



School District Offices and Ballot Measures

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION OUTCOMES:

CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES 2019 ELECTIONS

California State University, Sacramento
Institute for Social Research & The Center for California Studies

CALIFORNIA COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
ELECTION OUTCOMES:
CANDIDATES AND BALLOT MEASURES, 2019 ELECTIONS

SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES AND BALLOT MEASURES

Leonor Ehling, M.P.P.
Executive Director, Center for California Studies

Shannon A. Williams, Ph.D.
Director, Institute for Social Research

Valory Messier, M.A.
Research Specialist, Institute for Social Research

Fleur M. Marfori, B.A.
Graduate Research Assistant, Institute for Social Research

Center for California Studies
California State University, Sacramento
6000 J Street, Sacramento, CA 95819
(916) 278-6906 FAX: (916) 278-5199

Institute for Social Research
California State University, Sacramento
304 S Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 278-4317 FAX: (916) 278-5150



Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to sincerely thank the county elections officials and staff throughout the State of California who took time to provide data to the project. Additionally, we are grateful to the Secretary of State's Office for its continuing support and interest in this project. Without their assistance, the completion of these yearly reviews and the California Elections Data Archive would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
2019 County, City and School District Election Dates by County	iii
Trend Table A Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Type, Jurisdiction and Year	v
Trend Table B Number of Ballot Measures, Percent of Total Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic, Jurisdiction and Year	x
Trend Table C Community Service District and County Service Area Measures by County	xv
Trend Table D Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Type and Year	xx
Trend Table E Comparison of Pass Rates for County-Wide and Community Service District/County Service Area Tax Measures by Year	xxii
Trend Table F Number of Community Service District and County Service Area Measures, Percent of Total County Measures, and Percent Passing by Topic and Year	xxiii
Trend Table G Number of Candidates by Jurisdiction and Year	xxiii
Trend Table H Number of Candidates for Major County Offices by Year	xxiv
Trend Table I Percent of Incumbent Candidates and Percent of Prevailing Incumbents by Major Office, Jurisdiction and Year	xxv
2019 Election Series Summary: Election Outcomes for County, City, and School District Ballot Measures and Candidates	1
Table A Summary of Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019	2
Table B Summary of Outcomes for All County, City and School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019	3
Table C Summary of Election Outcomes for All County, City, and School District Offices, 2019	4
Part 1 Vote Totals, Election Outcomes, and Text for School District Ballot Measures	5
Table 1.1 Vote Totals for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2019	6
Table 1.2 Text for School District Ballot Measures by County, 2019	8
Table 1.3 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Ballot Measures by Type of Measure and County, 2019	9
Part 2 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates	10
Table 2.1 Vote Totals for School District Office Candidates by County and Election Date, 2019	11
Table 2.2 Summary of Election Outcomes for School District Offices, 2019	14

CALIFORNIA ELECTIONS DATA ARCHIVE

INTRODUCTION

The California Elections Data Archive (CEDA) is a joint project of the Center for California Studies, and the Institute for Social Research (ISR), at the California State University, Sacramento, and the office of the California Secretary of State. The purpose of CEDA is to provide researchers, citizens, public agencies, and other interested parties with a single repository of local election data. With over 6,000 local jurisdictions in California, the task of monitoring local elections is nearly impossible for individuals. CEDA addresses this problem through the creation of a single, cost-effective, and easily accessible source of local election data. CEDA includes candidate, and ballot measure results for county, city, community college, and school district elections throughout the State. CEDA thus represents the only comprehensive repository of local election results in California and one of a very few such databases on local elections in the U.S.

How the CEDA Data is Collected and Reported

ISR staff collects election data periodically throughout each calendar year. This enables CEDA to incorporate results from special elections as well as all regularly scheduled elections. ISR staff enters election results from counties, cities, community colleges, and school districts into the CEDA database and then uses this database to generate three standard CEDA reports. These reports include:

- County Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected county offices; vote totals and text for county ballot measures.
- City Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elected city offices; vote totals, and text for all city ballot measures.
- Community College and School District Elections: Candidates, ballot designations, and vote totals for all elective community college and school district offices; vote totals and text for all district ballot measures.

ISR staff codes ballot measures for all jurisdictions according to type (e.g., charter amendment, taxes, bond measure, initiative, etc.) and to topic (e.g., education, public safety, governance, etc.).

THE CEDA PARTNERSHIP

THE CENTER FOR CALIFORNIA STUDIES

Located at California State University, Sacramento, the Center for California Studies is a public policy, public service, and curricular support unit of the California State University. The Center's location in the state Capital and its ability to draw upon the resources of the entire State University system give it a unique capacity for making contributions to public policy development, and the public life of California. Center programs cover four broad areas: administration of the nationally known Assembly, Senate, Executive, and Judicial Administration Fellowship Programs; university-state government liaison and applied policy research; civic education and community service through forums, conferences, and issue dialogues; and curricular support activity in the interdisciplinary field of California Studies.

INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH

Established in 1989, the Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento (CSUS) is a multidisciplinary institute that is committed to advancing the understanding of the social world through applied research. The Institute offers research expertise and technical assistance serving as a resource to agencies, organizations, the University, and the broader community. Utilizing quantitative and qualitative methods, ISR produces various types of assessments, program and policy evaluations, survey research, workload studies, and specialized analyses. Services include research design, sampling design, data collection and coding, computer-assisted telephone and field interviewing, mailed and online surveys, focus groups, database management, and statistical analysis. ISR has completed hundreds of projects with more than 50 federal, state and community agencies, private firms, and many academic units. Faculty affiliates of the Institute offer specific content expertise in a wide variety of disciplines, including the social sciences, health and human services, and education.

CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE

Among their other duties, the Secretary of State acts as California's chief elections officer with the responsibility of administering the provisions of the Elections Code. The Secretary must compile state election returns, and issue certificates of election to winning candidates; compile the returns and certify the results of initiative and referendum elections; certify acts delayed by referendum, and prepare and file a statement of vote. Recent legislation permits but does not mandate that the Secretary of State compile local election results.

2019 COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT ELECTION DATES BY COUNTY

	1/29	2/26	3/5	3/12	4/9	4/16	5/7	5/14	6/4	6/18	8/13	8/27	10/15	11/5
Alameda					●		●		●					●
Alpine														●
Amador														
Butte														
Calaveras														●
Colusa														
Contra Costa														●
Del Norte														
El Dorado														
Fresno			●								●			●
Glenn														
Humboldt														
Imperial														●
Inyo														
Kern														
Kings														
Lake														
Lassen							●							
Los Angeles		●	●		●	●		●	●		●		●	●
Madera														
Marin												●		●
Mariposa														
Mendocino														
Merced														
Modoc							●							
Mono														
Monterey														
Napa									●					
Nevada														
Orange	●			●										●

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT		
	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Mean Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing
All Measures																					
1995-2019	398	100	67	105	26	72	127	32	63	80	20	62	13	3	73	10	2	46	46	11	77
Even Years	616	100	69	173	28	75	191	31	62	126	21	63	15	2	72	15	2	48	69	11	77
Odd Years	197	100	63	42	21	61	68	34	65	38	19	62	12	6	74	5	2	41	24	12	77
County																					
1995-2019	64	16	59	2	3	73	27	43	49	19	29	65	2	3	76	3	4	43	7	10	67
Even Years	105	17	57	3	3	70	46	44	47	31	29	63	2	2	59	5	4	42	12	11	66
Odd Years	26	13	67	1	5	78	10	39	57	7	28	71	2	8	89	1	3	50	2	7	72
City																					
1995-2019	200	50	67	5	2	60	75	38	66	56	28	60	6	3	74	7	3	48	39	19	79
Even Years	308	50	67	8	3	63	118	38	66	89	29	61	8	3	72	10	3	51	58	19	79
Odd Years	100	51	65	2	2	50	36	36	66	26	26	57	4	4	76	4	4	41	22	22	78
School District																					
1995-2019	134	34	72	98	73	73	24	18	67	5	4	80	5	4	71	0	0	50			
Even Years	203	33	75	162	80	76	27	13	67	7	3	82	5	2	78	0	0	0			
Odd Years	71	36	64	39	55	61	21	30	66	4	6	77	6	9	67	0	0	100			

