		Full	Fabian	Rosie	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	2,389	2,389	100.0%	Yes
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Stapleton	Kevin	Businessman/Parent/Volunteer	Yes	11	1,627	9,009
			King	John	Customer Service Manager	No		11	1,479	9,009	16.4%	Yes		
			Juarez	Meline D.	Labor Representative	Yes		11	1,219	9,009	13.5%	Yes		
			Duff	Pat	Covina Business Owner	No		11	895	9,009	9.9%	No		
			Petterson	Win	Businessman	No		11	762	9,009	8.5%	No		
			Mason	William	Teacher/Consultant	No		11	756	9,009	8.4%	No		
			Kvassay	Robert	Businessman	No		11	620	9,009	6.9%	No		
			Valenzuela, Jr.	Victor	Businessman	No		11	527	9,009	5.8%	No		
			Dolfi	Kimberly	Property Manager	No		11	490	9,009	5.4%	No		
			Marquez	Jorge A.	Public Administration Student	No		11	346	9,009	3.8%	No		
			Fiscus	Don	Coast Guard Reservist	No		11	288	9,009	3.2%	No		
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Fielding	John B.	City Treasurer	Yes	1	2,449	2,449	100.0%	Yes	
Gardena			CITY CLERK		Full	Johnson	Rachel C.	City Clerk/Educator	Yes	2	4,801	6,351	75.6%	Yes
						Peterson	William "Bill"	Retired Businessman	No	2	1,550	6,351	24.4%	No
			CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bradford	Steven	Councilmember	Yes	3	4,011	9,783	41.0%	Yes	
					Ikejiri	Ronald K.	Incumbent	Yes	3	3,296	9,783	33.7%	Yes	
					Medina	Dan	Businessman	No	3	2,476	9,783	25.3%	No	
			CITY TREASURER	Full	Tsukiyama	J. Igrid	Chief Deputy Treasurer	No	2	3,384	6,442	52.5%	Yes	
					Ybarra	Lorenzo "Larry"	City Treasurer/C.P.A.	Yes	2	3,058	6,442	47.5%	No	
			MAYOR	Full	Tanaka	Paul K.	Councilmember/Assistant Sheriff	No	2	4,144	6,647	62.3%	Yes	
Terauchi	Terrence "Terry"	Mayor/Criminal Prosecutor			Yes	2	2,503	6,647	37.7%	No				
Glendora			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Davis	Karen	Pastor	No	4	3,167	11,855	26.7%	Yes
						Kelly	Mark E.	Police Sergeant	No	4	2,965	11,855	25.0%	Yes
						Hamlow	Cliff	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,874	11,855	24.2%	No
						Conway	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,849	11,855	24.0%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Huntington Park	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Guerrero	Elba	Contact Administrator	No	8	1,021	5,029	20.3%	Yes
						Romo	Elba	City Clerk	No	8	1,020	5,029	20.3%	Yes
						Loya	Richard V.	Councilmember/Teacher	Yes	8	947	5,029	18.8%	No
						Molina	Andy	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	8	798	5,029	15.9%	No
						Avila	Rebecca	City Treasurer/Teacher	No	8	598	5,029	11.9%	No
						Martinez, Jr.	Efren	Businessman/U.S. Marine	No	8	433	5,029	8.6%	No
						Escareno	Edward	Councilmember/Public Relations	Yes	8	140	5,029	2.8%	No
		La Canada Flintridge	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Guercio	Steve Del	Councilmember/Business Attorney	Yes	3	2,726	6,575	41.5%	Yes
						Spence	David	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	3	2,631	6,575	40.0%	Yes
						Burlison, Jr.	Robert C.	Local Businessman/Attorney	No	3	1,218	6,575	18.5%	No
		La Habra Heights	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Carroll	Stan	Councilmember	Yes	5	1,369	4,538	30.2%	Yes
						Berman	Brian	Airline Manager/Commissioner	No	5	1,109	4,538	24.4%	Yes
						Millsap	Tela	Councilmember	Yes	5	917	4,538	20.2%	Yes
						Martin	Katie	Businesswoman	No	5	672	4,538	14.8%	No
						Fernandez	Ray	Business Manager	No	5	471	4,538	10.4%	No
		La Mirada	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Chotiner	Bob	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,869	6,915	41.5%	Yes
						Tripp	Susan	Business Owner	No	4	2,750	6,915	39.8%	Yes
						Dawson	Thomas A.	Sergeant LAPD/Commissioner LAFPPS	No	4	741	6,915	10.7%	No
						Garcia	Rudy	Businessman	No	4	555	6,915	8.0%	No
		La Verne	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Kendrick	Don	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	4	2,850	8,691	32.8%	Yes
						Harden	Dan	Teacher/Council Member	Yes	4	2,608	8,691	30.0%	Yes
						Hanawalt	Roger M.	Dentist	No	4	2,506	8,691	28.8%	No
						Gatti	Patrick J.	Businessman	No	4	727	8,691	8.4%	No
			MAYOR	Full	Blickenstaff	Jon H.	Mayor/Retired Principal	Yes	1	4,168	4,168	100.0%	Yes	
		Lakewood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rogers	Todd	Lakewood City Councilmember	Yes	8	4,953	17,576	28.2%	Yes
						DuBois	Diane	Community Volunteer	No	8	3,564	17,576	20.3%	Yes
						Croft	Steve	Aerospace Manger	No	8	2,852	17,576	16.2%	Yes
Schuster	Marty					Lakewood Business Owner	No	8	2,490	17,576	14.2%	No		
Underhill	Margherita Palumbo					Attorney/Businesswoman/Mother	No	8	1,540	17,576	8.8%	No		
Vaughn	Geoffrey E.					Deputy Lakewood Station	No	8	1,421	17,576	8.1%	No		
Baisley	Fredrick R.					Registered Nurse	No	8	402	17,576	2.3%	No		
Jamison, Jr.	Garland G.					Merchandiser/Sport Official	No	8	354	17,576	2.0%	No		
Los Angeles	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Delgadillo	Rocky	Los Angeles City Attorney	Yes	1	289,547	289,547	100.0%	Yes		
				CITY CONTROLLER	Chick	Laura N.	Los Angeles City Controller	Yes	2	265,151	324,717	81.7%	Yes	
					Evans	Mervin Leon	Author Management Consultant	No	2	59,566	324,717	18.3%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Reyes	Ed P.	Member of City Council	Yes	3	10,674	14,270	74.8%	Yes
						Sanchez	Ernest E.	Community Leader	No	3	2,590	14,270	18.1%	No
						Sarinana-Lampson	Stephen	Design Professional/Businessman	No	3	1,006	14,270	7.0%	No
				3	Full	Zine	Dennis P.	Councilmember/Police Reserve	Yes	2	23,052	33,474	68.9%	Yes
						Bornstein	Jeff	Small Business Owner	No	2	10,422	33,474	31.1%	No
				5	Full	Weiss	Jack	Member of the City Council	Yes	3	28,519	39,651	71.9%	Yes
						Vehedi	David T.	Board member/Neighborhood Council	No	3	8,570	39,651	21.6%	No
						Martayan	Gregory K.	Businessman/Community Leader	No	3	2,562	39,651	6.5%	No
				7	Full	Padilla	Alex	Member of the City Council	Yes	1	13,482	13,482	100.0%	Yes
				9	Full	Perry	Jan	Member of the City Council	Yes	3	9,421	14,768	63.8%	Yes
						Torres	Peter	Los Angeles Police Officer	No	3	3,306	14,768	22.4%	No
						Reyes	Edward "Eddie"	Educator	No	3	2,041	14,768	13.8%	No
				11	Full	Rosendahl	Bill	University Professor/Journalist	No	3	18,274	40,959	44.6%	Yes
						Krisloff	Flora Gil	Area Planning Commissioner	No	3	17,088	40,959	41.7%	No
						Reddock	Angela J.	Member of the City Council	No	3	5,597	40,959	13.7%	No
				13	Full	Garcetti	Eric	Los Angeles Councilmember	Yes	1	14,697	14,697	100.0%	Yes
				15	Full	Hahn	Janice	City Councilmember	Yes	1	19,434	19,434	100.0%	Yes
				MAYOR	Full	Villaraigosa	Antinio R.	Los Angeles City Council Member	No	12	136,242	411,604	33.1%	Yes
						Hahn	James Kenneth	Incumbent	Yes	12	97,049	411,604	23.6%	No
						Hertzberg	Bob	Attorney/Businessman	No	12	90,495	411,604	22.0%	No
Parks	Bernard C.	Councilmember/Retired Chief	No			12	55,808	411,604	13.6%	No				
Alacon	Richard	Senator	No			12	14,815	411,604	3.6%	No				
Moore	Walter	Business Trial Lawyer	No			12	11,409	411,604	2.8%	No				
Lyons	Wendy	Meatpacker	No			12	1,963	411,604	0.5%	No				
Miller	Addie M.	Senior Citizen Advocate	No			12	1,287	411,604	0.3%	No				
Aubrey, Sr.	Martin Luther King	State Worker	No			12	868	411,604	0.2%	No				
Wyatt	Bill	Businessman	No			12	762	411,604	0.2%	No				
Darian	Bruce Harry	Businessperson	No			12	512	411,604	0.1%	No				
Crisell	Ted	Publisher Newspaper	No			12	394	411,604	0.1%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED								
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Manhattan Beach	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Tell	Nick	Businessman/Investor	No	11	2,829	18,356	15.4%	Yes								
						Aldinger	Jim	City Councilmember	Yes	11	2,571	18,356	14.0%	Yes								
						Montgomery	Richard P.	Local Business Owner	No	11	1,987	18,356	10.8%	Yes								
						O'Connor	Gerry	Technical Management Consultant	No	11	1,774	18,356	9.7%	No								
						Tedesco	Julia A.	Businesswoman/Artist	No	11	1,503	18,356	8.2%	No								
						Eisen	Bill	Retired CPA	No	11	1,426	18,356	7.8%	No								
						Andreani	Martha	VP Human Resources	No	11	1,330	18,356	7.2%	No								
						Lear	Ed	Attorney	No	11	1,312	18,356	7.1%	No								
						Mah	Eric Craig	Healthcare Provider	No	11	1,307	18,356	7.1%	No								
						Milam	Chuck	Municipal Financial Analyst	No	11	1,261	18,356	6.9%	No								
						Harris	Lynn	Teacher/Airline Agent	No	11	1,056	18,356	5.8%	No								
									CITY TREASURER		Full	Lilligren	Tim	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	2,094	6,530	32.1%	Yes		
												Wilson	Linda	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	1,652	6,530	25.3%	No		
												Stern	Dan	Retired Aerospace Executive	No	4	1,424	6,530	21.8%	No		
												Norris	Rick Eugene	Certified Public Accountant	No	4	1,360	6,530	20.8%	No		
						Monrovia			CITY CLERK		Full	Proctor	Linda B.	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,485	3,485	100.0%	Yes		
												CITY COUNCIL	Full	Garcia	Joe	Incumbent	Yes	7	2,697	7,192	37.5%	Yes
														Kirby	Dan	Council Member/Businessman	Yes	7	2,235	7,192	31.1%	Yes
														Kemp	Cyrus	Community Volunteer	No	7	763	7,192	10.6%	No
Birkett	Tiffany Morgan	Attorney	No	7	540									7,192	7.5%	No						
Goldbeck	Ted	Theatre General Manager	No	7	520									7,192	7.2%	No						
Machulla	Robert	College Student	No	7	239									7,192	3.3%	No						
Tuba	Andy	Merchant	No	7	198									7,192	2.8%	No						
			CITY TREASURER		Full									Baker	Steve	Retired Banker/Accountant	No	2	2,954	3,696	79.9%	Yes
														Zoolalian	Edward	Retired Businessman	No	2	742	3,696	20.1%	No
			MAYOR		Full									Hammond	Rob	Mayor/Businessman	Yes	2	2,992	3,731	80.2%	Yes
						Grollnek	Stephen H.	Business Executive	No	2	739			3,731	19.8%	No						
Monterey Park			CITY CLERK		Full	Barron	David M.	City Clerk	Yes	1	5,376	5,376	100.0%	Yes								
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Lau	David T.	City Council Member	Yes	5	3,568	12,829	27.8%	Yes						
								Martinez	Sharon	Councilwoman/Business Owner	Yes	5	3,363	12,829	26.2%	Yes						
								Valenzuela	Rita	Community Volunteer	No	5	2,776	12,829	21.6%	No						
								Wong	John Y.	Assessment Appeals Board member	No	5	2,616	12,829	20.4%	No						
								Choa	Jeffrey	Student/Marine Reservist	No	5	506	12,829	3.9%	No						
									CITY TREASURER		Full	Ing	Mitchell	City Treasurer	Yes	2	4,284	6,969	61.5%	Yes		
						Chan	Peter	Accountant	No	2	2,685	6,969	38.5%	No								

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Norwalk	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Mendez	Mike	Councilmember/Recreation Director	Yes	5	3,129	8,220	38.1%	Yes		
						Kelly	Cheri	Councilmember/Businesswoman	Yes	5	2,604	8,220	31.7%	Yes		
						Allen	Maureen	Retired Teacher	No	5	987	8,220	12.0%	No		
						Hoskins	Robert "Bob"	Community Volunteer	No	5	984	8,220	12.0%	No		
						Gonzales	Devin A.	Student/Homemaker	No	5	516	8,220	6.3%	No		
	Paramount	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Daniels	Gene	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,308	3,149	41.5%	Yes			
					Lemons	Peggy	Incumbent	Yes	3	1,031	3,149	32.7%	Yes			
					Vega	Gary R.	Sales	No	3	810	3,149	25.7%	No			
	Pasadena	CITY COUNCIL		3	Full	Holden	Chris	Councilmember District 3	Yes	1	683	719	95.0%	Yes		
						5	Full	Gordo	Victor M.	Councilman/Attorney	Yes	2	1,028	1,177	87.3%	Yes
								Ortiz	Herminia	Community Volunteer	No	2	148	1,177	12.6%	No
						7	Full	Tyler	Sidney F.	City Councilmember	Yes	1	1,409	1,451	97.1%	Yes
	Pico Rivera	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Armenta	David	Councilmember/Municipal Consultant	Yes	9	2,777	9,783	28.4%	Yes			
					Beilke	Ron	Restaurant Owner	No	9	2,473	9,783	25.3%	Yes			
					Proo	Beatrice	Incumbent	Yes	9	1,041	9,783	10.6%	No			
					Cardenas	Richard	Businessman	No	9	1,034	9,783	10.6%	No			
					Armijo	Al	Investigator City of Los Angeles	No	9	841	9,783	8.6%	No			
					Celiz	Esther	School District Employee	No	9	763	9,783	7.8%	No			
					Cisneros	Marco	No Ballot Designation	No	9	368	9,783	3.8%	No			
					Djabourian	Natalie	University Student	No	9	358	9,783	3.7%	No			
					Noone	Frances	No Ballot Designation	No	9	128	9,783	1.3%	No			
	Redondo Beach	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Webb	Mike	Redondo Beach Prosecutor	No	4	4,626	9,310	49.7%	Yes			
					Wiggins	Dave	Attorney/Teacher	No	4	2,377	9,310	25.5%	No			
					Colin	Steve	Attorney	No	4	1,418	9,310	15.2%	No			
					Erickson	Tory	Business Attorney	No	4	889	9,310	9.5%	No			
		CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Aspel	Steve	Business Person	No	4	839	2,335	35.9%	Yes			
					Light	Jim	Aerospace Company Inspector	No	4	825	2,335	35.3%	No			
Conroy					Tom	Commissioner/Self-Employed Business	No	4	550	2,335	23.6%	No				
Snelson					Gary	Attorney at Law	No	4	121	2,335	5.2%	No				
2					Full	Cagle	Chris	Self-Employed Businessman	No	2	1,212	2,163	56.0%	Yes		
						Brand	Bill	Airline Crew Chief	No	2	951	2,163	44.0%	No		
4		Full	Diels	Steven	Redondo Beach Businessman	No	2	866	1,676	51.7%	Yes					
			Fink	Lee	Lawyer/Budget Commissioner	No	2	810	1,676	48.3%	No					
MAYOR		Full	Gin	Mike	Deputy Los Angeles County Supervisor	No	4	2,910	9,757	29.8%	Yes					
			Bisignano	Gerard	Councilman/Businessman	No	4	2,361	9,757	24.2%	No					
	Allan		Ellen	Motion Picture Costumer	No	4	2,359	9,757	24.2%	No						
	Schmalz		Kurt	Councilman/Attorney	No	4	2,127	9,757	21.8%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	Rolling Hills	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Heinsheimer	Thomas F.	City Council Member	Yes	3	305	780	39.1%	Yes
						Pernell	Godfrey	City Council Member	Yes	3	300	780	38.5%	Yes
						Rosen	Lee	Businessman	No	3	175	780	22.4%	No
		Rosemead	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Tran	John	Information Unavailable ²	No	6	3,027	14,265	21.2%	Yes
						Nunes	John	Information Unavailable	No	6	2,686	14,265	18.8%	Yes
						Clark	Margaret	Information Unavailable	No	6	2,191	14,265	15.4%	Yes
						Alacron	Bill	Information Unavailable	No	6	1,899	14,265	13.3%	No
						Vasquez	Joe	Information Unavailable	No	6	1,719	14,265	12.1%	No
						McDonald	Dennis	Retired Firefighter	No	6	837	14,265	5.9%	No
	San Dimas	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Templeman	Jeffrey	Council Member/Retired Chef	Yes	4	2,182	6,016	36.3%	Yes	
					Bertone	Denis	Council Member/Retired Educator	Yes	4	2,047	6,016	34.0%	Yes	
					Miars	Bob	Businessman	No	4	991	6,016	16.5%	No	
					Worthington	Brian	Businessman	No	4	796	6,016	13.2%	No	
		MAYOR		Full	Morris	Curtis W.	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,678	2,678	100.0%	Yes	
	San Fernando	CITY COUNCIL		Full	De La Torre	Maribel	Councilmember/Businessperson	Yes	6	977	4,219	23.2%	Yes	
					Hernandez	Jose	Retired College Professor	Yes	6	895	4,219	21.2%	Yes	
					Orozco	Elvira	Credit Union Manager	No	6	726	4,219	17.2%	No	
					Granados	Angel	General Contractor	No	6	647	4,219	15.3%	No	
					Pantoja	Danitza	Family Counselor	No	6	644	4,219	15.3%	No	
					Berriozabal	Gilbert	Health Education	No	6	330	4,219	7.8%	No	
						CITY TREASURER		Full	Solis	Margarita	City Treasurer	Yes	1	1,558
	San Gabriel	CITY CLERK		Full	Trujillo	Cynthia	City Clerk	Yes	1	1,788	1,788	100.0%	Yes	
		CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gutierrez	David R.	Businessman/Councilmember	Yes	4	1,601	5,185	30.9%	Yes	
Baldwin					Harry L.	Member of the City Council	Yes	4	1,493	5,185	28.8%	Yes		
Sawkins					Kevin	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	4	1,436	5,185	27.7%	Yes		
			Full	Mollinedo	Felicia R.	Teacher/Foster Parent	No	4	655	5,185	12.6%	No		
	CITY TREASURER		Full	Janoski	John T.	City Treasurer/Attorney	Yes	1	1,753	1,753	100.0%	Yes		
South Gate	CITY CLERK		Full	Avalos	Carmen	City Clerk	Yes	1	3,791	3,791	100.0%	Yes		
	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hurtado	Gil	Customer Service Supervisor	No	6	2,713	7,530	36.0%	Yes		
				DeWitt	William H.	City Councilman/Businessman	Yes	6	2,560	7,530	34.0%	Yes		
				Ruiz	Gustavo Y.	Businessman/Corporate President	No	6	971	7,530	12.9%	No		
				Miller	Gladys O.	Local Government Employee	No	6	579	7,530	7.7%	No		
				Perez	Pablo	Business Owner	No	6	428	7,530	5.7%	No		
Miller	Wayne	Local Government Employee	No	6	279	7,530	3.7%	No						

²Rosemead's CityClerk unable to provide candidate's ballot designations due to lawsuit.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/8/2005	South Gate	CITY TREASURER		Full	Navarro	Rudy	City Treasurer	Yes	4	3,094	4,427	69.9%	Yes	
						Mojarro	Steven	Educator	No	4	506	4,427	11.4%	No	
						Hicklin	Jim	Tax Practitioner/Consultant	No	4	497	4,427	11.2%	No	
						Cogley	Richard J.	Retired Engineer	No	4	330	4,427	7.5%	No	
South Pasadena	3/8/2005	South Pasadena	CITY CLERK		Full	Kilby	Sally	City Clerk	Yes	1	3,582	3,582	100.0%	Yes	
						CITY COUNCIL	Cacciotti	Michael	Councilman/State Prosecutor	Yes	5	2,661	7,989	33.3%	Yes
							Putnam	Philip C.	Business Lawyer/CPA	No	5	1,801	7,989	22.5%	Yes
							Ervin	Janet	Transportation Commission Chairperson	No	5	1,666	7,989	20.9%	No
							Felice	Steve	Businessman	No	5	1,075	7,989	13.5%	No
							Arnold	Ernest B. "Ernie"	Commissioner/Businessman	No	5	786	7,989	9.8%	No
CITY TREASURER	Full	Robinette	Victor A.	Incumbent	Yes	1	3,451	3,451	100.0%	Yes					
Temple City	3/8/2005	Temple City	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Wilson	Cathe	Retired Business Woman	No	6	1,451	5,473	26.5%	Yes	
						Gillanders	Ken	Businessman	No	6	1,092	5,473	20.0%	Yes	
						Zovak	Peter	Council Member/Community Administrator	Yes	6	1,060	5,473	19.4%	No	
						Vizcarra	Frenando	Hospital Administrator	Yes	6	786	5,473	14.4%	No	
						Lau	Paul	Financial Planner	No	6	553	5,473	10.1%	No	
						Souder	Chuck	Retired Businessman	No	6	531	5,473	9.7%	No	
West Hollywood	3/8/2005	West Hollywood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Prang	Jeffrey	Member of the City Council	Yes	6	2,923	8,313	35.2%	Yes	
						Duran	John J.	Member of the City Council	Yes	6	2,521	8,313	30.3%	Yes	
						Credle, III	George V.	Historic Preservationist	No	6	1,249	8,313	15.0%	No	
						Garren	Edward "Ed"	Family Therapist	No	6	712	8,313	8.6%	No	
						Cleary	Jerome	Public Relations Director	No	6	493	8,313	5.9%	No	
						Demille	Tom	Community Activist/Volunteer	No	6	415	8,313	5.0%	No	
4/5/2005	4/5/2005	Glendale	CITY CLERK		Full	Kassakhian	Ardashes "Ardy"	Nonprofit Executive Director	No	9	6,667	23,496	28.4%	Yes	
						McCullough	George	Glendale Business Owner	No	9	3,790	23,496	16.1%	No	
						Van Houten	Kathryn	Attorney at Law	No	9	3,559	23,496	15.1%	No	
						Mardikian	Paulette	Building/Safety Clerk	No	9	3,286	23,496	14.0%	No	
						Ropfogel	Steve	Small Business Owner	No	9	1,642	23,496	7.0%	No	
						Landregan	Stephine	Government Agency Manager	No	9	1,615	23,496	6.9%	No	
						Sysock	Gary	Deputy Executive Officer	No	9	1,087	23,496	4.6%	No	
						Vartanian	Lorna	Legal Financial Manager	No	9	951	23,496	4.0%	No	
						Barzegar	Narineh	Private Tutor/Teacher	No	9	899	23,496	3.8%	No	