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
All Measures	1995	283	100	37	91	36	47	26	10	35	46	18	61	8	3	88	8	3	50	55	22	93
	1996	573	100	57	64	11	59	142	25	40	176	31	58	32	6	72	18	3	39	115	20	73
	1997	342	100	60	127	37	59	100	29	56	45	13	69	29	8	38	7	2	71	31	9	81
	1998	572	100	61	144	25	58	162	28	48	115	20	58	19	3	74	9	2	56	94	16	77
	1999	283	100	60	107	38	59	54	19	57	68	24	57	14	5	71	10	4	40	20	7	50
	2000	559	100	58	135	24	60	122	22	39	154	28	58	11	2	100	21	4	67	79	14	67
	2001	233	100	70	73	31	75	68	29	72	33	14	58	21	9	71	1	0	100	25	11	60
	2002	657	100	68	245	37	76	155	24	54	136	21	54	8	1	63	10	2	40	77	12	77
	2003	178	100	63	22	12	55	62	35	48	47	26	70	9	5	89	5	3	40	24	13	75
	2004	712	100	63	179	25	75	258	36	47	144	20	64	11	2	73	14	2	29	72	10	79
	2005	295	100	64	57	19	74	111	38	58	59	20	54	11	4	82	7	2	43	35	12	89
	2006	556	100	62	185	33	59	142	26	56	123	22	63	17	3	29	22	4	36	39	7	82
	2007	179	100	72	22	12	55	61	34	74	40	22	58	13	7	100	1	1	0	38	21	79
	2008	593	100	75	201	34	82	188	32	67	123	21	65	12	2	58	11	2	91	39	7	90
	2009	193	100	63	6	3	33	99	51	67	35	18	63	13	7	69	3	2	33	20	10	60
	2010	482	100	67	97	20	70	164	34	60	117	24	67	27	6	78	11	2	55	50	10	76
	2011	172	100	72	10	6	80	75	44	67	29	17	72	16	9	75	2	1	0	31	18	81
	2012	530	100	72	156	29	81	178	34	69	112	21	62	14	3	93	2	0	50	51	10	63
	2013	137	100	72	11	8	73	65	47	78	34	25	50	8	6	88	4	3	100	11	8	73
	2014	577	100	73	193	33	81	175	30	69	81	14	72	7	1	57	14	2	57	72	12	76
2015	120	100	74	13	11	77	47	39	79	20	17	75	14	12	100	9	8	22	11	9	64	
2016	872	100	79	294	34	91	279	32	73	138	16	72	10	1	90	37	4	41	86	10	83	
2017	113	100	63	8	7	38	73	65	64	20	18	65	1	1	100	3	3	0	7	6	86	
2018	704	100	80	182	26	81	329	47	84	98	14	66	9	1	89	11	2	36	58	8	93	
2019	67	100	79	3	4	100	43	64	88	15	22	67				4	6	0	1	1	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
County Measures	1995	17	7	53			6	35	33	2	12	0							6	35	83	
	1996	114	20	44	3	3	33	34	30	26	41	36	54	5	4	80	7	6	14	17	15	47
	1997	24	7	63	7	29	57	7	29	71	4	17	100	2	8	50				4	17	25
	1998	125	22	59	1	1	0	53	42	40	32	26	75				4	3	25	25	20	76
	1999	38	13	63	1	3	100	21	55	48	8	21	63							4	11	100
	2000	116	21	49	6	5	83	51	44	27	28	24	50				8	7	88	8	7	38
	2001	37	16	73	3	8	100	14	38	71	11	30	64	4	11	75				1	3	0
	2002	98	15	56	5	5	20	38	39	45	39	40	67	1	1	0	2	2	50	7	7	71
	2003	28	16	64				12	43	25	15	54	100	1	4	0						
	2004	140	20	54	0	2	0	60	43	45	47	34	62	1	1	0	4	3	25	18	13	56
	2005	57	19	63	3	5	67	24	42	67	16	28	56	3	5	100	3	5	67	2	4	50
	2006	95	17	52				45	47	40	30	32	60	4	4	25	2	2	50	6	6	83
	2007	29	16	76	1	3	100	3	10	67	16	55	63	8	28	100						
	2008	90	15	62	3	3	100	33	37	42	40	44	65	1	1	100	2	2	100	4	4	100
	2009	16	8	69				4	25	50	6	38	67	1	6	100				2	13	100
	2010	64	13	53	3	5	67	25	39	48	22	34	59	4	6	50	2	3	50	6	9	50
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	7	35	71	4	20	75	2	10	100				3	15	67
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	39	51	59	20	26	60	1	1	100	2	3	50	10	13	70
	2013	12	9	75				5	42	40				1	8	100	3	25	100	1	8	100
	2014	84	15	57	4	5	100	33	39	36	19	23	74	1	1	0	8	10	50	12	14	92
2015	34	28	62	1	3	100	11	32	45	8	24	88	5	15	100	4	12	0	1	3	100	
2016	151	17	59	4	3	100	68	45	56	38	25	66	3	2	100	12	8	25	17	11	59	
2017	14	12	57				11	79	55	3	21	67										
2018	102	14	75	3	3	67	72	71	78	11	11	82	1	1	100	2	2	0	8	8	75	
2019	16	24	88	1	6	100	10	63	90	3	19	67							1	6	100	

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	75	7	6	29	38	32	58				7	6	43	49	41	94
	1996	374	65	60	10	3	30	100	27	43	115	31	59	24	6	79	11	3	55	98	26	78
	1997	144	42	58	2	1	50	70	49	50	28	19	54	9	6	22	7	5	71	27	19	89
	1998	283	49	60	9	3	78	99	35	47	78	28	53	7	2	43	5	2	80	69	24	77
	1999	114	40	54	4	4	75	22	19	55	48	42	48	8	7	100	10	9	40	16	14	38
	2000	297	53	60	11	4	82	65	22	45	113	38	56	6	2	100	13	4	54	71	24	70
	2001	93	40	69	8	9	63	31	33	74	18	19	61	3	3	100	1	1	100	24	26	63
	2002	309	47	60	12	4	83	102	33	58	94	30	48	5	2	60	8	3	38	70	23	77
	2003	89	50	67	2	2	50	14	16	71	29	33	55	6	7	100	5	6	40	24	27	75
	2004	337	47	59	7	2	43	147	44	46	92	27	63	6	2	67	10	3	30	54	16	87
	2005	135	46	61	2	1	0	47	35	55	37	27	51	3	2	33	4	3	25	33	24	91
	2006	253	46	64	10	4	50	82	32	70	85	34	61	6	2	17	20	8	35	33	13	82
	2007	108	60	71	2	2	0	40	37	73	19	18	53	5	5	100	1	1	0	38	35	79
	2008	258	44	73	5	2	100	111	43	71	80	31	65	8	3	38	9	3	89	35	14	89
	2009	130	67	61	1	1	0	63	48	68	28	22	61	3	2	33	3	2	33	18	14	56
	2010	270	56	71	2	1	0	95	35	69	91	34	67	16	6	94	8	3	63	44	16	80
	2011	105	61	74				37	35	65	23	22	70	10	10	100	2	2	0	28	27	82
	2012	248	47	67	5	2	20	93	38	72	83	33	58	12	5	92				41	17	61
	2013	90	66	68				44	49	77	30	33	53	3	3	67	1	1	100	10	11	70
	2014	285	49	71	5	2	100	121	42	72	60	21	70	5	2	60	6	2	67	60	21	73
2015	54	45	70	2	4	0	23	43	87	12	22	67				5	9	40	10	19	60	
2016	393	45	77	9	2	67	174	44	79	96	24	74	1	0	100	25	6	48	69	18	88	
2017	81	72	65	2	2	50	50	62	66	17	21	65	1	1	100	3	4	0	7	9	86	
2018	391	56	80	9	2	56	224	57	86	84	21	63	5	1	80	9	2	44	46	12	96	
2019	41	61	76	1	2	100	24	59	92	12	29	67				4	10	100				

TREND TABLE A NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			BONDS			TAXES			ORDINANCE			RECALLS			INITIATIVES			CHARTER AMENDMENT			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Pass Rate	
School District Measures	1995	117	46	52	87	74	46	13	11	38	6	5	100	8	7	88	1	1	100			
	1996	85	15	62	51	60	67	8	9	63	20	24	60	3	4	0						
	1997	174	51	62	118	68	59	23	13	70	13	7	92	18	10	44						
	1998	164	29	62	134	82	57	10	6	100	5	3	40	12	7	92						
	1999	131	46	62	102	78	58	11	8	82	12	9	92	6	5	33						
	2000	146	26	63	118	81	57	6	4	67	13	9	92	5	3	100						
	2001	103	44	71	62	60	76	23	22	70	4	4	25	14	14	64						
	2002	250	38	76	228	91	77	15	6	53	3	1	100	2	1	100						
	2003	61	34	52	20	33	55	36	59	47	3	5	67	2	3	100						
	2004	235	33	73	172	73	77	51	22	53	5	2	100	4	2	100						
	2005	103	35	69	52	50	77	40	39	55	6	6	67	5	5	100						
	2006	208	37	58	175	84	59	15	7	27	8	4	88	7	3	43						
	2007	42	23	67	19	45	58	18	43	78	5	12	60									
	2008	245	41	80	193	79	81	44	18	75	3	1	67	3	1	100						
	2009	47	24	66	5	11	40	32	68	66	1	2	100	9	19	78						
	2010	148	31	64	92	62	72	44	30	45	4	3	100	7	5	57	1	1	0			
	2011	47	27	64	9	19	78	31	66	68	2	4	100	4	9	0						
	2012	206	39	82	150	73	83	46	22	72	9	4	100	1	0	100						
	2013	35	26	80	11	31	73	16	46	94	4	11	25	4	11	100						
	2014	208	36	83	184	88	80	21	10	100	2	1	100	1	0	100						
2015	32	27	94	10	31	90	13	41	92				9	28	100							
2016	328	38	90	281	86	92	37	11	78	4	0	0	6	2	83							
2017	18	16	56	6	33	33	12	67	67													
2018	211	30	84	170	81	82	31	15	87	3	0	0	3	1	100				4	2	100	
2019	10	15	80	1	10	100	9	90	78													