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/5/2005	Glendale	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Najarian	Ara James	Board Member Glendale CCD	No	19	9,548	90,030	10.6%	Yes
						Yousefian	Bob	Councilmember	Yes	19	8,238	90,030	9.2%	Yes
						Quintero	Frank	Councilmember/Retired Businessman	Yes	19	7,894	90,030	8.8%	Yes
						Weaver	Dave	Councilman/Civil Engineer	Yes	19	7,202	90,030	8.0%	Yes
						Drayman	John	Montrose Businessman	No	19	6,699	90,030	7.4%	No
						Keuroghelian	Chahe	Small Business Owner	No	19	6,534	90,030	7.3%	No
						Miller	Larry	Small Business Owner	No	19	6,277	90,030	7.0%	No
						Oshagan	Anahid Anna	Attorney/Homemaker	No	19	6,213	90,030	6.9%	No
						Hedrick	Steve	Corporate Executive	No	19	6,177	90,030	6.9%	No
						Agajanian	Vrej	Television Host/Engineer	No	19	4,574	90,030	5.1%	No
						Gomez	Glynda B.	Deputy Attorney General	No	19	4,186	90,030	4.6%	No
						Griffin	Shirley	Lawyer/Homemaker	No	19	2,988	90,030	3.3%	No
						Suarez	Odalis	Attorney	No	19	2,904	90,030	3.2%	No
						Field	Pauline	Business Owner	No	19	2,714	90,030	3.0%	No
						Seeley	Richard "Dick"	Retired Business Owner	No	19	2,596	90,030	2.9%	No
						Gabikian	Hovik	Social Worker	No	19	2,202	90,030	2.4%	No
						Sinanian	Garry K.	Businessman	No	19	1,191	90,030	1.3%	No
						Stevenson	John E.	Engineer	No	19	1,104	90,030	1.2%	No
						Mandoky	Joe	Realtor	No	19	789	90,030	0.9%	No
									CITY TREASURER		Full	Borucki	Ronald T.	City Treasurer
						Kazanjian	Phillip	Attorney/Financial Advisor	No	2	7,700	21,034	36.6%	No
		Inglewood	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Price, Jr.	Curren D.	Inglewood Councilman District 1	Yes	5	1,807	2,949	61.3%	Yes
						Dotson	George W.	Inglewood Business Owner	No	5	597	2,949	20.2%	No
						Gray	Gloria	County Commissioner/Administrator	No	5	396	2,949	13.4%	No
						Ford	Felicia	Corporate Office Director	No	5	100	2,949	3.4%	No
						Fisher	Leroy N.	Graphic Arts Machinist	No	5	49	2,949	1.7%	No
				2	Full	Dunlap	Judy	City Councilwoman/Teacher	Yes	3	837	1,508	55.5%	Yes
						Williams	Austin	Pastor/Administrator	No	3	555	1,508	36.8%	No
						Randall	Joyce	Educator	No	3	116	1,508	7.7%	No
	4/12/2005	Burbank	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ramos	Marsha	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,238	20,265	30.8%	Yes
						Golonski	Dave	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,429	20,265	26.8%	Yes
						Bergfeld	Micheal	Appellate Attorney	No	4	5,017	20,265	24.8%	No
						Hovanhessian	Vahe	Legal Consultant	No	4	3,581	20,265	17.7%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED		
LOS ANGELES (continued)	4/19/2005	Compton	CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Clegg, II	LeGrand	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,874	5,012	97.2%	Yes		
			CITY CLERK		Full	Godwin	Alita L.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	3,246	5,824	55.7%	Yes		
								Zurita	Satra D.	Senior Administrator Analysis	No	3	1,939	5,824	33.3%	No
								Acevedo	Elias	Small Business Owner	No	3	624	5,824	10.7%	No
			CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Dobson	Lillie	Councilwoman District 2	Yes	4	2,941	6,074	48.4%	Yes		
								Irving	Leslie A.	School Board President	No	4	1,995	6,074	32.8%	No
								Estrada	Joel	Corporate Community Relations	No	4	841	6,074	13.8%	No
								Ivey	Bill	Benefits Auditor	No	4	233	6,074	3.8%	No
				3	Full	Arceneaux	Yvonne	Councilperson 3rd District	Yes	7	2,543	6,048	42.0%	Yes		
								Kemp	William	Businessman	No	7	788	6,048	13.0%	No
								Davis	Charles	Business Person	No	7	780	6,048	12.9%	No
								Cressel	Fred	Businessman	No	7	693	6,048	11.5%	No
								Garcia	Evaristo	Ambassador of Jalisco	No	7	581	6,048	9.6%	No
								Ulysses	Terry	Central Controller Officer	No	7	485	6,048	8.0%	No
								Stewart	Bruce A.	Businessman/Public Servant	No	7	142	6,048	2.3%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Sanders	Douglas	Incumbent	No	4	3,227	5,887	54.8%	Yes		
						Anderson	Delorise	Compton Unified School District Trustee	No	4	1,378	5,887	23.4%	No		
						Bradely-Jordan	Carol Ann	Federal Budget Coordinator	No	4	896	5,887	15.2%	No		
						King	Reginal	Executive Accountant	No	4	365	5,887	6.2%	No		
			MAYOR		Full	Perrodin	Eric J.	Mayor/Attorney	Yes	8	3,382	6,215	54.4%	Yes		
						Rhambo, Jr.	Cecil W.	Law Enforcement Captain	No	8	1,157	6,215	18.6%	No		
						Shaw, Jr.	Frederick D.	Administrator	No	8	739	6,215	11.9%	No		
						Holifield	Benjamin L.	Businessman	No	8	469	6,215	7.5%	No		
						Mora	Juan R.	Manager Yard Operation	No	8	275	6,215	4.4%	No		
						Turner	Leo	Police Detective	No	8	109	6,215	1.8%	No		
						Bomani	Hasmin M.	Teacher/Rehabilitation Therapist	No	8	47	6,215	0.8%	No		
						Mitchell, Jr.	Eugene W.	Disabled Veteran	No	8	31	6,215	0.5%	No		
5/17/2005	Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL	11	Full	Rosendahl	Bill	University Professor/Journalist	No	2	29,100	51,729	56.3%	Yes			
					Krisoloff	Flora Gil	Area Planning Commissioner	No	2	22,629	51,729	43.7%	No			
		MAYOR		Full	Villaraigosa	Antinio R.	Los Angeles City Council Member	No	2	289,116	493,084	58.6%	Yes			
					Hahn	James Kenneth	Incumbent	Yes	2	203,968	493,084	41.4%	No			
Redondo Beach		CITY ATTORNEY		Full	Webb	Mike	Redondo Beach Prosecutor	No	2	5,763	8,599	67.0%	Yes			
					Wiggins	Dave	Attorney/Teacher	No	2	2,836	8,599	33.0%	No			
		CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Aspel	Steve	Business Person	No	2	1,384	2,417	57.3%	Yes			
					Light	Jim	Aerospace Company Inspector	No	2	1,033	2,417	42.7%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	5/17/2005	Redondo Beach	MAYOR		Full	Gin	Mike	Deputy Los Angeles County Supervisor	No	2	5,370	8,823	60.9%	Yes			
						Bisignano	Gerard	Councilman/Businessman	No	2	3,453	8,823	39.1%	No			
	6/7/2005	Compton	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Dobson	Lillie	Councilwoman District 2	Yes	2	2,194	3,348	65.5%	Yes			
						Irving	Leslie A.	School Board President	No	2	1,097	3,348	32.8%	No			
						3	Full	Arceneaux	Yvonne	Councilperson 3rd District	Yes	2	2,357	3,351	70.3%	Yes	
								Kemp	William	Businessman	No	2	938	3,351	28.0%	No	
						Diamond Bar	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Tanaka	Jack	Retired Parole Agent	No	3	2,283	4,726	48.3%	Yes
									Tye	Steve	Businessman	No	3	2,199	4,726	46.5%	No
	11/8/2005	Agoura Hills	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Weber	Denis	Councilmember/Bank Manager	Yes	6	3,084	13,744	22.4%	Yes				
					Koehler	Bill	Attorney/Planning Commissioner	No	6	3,011	13,744	21.9%	Yes				
					Schwarz	Harry	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	6	2,834	13,744	20.6%	Yes				
					August	Todd	Chief Administrative Officer	No	6	2,348	13,744	17.1%	No				
					Thomas	George Christopher	Small Business Owner	No	6	1,728	13,744	12.6%	No				
					Guynes	Adam Lewis	Student/Photographer	No	6	739	13,744	5.4%	No				
					Baldwin Park	CITY CLERK	Full	Rubio	Susan	Teacher	No	2	5,384	7,642	70.5%	Yes	
								Tizcareno	Kathryn Vidales	Incumbent	Yes	2	2,258	7,642	29.5%	No	
CITY COUNCIL					Full	Garica	Marlen	Council Member, City of Baldwin Park	Yes	6	4,083	13,882	29.4%	Yes			
						Bejarano	Anthony J.	Attorney at law	No	6	3,195	13,882	23.0%	Yes			
						Motenegro	Marina	Promotional Marketing Executive	No	6	2,554	13,882	18.4%	No			
						Van Cleave	Bill "Mr. Bill"	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,512	13,882	10.9%	No			
						Reyes	Rual Arredondo	Internal Revenue Officer	No	6	1,461	13,882	10.5%	No			
						Armendariz	Joseph	Business Manager	No	6	1,077	13,882	7.8%	No			
	CITY TREASURER	Full	Contreras	Maria Antonieta		City Treasure Baldwin Park	Yes	2	5,503	8,025	68.6%	Yes					
Vargas			Margarita	School Community Liaison	No	2	2,522	8,025	31.4%	No							
MAYOR	Full	Lozano	Manuel	Mayor City of Baldwin Park	No	2	6,656	8,649	77.0%	Yes							
		Gonzales	Richard S.	Teacher/Administrator	No	2	1,993	8,649	23.0%	No							
Diamond Bar	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Tye	Steve	Businessman/Financial Advisor	No	5	7,217	28,853	25.0%	Yes						
			Zirbes	Bob	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	5	6,529	28,853	22.6%	Yes						
			Chang	Wen	Councilmember/Businessman	Yes	5	5,225	28,853	18.1%	Yes						
			O'Connor	Debby	Councilmember/Financial Consultant	Yes	5	5,179	28,853	17.9%	No						
			Wei	Osman	Retired Business Owner	No	5	4,703	28,853	16.3%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2005	El Monte	CITY CLERK		Full	Gutierrez	Lorene	Incumbent	Yes	1	7,067	7,067	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Barrios	Art	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,638	15,118	37.3%	Yes
					Full	Gomez	Juventino "J"	Incumbent	Yes	4	5,535	15,118	36.6%	Yes
					Full	Tran	Sean L.	Real Estate Consultant	No	4	2,040	15,118	13.5%	No
					Full	Nguyen	Lang Huu	Insurance Broker/Educator	No	4	1,905	15,118	12.6%	No
			CITY TREASURER		Full	Velasco	Henry	Incumbent	Yes	1	6,928	6,928	100.0%	Yes
			MAYOR		Full	Gutierrez	Ernest G. "Ernie"	Incumbent	Yes	3	5,859	10,001	58.6%	Yes
					Full	Wallach	Patricia A. "Pat"	Councilwoman	No	3	2,444	10,001	24.4%	No
					Full	Wolsdorf	John M.	Retired Union Representative	No	3	1,698	10,001	17.0%	No
			Hawaiian Gardens	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Schultze	Betty J.	Incumbent	Yes	4	720	2,714	26.5%	Yes
Heckerman	John F.	Incumbent				Yes	4	715	2,714	26.3%	Yes			
Oyama-Canada	Michiko A.	Incumbent				Yes	4	661	2,714	24.4%	No			
Flores	Esther E.	Retired Business Woman				No	4	618	2,714	22.8%	No			
Hawthorne	CITY CLERK	Full	English	Angie Reyes	Field Deputy City of Los Angeles	No	2	5,386	8,096	66.5%	Yes			
			Wild	Dennis E.	Doctor of Chiropractic	No	2	2,710	8,096	33.5%	No			
	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Parson	Gary D.	Councilman City of Hawthorne	Yes	3	4,937	13,758	35.9%	Yes			
			Catano	Pablo H.	City Council Member	Yes	3	4,691	13,758	34.1%	Yes			
			Juarez	Daniel D.	City Clerk/Auditor	No	3	4,130	13,758	30.0%	No			
	CITY TREASURER		Full	Lubenc	Thierry	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	6,640	6,640	100.0%	Yes		
MAYOR		Full	Guidi	Larry M.	Mayor City of Hawthorne	Yes	1	8,057	8,057	100.0%	Yes			
Hermosa Beach	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Keegan	Micheal	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	10	2,463	13,609	18.1%	Yes			
			Fishman	Howard L.	Public Sector Manager	No	10	2,027	13,609	14.9%	Yes			
			Reviczky	J. R.	Councilman	Yes	10	1,994	13,609	14.7%	Yes			
			Duclos	Jeff	Business Owner/Teacher	No	10	1,807	13,609	13.3%	No			
			Bobko	Patrick "Kit"	Municipal Law Attorney	No	10	1,367	13,609	10.0%	No			
			Ponder	Don	Business Owner	No	10	1,025	13,609	7.5%	No			
			Maxwell	Jeff	Business Owner	No	10	1,009	13,609	7.4%	No			
			Jaken	Jack	Retired	No	10	715	13,609	5.3%	No			
			Krajewski	Sean	Entrepreneur	No	10	629	13,609	4.6%	No			
			Benson	Alan B.	Post Production Supervisor	No	10	573	13,609	4.2%	No			
Irwindale	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Ortiz	Hector "Manuel"	Office Clerk	No	6	226	915	24.7%	Yes			
			Fuentes	David "Chico"	Pipeline Foreman	No	6	218	915	23.8%	Yes			
			Garcia	Manuel R.	Incumbent	Yes	6	196	915	21.4%	No			
			Breceda	Jacquelyn	Banker	No	6	177	915	19.3%	No			
			Barbosa	David	Student	No	6	61	915	6.7%	No			
			Roman	Carmen Marie	Senior Clerk	No	6	37	915	4.0%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2005	La Puente	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Lujan	Louie A.	La Puente City Councilman/Educator	Yes	3	3,422	7,439	46.0%	Yes
						Storing	Lola K.	La Puente City Councilman	Yes	3	2,411	7,439	32.4%	Yes
						Klinakis	Charlie	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	3	1,606	7,439	21.6%	No
		Lomita	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Estrada	Margaret	Retired Communications Manager	No	5	2,201	8,716	25.3%	Yes
						Dever	Susan Y.	Educator	Yes	5	2,040	8,716	23.4%	Yes
						Waite	Barry M.	Public Administrator/Geographer	No	5	1,647	8,716	18.9%	Yes
						King	Timothy	Councilman/Retired Police	Yes	5	1,462	8,716	16.8%	No
						Neito	Andrew	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	5	1,366	8,716	15.7%	No
		Los Angeles	CITY COUNCIL		10	Full	Wesson	Herb J.	Supervisor's Senior Deputy	No	3	25,748	32,370	79.5%
	Serrano						Robert David	CEO	No	3	4,162	32,370	12.9%	No
	Levine						Barry E.	Professional Photographer	No	3	2,460	32,370	7.6%	No
	14				Short	Huizar	Jose	School Boardmember/Attorney	No	10	19,901	36,645	54.3%	Yes
						Pacheco	Nick	Counselor	No	10	9,680	36,645	26.4%	No
						De Vera	Ruby Baxter	Council Office Manager	No	10	1,885	36,645	5.1%	No
						Heckman	Brian	Attorney at Law	No	10	1,446	36,645	3.9%	No
						Jimenez	Juan "Johnny Jay"	Community Activist/Organizer	No	10	793	36,645	2.2%	No
						Sanchez	David John	Educator/Author	No	10	792	36,645	2.2%	No
						Newberry	Diana Jill	Meatpacker	No	10	697	36,645	1.9%	No
						Arceo	Crystal	Businesswoman	No	10	618	36,645	1.7%	No
	Gonzales	Paul G.	Olympian Public Speaker	No	10	589	36,645	1.6%	No					
	Moseley	Clifford Ramiro	Educator	No	10	244	36,645	0.7%	No					
Lynwood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Santillan	Maria Teresa	Vice-Mayor/Benefit Administrator	Yes	12	1,911	16,758	11.4%	Yes		
				Pedroza	Fernando	Councilman/Business Owner	Yes	12	1,763	16,758	10.5%	Yes		
				Johnson	Alfredie	Educator/Pastor/Businessperson	No	12	1,755	16,758	10.5%	Yes		
				Rodriguez	Ramon	Mayor/Business Owner	Yes	12	1,537	16,758	9.2%	No		
				Castro	Aide	Businesswoman/Account Executive	No	12	1,536	16,758	9.2%	No		
				Flores	Mark	Development Consultant	No	12	1,420	16,758	8.5%	No		
				Carr	Patricia	County Employee/Businesswomen	No	12	1,380	16,758	8.2%	No		
				Morton	Jim	Businessman/Self-Employed	No	12	1,233	16,758	7.4%	No		
				Amey	Kathrine	Teacher	No	12	1,226	16,758	7.3%	No		
				Alatorre	Salvador	State Inspector	No	12	1,225	16,758	7.3%	No		
				Jacinto	Edwin R.	Realtor	No	12	1,200	16,758	7.2%	No		
				Enciso	Juan J.	Real Estate Broker	No	12	572	16,758	3.4%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED						
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2005	Maywood	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Martin	Thomas	Councilmember	Yes	8	1,881	9,454	19.9%	Yes						
						Calderon	Sergio	Adult School Teacher	No	8	1,812	9,454	19.2%	Yes						
						Aguirre	Felipe	Immigration Counselor	No	8	1,710	9,454	18.1%	Yes						
						Garcia	Esteban "Steve"	Teacher/Coordinator	No	8	1,258	9,454	13.3%	No						
						Zuniga	Jose "Chava"	Councilmember	Yes	8	1,103	9,454	11.7%	No						
						Lara	Luis H.	Councilmember	Yes	8	1,096	9,454	11.6%	No						
						Beltran	Marcos	Educator	No	8	304	9,454	3.2%	No						
						Lopez	Raymundo "Ray"	Educator/Coach	No	8	290	9,454	3.1%	No						
						Montebello			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vasquez	Rosemarie "Rosie"	Business Owner/Municipal Administrator	No	9	3,612	25,357	14.2%	Yes
												Molinari	William M. "Bill"	Business Owner/Mayor City of Montebello	Yes	9	3,424	25,357	13.5%	Yes
Siccama	Jeff	Manager	No	9	3,376							25,357	13.3%	Yes						
Salazar	Kathy	Registered Nurse/Businesswoman	No	9	3,257							25,357	12.8%	No						
Guzman	Octavio "Tavy"	Business Owner	No	9	2,951							25,357	11.6%	No						
Verdugo	Johnny	State Peace Officer	No	9	2,753							25,357	10.9%	No						
Ramirez	Annette	Community Programs Director	No	9	2,326							25,357	9.2%	No						
Torres	Aracely "Sally"	General Manger	No	9	1,979							25,357	7.8%	No						
Salazar	Larry	Case Manager	No	9	1,679							25,357	6.6%	No						
Palmdale			CITY COUNCIL		Full							Knight	Steve	Police Officer	No	9	9,061	30,781	29.4%	Yes
						Lackey	Tom	Highway Patrol Sergeant	No	9	6,259	30,781	20.3%	Yes						
						Mayfield	John	Businessman	No	9	4,563	30,781	14.8%	No						
						Morgan	Kathleen	Peace Officer/Nurse	No	9	3,426	30,781	11.1%	No						
						Walters	Mark D.	Defense Industrial Engineer	No	9	2,203	30,781	7.2%	No						
						Shaw	Patricia K.	Community Volunteer	No	9	2,089	30,781	6.8%	No						
						Kester	Marta Williamson-Cox	Non-Profit CEO	No	9	1,344	30,781	4.4%	No						
						Buteyn	Jeannette "Boots"	Loan Officer	No	9	950	30,781	3.1%	No						
						Tappa	Craig	Trademark Prosecution Specialist	No	9	886	30,781	2.9%	No						
									MAYOR		Full	Ledford, Jr.	James C.	Mayor of Palmdale	Yes	2	14,570	18,157	80.2%	Yes
												Kester	Desmond	Los Angeles County Supervising Appraiser	No	2	3,587	18,157	19.8%	No
						Rancho Palos Verdes			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gardiner	Peter C.	Rancho Palos Verdes City Councilmember	Yes	4	7,650	22,080	34.6%	Yes
Clark	Larry	Air Force Executive	No	4	6,140							22,080	27.8%	Yes						
Ferraro	Barbara	Spanish Teacher	No	4	4,475							22,080	20.3%	No						
Comaford	Nancy	Businesswoman	No	4	3,815							22,080	17.3%	No						
Santa Fe Springs			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Putnam	Betty	Council Member	Yes	4	2,447	8,390	29.2%	Yes						
						Gonzalez	Louie E.	Elementary School Teacher	No	4	2,248	8,390	26.8%	Yes						
						Velasco	Gustavo R.	Council Member	Yes	4	2,071	8,390	24.7%	Yes						
						Madrigal	Mike	Businessman/Branch Manager	No	4	1,624	8,390	19.4%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
LOS ANGELES (continued)	11/8/2005	South El Monte	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Garcia	Angelica R.	Youth Program Director	No	5	1,613	4,157	38.8%	Yes			
						Gonzales	Joseph J.	City Councilman	Yes	5	1,384	4,157	33.3%	Yes			
						Hennings	Dorris F.	Retired Accountant	No	5	595	4,157	14.3%	No			
						Tang	Diane	Health Insurance Agent	No	5	457	4,157	11.0%	No			
						Lem	Jaun M.	Real Estate Broker	No	5	108	4,157	2.6%	No			
			MAYOR		Full	Figueroa	Blanca M.	Mayor, City of South El Monte	Yes	4	1,500	2,734	54.9%	Yes			
						Co	Allen	Councilman/Business Executive	No	4	694	2,734	25.4%	No			
						Pardo	Raul	Business Consultant	No	4	493	2,734	18.0%	No			
						Wong	Daniel	International Businessman	No	4	47	2,734	1.7%	No			
			West Covina			CITY CLERK		Full	Carrico	Laurie	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	10,228	10,228	100.0%	Yes
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Sanderson	Shelley	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	8	8,558	43,680	19.6%	Yes	
								Touthey	Micheal	Small Business Owner	No	8	7,756	43,680	17.8%	Yes	
								Lane	Sherri	Businesswoman/Planning Commissioner	No	8	7,217	43,680	16.5%	Yes	
								Wong	Ben	Councilmember/Independent	Yes	8	7,055	43,680	16.2%	No	
Miller	Micheal "Mike"	City Councilmember						Yes	8	5,432	43,680	12.4%	No				
Roe	William "Bill"	Community Relations Director						No	8	3,366	43,680	7.7%	No				
Hubbard	Jeffrey J.	Student						No	8	2,287	43,680	5.2%	No				
Scheuplein	John	Business Executive/Entrepreneur						No	8	2,009	43,680	4.6%	No				
CITY TREASURER		Full				Smithson	Marian	City Treasurer	Yes	1	10,403	10,403	100.0%	Yes			
MADERA	No City Contests																
MARIN	11/8/2005	Fairfax	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Weinsoff	David	Environmental Attorney	No	7	2,051	9,833	20.9%	Yes			
						Maggiore	Mary Ann	Non Profit Director, Educator	No	7	1,613	9,833	16.4%	Yes			
						Brandborg	Susan	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,523	9,833	15.5%	Yes			
						Ghiringhelli	Mike	Incumbent, Business Owner	Yes	7	1,451	9,833	14.8%	No			
						Egger	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	7	1,373	9,833	14.0%	No			
						Clark	Penny	Attorney	No	7	1,071	9,833	10.9%	No			
						Madsen	William B.	Firefighter	No	7	734	9,833	7.5%	No			
						CITY COUNCIL	Full	Marshall	Shawn	Park Commissioner, Businesswoman	No	3	3,849	10,103	38.1%	Yes	
			Berman	Andrew	Father, Businessman			No	3	3,825	10,103	37.9%	Yes				
			Wachtel	Ken	Attorney			No	3	2,405	10,103	23.8%	No				
			CITY COUNCIL	Full	Eklund	Pat	Incumbent, Environmental Manager	Yes	5	8,516	27,847	30.6%	Yes				
					Leland	Jim	Project Manager, Realtor	No	5	7,711	27,847	27.7%	Yes				
					Paterson	Annan	Educator	No	5	7,049	27,847	25.3%	No				
					Meyers	Gail	Retired Bank Employee	No	5	2,354	27,847	8.5%	No				
					Sluis	Eleanor	Retired Teacher	No	5	2,170	27,847	7.8%	No				