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
All Measures	1995	253	100	61	121	48	54	63	25	84	16	6	63	12	5	50	14	6	50				2	1	0	5	2	60
	1996	573	100	57	87	15	64	214	37	66	54	9	56	39	7	51	38	7	37	10	2	40	8	1	50	87	15	46
	1997	342	100	60	175	51	62	43	13	67	19	6	68	12	4	42	15	4	40	38	11	61	4	1	50	10	3	70
	1998	572	100	60	158	28	63	131	23	64	46	8	70	41	7	49	32	6	56	28	5	82	23	4	70	75	13	43
	1999	283	100	59	119	42	59	62	22	63	29	10	41	14	5	57	4	1	75	14	5	57	8	3	88	23	8	65
	2000	559	100	59	151	27	63	141	25	64	73	13	55	32	6	50	39	7	67	20	4	55	21	4	43	5	1	20
	2001	233	100	70	105	45	71	46	20	67	7	3	71	11	5	73	19	8	58	7	3	71	4	2	25	31	13	87
	2002	657	100	65	250	38	76	144	22	66	44	7	43	42	6	57	35	5	49	20	3	60	10	2	40	85	13	62
	2003	178	100	62	61	34	52	52	29	73	15	8	60	12	7	50	5	3	60	6	3	100	8	4	38	13	7	62
	2004	712	100	62	238	33	72	139	20	73	58	8	52	55	8	47	37	5	38	23	3	70	25	4	76	110	15	47
	2005	295	100	64	102	35	70	61	21	70	28	9	39	18	6	44	14	5	64	18	6	67	13	4	62	33	11	70
	2006	556	100	60	208	37	58	109	20	60	51	9	61	37	7	73	22	4	41	12	2	58	22	4	50	61	11	62
	2007	179	100	71	42	23	67	63	35	81	18	10	39	5	3	100	8	4	88	7	4	86	4	2	25	31	17	68
	2008	593	100	74	246	41	80	99	17	74	43	7	72	39	7	49	32	5	66	10	2	80	14	2	50	92	16	77
	2009	193	100	63	47	24	66	42	22	64	17	9	47	10	5	60	7	4	86	8	4	25	2	1	0	56	29	71
	2010	482	100	66	149	31	64	138	29	74	30	6	47	27	6	67	12	2	75	9	2	56	7	1	71	95	20	65
	2011	172	100	72	48	28	65	59	34	81	8	5	75	9	5	56	5	3	100	4	2	50	2	1	50	34	20	74
	2012	530	100	72	209	39	82	98	18	71	23	4	57	13	2	38	23	4	57	11	2	73	12	2	50	99	19	79
	2013	137	100	72	35	26	80	28	20	71	14	10	50	9	7	67				4	3	50	4	3	50	39	28	79
	2014	577	100	73	208	36	83	114	20	75	45	8	60	33	6	70	20	3	85	13	2	85	19	3	47	104	18	64
2015	120	100	74	32	27	94	23	19	83	17	14	35	8	7	50	5	4	60	2	2	100	3	3	33	21	18	86	
2016	872	100	79	331	38	90	116	13	78	99	11	61	53	6	70	30	3	50	26	3	54	29	3	48	150	17	85	
2017	113	100	63	18	16	56	13	12	85	13	12	54	15	13	40	5	4	60	6	5	67	4	4	25	36	32	81	
2018	704	100	80	206	29	83	114	16	80	55	8	53	35	5	74	13	2	62	123	17	92	24	3	79	102	14	87	
2019	67	100	81	10	15	80	9	13	89	8	12	38	13	19	92	2	3	50	1	1	100	3	4	100	17	25	94	

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
	County Measures	1995	17	7	53			7	41	71	3	18	33				3	18	67				1	6	0	3	18	33
	1996	114	20	44	1	1	100	44	39	59	12	11	33	8	7	38	16	14	13	1	1	100	4	4	75	16	14	31
	1997	24	7	63	1	4	100	5	21	60	3	13	100	2	8	0	5	21	40	5	21	80	1	4	100	1	4	0
	1998	125	22	59				25	20	76	13	10	62	14	11	36	12	10	33	18	14	72	16	13	75	12	10	25
	1999	38	13	63				5	13	80				3	8	33	3	8	67	7	18	29	8	21	88	7	18	86
	2000	116	21	49	1	1	100	22	19	64	17	15	35	14	12	36	16	14	44	8	7	63	16	14	44	3	3	33
	2001	37	16	73	2	5	100	12	32	58	1	3	100	7	19	100	6	16	67	4	11	75	1	3	0	4	11	75
	2002	98	15	56				34	35	71	7	7	71	15	15	33	11	11	36	7	7	57	5	5	40	12	12	67
	2003	28	16	64				10	36	90				5	18	40				2	7	100	2	7	50	6	21	17
	2004	140	20	54	3	2	33	32	23	66	14	10	14	22	16	50	13	9	54	4	3	50	21	15	76	17	12	41
	2005	57	19	63				12	21	67	6	11	33	6	11	33	8	14	75	9	16	78	9	16	78	4	7	50
	2006	95	17	52				28	29	54	10	11	70	11	12	55	8	8	38	2	2	50	15	16	40	12	13	33
	2007	29	16	76				14	48	93	5	17	0	0	0		5	17	100	2	7	100	2	7	50			
	2008	90	15	62	1	1	100	25	28	76	7	8	86	14	16	43	14	16	50	4	4	75	7	8	57	11	12	64
	2009	16	8	69				7	44	86	3	19	33	0	0		3	19	100				1	6	0	2	13	50
	2010	64	13	53				23	36	57	6	9	50	12	19	50	2	3	100	1	2	0	5	8	80	11	17	45
	2011	20	12	80	1	5	100	10	50	80				5	25	60	1	5	100				1	5	100	2	10	100
	2012	76	14	63	1	1	100	19	25	74	7	9	43	7	9	43	12	16	67	1	1	100	5	7	40	18	24	72
	2013	12	9	75				4	33	100	2	17	100	2	17	50							3	25	33			
	2014	84	15	57				20	24	85	15	18	60	10	12	50	9	11	78	3	4	33	11	13	27	9	11	22
	2015	34	28	62				12	35	83	4	12	25	5	15	40	2	6	50	1	3	100	3	9	33	2	6	50
	2016	151	17	59				28	19	54	44	29	34	21	14	57	7	5	57	12	8	58	18	12	33	19	13	74
	2017	14	12	57				3	21	67				1	7	0	2	14	100	3	21	67	3	21	33	2	14	50
	2018	102	14	75	1	1	100	15	15	80	12	12	58	9	9	67	4	4	75	14	14	86	18	18	89	20	20	70
	2019	16	24	88				3	19	100				7	44	86							3	19	100	1	6	100

TREND TABLE B NUMBER OF BALLOT MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR (CONTINUED)

	ALL MEASURES			EDUCATION			GOVERNANCE			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			TRANSPORTATION			REVENUE			
	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of All Measures	Percent Passing	
City Measures	1995	119	47	71	4	3	100	56	47	86	13	11	69	12	10	50	11	9	45				1	1	0	2	2	100
	1996	374	65	60	2	1	100	170	45	68	42	11	62	31	8	55	22	6	55	8	2	38	4	1	25	71	19	49
	1997	144	42	58				38	26	68	16	11	63	10	7	50	10	7	40	33	23	58	3	2	33	9	6	78
	1998	283	49	60				101	36	62	33	12	73	27	10	56	20	7	70	10	4	100	7	2	57	62	22	47
	1999	114	40	54				45	39	53	29	25	41	11	10	64	1	1	100	7	6	86				16	14	56
	2000	297	53	60	7	2	71	119	40	64	56	19	61	18	6	61	23	8	83	12	4	50	5	2	40	2	1	0
	2001	93	40	69	3	3	0	33	35	73	6	6	67	4	4	25	11	12	64	3	3	67	3	3	33	27	29	89
	2002	309	47	60	1	0	0	110	36	65	37	12	38	27	9	70	24	8	54	13	4	62	5	2	40	72	23	63
	2003	89	50	67				42	47	69	15	17	60	7	8	57	5	6	60	4	4	100	6	7	33	7	8	100
	2004	337	47	59	2	1	100	107	32	75	44	13	64	33	10	45	24	7	29	19	6	74	4	1	75	91	27	47
	2005	135	46	61				48	36	73	22	16	41	12	9	50	6	4	50	9	7	56	4	3	25	29	21	72
	2006	253	46	64				81	32	62	41	16	59	26	10	81	14	6	43	10	4	60	7	3	71	49	19	69
	2007	108	60	71				49	45	78	13	12	54	5	5	100	3	3	67	5	5	80	2	2	0	31	29	68
	2008	258	44	73				74	29	73	36	14	69	25	10	52	18	7	78	6	2	83	7	3	43	81	31	79
	2009	130	67	61				35	27	60	14	11	50	10	8	60	4	3	75	8	6	25	1	1	0	54	42	72
	2010	270	56	71	1	0	100	115	43	77				15	6	80	10	4	70	8	3	63	2	1	50	84	31	68
	2011	107	62	75				51	48	82	8	7	75	4	4	50	4	4	100	4	4	50	1	1	0	32	30	72
	2012	248	47	67	2	1	100	89	36	63	16	6	63	6	2	33	11	4	45	10	4	70	7	3	57	81	33	80
	2013	90	66	68				24	27	67	12	13	42	7	8	71				4	4	50	1	1	100	39	43	79
	2014	285	49	71				94	33	72	30	11	60	23	8	78	11	4	91	10	4	100	8	3	75	95	33	68
2015	54	45	70				11	20	82	13	24	38	3	6	67	3	6	67	1	2	100				19	35	89	
2016	393	45	77	3	1	67	88	22	85	70	18	64	32	8	78	23	6	48	14	4	50	11	3	73	131	33	86	
2017	81	72	65				10	12	90	13	16	54	14	17	43	3	4	33	3	4	67	1	1	0	34	42	82	
2018	391	56	80	2	1	50	92	24	78	43	11	51	26	7	77	9	2	56	109	28	93	9	2	56	85	22	88	
2019	41	61	76				6	15	83	8	20	38	6	15	100	2	5	50	1	2	100				16	39	94	

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY

	1998			1999			2000			2001			2002		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte	2	67	100				1	100	100						
Calaveras															
Colusa															
Contra Costa	2	67	50				4	80	25	1	100	100	2	100	50
El Dorado	2	12	50				7	78	29				1	50	100
Fresno	1	50	100												
Humboldt															
Imperial															
Inyo							1	50	100						
Kern	6	100	50				2	100	0	4	100	75			
Lake															
Lassen							1	33	0				4	80	25
Los Angeles															
Marin				4	100	100	5	100	80	10	91	90	3	100	100
Mendocino				1	100	0							1	100	0
Modoc															
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada							1	100	100						
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer	1	33	100												
Plumas							1	100	100	2	100	100			
Riverside	2	40	50	8	100	38				2	100	0	2	67	50
Sacramento	2	40	100				3	75	33						
San Bernardino	2	100	50				3	100	67				1	100	0
San Diego	10	83	30				3	60	33	1	100	100	2	40	0
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo				5	100	100	1	33	0				1	50	100
San Mateo															
Santa Barbara										1	100	0			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta							1	100	0						
Siskiyou							2	100	100						
Sonoma							1	20	100	1	100	100			
Stanislaus															
Sutter				1	100	0									
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne				1	100	0	1	33	0						
Yolo															
Yuba							2	67	50						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	31	25	55	20	53	60	40	34	48	22	59	77	18	18	44

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2003			2004			2005			2006			2007		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras							3	100	100				1	50	0
Colusa															
Contra Costa				3	60	67	3	100	100	1	33	100	2	100	100
El Dorado	6	100	17	2	20	100	14	88	64	2	100	0	3	100	100
Fresno															
Humboldt				1	25	100	2	100	0						
Imperial							1	50	100						
Inyo															
Kern				3	100	33				1	33	100			
Lake				1	100	0									
Lassen				1	100	100				1	100	0			
Los Angeles															
Marin	1	100	100				2	100	100	4	100	100	1	100	100
Mendocino				1	50	100									
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada															
Orange	1	100	100												
Placer															
Plumas	1	50	100												
Riverside	2	100	0	2	100	100									
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	100	0	1	50	0				1	33	100			
San Diego				3	33	33				1	20	100			
San Joaquin													1	100	100
San Luis Obispo				4	67	50	4	100	100	5	83	40			
San Mateo				1	14	100									
Santa Barbara										1	25	0			
Santa Cruz							1	100	0						
Shasta															
Siskiyou				5	83	0				2	100	0			
Sonoma	1	100	100							1	50	0			
Stanislaus										1	50	0			
Sutter										1	100	0			
Trinity										2	100	100			
Tulare				1	100	100	1	100	100						
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba				1	25	0									
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	13	46	38	30	21	50	31	54	74	24	25	50	8	28	88

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras													1	50	100
Colusa															
Contra Costa	3	100	67				1	50	100	2	100	100	1	100	100
El Dorado	1	50	0	1	100	0	3	75	67				1	50	0
Fresno										2	100	100			
Humboldt	1	100	0							1	100	100			
Imperial															
Inyo															
Kern							1	100	0						
Lake	1	100	100												
Lassen													1	100	0
Los Angeles															
Marin	3	75	100	1	100	100	7	88	43	3	100	100	1	50	100
Mendocino													1	33	0
Modoc															
Monterey															
Nevada													1	100	0
Orange															
Placer	1	33	0										1	100	0
Plumas										1	100	0			
Riverside							1	25	0						
Sacramento	1	100	100												
San Bernardino	1	50	100	1	100	100				1	100	100			
San Diego															
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo													1	50	100
San Mateo	1	25	100										1	100	100
Santa Barbara				1	100	100							1	14	100
Santa Cruz															
Shasta				1	100	100	2	100	0						
Siskiyou	3	75	33												
Sonoma													1	100	0
Stanislaus	1	25	0												
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne	1	100	100										1	100	100
Yolo				1	100	100							1	100	0
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	18	20	61	6	38	83	15	23	40	10	50	90	14	18	50

TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY (CONTINUED)

	2013			2014			2015			2016			2017		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine															
Butte															
Calaveras										1	20	0	2	100	50
Colusa													1	0	0
Contra Costa										1	33	100			
El Dorado	2	100	50	5	45	60	5	83	40	4	50	0	2	100	0
Fresno															
Humboldt	1	100	100												
Imperial				2	100	0									
Inyo															
Kern	1	25	0				1	50	100	1	50	0			
Lake															
Lassen				3	75	33	2	100	100	2	67	100			
Los Angeles	1	2	100							4	67	100			
Marin	1	11	100	1	25	100	2	22	100				1	100	0
Mendocino															
Modoc				2	0	0	2	100	0	2	100	100			
Monterey													1	100	0
Nevada				1	50	0							1	100	100
Orange															
Placer															
Plumas							4	100	100	1	100	100			
Riverside															
Sacramento															
San Bernardino	1	9	0				2	40	0	3	100	67	1	100	100
San Diego				1	100	0				1	33	0			
San Joaquin															
San Luis Obispo							1	100	100						
San Mateo										1	33	100			
Santa Barbara										2	67	50			
Santa Cruz															
Shasta															
Siskiyou				1	33	0				2	29	50			
Sonoma															
Stanislaus															
Sutter															
Trinity															
Tulare															
Tuolumne															
Yolo															
Yuba															
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	7	58	57	16	19	38	19	56	12	25	17	60	9	64	44

**TREND TABLE C COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND
COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES BY COUNTY
(CONTINUED)**

	2018			2019		
	N	%	% Passing	N	%	% Passing
Alpine				1	13	100
Butte						
Calaveras				1	13	100
Colusa						
Contra Costa	3	5	67	1	13	100
El Dorado	6	14	83			
Fresno						
Humboldt						
Imperial				2	25	50
Inyo						
Kern						
Lake	1	3	100			
Lassen						
Los Angeles						
Marin	1	3	100			
Mendocino						
Modoc						
Monterey						
Nevada						
Orange						
Placer						
Plumas				1	13	100
Riverside						
Sacramento						
San Bernardino						
San Diego	2	6	50			
San Joaquin						
San Luis Obispo	1	3	0	1	13	100
San Mateo						
Santa Barbara						
Santa Cruz						
Shasta						
Siskiyou						
Sonoma				1	13	100
Stanislaus						
Sutter						
Trinity						
Tulare	1	1	0			
Tuolumne	1	3	100			
Yolo						
Yuba						
Total for CSD/CSA Measure Over All Counties	16	16	75	8	100	88