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
MARIN (continued)	11/8/2005	San Anselmo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Breen	Peter A.	Incumbent	Yes	6	3,058	12,907	23.7%	Yes	
						Freeman	Ted	Teacher	No	6	2,769	12,907	21.5%	Yes	
						Roth	Ian	Public Sector Administrator	No	6	2,487	12,907	19.3%	Yes	
						Greene	Ford	Civil Rights Attorney	No	6	2,187	12,907	16.9%	No	
						Fallon	Tom	Engineer	No	6	1,350	12,907	10.5%	No	
							Mehling	Lujza	Ultrasound Technician	No	6	1,033	12,907	8.0%	No
		San Rafael	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Heller	Barbara	Incumbent	Yes	2	10,701	21,033	50.9%	Yes	
						Miller	Cyr	Incumbent	Yes	2	10,044	21,033	47.8%	Yes	
		Tiburon	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Berger	Miles	Incumbent, Architect, Mayor	Yes	4	2,100	7,640	27.5%	Yes	
						Gram	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,013	7,640	26.3%	Yes	
Fredericks	Alice					Incumbent	Yes	4	1,990	7,640	26.0%	Yes			
O'Donnell	Emmett					Business Executive	No	4	1,506	7,640	19.7%	No			
MARIPOSA	No City Contests														
MENDOCINO	No City Contests														
MERCED	11/8/2005	Merced	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Cortez	Joseph	Incumbent	Yes	4	7,408	24,138	30.7%	Yes	
						Sanders	James D.	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,814	24,138	28.2%	Yes	
						Spriggs	William	Incumbent	Yes	4	6,318	24,138	26.2%	Yes	
						Pollard	Carl	Realtor	No	4	3,542	24,138	14.7%	No	
											Wooten	Ellie	Real Estate Broker	No	2
					Osorio	Rick	Businessman	No	2	5,136	10,884	47.2%	No		
MODOC	No City Contests														
MONO	No City Contests														
MONTEREY	No City Contests														
NAPA	No City Contests														
NEVADA	No City Contests														
ORANGE	11/8/2005	San Clemente	CITY COUNCIL		Short	Knoblock	Steve	Businessman	No	6	5,581	16,755	33.3%	Yes	
						Jeisy	Sally Benedict	Retired Business Owner	No	6	4,209	16,755	25.1%	No	
						McMenamin	Mary	Businesswoman	No	6	2,668	16,755	15.9%	No	
						Duncan	Dave	No Ballot Designation	No	6	2,520	16,755	15.0%	No	
						Hoskins	Phillip	Regional Franchise Development Manager	No	6	1,072	16,755	6.4%	No	
						Walker	Norm	Businessman	No	6	705	16,755	4.2%	No	
PLACER	No City Contests														
PLUMAS	No City Contests														