TREND TABLE D NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TYPE, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			TAXES			BONDS			ADVISORY			RECALLS			GANN LIMIT			ORDINANCE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Pass Rate
1998	31	25	55	22	18	45									1	1	0	8	6	88	
1999	20	53	60	16	42	56									3	8	100	1	3	0	
2000	40	34	48	28	24	29	1	1	100	3	3	67			6	5	100	2	2	100	
2001	22	59	77	12	32	75	2	5	100				3	8	100	3	8	100	2	5	0
2002	18	18	44	14	14	36									4	4	75				
2003	13	46	38	11	39	27												2	7	100	
2004	30	21	50	24	17	42				1	1	100			2	1	100	3	2	67	
2005	31	54	74	23	40	65	2	4	100				3	5	100	1	2	100	2	4	100
2006	24	25	50	15	16	47							4	4	25	1	1	100	4	4	75
2007	8	28	88	3	10	67							3	10	100	1	3	100	1	3	100
2008	18	20	61	11	12	45				1	1	0			4	4	100	2	2	100	
2009	6	38	83	3	19	67							1	6	100	1	6	100	1	6	100
2010	15	23	40	11	17	36							4	6	50						
2011	10	50	90	4	20	75				1	5	100	2	10	100	2	10	100	1	5	100
2012	14	18	50	11	14	36							1	1	100				2	3	100
2013	7	58	57	5	42	40				1	0	100			1	8	100				
2014	16	19	38	13	15	31	2	0	100						1	1	0				
2015	19	56	63	9	26	56				2	0	0	5	15	100	1	3	100	2	6	50
2016	25	17	60	17	11	47				1	1	0	2	1	100	1	1	100	4	3	100
2017	9	64	44	6	43	33													3	21	67
2018	16	16	75	14	14	71									1	1	100	1	1	100	
2019	8	50	88	7	44	86									1	6	100				
1998-2019	402	28	58	281	19	48	7	0	100	10	1	50	28	2	82	35	2	91	41	3	80

TREND TABLE E COMPARISON OF PASS RATES FOR COUNTY-WIDE, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT/ COUNTY SERVICE AREA TAX MEASURES BY YEAR

	NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE MEASURES		CSD/CSA MEASURES		NON-CSD/CSA COUNTY-WIDE TAX MEASURES		CSD/CSA COUNTY TAX MEASURES		
	Total Number of County Measures	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	Percent Passing
1998	125	94	61	31	55	31	35	22	45
1999	38	18	67	20	60	5	20	16	56
2000	116	76	50	40	48	23	26	28	29
2001	37	15	67	22	77	2	50	12	75
2002	98	80	59	18	44	24	50	14	36
2003	28	15	87	13	38	1	0	11	27
2004	140	110	55	30	50	36	47	24	42
2005	57	26	50	31	74	1	100	23	65
2006	95	71	52	24	50	30	37	15	47
2007	29	21	71	8	88	0	0	3	67
2008	90	72	63	18	61	22	0	11	45
2009	16	10	60	6	83	1	0	3	67
2010	64	49	57	15	40	14	57	11	36
2011	20	10	70	10	90	3	67	4	75
2012	76	62	66	14	50	28	68	11	36
2013	12	5	100	7	57			5	40
2014	84	68	62	16	38	20	40	13	31
2015	34	15	60	19	63	2	0	9	56
2016	151	126	59	25	60	51	59	17	47
2017	14	5	80	9	44	5	80	6	33
2018	102	86	24	16	75	58	79	14	71
2019	16	8	88	8	88	2	100	7	86
1998-2019	1,442	1,042	57	402	58	359	52	281	48

TREND TABLE F NUMBER OF COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, AND COUNTY SERVICE AREA MEASURES, PERCENT OF TOTAL COUNTY MEASURES, AND PERCENT PASSING BY TOPIC, AND YEAR

	ALL CSD/CSA			LAND USE			PUBLIC SAFETY			GOVERNANCE			ENVIRONMENT			TRANSPORTATION			PUBLIC FACILITIES			GENERAL SERVICES			REVENUE		
	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing	Number of Measures	% of County Measures	Percent Passing
1998	31	25	55				12	10	42				3	2	67	2	2	50	1	1	0	8	6	88	4	3	25
1999	20	53	60				2	5	50				3	8	0	5	13	100				5	13	40	5	13	80
2000	40	34	48	2	2	0	10	9	30	2	2	100				6	5	17	5	4	40	5	4	60	1	1	100
2001	22	59	77				6	16	100	4	11	75				1	3	0	5	14	60	4	11	75	2	5	100
2002	18	18	44				11	11	45	3	3	67							3	3	33	1	1	0			
2003	13	46	38				5	18	40	2	7	100													6	21	17
2004	30	21	50				17	12	47	1	1	0				4	3	50	4	3	50	1	1	0	2	1	100
2005	31	54	74	2	4	0	1	2	100	3	5	100				6	11	100	6	11	67	9	16	78	4	7	50
2006	24	25	50				7	7	71	7	7	43				2	2	50	3	3	0	2	2	50	3	3	67
2007	8	28	88							4	14	100				1	3	0	1	3	100	2	7	100			
2008	18	20	61				8	9	50	2	2	100				2	2	50				1	1	0	5	6	80
2009	6	38	83							2	13	100				1	6	0	2	13	100				1	6	100
2010	15	23	40				7	11	43	4	6	50				1	2	0							3	5	33
2011	10	50	90				4	20	75	4	20	100													2	10	100
2012	14	18	50	1	1	100	6	8	33	2	3	100				2	3	50	3	4	33						
2013	7	58	57				2	17	50	2	17	100				3	25	33									
2014	16	19	38				7	8	29	2	2	100				3	4	0	2	2	100				2	2	0
2015	19	56	63	1	3	0	4	12	50	7	21	86				3	9	33	2	6	50	1	3	100	1	3	100
2016	25	17	60	1	1	0	10	7	70	6	4	100				3	2	0				1	1	100	4	3	25
2017	9	64	44							3	21	67				3	21	33	1	7	100	1	7	0	1	7	0
2018	16	16	88				5	5	60	2	2	100				7	7	71							2	2	100
2019	8	50	88				7	44	86							1	6	100									
1998-2019	402	28	59	7	0	14	131	9	53	62	4	82	6	0	33	56	4	48	39	3	54	41	3	66	49	3	57

TREND TABLE G NUMBER OF CANDIDATES BY JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	NUMBER OF CANDIDATES			
	ALL CANDIDATES	COUNTY CANDIDATES	CITY CANDIDATES	SCHOOL DISTRICT CANDIDATES
1995	2,354	0	732	1,622
1996	5,330	667	2,141	2,522
1997	2,476	23	736	1,717
1998	5,354	1,037	1,893	2,424
1999	2,274	135	724	1,415
2000	5,012	796	2,166	2,050
2001	2,505	189	688	1,628
2002	5,896	1,266	2,188	2,442
2003	2,086	205	566	1,315
2004	5,035	782	2,212	2,041
2005	2,546	167	979	1,400
2006	5,498	1,136	2,132	2,230
2007	2,021	207	811	1,003
2008	5,237	782	2,282	2,173
2009	2,066	143	863	1,060
2010	6,022	1,177	2,321	2,524
2011	1,602	138	734	730
2012	5,208	776	2,332	2,100
2013	1,688	152	818	768
2014	5,675	1,204	2,172	2,299
2015	1,321	114	607	600
2016	5,118	723	2,361	2,034
2017	763	78	494	191
2018	6,526	1,176	2,700	2,650
2019	304	43	193	68
Total	89,917	13,116	35,845	41,006

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE H NUMBER OF CANDIDATES FOR MAJOR COUNTY OFFICES BY YEAR

	Total Number of Candidates	Number of County Candidates	COUNTY SUPERVISOR CANDIDATES		CSD/CSA CANDIDATES	
			Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates	Number of Candidates	% of County Candidates
1995	2,354	0	0	0	*	*
1996	5,330	667	470	70	*	*
1997	2,476	23	19	83	*	*
1998	5,354	1,037	309	30	22	2
1999	2,274	135	5	4	109	81
2000	5,012	796	441	55	174	22
2001	2,505	189	0	0	186	98
2002	5,896	1,266	306	24	127	10
2003	2,086	205	10	5	175	85
2004	5,035	782	447	57	125	16
2005	2,546	167	4	2	155	93
2006	5,498	1,136	310	27	160	14
2007	2,021	207	10	5	161	78
2008	5,237	782	441	56	174	22
2009	2,066	143	0	0	141	99
2010	6,022	1,177	331	28	170	14
2011	1,602	138	6	4	103	75
2012	5,208	776	460	59	200	26
2013	1,688	152	11	7	138	91
2014	5,675	1,204	317	26	244	20
2015	1,321	114	5	4	94	82
2016	5,118	723	431	60	158	22
2017	763	78	0	0	78	100
2018	6,526	1,176	324	28	192	16
2019	304	43	14	33	15	35
Total	89,917	13,116	4,671	36	3,101	24

*The California Elections Data Archive did not collect information on CSD/CSA candidates until 1998.