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
RIVERSIDE	5/3/2005	Cathedral City	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vasquez	Charles "Chuck"	Business Owner	No	2	3,833	6,446	59.5%	Yes	
					Full	Stettler	George	Business Owner	No	2	2,613	6,446	40.5%	No	
				Murrieta	CITY COUNCIL ²	1	Short	Knight	Nancy E.	Newspaper Publisher, Editor	No	3	3,704	7,368	50.3%
		Hurst	Andre D.					Hospital Administrator	No	3	1,276	7,368	17.3%	No	
		Sparks	Jon					Attorney	No	3	1,271	7,368	17.3%	No	
		2	Short			Thomasian	Gary	Small Business Owner	No	2	4,957	7,596	65.3%	Yes	
						Dennstedt	Brenda	Water District Director	No	2	2,516	7,596	33.1%	No	
						3	Short	Gibbs	Rick	Businessman	No	2	5,710	7,714	74.0%
		Evans	Casey	Businessman, Pilot	No			2	1,875	7,714	24.3%	No			
		Blythe	CITY CLERK	Full	Rivera			Virginia C. "Virgie"	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,100	2,104	99.8%	Yes
					CITY COUNCIL	Full	Crain	Robert A.	Incumbent, Insurance Agent	Yes	10	1,107	6,544	16.9%	Yes
							Mays	Beverly A.	Social Worker	No	10	987	6,544	15.1%	Yes
							De Coninck	Joseph "Joey"	Businessman, Farmer	No	10	979	6,544	15.0%	Yes
							Thomas	George W.	Incumbent	Yes	10	714	6,544	10.9%	No
							Soto	Richard "Dickie"	Incumbent	Yes	10	651	6,544	9.9%	No
Reynolds	Dale S.						Planning Commission Chair	No	10	644	6,544	9.8%	No		
Powels	Debra						Holistic Nurse, Entrepreneur	No	10	453	6,544	6.9%	No		
Covel	Carie D.						Analyst	No	10	360	6,544	5.5%	No		
Williams	Larry J.						General Contractor	No	10	355	6,544	5.4%	No		
Gillis	Edna G.	Retired Educator	No	10			292	6,544	4.5%	No					
	CITY TREASURER	Full	Martin	Leann Kay	Incumbent	Yes	1	2,020	2,028	99.6%	Yes				
Desert Hot Springs	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Bosworth	Gary	Incumbent	Yes	8	972	4,727	20.6%	Yes				
			Parks	Yvonne	Vice Chairman Planning Commission	No	8	852	4,727	18.0%	Yes				
			Sanchez	Adam	Chief Professional Officer	No	8	732	4,727	15.5%	No				
			Evenson	Dale	Fire Safety Specialist	No	8	691	4,727	14.6%	No				
			Gerardi	John A.	Municipal Finance Officer	No	8	689	4,727	14.6%	No				
			Pieper	William R. "Will"	Incumbent	Yes	8	329	4,727	7.0%	No				
			Bentley	Robert M.	Law School Student	No	8	278	4,727	5.9%	No				
			Dow	Kenneth R.	Truck Driver	No	8	181	4,727	3.8%	No				
			MAYOR	Full	Bias	Alex	Business Owner	No	3	865	2,476	34.9%	Yes		
					Stephens	Mary Katherine	Vice Mayor	No	3	834	2,476	33.7%	No		
Mayrhofer	Ted	Self Employed			No	3	492	2,476	19.9%	No					
Indian Wells	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Spicer	Larry	Planning Commissioner	No	3	860	1,954	44.0%	Yes				
			Mullany	Patrick J.	Retired FBI Agent	No	3	804	1,954	41.1%	No				
			Brinson	Keith D.	Businessman	No	3	286	1,954	14.6%	No				

²To be elected if recall measure passes.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
RIVERSIDE (continued)	11/8/2005	Norco	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hall	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,154	9,840	32.1%	Yes	
						Clark	Hal H.	Incumbent	Yes	5	2,909	9,840	29.6%	Yes	
						Mercer	James	Engineer	No	5	1,771	9,840	18.0%	No	
						Guertin	Jeanne M.	Adjunct Professor	No	5	1,264	9,840	12.8%	No	
						Ernst	Phillip S.	Business Owner	No	5	726	9,840	7.4%	No	
	Palm Springs			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Foat	Ginny	Incumbent	Yes	7	6,478	23,249	27.9%	Yes
							Mills	Christopher "Chris"	Incumbent	Yes	7	5,201	23,249	22.4%	Yes
							Williams	John	Hotel Business Owner	No	7	4,743	23,249	20.4%	No
							Sanders	Patricia "Trisha"	City Clerk	No	7	4,006	23,249	17.2%	No
							Ploss	Roxann	Educator	No	7	1,998	23,249	8.6%	No
							Tucker	Tom	Non Profit Director	No	7	472	23,249	2.0%	No
							Cueva	Carlos H.	Project Manager	No	7	337	23,249	1.4%	No
	Riverside			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Rasso	Ruben	Retired Deputy Sheriff	No	4	2,370	5,585	42.4%	Yes
							Melendrez	Andy	Businessman	No	4	1,786	5,585	32.0%	No
							Willis	Gloria	Homemaker, Retired Educator	No	4	722	5,585	12.9%	No
							Teer	Deen	Real Estate Executive	No	4	697	5,585	12.5%	No
					4	Full	Schiavone	Frank	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,862	11,421	42.6%	Yes
							Cardelucci	Samuel	Businessman	No	5	2,872	11,421	25.1%	No
							Freeman	Debrah	Public Employee	No	5	1,634	11,421	14.3%	No
							Parker	Corinne	Mother, Municipal Employee	No	5	1,616	11,421	14.1%	No
Brosious							Gene "Butch"	Carpenter, Plaster	No	5	422	11,421	3.7%	No	
6					Full	Hart	Nancy E.	Incumbent	Yes	4	2,430	4,178	58.2%	Yes	
						Castillo	Richard	Business Owner	No	4	979	4,178	23.4%	No	
						Alfaro	Ann	Retired Pharmacy Clerk	No	4	430	4,178	10.3%	No	
						Mayer	Penelope "Penny"	Retail Sales Associate	No	4	332	4,178	7.9%	No	
MAYOR				Full	Loveridge	Ron	Incumbent, College Teacher	Yes	3	29,092	48,370	60.1%	Yes		
					Frizzel	Teresa A. "Terry"	Businesswoman, Realtor	No	3	10,515	48,370	21.7%	No		
					Moore	Ameal	City Councilman	No	3	8,658	48,370	17.9%	No		
SACRAMENTO	No City Contests														
SAN BENITO	No City Contests														

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
SAN BERNARDINO	6/7/2005	Chino	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Ulloa	Eunice M.	Business Woman	No	9	1,928	3,978	48.5%	Yes			
						Rodriguez	Paul	Educator	No	9	764	3,978	19.2%	No			
						Hagrove	Mark	Peace Officer	No	9	681	3,978	17.1%	No			
						Asfall	Jacqueline	Labor Management	No	9	168	3,978	4.2%	No			
						Delgado	David J.	Mason	No	9	166	3,978	4.2%	No			
						Carpenter	Nancy L.	Retired Teacher	No	9	134	3,978	3.4%	No			
						Watters	Jude Leo	Religious Education Director	No	9	55	3,978	1.4%	No			
						Indaburu	Michael A.	College Student	No	9	51	3,978	1.3%	No			
	Melle	Damien M.	Real Estate Investor	No	9	27	3,978	0.7%	No								
	Ontario			MAYOR		Full	Leon	Paul S.	Councilmember, Pastor	No	2	5,190	8,903	58.3%	Yes		
							Crowe	Sam	Municipal Attorney	No	2	3,657	8,903	41.1%	No		
	SAN BERNARDINO	11/8/2005	Redlands	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gilbreath	Patricia L.	Incumbent	Yes	9	8,643	48,468	17.8%	Yes		
							Harrison	Jon	Incumbent	Yes	9	8,604	48,468	17.8%	Yes		
Gallagher							Mick	Business Owner	No	9	8,415	48,468	17.4%	Yes			
Roche							Brian P.	Retired Firefighter	No	9	6,449	48,468	13.3%	No			
Banta							Teddy	Homemaker, Businesswoman	No	9	5,678	48,468	11.7%	No			
Siegel							Scott	Business Owner	No	9	3,549	48,468	7.3%	No			
Tejeda							Eddie	Retail Sales Person, Student	No	9	3,521	48,468	7.3%	No			
Sepp							Oscar W.	Retired Aerospace Executive	No	9	2,463	48,468	5.1%	No			
Bartch		George D.	Realtor	No	9	1,076	48,468	2.2%	No								
San Bernardino				CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Estrada	Esther R.	First Ward Councilwoman	Yes	3	1,278	2,144	59.6%	Yes		
							Navarro	Gil	Education Consultant	No	3	639	2,144	29.8%	No		
							Suiter	Augustus D. "Dave"	Retired	No	3	213	2,144	9.9%	No		
							2	Full	Baxter	Dennis	City Commissioner, Journalist	No	2	1,243	2,049	60.7%	Yes
									Adams	Paul	Minister	No	2	799	2,049	39.0%	No
							4	Full	Derry	Neil	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,631	4,773	97.0%	Yes
	MAYOR										Full	Morris	Pat	Superior Court Judge	No	5	11,249
Penman		James "Jim" F.	City Attorney, Prosecutor	No	5	6,648						25,909	25.7%	No			
Kelley		Chas A.	Council Member	No	5	4,079						25,909	15.7%	No			
Avila		Rick	Businessman, General Contractor	No	5	3,425						25,909	13.2%	No			
Ellison-Lewis		Michael	No Ballot Designation	No	5	466						25,909	1.8%	No			
SAN DIEGO	1/4/2005	San Diego	CITY COUNCIL	4	Full	Young	Tony	Councilmember's Chief of Staff	No	2	7,341	12,362	59.4%	Yes			
						Stevens	George	Community Representative	No	2	5,021	12,362	40.6%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED					
SAN DIEGO (continued)	7/26/2005	San Diego	MAYOR		Full	Frye	Donna	Council Member, Business Owner	No	11	114,573	265,327	43.2%	Yes					
						Sanders	Jerry	Businessman, Retired Police Chief	No	11	71,767	265,327	27.0%	No					
						Francis	Steve	Businessman	No	11	62,500	265,327	23.6%	No					
						Shea	Pat	Businessman, Attorney	No	11	6,299	265,327	2.4%	No					
						Rider	Richard	Small Businessman	No	11	4,173	265,327	1.6%	No					
						Shelby	Myke	Motorcycle Dealership Owner	No	11	3,881	265,327	1.5%	No					
						McMillan	Shawn A.	Businessman, Attorney	No	11	619	265,327	0.2%	No					
						Bell	Jim	Ecological Designer, Author, Lecturer	No	11	529	265,327	0.2%	No					
						Kolker	Ed	Mediator, Arbitrator	No	11	452	265,327	0.2%	No					
						Ledford	Jeremy	Businessman	No	11	425	265,327	0.2%	No					
						Knapp	Thomas	Restaurant Area Supervisor	No	11	109	265,327	0.0%	No					
						11/8/2005	San Diego	CITY COUNCIL	2	Full	Faulconer	Kevin	Businessman	No	17	15,912	46,068	34.5%	Yes
											Gonzalez	Lorena	Mother, Environmental Attorney	No	17	11,543	46,068	25.1%	No
											Chase	Carolyn	CEO, San Diego Earth Day	No	17	4,090	46,068	8.9%	No
Grosch	Rich	Businessman, Teacher, College Trustee	No	17	2,906						46,068	6.3%	No						
Rutherford	Tim	Faith Based Non Profit Lawyer	No	17	2,442						46,068	5.3%	No						
Blavatt	Kathleen	Small Business Owner, Teacher	No	17	1,848						46,068	4.0%	No						
Trowbridge	Ian	Retired Professor, Investor	No	17	1,746						46,068	3.8%	No						
Zaharopoulos	Pat	State Deputy Attorney General	No	17	1,273						46,068	2.8%	No						
Meinhardt	Phil	Retired Military Officer	No	17	1,054						46,068	2.3%	No						
Eaton	Tom	Public Middle School Teacher	No	17	754						46,068	1.6%	No						
Finley	Greg	Business Management	No	17	615						46,068	1.3%	No						
Diehl	David	Small Businessman	No	17	470						46,068	1.0%	No						
Morrison	James	Businessman, Apt. Mgr. ,Comm. Volunteer	No	17	441						46,068	1.0%	No						
Najjar	George	Attorney	No	17	280						46,068	0.6%	No						
Lee	Robert E.	Security Officer, Web Designer	No	17	250						46,068	0.5%	No						
Hujzak	Allen	Entrepreneur	No	17	242						46,068	0.5%	No						
Finley	Linda Susan	Retired Business owner, Student	No	17	202						46,068	0.4%	No						
8	Full	Hueso	Ben	Small Business Owner	No						9	7,454	19,461	38.3%	Yes				
		Acle	Luis	President, Board of Education	No						9	3,621	19,461	18.6%	No				
		Bermudez	Remigia	Educator	No						9	3,018	19,461	15.5%	No				
		Coffey	Dan	Attorney	No	9	1,601	19,461	8.2%	No									
		Vandenheuvel	Kathy	Civil, Environmental Engineer	No	9	1,060	19,461	5.4%	No									
		Holbrook	Douglas	Attorney at Law	No	9	800	19,461	4.1%	No									
		Gomez	Tim	No Ballot Designation	No	9	775	19,461	4.0%	No									
		Pickard	Lincoln	No Ballot Designation	No	9	595	19,461	3.1%	No									
		Moncayo	Matthew	Communication System Analyst	No	9	537	19,461	2.8%	No									

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN DIEGO	11/8/2005	San Diego	MAYOR		Full	Sanders	Jerry	Businessman, Retired Police Chief	No	2	176,893	328,998	53.8%	Yes
(continued)						Frye	Donna	Council Member, Business Owner	No	2	152,105	328,998	46.2%	No
SAN FRANCISCO	County and City Contests included in County Report													
SAN JOAQUIN	11/8/2005	Lathrop	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Sayles	Kristy	Business Owner	No	4	797	1,773	45.0%	Yes
						Dhaliwal	Sonny	Transportation Service Worker	No	4	626	1,773	35.3%	No
						Richardson	Cecil A.	Business Owner, Pastor	No	4	174	1,773	9.8%	No
						Clough	Doug	Industrial Electrician, Mechanic	No	4	160	1,773	9.0%	No
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No City Contests													
SAN MATEO	11/8/2005	Belmont	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Feierbach	Coralin	Business Owner, Councilmember	Yes	3	4,593	13,348	34.4%	Yes
						Lieberman	Warren	President, Consulting Firm	No	3	4,502	13,348	33.7%	Yes
						Dickenson	Bill	Hospitality Professional	No	3	4,253	13,348	31.9%	Yes
		Brisbane	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Conway	W. Clarke	Technical Manager	No	9	699	3,629	19.3%	Yes
						Richardson	A. Sepi	Mayor	No	9	627	3,629	17.3%	Yes
						Barnes	Michael G.	Incumbent	Yes	9	529	3,629	14.6%	Yes
						Schumann	Michael	Parent, Software Designer	No	9	510	3,629	14.1%	No
						Dillworth	Dana	Environmental Educator	No	9	452	3,629	12.5%	No
						Jameel	Haji	Engineer	No	9	338	3,629	9.3%	No
						Gangloff	David	Architect	No	9	245	3,629	6.8%	No
						Colonna	Ronald R.	Electronics Tech	No	9	120	3,629	3.3%	No
						Pelayo	Joe	Small Business Owner	No	9	109	3,629	3.0%	No
					Short	Waldo	Steven W.	Attorney	No	1	879	879	100.0%	Yes
		Burlingame	CITY CLERK		Full	Mortensen	Doris J.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	5,733	5,733	100.0%	Yes
			CITY COUNCIL		Full	Keighran	Ann	Burlingame Planning Commissioner	No	7	4,415	21,052	21.0%	Yes
						O'Mahony	Rosalie M.	Incumbent, Mathematics Professor	Yes	7	4,073	21,052	19.3%	Yes
						Baylock	Cathy	Incumbent	Yes	7	3,667	21,052	17.4%	Yes
						Root	John S.	Retired General Manager	No	7	3,640	21,052	17.3%	No
						Condon	Gene	Business Owner	No	7	2,494	21,052	11.8%	No
						Andersen	Dan	Businessman, Volunteer	No	7	2,024	21,052	9.6%	No
						Prendiville	Paul	General Contractor	No	7	739	21,052	3.5%	No
					Short	Cohen	Russ	Businessman	No	2	4,167	7,197	57.9%	Yes
						Lembi	Donald P.	Retired Coach, Businessman	No	2	3,030	7,197	42.1%	No
		Foster City	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Frisella	Pam	Mother, Community Member	No	5	3,667	12,208	30.0%	Yes
						Kiramis	John	Retired Police Lieutenant	No	5	3,382	12,208	27.7%	Yes
						Kiesel	Art	Management Consultant	No	5	2,713	12,208	22.2%	No
						Roberts	Stanley E.	Television Photojournalist, Entrepreneur	No	5	1,254	12,208	10.3%	No
						Freeman, Sr.	Charles	Human Resource Consultant	No	5	1,192	12,208	9.8%	No