**We excluded runoffs from totals.

TREND TABLE I PERCENT OF INCUMBENT CANDIDATES, AND PERCENT OF PREVAILING INCUMBENTS BY MAJOR OFFICE, JURISDICTION, AND YEAR

	PERCENT OF CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS				PERCENTAGE OF INCUMBENTS WHO WIN				PERCENTAGE OF WINNING CANDIDATES WHO ARE INCUMBENTS			
	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates	% of All Candidates	% of County Supervisor Candidates	% of City Council Candidates	% of School District Candidates
1995	27	--	18	30	79	--	79	78	50	--	41	51
1996	27	24	23	28	79	75	74	78	48	51	41	47
1997	30	5	23	33	76	0	79	74	49	0	45	50
1998	32	30	26	32	86	87	82	83	57	63	48	53
1999	30	0	23	32	78	--	81	77	51	0	45	52
2000	30	30	27	32	79	90	80	74	52	73	51	49
2001	30	--	24	32	78	--	80	77	50	--	51	50
2002	34	34	27	36	82	81	79	79	57	63	50	56
2003	31	0	22	35	78	--	72	79	51	0	40	55
2004	33	28	28	37	81	81	81	76	55	59	51	57
2005	31	0	23	36	80	--	80	78	52	0	50	52
2006	35	29	29	36	82	90	78	78	56	68	51	55
2007	31	0	27	33	77	--	79	75	50	0	54	48
2008	34	30	30	38	76	86	80	70	56	61	55	54
2009	34	--	26	39	78	--	79	76	54	--	51	55
2010	35	28	29	39	82	83	82	79	59	61	56	59
2011	29	0	24	34	82	--	82	82	49	0	47	51
2012	27	28	25	30	74	78	74	71	43	58	41	43
2013	30	0	27	31	77	--	82	74	47	0	52	44
2014	35	31	30	38	77	89	77	71	54	64	51	51
2015	29	0	26	31	71	--	74	67	45	0	46	43
2016	32	31	28	34	74	82	75	68	49	63	47	46
2017	29	--	26	31	80	--	86	70	55	--	59	47
2018	34	30	27	36	76	84	72	69	52	60	45	49
2019	22	7	18	19	78	100	79	54	44	33	41	28
1995-2019	32	29	27	34	79	83	78	75	53	61	49	51

*We excluded runoffs from totals.

**2019 ELECTION SERIES SUMMARY:
ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR
COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT
BALLOT MEASURES, AND CANDIDATES**

TABLE A SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE, AND COUNTY, 2019

	TAXES		BONDS		CHARTER AMENDMENT		INITIATIVE		GANN LIMIT		ORDINANCE		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	0	1	0			0	1			1	0	4	1	5
Alpine	1	0											1	0	1
Calaveras	2	0									1	0	3	0	3
Contra Costa	2	0					0	1					2	1	3
Fresno	1	0											1	0	1
Imperial	0	1							1	0			1	1	2
Los Angeles	12	2	1	0			0	1			5	0	18	3	21
Marin	4	1											4	1	5
Napa											0	1	0	1	1
Orange	2	0											2	0	2
Placer											0	2	0	2	2
Plumas	1	0											1	0	1
San Diego							0	1					0	1	1
San Francisco	1	0	1	0	1	0					2	1	5	1	6
San Luis Obispo	1	0											1	0	1
San Mateo	6	1											6	1	7
Santa Clara	1	0											1	0	1
Sonoma	1	0									1	0	2	0	2
Stanislaus	1	0									0	1	1	1	2
All Counties	38	5	3	0	1	0	0	4	1	0	10	5	53	14	67

TABLE B SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TOPIC OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2019

	EDUCATION		FACILITIES		GENERAL SERVICES		GOVERNANCE		HOUSING		LAND USE		OTHER		REVENUE		SAFETY		TRANSPORT		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	3	0									1	1									4	1	5
Alpine																	1	0			1	0	1
Calaveras							1	0							1	0			1	0	3	0	3
Contra Costa			1	0							0	1					1	0			2	1	3
Fresno					1	0															1	0	1
Imperial																	1	1			1	1	2
Los Angeles	1	1					4	0			1	0	0	1	8	1	4	0			18	3	21
Marin	2	0	0	1											2	0					4	1	5
Napa									0	1											0	1	1
Orange																	2	0			2	0	2
Placer											0	2									0	2	2
Plumas																	1	0			1	0	1
San Diego											0	1									0	1	1
San Francisco							2	0	1	0			0	1					2	0	6	0	6
San Luis Obispo																	1	0			1	0	1
San Mateo	1	1					1	0							4	0					6	1	7
Santa Clara	1	0																			1	0	1
Sonoma											1	0					1	0			2	0	2
Stanislaus							0	1							1	0					1	1	2
All Counties	8	2	1	1	1	0	8	1	1	1	3	5	0	2	16	1	12	1	3	0	54	13	67

TABLE C SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR ALL COUNTY, CITY, AND SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICES, 2019

		COUNTY SUPERVISOR		CITY COUNCIL		SCHOOL BOARD		DIRECTOR, CSD ¹		OTHER COUNTY OFFICES		OTHER CITY OFFICES		TOTAL ^{2,3}	
		Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	N
Incumbent Candidates	Win	100.0	1	78.8	26	53.8	7	77.8	7	100.0	4	100.0	7	77.6	52
	Lose	0.0	0	21.2	7	46.2	6	22.2	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	22.4	15
	Total	100.0	1	100.0	33	100.0	13	100.0	9	100.0	4	100.0	7	100.0	67
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	15.4	2	25.3	38	32.7	18	50.0	3	20.0	2	66.7	2	27.4	65
	Lose	84.6	11	74.7	112	67.3	37	50.0	3	80.0	8	33.3	1	72.6	172
	Total	100.0	13	100.0	150	100.0	55	100.0	6	100.0	10	100.0	3	100.0	237
Wining Candidates	Incumbent	33.3	1	40.6	26	28.0	7	70.0	7	66.7	4	77.8	7	44.4	52
	Non-Incumbent	66.7	2	59.4	38	72.0	18	30.0	3	33.3	2	22.2	2	55.6	65
	Total	100.0	3	100.0	64	100.0	25	100.0	10	100.0	6	100.0	9	100.0	117
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	0.0	0	5.9	7	14.0	6	40.0	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.0	15
	Non-Incumbent	100.0	11	94.1	112	86.0	37	60.0	3	100.0	8	100.0	1	92.0	172
	Total	100.0	11	100.0	119	100.0	43	100.0	5	100.0	8	100.0	1	100.0	187
All Candidates	Incumbent	7.1	1	18.0	33	19.1	13	60.0	9	28.6	4	70.0	7	22.0	67
	Non-Incumbent	92.9	13	82.0	150	80.9	55	40.0	6	71.4	10	30.0	3	78.0	237
	Total	100.0	14	100.0	183	100.0	68	100.0	15	100.0	14	100.0	10	100.0	304

¹Includes Directors of Community Service Districts and County Service Areas.

²Percent may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

³Runoffs are excluded from totals.

PART 1
VOTE TOTALS, ELECTION OUTCOMES, AND
TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
ALAMEDA	5/7/2019	Dublin Unified	Measure E	GO Bond	Education	5,079	6,815	74.5%	Pass [†]
	11/5/2019	Piedmont City Unified	Measure G	Property Tax	Education	3,659	4,415	82.9%	Pass [†]
			Measure H	Development Tax	Education	3,272	4,399	74.4%	Pass [†]
ALPINE	No School District Measures								
AMADOR	No School District Measures								
BUTTE	No School District Measures								
CALAVERAS	No School District Measures								
COLUSA	No School District Measures								
CONTRA COSTA	No School District Measures								
DEL NORTE	No School District Measures								
EL DORADO	No School District Measures								
FRESNO	No School District Measures								
GLENN	No School District Measures								
HUMBOLDT	No School District Measures								
IMPERIAL	No School District Measures								
INYO	No School District Measures								
KERN	No School District Measures								
KINGS	No School District Measures								
LAKE	No School District Measures								
LASSEN	No School District Measures								
LOS ANGELES	2/26/2019	San Marino Unified	Measure R	Property Tax	Education	1,806	2,609	69.2%	Pass [†]
	6/4/2019	Los Angeles Unified	Measure EE	Development Tax	Education	181,329	392,763	46.2%	Fail [†]
MADERA	No School District Measures								
MARIN	8/27/2019	Bolinas-Stinson Union Elementary	Measure B	Property Tax	Education	401	543	73.8%	Pass [†]
	11/5/2019	Reed Union Elementary	Measure E	Property Tax	Education	2,825	3,775	74.8%	Pass [†]
MARIPOSA	No School District Measures								
MENDOCINO	No School District Measures								
MERCED	No School District Measures								
MODOC	No School District Measures								
MONO	No School District Measures								
MONTEREY	No School District Measures								
NAPA	No School District Measures								
NEVADA	No School District Measures								
ORANGE	No School District Measures								
PLACER	No School District Measures								
PLUMAS	No School District Measures								
RIVERSIDE	No School District Measures								
SACRAMENTO	No School District Measures								
SAN BENITO	No School District Measures								
SAN BERNADINO	No School District Measures								
SAN DIEGO	No School District Measures								

[†]Indicates measure required two-thirds of the vote to pass. [‡]Indicates measure required 55% of the vote to pass. All other school district measures required a majority vote.