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/8/2005	Half Moon Bay	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Patridge	Naomi	Administrative Assistant	No	6	2,237	11,034	20.3%	Yes	
						Grady	Jim	Business Executive	No	6	1,953	11,034	17.7%	Yes	
						McClung	Bonnie	Education, Management Consultant	No	6	1,860	11,034	16.9%	Yes	
						Ferreira	Mike	Incumbent	Yes	6	1,845	11,034	16.7%	No	
						Muteff	George M.	Financial Consultant, Stockbroker	No	6	1,642	11,034	14.9%	No	
						Skinner	Steve	Realtor	No	6	1,497	11,034	13.6%	No	
			Millbrae	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Papan	Gina	Deputy Attorney General	No	2	3,917	7,588	51.6%	Yes
							Gottschalk	Robert G.	Incumbent	Yes	2	3,671	7,588	48.4%	Yes
				CITY TREASURER		Full	Treseler	Mary Vella	Incumbent	Yes	1	4,333	4,333	100.0%	Yes
			Portola Valley	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Driscoll	Ted	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,463	5,242	27.9%	Yes
							Derwin	Maryann Moise	Community Volunteer	No	4	1,412	5,242	26.9%	Yes
							Merk	Richard T.	Incumbent	Yes	4	1,341	5,242	25.6%	Yes
							Reiss	Sally Ann	Mother, Executive Consultant	No	4	1,026	5,242	19.6%	No
			Redwood City	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Howard	Diane	Redwood City Councilmember, Nurse	Yes	5	10,100	39,527	25.6%	Yes
							Ira	Jeff	Mayor, CPA	No	5	9,293	39,527	23.5%	Yes
							Hartnett	Jim	Council Member, Attorney	Yes	5	8,712	39,527	22.0%	Yes
							Brandt	Adrian	Software Engineer	No	5	5,722	39,527	14.5%	No
							Paulson	Hilary S.	Attorney	No	5	5,700	39,527	14.4%	No
							Short	Aguirre	Alicia C.	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	1	12,227	12,227	100.0%
			San Bruno	CITY CLERK		Full	Bonner	Carol	Bank Officer	No	2	5,853	8,879	65.9%	Yes
Fritz	Joyce Ann	Teacher, Realty Agent					No	2	3,026	8,879	34.1%	No			
CITY COUNCIL		Full		Medina	Rico E.	Personal Manager	No	6	4,214	16,708	25.2%	Yes			
				Ibarra	Ken	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	6	4,139	16,708	24.8%	Yes			
				Pallas	Chris	Councilmember, City of San Bruno	Yes	6	4,030	16,708	24.1%	No			
				Buschman	Scott	Professional Photographer	No	6	1,916	16,708	11.5%	No			
				Pieraldi	Stephen	Venture Capitalist	No	6	1,575	16,708	9.4%	No			
				Forcier	Bradley A.	Information Technology Consultant	No	6	834	16,708	5.0%	No			
CITY TREASURER		Full		Freitas	Linda	Municipal Deputy Treasurer	No	2	6,781	8,548	79.3%	Yes			
				Adona	Luis	Accountant	No	2	1,767	8,548	20.7%	No			
MAYOR		Full	Franzella	Larry	Mayor, City of San Bruno	Yes	2	6,357	9,314	68.3%	Yes				
			Salazar	Michael	Project Manager	No	2	2,957	9,314	31.7%	No				
San Carlos	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Grocott	Matt	Incumbent	Yes	6	5,016	23,856	21.0%	Yes			
				Lewis	Brad	Computer Animation Producer	No	6	4,564	23,856	19.1%	Yes			
				Grassilli	Bob	Business Consultant	No	6	4,491	23,856	18.8%	Yes			
				Hoffman	John	Local Business Owner	No	6	3,867	23,856	16.2%	No			
				Materman	Len	Non-Profit Director	No	6	3,854	23,856	16.2%	No			
				Phillips	Alex	Software Engineer, Businessman	No	6	2,064	23,856	8.7%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SAN MATEO (continued)	11/8/2005	San Mateo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Epstein	Jan	Incumbent	Yes	4	13,228	43,478	30.4%	Yes
						Groom	Carole	City Council Member	Yes	4	13,164	43,478	30.3%	Yes
						Grotte	Brandt	Environmental Manager	No	4	11,147	43,478	25.6%	Yes
						Mahurin	Ron	Attorney	No	4	5,100	43,478	11.7%	No
	South San Francisco	CITY CLERK	Full	Payne	Slyvia	City Clerk	Yes	2	7,942	11,083	71.7%	Yes		
				Zaldana	Kristine	Office, Accounting Clerk	No	2	3,141	11,083	28.3%	No		
		CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gonzalez	Pedro	Councilmember	Yes	5	8,364	28,578	29.3%	Yes		
				Matsumoto	Karyl	Councilmember, Administrator	Yes	5	7,229	28,578	25.3%	Yes		
				Addiego	Mark N.	Cemetery Manager	No	5	4,917	28,578	17.2%	Yes		
				Noftsgger	Richard	Funeral Director, Businessman	No	5	4,565	28,578	16.0%	No		
				Wolfe	Kamala Cynthia Silva	Med Legal Research, Writer	No	5	3,503	28,578	12.3%	No		
		CITY TREASURER	Full	Battaglia	Richard A.	City Treasurer	Yes	1	10,386	10,386	100.0%	Yes		
	Woodside	CITY COUNCIL	Full	2	Gordon	Deborah C.	Incumbent	Yes	1	1,508	1,508	100.0%	Yes	
				4	Tanner	Dave	General Contractor	No	1	1,490	1,490	100.0%	Yes	
				6	Hodges	Carroll Ann	Retired Geologist, Councilmember	Yes	1	1,510	1,510	100.0%	Yes	
	SANTA BARBARA	6/7/2005	Guadalupe	CITY CLERK	Full	Hoff	Brenda L.	School Administrator	No	2	459	696	65.9%	Yes
Parra						Robert	Professional Mechanic	No	2	237	696	34.1%	No	
CITY COUNCIL				Full	Sabedra	John H.	Retired Firefighter	No	4	404	731	55.3%	Yes	
					Aquino	Severo "Sam"	Community Advocate	No	4	287	731	39.3%	No	
					Angulo	Octavio	Self Employed	No	4	25	731	3.4%	No	
					Hernandez	Refugio	Unemployed	No	4	15	731	2.1%	No	
CITY TREASURER		Full	White	Cynthia W.	Coordinator Fiscal Services	No	1	593	593	100.0%	Yes			
11/8/2005		Santa Barbara	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Horton	Roger L.	Incumbent	Yes	8	13,070	64,923	20.1%	Yes	
					Falcone	Iya	Incumbent	Yes	8	12,318	64,923	19.0%	Yes	
					House	Grant	Businessman, Educational Consultant	No	8	10,914	64,923	16.8%	Yes	
					Redd	Loretta	Community Volunteer	No	8	9,316	64,923	14.3%	No	
					Channing	Dianne	Small Business Owner	No	8	7,075	64,923	10.9%	No	
					Tyler	Terry J.	Certified Public Accountant	No	8	6,574	64,923	10.1%	No	
	Quinteo				Charles "Carlos"	Arbitrator, Mediator, Barber	No	8	3,091	64,923	4.8%	No		
MAYOR	Full	Hansen	Bob	Farmer	No	8	2,565	64,923	4.0%	No				
		Blum	Marty	Mayor	Yes	5	15,355	25,775	59.6%	Yes				
		Ebenste	Alan "Lanny"	Educator, Economist, Businessman	No	5	8,891	25,775	34.5%	No				
		Krame	Matthew Hunter	Business Owner	No	5	975	25,775	3.8%	No				
		Hackett	Thinker Bill	Real Estate Broker	No	5	306	25,775	1.2%	No				
Heimbaugh	Ken "Leo"	Writer, Director	No	5	248	25,775	1.0%	No						

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
SANTA CLARA	6/7/2005	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	7	Full	Nguyen	Madison	Governing Board Mbr Franklin McKinley SD	No	8	3,341	7,490	44.6%	Yes
						Nguyen	Linda	Businesswomen/Attorney	No	8	1,990	7,490	26.6%	No
						Gonzales	Beth	High School Teacher	No	8	950	7,490	12.7%	No
						Voss	Ed	Businessman/Planning Commissioner	No	8	704	7,490	9.4%	No
						Rodriguez	Rudy	Public Affairs Specialist	No	8	351	7,490	4.7%	No
						Lauwers	Timothy	General Contractor	No	8	71	7,490	0.9%	No
						Mahealani		Special Projects Coordinator	No	8	42	7,490	0.6%	No
						Diaz	Andrew Abraham	Retired	No	8	41	7,490	0.5%	No
9/13/2005	San Jose	CITY COUNCIL	7	Full	Nguyen	Madison	Governing Board Mbr Franklin McKinley SD	No	2	5,603	8,958	62.5%	Yes	
					Nguyen	Linda	Businesswomen/Attorney	No	2	3,355	8,958	37.5%	No	
11/8/2005	Cupertino	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Kwok	Patrick S.	Incumbent	Yes	6	7,860	34,141	23.0%	Yes		
				Sandoval	Dolly	Teacher/City Councilmember	Yes	6	7,752	34,141	22.7%	Yes		
				Mahoney	Orrin	Retired	No	6	5,409	34,141	15.8%	Yes		
				Miller	Marty	Planning Commissioner/Businessman	No	6	5,079	34,141	14.9%	No		
				Jeanne	Bradford	Businesswoman/City Commissioner	No	6	4,334	34,141	12.7%	No		
				Abhyanker	Raj	Patent Attorney	No	6	3,707	34,141	10.9%	No		
				Gilroy	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Gartman	Craig	Incumbent	Yes	5	4,638	20,777	22.3%
Bracco	Dion	Local Business Owner	No				5	4,603	20,777	22.2%	Yes			
Arellano	Peter	Medical Physician	No				5	4,204	20,777	20.2%	Yes			
Morales	Charles Steve	Incumbent	Yes				5	3,732	20,777	18.0%	No			
Dillon	Robert T.	Incumbent	Yes				5	3,600	20,777	17.3%	No			
Los Altos	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Carpenter	Val	Business Executive	No	4	6,369	17,867	35.6%	Yes			
			Colehower	Kurt	Human Resource Consultant	No	4	5,110	17,867	28.6%	Yes			
			Hull	Randall	Advertising Creative Director	No	4	4,655	17,867	26.1%	No			
			Croudace	Christopher J.	Tax Attorney	No	4	1,733	17,867	9.7%	No			
Palo Alto	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Kishimoto	Yoriko	No Ballot Designation	Yes	12	11,705	73,257	16.0%	Yes			
			Drekmeier	Peter	No Ballot Designation	No	12	11,158	73,257	15.2%	Yes			
			Klien	Larry	No Ballot Designation	No	12	11,046	73,257	15.1%	Yes			
			Barton	John	No Ballot Designation	No	12	9,419	73,257	12.9%	Yes			
			Morton	Jack	No Ballot Designation	Yes	12	9,234	73,257	12.6%	Yes			
			Holman	Karen	No Ballot Designation	No	12	8,990	73,257	12.3%	No			
			Justman	Harold "Skip"	No Ballot Designation	No	12	4,916	73,257	6.7%	No			
			Martell	Danielle	No Ballot Designation	No	12	2,287	73,257	3.1%	No			
			Forte	Sanford	No Ballot Designation	No	12	1,536	73,257	2.1%	No			
			Carroll	Norman	No Ballot Designation	No	12	1,378	73,257	1.9%	No			
			Frost	Victor	No Ballot Designation	No	12	1,345	73,257	1.8%	No			