TABLE 1.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	SCHOOL DISTRICT	MEASURE TITLE	TYPE OF MEASURE	TOPIC OF MEASURE	VOTE IN FAVOR	TOTAL VOTE	PERCENT OF VOTE	PASS OR FAIL*
SAN FRANCISCO	No School District Measures								
SAN JOAQUIN	No School District Measures								
SAN LUIS OBISPO	No School District Measures								
SAN MATEO	11/5/2019	Cabrillo Unified	Measure I	Property Tax	Education	5,356	7,139	75.0%	Pass [†]
		Redwood City Elementary	Measure H	Property Tax	Education	10,886	16,438	66.2%	Fail [†]
SANTA BARBARA	No School District Measures								
SANTA CLARA	5/7/2019	Saratoga Union Elementary	Measure A	Property Tax	Education	3,504	4,849	72.3%	Pass [†]
SANTA CRUZ	No School District Measures								
SHASTA	No School District Measures								
SIERRA	No School District Measures								
SISKIYOU	No School District Measures								
SOLANO	No School District Measures								
SONOMA	No School District Measures								
STANISLAUS	No School District Measures								
SUTTER	No School District Measures								
TEHAMA	No School District Measures								
TRINITY	No School District Measures								
TULARE	No School District Measures								
TUOLUMNE	No School District Measures								
VENTURA	No School District Measures								
YOLO	No School District Measures								
YUBA	No School District Measures								

TABLE 1.2 TEXT FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY COUNTY, 2019

ALAMEDA	5/7/2019	Dublin Unified	Measure E	Pass (2/3rds required)
To protect quality education with funding that cannot be taken by the State, shall Dublin Unified School District update/replace aging classrooms/science labs; provide 21st century computers/technology/classrooms; ensure classrooms meet safety codes; prevent student overcrowding; and improve energy/operational efficiency and utilize savings for teachers/instruction, by issuing \$99 million in bonds at legal rates, with independent oversight, no money for administrators, and all funds staying in Dublin?				
ALAMEDA	11/5/2019	Piedmont City Unified	Measure G	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain the high quality of education in Piedmont schools, continue funding programs in math, science, technology, engineering, English, music, and arts, keep textbooks and instructional technology up to date, maintain smaller class sizes, and attract and retain qualified teachers, shall the Piedmont Unified School District renew its expiring parcel tax at an annual rate of \$2,763 per parcel for 8 years, providing 10.8 million dollars annually, with independent citizen oversight and all money staying local?				
ALAMEDA	11/5/2019	Piedmont City Unified	Measure H	Pass (2/3rds required)
To provide critically needed funding to attract and retain high quality teachers and educational support staff, shall the Piedmont Unified School District levy a tax of \$0.25 per square foot of building improvements, providing 2.6 million dollars annually in dedicated funding for Piedmont schools for 8 years, with independent citizen oversight and all money staying local?				
LOS ANGELES	2/26/2019	San Marino Unified	Measure R	Pass (2/3rds required)
In order to continue funding quality educational programs and classroom instruction, limit certain size increases and teaching position reductions, attract and retain high quality teachers and employees, and support educational programs that enhance student achievement, shall San Marino Unified School District extend its expiring school parcel tax for six years at \$366 per parcel, collecting approximately \$1.6 million annually with exemptions for seniors, adjustment for inflation and all money staying in our community to benefit our local schools?				
LOS ANGELES	6/4/2019	Los Angeles Unified	Measure EE	Fail (2/3rds required)
To retain/attract quality teachers; reduce class sizes; provide counseling/nursing/library services, arts, music, science, math, preschool, vocational/career education, safe/well-maintained schools, adequate instructional materials/supplies; support disadvantaged/homeless students; shall Los Angeles Unified School District levy \$0.16 per square foot of building improvements annually, exempting seniors/certain disability recipients, providing approximately \$500,000,000 annually for 12 years, requiring annual audits, oversight, and funding local schools?				
MARIN	8/27/2019	Bolinas-Stinson Union Elementary	Measure B	Pass (2/3rds required)
Given the current parcel tax of \$300.00 will expire on June 30, 2020, shall the Bolinas-Stinson Union School District continue funding quality educational programs by levying a special tax assessment of \$318.00 per year per assessor's parcel for a limited period of five (5) years with an exemption for any beneficial owner of a parcel who has attained the age of 65 on or before May 1 of any applicable year, who uses that parcel as his or her principal residence?				
MARIN	11/5/2019	Reed Union Elementary	Measure E	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain excellent academic programs and high-quality, 21st-century education by attracting and retaining highly qualified, experienced teachers; maintaining and enhancing science, technology, engineering and math for all grades; supporting art, music and library programs; minimizing class size increases; shall a Reed Union School District Measure be adopted renewing the existing \$589 annual parcel tax, providing \$2.5 million annually, for 12 years, with senior exemptions, 3% annual adjustments, no money for administrator salaries and funds staying local?				
SAN MATEO	11/5/2019	Cabrillo Unified	Measure I	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain quality education in Coastside schools without increasing taxes; preserve strong academic programs in reading, writing, science, technology, engineering, arts, math; retain qualified teachers/staff; prepare students for college and careers; and maintain school safety and security; shall a Measure to renew its expiring \$150 education parcel tax for eight years be adopted, raising approximately \$1,600,000 annually with independent citizen oversight, exemptions for seniors and others, and all funds staying in Coastside schools?				
SAN MATEO	11/5/2019	Redwood City Elementary	Measure H	Fail (2/3rds required)
To maintain quality education in Redwood City with local funding that cannot be taken by the State, to attract and retain highly qualified teachers; support quality reading and writing programs; maintain science, technology, engineering and math instruction; and reduce class sizes in kindergarten and first grade, shall a Redwood City School District parcel tax Measure of \$149 per parcel for 12 years be adopted, raising \$3,450,000 annually with citizen oversight, exemption for seniors, and all funds staying local?				
SANTA CLARA	5/7/2019	Saratoga Union Elementary	Measure A	Pass (2/3rds required)
To maintain strong core academic programs, including math and science, attract/retain qualified teachers, limit class sizes, provide up-to-date instructional materials, protect art, music, reading/writing programs, keep libraries open, shall Saratoga Union School District's Measure renewing without increasing its expiring \$68 annual parcel tax for eight years, be approved, raising \$475,000 annually which cannot be taken by the State, with exemptions for seniors, audits, independent oversight, no funds for administrators?				

TABLE 1.3 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BALLOT MEASURES BY TYPE OF MEASURE AND COUNTY, 2019

	TAXES		BONDS		ALL MEASURES		
	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	PASS	FAIL	TOTAL
Alameda	2	0	1	0	3	0	3
Los Angeles	1	1			1	1	2
Marin	2	0			2	0	2
San Mateo	1	1			1	1	2
Santa Clara	1	0			1	0	1
All Counties	7	2	1	0	8	2	10

PART 2
VOTE TOTALS FOR
SCHOOL DISTRICT OFFICE CANDIDATES

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
ALAMEDA	6/4/2019	Dublin Unified	4	Short	Blackman	Gabrielle	Designer/Parent	No	2	588	840	70.0%	Yes
					Natarajan	Niranjana "Nini"	Corporate Controller	No	2	252	840	30.0%	No
	11/5/2019	Dublin Unified	3	Short	Kuo	Catherine	Parent	No	2	537	703	76.4%	Yes
					Norrington	Malcolm	Educator/Husband/Father	No	2	166	703	23.6%	No
ALPINE	No School District Contests												
AMADOR	No School District Contests												
BUTTE	No School District Contests												
CALAVERAS	No School District Contests												
COLUSA	No School District Contests												
CONTRA COSTA	No School District Contests												
DEL NORTE	No School District Contests												
EL DORADO	No School District Contests												
FRESNO	No School District Contests												
GLENN	No School District Contests												
HUMBOLDT	No School District Contests												
IMPERIAL	11/5/2019	Meadows Union Elementary	Full		Lamoreaux	Margo	Self Employed	No	7	48	221	21.7%	Yes
					Garcia	Roberto	Engineer Technician	No	7	40	221	18.1%	Yes
					Barajas Rodriguez	Beatriz	Incumbent	Yes	7	34	221	15.4%	No
					Villegas	Brenda	Incumbent	Yes	7	34	221	15.4%	No
					Mayes, Jr.	Sterling E. "Arkie"	Retired Pipefitter Welder	No	7	30	221	13.6%	No
					Mora	Sebastian O.	Trucking Owner/Operator	No	7	20	221	9.0%	No
					Friedley	John "Jack"	Retired Business Owner	No	7	15	221	6.8%	No
INYO	No School District Contests												
KERN	No School District Contests												
KINGS	No School District Contests												
LAKE	No School District Contests												
LASSEN	5/7/2019	Lassen Community College ³	1	Full	Wages	Sophia A.	Incumbent/Foundation Board	Yes	5	1,856	5,197	35.7%	Yes
					Siemer	Alan	Retired Educator	No	5	1,237	5,197	23.8%	Yes
					Hubbard	BobbyJames "BJ"	Law Clerk	No	5	810	5,197	15.6%	No
					Madden	Veree Joy	Teacher	No	5	753	5,197	14.5%	No
					Westbrook	Melanie	Real Estate Broker	No	5	500	5,197	9.6%	No
LOS ANGELES	3/5/2019	Los Angeles Unified	5	Full	Goldberg	Jackie	Teacher	No	10	15,935	33,074	48.2%	Runoff
					Repenning	Heather	Parent/City Commissioner	No	10	4,341	33,074	13.1%	Runoff
					Ortiz	Graciela "Grace"	Educator/City Councilmember	No	10	4,310	33,074	13.0%	No
					Gonzalez	Cynthia	Principal/Parent	No	10	3,230	33,074	9.8%	No
					Bajracharya	Allison Greenwood	Educator/Parent	No	10	1,986	33,074	6.0%	No
					Cubas	Ana	Professor/Non-Profit Executive	No	10	1,145	33,074	3.5%	No
					Valdez	David	Los Angeles County Arts Commissioner	No	10	678	33,074	2.0%	No
					Rivas	Rocio	Mother/Educational Researcher	No	10	545	33,074	1.6%	No

¹Write-in candidate votes, when reported by the county, have been included in the total votes cast. For these contests, the sum of the candidate votes is less than the total votes cast.