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED	
SANTA CLARA (continued)	11/8/2005	Sunnyvale	CITY COUNCIL	1	Full	Smith	Roger V.	No Ballot Designation	No	12	243	73,257	0.3%	No	
						Spitaleri	Anthony "Tony"	Retired Fire Captain	No	2	13,793	24,137	57.1%	Yes	
						Risch	Tim	Engineering Program Manager	No	2	10,344	24,137	42.9%	No	
				2	Full	Moylan	Christoper R.	University Faculty Member	No	3	12,904	24,032	53.7%	Yes	
						Ghaffary	Darab	Civil Engineer/Businessman	No	3	5,745	24,032	23.9%	No	
						Richards	Pete	Business Owner	No	3	5,383	24,032	22.4%	No	
						3	Full	Howe	John N.	Councilmember/Business Owner	Yes	2	13,035	23,973	54.4%
Smith	Nancy	Business Owner	No	2	10,938	23,973		45.6%	No						
SANTA CRUZ	No City Contests														
SHASTA	11/8/2005	Shasta Lake	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Hurlhey	Bonnie	Appointed Councilmember, Shasta Lake	Yes	5	961	3,792	25.3%	Yes	
						Palmer	Gracious	Educator, Business Owner	No	5	853	3,792	22.5%	Yes	
						Bailey	Nadine	Field Representative	No	5	775	3,792	20.4%	No	
						Duryee	Debra	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	5	640	3,792	16.9%	No	
						Mark	Jim	Editor, Publisher	Yes	5	563	3,792	14.8%	No	
SIERRA	No City Contests														
SISKIYOU	No City Contests														
SOLANO	11/8/2005	Benicia	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Schwartzman	Alan H.	Small Business Owner	No	5	4,659	17,919	26.0%	Yes	
						Hughes	Mark	Businessman	No	5	4,505	17,919	25.1%	Yes	
						Campbell	Tom	Incumbent	Yes	5	3,971	17,919	22.2%	No	
						Smith	Dan	Incumbent, Health Educator	Yes	5	2,913	17,919	16.3%	No	
						Daley	Kyle	Student	No	5	1,832	17,919	10.2%	No	
		Fairfield	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Mraz	John	Retired Police Officer	No	8	7,185	35,621	20.2%	Yes		
					Kardos	Frank	School Administrator, Professor	No	8	6,408	35,621	18.0%	Yes		
					Kays	Steven	City Revitalization Specialist	No	8	6,294	35,621	17.7%	No		
					Bautista	Abraham "Abe"	Retired Analyst, Trustee	No	8	5,055	35,621	14.2%	No		
					Kennedy	George	Restaurant Regional Manager	No	8	3,403	35,621	9.6%	No		
					Erickson	Leslie	Underwriter, Businesswoman, Homeowner	No	8	3,053	35,621	8.6%	No		
					Martinez	Joseph "Joe"	No Ballot Designation	No	8	2,217	35,621	6.2%	No		
					Randhawa	Paul	Businessman	No	8	1,876	35,621	5.3%	No		
					MAYOR	Full	Price	Harry T.	Retired Teacher	No	1	15,410	16,103	95.7%	Yes
					Vallejo	CITY COUNCIL	Full	Sunga	Hermie R.	Planning Commissioner, Businessman	No	11	8,990	63,117	14.2%
		Gomes	Stephanie	Communications Specialist				No	11	7,990	63,117	12.7%	Yes		
		Bartee	Thomas W.	Incumbent				Yes	11	7,852	63,117	12.4%	Yes		
		Edwards	Darrell W.	Community Development Commissioner				No	11	6,896	63,117	10.9%	No		
		Engelman	Linda Labrado	Retired Businessman				No	11	6,278	63,117	9.9%	No		
		Gray	Victoria	Retired Diplomat				No	11	5,633	63,117	8.9%	No		

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED			
SOLANO (continued)	11/8/2005	Vallejo	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Verder-Aliga	Rozzana	Manager, Family Therapist	No	11	5,307	63,117	8.4%	No			
						Hassel	Richard A.	University Vice President	No	11	5,200	63,117	8.2%	No			
						Martin	Ray	Retired	No	11	3,462	63,117	5.5%	No			
						Estes	John H.	Retired Business Agent	No	11	2,803	63,117	4.4%	No			
						Boex	Harold A.	Businessman	No	11	2,513	63,117	4.0%	No			
SONOMA	No City Contests																
STANISLAUS	11/8/2005	Ceres	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Vierra	Chris	Appointed Incumbent	No	3	3,010	8,072	37.3%	Yes			
						Lane	Ken	Businessman	No	3	2,601	8,072	32.2%	Yes			
						Ochoa	Guillermo G.	Business Owner	No	3	2,405	8,072	29.8%	No			
		Lathrop	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Cannella	Anthony J.	Councilmember	No	1	4,325	4,502	96.1%	Yes			
						Sayles	Kristy	Business Owner	No	4	797	1,773	45.0%	Yes			
						Dhaliwal	Sonny	Transportation Service Worker	No	4	626	1,773	35.3%	No			
						Richardson	Cecil A.	Business Owner, Pastor	No	4	174	1,773	9.8%	No			
		Modesto	CITY COUNCIL		2	Full	Keating	Janice E.	Councilwoman, Businesswoman	No	1	27,475	29,117	94.4%	Yes		
							4	Full	O'Bryant	Will E.	Retired Law Enforcement	No	3	16,006	34,255	46.7%	Yes
									Lopez	Dave	Technical Specialist	No	3	12,195	34,255	35.6%	No
									Saxton	Ralph M.	Insurance Broker	No	3	5,810	34,255	17.0%	No
							5	Full	Olsen	Kristin	Planning Commissioner	No	3	17,375	34,847	49.9%	Yes
		Jackman	Dennis V.	Appointed Vice Mayor	No	3			10,893	34,847	31.3%	No					
						Sharp	George B.	Disability Advocate	No	3	6,336	34,847	18.2%	No			
SUTTER	No City Contests																
TEHAMA	No City Contests																
TRINITY	No City Contests																
TULARE	11/8/2005	Visalia	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Gamboa	Jesus J.	Incumbent	Yes	8	10,054	55,477	18.1%	Yes			
						Collins	Gregory F.	Planning Consultant	Yes	8	9,957	55,477	17.9%	Yes			
						Landers	Don	Incumbent	Yes	8	9,397	55,477	16.9%	Yes			
						Shuklian	Amy L.	Recreation Therapist	No	8	7,769	55,477	14.0%	No			
						Perez	Victor M.	Business Owner	No	8	6,174	55,477	11.1%	No			
						Deissler	Walter	Appointed Incumbent	No	8	5,684	55,477	10.2%	No			
						Logan	Sam	Financial Advisor	No	8	4,718	55,477	8.5%	No			
						Barker	Rusty	No Ballot Designation	No	8	1,593	55,477	2.9%	No			
						TUOLUMNE	No City Contests										

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR CITY OFFICE CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2005

COUNTY	DATE	CITY	OFFICE	DIS-TRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM BENT	NUMBER OF CAN- DIDATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATE	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PER- CENT OF VOTE	ELECTED
VENTURA	11/8/2005	San Buenaventura	CITY COUNCIL		Full	Monahan	Jim	Ventura Council Member/Businessman	Yes	10	14,910	82,199	18.1%	Yes
						Brennan	Brain	Business Owner	Yes	10	13,441	82,199	16.4%	Yes
						Andrews	Neal	City Council Member/Businessman	Yes	10	10,984	82,199	13.4%	Yes
						Summer	Ed	Banker/Businessman	No	10	10,560	82,199	12.8%	Yes
						Martin	Jerry D.	Small Business Owner	No	10	7,004	82,199	8.5%	No
						Henry	Irene V.	Business Owner	No	10	6,725	82,199	8.2%	No
						Rencher	Brain Lee	No Ballot Designation	No	10	6,696	82,199	8.1%	No
						Williams	Carroll "C. D."	Retired College Professor	No	10	4,029	82,199	4.9%	No
						Baker	Melody Joy	Community Volunteer	No	10	4,016	82,199	4.9%	No
		Gloisten, Sr.	Donald G.	Investment Advisor/Lawyer	No	10	3,834	82,199	4.7%	No				
YOLO	No City Contests													
YUBA	No City Contests													

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR CITY OFFICES, 2005

		City Attorney		City Clerk		City Controller		City Council		City Treasurer		Mayor		Total	
		Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>	Percent	<i>N</i>
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	2	93.8	15	100.0	1	80.7	155	93.8	15	83.3	15	82.9	203
	Lose	0.0	0	6.3	1	0.0	0	19.3	37	6.3	1	16.7	3	17.1	42
	Total	100.0	2	100.0	16	100.0	1	100.0	192	100.0	16	100.0	18	100.0	245
Non- Incumbent Candidates	Win	33.3	2	25.0	5	0.0	0	24.4	155	34.8	8	20.0	15	24.3	185
	Lose	66.7	4	75.0	15	100.0	1	75.6	480	65.2	15	80.0	60	75.7	575
	Total	100.0	6	100.0	20	100.0	1	100.0	635	100.0	23	100.0	75	100.0	760
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	50.0	2	75.0	15	100.0	1	50.0	155	65.2	15	50.0	15	52.3	203
	Non-Incumbent	50.0	2	25.0	5	0.0	0	50.0	155	34.8	8	50.0	15	47.7	185
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	20	100.0	1	100.0	310	100.0	23	100.0	30	100.0	388
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	6.3	1	0.0	0	7.2	37	6.3	1	4.8	3	6.8	42
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	4	93.8	15	100.0	1	92.8	480	93.8	15	95.2	60	93.2	575
	Total	100.0	4	100.0	16	100.0	1	100.0	517	100.0	16	100.0	63	100.0	617
All Candidates	Incumbent	25.0	2	44.4	16	50.0	1	23.2	192	41.0	16	19.4	18	24.4	245
	Non-Incumbent	75.0	6	55.6	20	50.0	1	76.8	635	59.0	23	80.6	75	75.6	760
	Total	100.0	8	100.0	36	100.0	2	100.0	827	100.0	39	100.0	93	100.0	1,005