²We reported all candidate information separately county, except for multi-county school districts, where the elected outcome reflects the multi-county result.

³Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Lassen county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CAN- DIDATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
LOS ANGELES (continued)	3/5/2019	Los Angeles Unified	5	Full	Sanchez	Salvador "Chamba"	Professor/Community Activist	No	10	522	33,074	1.6%	No
					Valencia	Nestor Enrique	Councilmember/Parent	No	10	382	33,074	1.2%	No
	5/14/2019	Los Angeles Unified	5	Full	Flinn	Raymur Elizabeth	Executive Director RBEF	No	2	7,439	13,128	56.7%	Yes
					Witkin	David	Incumbent	Yes	2	5,689	13,128	43.3%	Yes
					Goldberg	Jackie	Teacher	No	2	20,552	28,805	71.3%	Yes
					Repenning	Heather	Parent/City Commissioner	No	2	8,253	28,805	28.7%	No
MADERA	No School District Contests												
MARIN	No School District Contests												
MARIPOSA	No School District Contests												
MENDOCINO	No School District Contests												
MERCED	No School District Contests												
MODOC	5/7/2019	Lassen Community College ⁴	1	Full	Wages	Sophia A.	Incumbent/Foundation Board	Yes	5	47	105	44.8%	Yes
					Siemer	Alan	Retired Educator	No	5	20	105	19.0%	Yes
					Madden	Veree Joy	Teacher	No	5	19	105	18.1%	No
					Westbrook	Melanie	Real Estate Broker	No	5	9	105	8.6%	No
					Hubbard	BobbyJames "BJ"	Law Clerk	No	5	8	105	7.6%	No
MONO	No School District Contests												
MONTEREY	No School District Contests												
NAPA	No School District Contests												
NEVADA	No School District Contests												
ORANGE	11/5/2019	Santa Ana Unified		Short	Torres	Carolyn	Teacher	No	4	4,924	10,493	46.9%	Yes
					Benavides	David	Education Non-Profit Director	No	4	3,226	10,493	30.7%	No
					Contreras	Gisela	Parent/Businesswoman	No	4	1,406	10,493	13.4%	No
					Aguinaga	Cecilia	Businessowner/Housing Commissioner	No	4	937	10,493	8.9%	No
PLACER	No School District Contests												
PLUMAS	No School District Contests												
RIVERSIDE	5/7/2019	Moreno Valley Unified	5	Short	Peeden	Darrell A.	Youth Policy Advocate	No	5	770	2,121	36.3%	Yes
					Ashley	John	Chief Technology Officer	No	5	544	2,121	25.6%	No
					Then	Keri A.	Educator	No	5	479	2,121	22.6%	No
					Vargas Sanchez	Patricia	County Worker	No	5	253	2,121	11.9%	No
					Schoelles	George F	CEO/Instructor	No	5	75	2,121	3.5%	No
	6/4/2019	Palo Verde Community College	1	Full	Hyduke II	Ned William	General Manager	No	3	404	933	43.3%	Yes
					Fulmer	Heather L.	Office Manager	No	3	333	933	35.7%	No
					Schuster	Judy A.	Retired	No	3	196	933	21.0%	No
	11/5/2019	Banning Unified	1	Short	Sattler	Leslie	Retired Teacher	No	3	1,259	1,973	63.8%	Yes
					Moyer	George Edward	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	644	1,973	32.6%	No
					Kalani	John	Entertainment Technician	No	3	70	1,973	3.5%	No
					Anguiano	Mayra	Case Manager/Parent	No	2	240	297	80.8%	Yes
					Andrade	Alfredo	Incumbent	Yes	2	57	297	19.2%	No
3	Full	Troutman	Laura	No Ballot Designation	No	2	277	415	66.7%	Yes			
		Mariner	Kerri	Incumbent	Yes	2	138	415	33.3%	No			
SACRAMENTO	No School District Contests												

⁴Multi-county school district. We reported votes for Modoc county separately.

TABLE 2.1 VOTE TOTALS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD CANDIDATES BY COUNTY AND ELECTION DATE, 2019

COUNTY	DATE	OFFICE	DISTRICT/ SEAT	TERM OF OFFICE	CANDIDATE'S LAST NAME	CANDIDATE'S FIRST NAME	CANDIDATE'S BALLOT DESIGNATION	IN- CUM- BENT	NUMBER OF CANDI- DATES	VOTES FOR CANDI- DATES	TOTAL VOTES CAST ¹	PERCENT OF VOTE	ELEC- TED ²
SAN BENITO		No School District Contests											
SAN BERNARDINO	11/5/2019	Victor Valley Union High	2	Short	Castaneda	Caleb	Community College Instructor	No	3	665	1,320	50.4%	Yes
					Crosby	Lisa Ann	Substitute Teacher	No	3	418	1,320	31.7%	No
					Cooper	J Margaret	No Ballot Designation	No	3	237	1,320	18.0%	No
SAN DIEGO		No School District Contests											
SAN FRANCISCO	11/5/2019	San Francisco Community College		Full	Lee	Ivy	Appointed City College Trustee	Yes	1	157,858	157,858	100.0%	Yes
		San Francisco County Office of Education		Full	Lam	Jenny	School Board Member/Education Advisor	Yes	3	121,154	164,585	73.6%	Yes
					Strobel	Kirsten	Director, Arts Nonprofit	No	3	26,036	164,585	15.8%	No
					Coleman	Robert K.	Editor/Artist	No	3	17,395	164,585	10.6%	No
SAN JOAQUIN		No School District Contests											
SAN LUIS OBISPO		No School District Contests											
SAN MATEO	11/5/2019	San Mateo-Foster City Elementary		Full	Proctor	Alison	CPA/Parent	No	3	8,929	25,369	35.2%	Yes
					Chin	Ken	Appointed Incumbent	Yes	3	8,326	25,369	32.8%	Yes
					Tsai	Annie	Businesswoman/Parent	No	3	8,114	25,369	32.0%	No
SANTA BARBARA		No School District Contests											
SANTA CLARA		No School District Contests											
SANTA CRUZ		No School District Contests											
SHASTA		No School District Contests											
SIERRA		No School District Contests											
SISKIYOU		No School District Contests											
SOLANO		No School District Contests											
SONOMA		No School District Contests											
STANISLAUS	11/5/2019	Ceres Unified	1	Full	De La Porte	Brian	Educator	No	2	386	586	65.9%	Yes
					Kinard	Jim	Incumbent	Yes	2	200	586	34.1%	No
		Hart-Ransom Union Elementary		Full	Renicker	Seth	Incumbent	Yes	4	175	600	29.2%	Yes
					Brunk	Shawn	Utility Lineman	No	4	167	600	27.8%	Yes
					Alderson	Andy	Agriculture Operations Manager	No	4	146	600	24.3%	No
					Dubbs	Matt	Salesman/Parent/Coach	No	4	112	600	18.7%	No
SUTTER		No School Districts											
TEHAMA		No School Districts											
TRINITY		No School Districts											
TULARE		No School Districts											
TUOLUMNE		No School Districts											
VENTURA		No School Districts											
YOLO		No School Districts											
YUBA		No School Districts											

TABLE 2.2 SUMMARY OF ELECTION OUTCOMES FOR SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, 2019

		Percent ¹	N ²
Incumbent Candidates	Win	53.8	7
	Lose	46.2	6
	Total	100.0	13
Non-Incumbent Candidates	Win	32.7	18
	Lose	67.3	37
	Total	100.0	55
Winning Candidates	Incumbent	28.0	7
	Non-Incumbent	72.0	18
	Total	100.0	25
Losing Candidates	Incumbent	14.0	6
	Non-Incumbent	86.0	37
	Total	100.0	43
All Candidates	Incumbent	19.1	13
	Non-Incumbent	80.9	55
	Total	100.0	68

¹Percent may not sum up to 100 due to rounding.

²We excluded runoffs from totals.